

# Lecture 08.1 - Information Infrastructures - Emergence and Evolution

## Information Infrastructures - Emergence and Evolution

Ole Hanseth – E-Health in Norway

---

### Trends in Digital Infrastructure

- **Rapid increase in solutions:**
    - Aker BP: 700
    - Nordea: 2000
    - Equinor: 3000
    - Helse Sør-Øst: 5000+
  - **Installed Base Evolution:**
    - IS development resembles **geology**: digital "sand" becomes "rock" or "concrete" over time.
    - Layers upon layers of technologies and solutions.
- 

### From Systems to Ecosystems to Infrastructures

- **Infrastructure:**
    - A **shared resource** for a community/organization.
    - Not a tool, but a platform: e.g., electricity, telecom, railroads, Internet.
    - Built upon an **installed base**: evolves incrementally and is path-dependent.
  - **Ecosystems:**
    - Exist **between hierarchy and markets**.
    - Mix of governance structures, forms and functions.
- 

### Digital Transformation of Industries

- **Airline Industry**: Booking systems (1950 s)
  - **Banking**: SWIFT (1960 s), Vipps, shopping/payment platforms
  - **Media, Advertising, Shipping**: All undergoing digital transition
  - **Shift**: From organization-level change to industry-level transformation
- 

### Example: Programmatic Advertising

- Explosive growth of AdTech companies since early 2000 s.
- 

### Socio-Technical Regime

A regime is a rule-set embedded in technology, practices, institutions, and infrastructures.

- Involves:
  - Engineering practices
  - Product standards and processes
  - Institutional and social structures
- Used in **transition studies** (e.g., sailing → steam, carbon → renewables)

### Key Levels:

1. **Niche**
2. **Regime**
3. **Landscape**

---

## Core Concepts

- **Architecture**
- **Governance structures**
- **Process models**: Agile, waterfall
- **Conway's Law / Mirroring Hypothesis**:
  - System design mirrors organizational structure

---

## Regime Strategy

- Strategies can be **deliberate or emergent**
- Especially relevant in complex infrastructures with many actors

### Example: Norwegian Health Sector

- Who sets strategy? How does it evolve? Who's in control?

---

## What is an Information Infrastructure?

"A shared, evolving, open, standardized, and heterogeneous installed base." – Hanseth

"Fractionally coherent object" – Grisot & Law

- Characterized by **multiplicities**:
  - Connected, layered, overlapping, criss-crossing infrastructures
  - Each regime adds to complexity

---

## E-Health in Norway: Geology of Infrastructures

### Timeline of Dominant Regimes:

Period	Regime Characteristics
<b>1970–1990</b>	Developer/user constellations, in-house innovation
<b>1990–2002</b>	Standardization committees, formal standards
<b>2002–2008</b>	Regional IT management, application standards
<b>2008–2012</b>	IT architects, service-oriented architecture (SOA), RBCWS
<b>2012–2019</b>	Top-down control, consolidation, "One citizen – one record"
<b>2019–Now</b>	Ecosystem approach, post-Akson failures

---

## Evolution = Interaction of Regimes + Landscape

### Contributing Factors:

- Technological innovation: Mainframes → Cloud, IoT, Blockchain
- Medical innovation: Genetic tech, mRNA, etc.
- Organizational change
- Destabilization leads to **new regime emergence** and eventual (re) stabilization

---

## Key Regime Transitions

### Pre-1990:

- **Stand-alone apps**

- Developer/user-driven innovation
- Loosely coupled systems

### 1990–2002:

- **Destabilization:** Redundancy, inconsistency, rise of comm tech
- **Emergence:** Telenor, Fürst Lab, ISO standards
- **Stabilization:** Health Ministry + KITH-led standardization

### 2002–2008:

- **Destabilization:** Hospital system nationalized
- **Emergence:** Regional IT management
- **Stabilization:** Governance (Y-model), framework contracts

### 2008–2012:

- **Destabilization:** National ICT coordination
- **Emergence:** IT architects propose SOA & RBCWS
- **Stabilization:** Projects launched in multiple regions

### 2012–2019:

- **Destabilization:** KA project failure
- **Emergence:** Centralized control via Parliament
- **Stabilization:** Digital Renewal program; Epic introduced

### 2019–Present:

- **Destabilization:** Epic fails, Akson scandal, outsourcing backlash
- **Emergence:** Move toward ecosystem model
- **Stabilization:** Ongoing, ecosystem logic replacing “big projects”

## Regime Dynamics

- Destabilization introduces **new actors**.
- New actors bring **new perspectives**, reshaping the regime.
- **Events** act as triggers:
  - 1990: Telenor + ComTech → standardization
  - 2002: Govt takeover → regionalization
  - 2008: SOA/RBCWS proposal
  - 2012: Merge failure → centralization
  - 2019: Akson, outsourcing → ecosystems

## Installed Base Challenges

- **Each regime embeds future problems:**
  - | 1990: Fragmentation
  - 1990–2002: Bureaucratic slowness
  - 2012–2019: Unmanageable complexity
- **Not deterministic:** Human agency and pragmatism still matter

## Key Concepts Summary

Concept	Definition
<b>Infrastructure</b>	Shared, evolving technical base
<b>Regime</b>	Stable configuration of tech, practices, and actors
<b>Destabilization</b>	Disruption due to new tech, actors, events
<b>Installed Base</b>	Accumulated historical systems shaping present/future

Concept	Definition
Ecosystem	Decentralized, multi-actor collaboration model

---

## Final Thoughts

- Information infrastructures evolve **organically**—not just by design.
- Transitions happen through **interaction** between technologies, actors, and external events.
- A **socio-technical lens** is essential to understanding digital transformations.
- Today's e-health infrastructures are shaped by a **long history of regimes**, and now lean toward **ecosystem-based models**.