

Lecture 09 - Generative Architectures & National E-Health Infrastructures

Generative Architectures & National E-Health Infrastructures

Ole Hanseth – Department of Informatics, UiO

Platforms vs. Infrastructure

Infrastructure	Platform
Shared by all, equal access	Business model, organizing principle
Community resource	Often commercial
Internet, EDI	Google, Facebook
Evolves into infrastructure when adopted widely	Can start as business innovation

Ecosystems emerge when platforms are interconnected and scaled, eventually forming **information infrastructures**.

Generative Technology (Zittrain)

Definition:

A technology's capacity to produce unprompted change by large, varied, and uncoordinated users.

Characteristics:

- **Leverage**
- **Adaptability**
- **Ease of mastery**
- **Accessibility**

Examples:

- PCs, Internet
- **Opposite:** Appliances
- Telecom: appliances + “intelligent” networks

Architecture and Governance

Morris & Ferguson: “Architectures win technology wars.”

Key Elements:

- **Architectural control points**
- **Platform-centered ecologies**
- **Mirroring hypothesis** (Conway's Law)

Stability	Change
Integration, standardization, centralized	Modularity, variation, decentralized
Long-term focus, planned change	Local optimization, emergent change
Central control	Distributed governance

Generative Networks and Communities

David Lane's model of innovation:

- Exaptive bootstrapping
- Generative relationships:
 - Aligned directedness
 - Heterogeneity
 - Mutual engagement

| User/design communities are key for innovation "in" and "on" the platform.

The Beginnings of E-Health in Norway

1987–1988:

- Frst Lab Report Transfer
- Telenor's Telemedicine in Northern Norway

Early Standardization:

- Statskonsult's EDI initiative (e.g. invoices)
 - CEN TC/251, KITH
 - Consensus: EDI standard
-

The EDI Paradigm (1990 s–2000 s)

- Exchange of structured messages:
 - Lab reports, prescriptions, discharge letters, invoices, etc.
 - Projects:
 - ELIN, ePrescription, Meldingslftet
 - Status: Modest success, many coordination issues
-

EDI Ecosystem

- Multiple vendors: GPs, hospitals, pharmacies, NAV
 - Complex project organization
 - Evolving ICT architecture
 - Constant issues with implementation delays and disagreements
-

ePrescription Architecture

Architecture:

- Exchange between EPJ systems, pharmacies, and national systems
- Components: FEST (Drug info), NAV (Refunds), GP systems, etc.

Flow:

1. Prescription generated
2. Sent to exchange
3. Handled by pharmacy system
4. Feedback to GP and NAV

Challenges:

- Delays → generic modules introduced
- High development cost (500 MNOK)
- Still in limited pilot use

Other Notable Projects

Project	Purpose
Fürst	Lab report + ordering (1987)
Edimed	Northern Norwegian health network
Well/DIPS	Interactive letters
BlueFox	Prescription registry
MyJournal	Patient-facing services

Architecture Alternatives

1. INA Architecture (Application-Centric / Institutional Interface)

- Each app integrates with others separately

2. SPA Architecture (Service Provider / Communication-Centric)

- Centralized services mediate communication
- More **scalable and maintainable**

Generative Architecture

Phases:

- **Bootstrapping**: Initial system development
- **Scaling**: Adoption across actors
- **Restructuring**: Changes in architecture & org
- **Innovation in & on**: New services and transformations emerge

Summary Care Record Systems Comparison

Country	Strategy	Cost	Outcome
Scotland	Centralized	£3 M	Functional
Denmark	Top-down	€10 M	Cancelled
	Bottom-up	–	Great success
Norway	ePrescription	500 MNOK	One GP pilot
UK	National program	£240 M	Frozen

Summary

- **Platforms and infrastructures converge** as systems scale.
- **Generative technologies and architectures** drive innovation.
- Norway's e-health journey shows both **successes and limitations**.
- Architecture choice (INA vs. SPA) **impacts scalability** and integration.
- **Governance, coordination, and user involvement** are critical for national-scale digital health systems.