

RNMC: kinetic Monte Carlo implementations for complex reaction networks

Laura Zichi^{1,2*}, Daniel Barter^{3*}, Eric Sivonxay^{3*}, Evan Walter Clark Spotte-Smith^{1,4}, Rohith Srinivaas Mohanakrishnan^{1,4}, Emory M. Chan⁵, Kristin Aslaug Persson^{4,5¶}, and Samuel M. Blau^{3¶}

¹ Materials Science Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, USA 94720 ² Department of Physics, University of Michigan - Ann Arbor, Ann Arbor, MI, USA 48109 ³ Energy Storage and Distributed Resources, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA USA 94720 ⁴ Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of California - Berkeley, CA, USA 94720 ⁵ Molecular Foundry, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, USA 94720 ¶ Corresponding author * These authors contributed equally.

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

Software

- [Review](#)
- [Repository](#)
- [Archive](#)

Editor: [Open Journals](#)

Reviewers:

- [@openjournals](#)

Submitted: 01 January 1970

Published: unpublished

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/))

Summary

Macroscopic chemical and physical phenomena are driven by microscopic interactions at the atomic and molecular scales. In order to capture complex processes with high fidelity, simulation methods that bridge disparate time and length scales are needed. While techniques like molecular dynamics and *ab initio* simulations capture dynamics and reactivity at high resolution, they cannot be used beyond relatively small length (hundreds to thousands of atoms) and time scales (picoseconds to microseconds). Kinetic Monte Carlo (kMC) approaches overcome these limitations to bridge length and time scales across several orders of magnitude while retaining relevant microscopic resolution, making it a powerful and flexible tool.

Here, we present RNMC, an easy-to-use, modular, high-performance kMC simulation framework that enables modeling of complex systems. RNMC consists of a core module defining the common features of kMC algorithms, including an implementation of the Gillespie algorithm (Gillespie, 1977), input/output operations leveraging SQLite databases, threading logic for parallel execution, and dependency graphs for efficient event propensity updates. In addition, there are currently three modules defining kMC implementations for different types of applications. The GMC (Gillespie Monte Carlo) module enables simulations of reaction networks in a homogeneous (well-mixed) environment. GMC is a basic tool that is appropriate for general simulations of solution-phase chemistry. The NPMC (NanoParticle Monte Carlo) module enables simulation of dynamics in nanoparticles with 3D statistical field theory and supports one- and two-site interactions. Finally, the LGMC (Lattice Gillespie Monte Carlo) module is designed for simulations of multi-phase systems (especially at solid-fluid interfaces) where chemical and electrochemical reactions can occur between a lattice region and a homogeneous region. We have designed RNMC to be easily extensible, enabling users to add additional kMC modules for other diverse chemical and physical systems.

Statement of need

There are many existing kMC implementations, including several open source examples (e.g. the Stochastic Parallel Particle Kinetic Simulator or SPPARKS (Garcia Cardona et al., 2009) and kmos). (Hoffmann et al., 2014) RNMC began as a fork of SPPARKS but differs in several important ways. First, because RNMC uses the widely supported SQLite database engine for simulation inputs and outputs, it facilitates the automation of simulations. Second, RNMC has a focus on

modularity; it is designed such that users can quickly develop new types of kMC simulations using a common core library.

The simulation modules already implemented in RNMC provide unique capabilities that are not widely available in other open source codes. NPMC is specifically designed for 3D simulations of the complex photophysical interaction networks in nanocrystals,(Teitelboim et al., 2019) particularly multi-domain heterostructures whose optical properties cannot be calculated deterministically.(Skripka et al., 2023) NPMC can be used to simulate energy transfer interactions between dopants in nanoparticles, their radiative transitions, and nonlinear processes such as upconversion (Chan, 2015) and photon avalanching.(Skripka et al., 2023)

LGMC is also somewhat unique in that it can simulate multi-phase systems and electrochemical processes. Simulations using LGMC can include a lattice region and a homogeneous solution region which can interact *via* interfacial reactions. Electrochemical reactions can be treated using Marcus theory(Marcus, 1965) or Butler-Volmer kinetics.(Newman & Balsara, 2021) Because it allows for a dynamic lattice region, LGMC is also appropriate for simulations of nucleation and growth, dissolution, precipitation, and related phenomena.

We have already used the GMC module in a number of prior works in applications related to Li-ion and Mg-ion batteries.(Barter et al., 2023; Spotte-Smith et al., 2022, 2023) We note that these simulations included tens of millions of reactions, demonstrating that RNMC is able to scale to large and complex reaction networks. In addition, we have used NPMC to perform Bayesian optimization of upconverting nanoparticles.(Xia et al., 2023)

Acknowledgements

This project was intellectually led by the Laboratory Directed Research and Development Program of Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory under U.S. Department of Energy Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. L.Z. was supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Workforce Development for Teachers and Scientists (WDTS) under the Science Undergraduate Laboratory Internships Program (SULI). E.W.C.S.-S. was supported by the Kavli Energy NanoScience Institute Philomathia Graduate Student Fellowship. Work at the Molecular Foundry (E.M.C., K.A.P) was supported by the Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. Additional support came from the Joint Center for Energy Storage Research (JCESR), an Energy Innovation Hub funded by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences. This code was developed and tested using computational resources provided by the National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center (NERSC), a U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science User Facility under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231, the Eagle and Swift HPC systems at the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL), and the Lawrence HPC cluster at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory.

References

- Barter, D., Spotte-Smith, E. W. C., Redkar, N. S., Khanwale, A., Dwaraknath, S., Persson, K. A., & Blau, S. M. (2023). Predictive stochastic analysis of massive filter-based electrochemical reaction networks. *Digital Discovery*, 2(1), 123–137.
- Chan, E. M. (2015). Combinatorial approaches for developing upconverting nanomaterials: High-throughput screening, modeling, and applications. *Chemical Society Reviews*, 44(6), 1653–1679.
- Garcia Cardona, C., Wagner, G. J., Tikare, V., Holm, E. A., Plimpton, S. J., Thompson, A. P., Slepoy, A., Zhou, X. W., Battaile, C. C., & Chandross, M. E. (2009). *Crossing the mesoscale no-mans land via parallel kinetic monte carlo*. Sandia National Laboratories (SNL), Albuquerque, NM,; Livermore, CA.

- 89 Gillespie, D. T. (1977). Exact stochastic simulation of coupled chemical reactions. *The Journal*
90 *of Physical Chemistry*, 81(25), 2340–2361.
- 91 Hoffmann, M. J., Matera, S., & Reuter, K. (2014). Kmos: A lattice kinetic monte carlo
92 framework. *Computer Physics Communications*, 185(7), 2138–2150.
- 93 Marcus, R. A. (1965). On the theory of electron-transfer reactions. VI. Unified treatment for
94 homogeneous and electrode reactions. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 43(2), 679–701.
- 95 Newman, J., & Balsara, N. P. (2021). *Electrochemical systems*. John Wiley & Sons.
- 96 Skripka, A., Lee, M., Qi, X., Pan, J.-A., Yang, H., Lee, C., Schuck, P. J., Cohen, B.
97 E., Jaque, D., & Chan, E. M. (2023). A generalized approach to photon avalanche
98 upconversion in luminescent nanocrystals. *Nano Letters*, 23(15), 7100–7106. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.3c01955>
99
- 100 Spotte-Smith, E. W. C., Blau, S. M., Barter, D., Leon, N. J., Hahn, N. T., Redkar, N. S.,
101 Zavadil, K. R., Liao, C., & Persson, K. A. (2023). Chemical reaction networks explain
102 gas evolution mechanisms in mg-ion batteries. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*,
103 145(22), 12181–12192.
- 104 Spotte-Smith, E. W. C., Kam, R. L., Barter, D., Xie, X., Hou, T., Dwaraknath, S., Blau, S.
105 M., & Persson, K. A. (2022). Toward a mechanistic model of solid–electrolyte interphase
106 formation and evolution in lithium-ion batteries. *ACS Energy Letters*, 7(4), 1446–1453.
- 107 Teitelboim, A., Tian, B., Garfield, D. J., Fernandez-Bravo, A., Gotlin, A. C., Schuck, P.
108 J., Cohen, B. E., & Chan, E. M. (2019). Energy transfer networks within upconverting
109 nanoparticles are complex systems with collective, robust, and history-dependent dynamics.
110 *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 123(4), 2678–2689.
- 111 Xia, X., Sivonxay, E., Helms, B. A., Blau, S. M., & Chan, E. M. (2023). Accelerating the design
112 of multishell upconverting nanoparticles through bayesian optimization. *Nano Letters*,
113 23(23), 11129–11136.