## Finite Automata

### 1.1 Deterministic finate automaton - DFA

is a 5-tuple  $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$  where:

- Q is a finate set of states,
- $\Sigma$  is a finite input alphabet
- $q_0 \in Q$  is the *initial state*,
- $F \subseteq Q$  is the set of *final states*, and
- $\delta$  is the transition function, i.e.  $\delta: Q \times \Sigma \to Q$ For each state, there must be a transition for every input symbol out of  $\Sigma$ .

**exp.** Dfa for finding modulo of binary numbers

Suppose our modulo is m. Then for every possible remainder, there must be a state in fa  $\{q_0, q_1, \ldots, q_{m-1}\}$ .

- state  $q_0: m * k + 0$  $m * k \mid 0 \Rightarrow 2 * (5k) + 0 = m * k + 0 \text{ (on } 0, \text{ we go to } q_0)$  $m * k \mid 1 \Rightarrow 2 * (5k) + 1 = m * k + 1 \text{ (on 1, we go to } q_1)$
- state  $q_1: k+1$  $m * k | 0 \Rightarrow 2 * 1 + 0 = 2$  (go to  $q_2$ )  $m * k | 1 \Rightarrow 2 * 1 + 1 = 3 \text{ (go to } q_3)$
- state  $q_{m-1}: k + (m-1)$  $m * k | 0 \Rightarrow 2 * (m-1) + 0$  $m * k | 1 \Rightarrow 2 * (m-1) + 1$

If your remainder is bigger than m, then you must modulo it!

#### 1.2 Nondeterministic finate automaton - NFA

is a 5-tuple  $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$  where:

- $Q, \Sigma, q_0, F$  read dfa
- $\delta$  is the transition function, i.e.  $\delta: Q \times \Sigma \to 2^Q$ That is  $\delta(q,a)$  is the set of all states p such that there is a transition labeled from a to p.

### **1.3 NFA** with epsilon moves - $NFA_{\epsilon}$

is a 5-tuple  $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$  where:

- $Q, \Sigma, q_0, F \text{ read } dfa$
- $\delta$  is the transition function, i.e.  $\delta: Q \times (\Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}) \to 2^Q$ That is  $\delta(q, a)$  is the *set* of all states p such that there is a transition labeled from a to p, where a is either a symbol in  $\Sigma$ or  $\epsilon$ .

 $\epsilon$ -closure defines which  $\epsilon$  transitions are allowed from a single state in a fa (set of states we can reach).

**exp.** NFA for  $L^c$ 

$$NFA(L) \to DFA(L) \to DFA(L^c)$$

Due to the properties of **DFA**, the complementation is applied just by switching final and non-final states of fa.

# Regular expressions

### 2.1 Regular operations

Let  $L_1, L_2$  be some regular languages. Then their

- union  $\rightarrow L_1 \cup L_2 = \{ \forall x : x \in L_1 \text{ or } x \in L_2 \}$
- concatenation  $\rightarrow L_1.L_2 = L_1L_2$
- kleene closure  $\rightarrow = L^*$

are also regular languages.

Regexp are equivalent with NFA.

**2.2 Pumping lemma for regular languages** Let R be a class of regular languages. Then language  $L \in \mathbb{R} \to \exists n > 0$ :

if we negate lemma, we can prove that some languages are irregular

#### 3 Context-free grammars

**3.1 Definition:** A context-free grammar (CFG) is a 4-tuple G = (V, T, P, S) where:

- V is a finite set of variables
- T is a finite set of terminals
- P is a finite set of productions each of which is of the form  $A \to \alpha$ , where  $A \in V$  and  $\alpha$  is a word in the language  $(V \cup T)^*$
- S is a special variable called the start symbol

Ambiguity: A CFG is said to be ambiguous if some word has more than one derivation tree.

exp. regex to CFG conversion Suppose we have a regex:  $a(ab)^*bb(aa+b)^*a$ Then we could model a CFG for it as:

- $S \rightarrow XYZUV$
- $\bullet X \to a$
- $Y \rightarrow aabY | \epsilon$
- $\bullet$   $Z \rightarrow bb$
- $U \rightarrow aaU|bU|\epsilon$
- $\bullet V \rightarrow a$

3.2 Pumping lemma for context-free languages Let L be a CFL.

$$\begin{split} \exists n > 0: \\ \forall z \in L, |z| \geq n: \\ \exists u, v, w, x, y: \ |vwx| \leq n, |vx| \geq 1 \\ z = uvwxy \rightarrow \forall i \geq 0: uv^i wx^i y \in L \end{split}$$

if we negate lemma, we can prove that some languages are not context-free.

$$\begin{aligned} \forall n > 0: \\ \exists z \in L, |z| \geq n: \\ \forall u, v, w, x, y: \ |vwx| \leq n, |vx| \geq 1 \\ z = uvwxy \rightarrow \exists i \geq 0: uv^i wx^i y \notin L \end{aligned}$$

#### Pushdown Automata 4

**4.1 Definition:** A pushdown automaton (PDA) is a 7 tuple  $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, Z_0, F)$  where:

- Q is a finite set of states
- $\Sigma$  is the input alphabet
- $\Gamma$  is the stack alphabet
- $q_0 \in Q$  is the *initial state*
- $Z_0 \in \Gamma$  is the start stack symbol
- $F \subseteq Q$  is the set of *final states*, and
- $\delta$  is the transition function
- i.e. a mapping from  $Q \times (\Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}) \times \Gamma$  to finite subsets of  $Q \times \Gamma^*$
- **4.2** Accepted languages of the PDA

For PDA  $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, Z_0, F)$  we define two languages:

- L(M), the language accepted by final state, to be  $L(M) = \{w \in \Sigma^* | (q_0, w, Z_0) \rightarrow^* (p, \epsilon, \gamma) \text{ for some } p \in F \text{ and } \gamma \in \Gamma^* \}$
- L(M), the language accepted by empty stack, to be  $N(M) = \{w \in \Sigma^* | (q_0, w, Z_0) \rightarrow^* (p, \epsilon, \epsilon); \text{ for some } p \in Q\}$

# 5 Touring Machines

**5.1 Definition:** A basic Toruing Machine (TM) is a 7-tuple  $M = \{Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, B, F\}$  where:

- $\bullet$  Q is a finite set of states
- $\Sigma$  is the input alphabet
- $\Gamma$  is the tape alphabet  $B \in \Gamma \Longrightarrow \Sigma \subseteq \Gamma$
- $\bullet$   $\delta$  is the transition function
- $q_0$  is the *initial state* and,
- $F \subseteq Q$ : is the set of final states

#### 5.2 TM modifications:

- Finite storage  $\Rightarrow \delta: Q \times \Gamma \times \Gamma^k \to Q \times \Gamma \times \{L, R, S\} \times \Gamma^k$
- Multiple track tape  $\Rightarrow \delta: Q \times \Gamma^{tk} \to Q \times \Gamma^{tk} \times \{L, R, S\}$
- • Two-way infinite tape ⇒  $\delta:Q\times\Gamma\to Q\times\Gamma\times\{L,R,S\}$
- Multiple tapes  $\Rightarrow \delta: Q \times \Gamma^{tp} \to Q \times (\Gamma \times \{L, R, S\})^{tp}$
- Multidimensional tape  $\Rightarrow$   $\delta$  :  $Q \times \Gamma \rightarrow Q \times \Gamma \times \{L_1, R_1, \dots, L_d, R_d, S\}$

### 5.3 Universal Touring Machine (UTM)

is a TM, that accepts some *Touring machine*  $\mathbf{M}$  description and a word  $\mathbf{w}$ . The universal TM then decides if  $w \in L(M)$ .

[TM description|w]  $111 < q_1 > 11 < q_2 > 11 \dots 11 < q_k > 111w$ 

- $\rightarrow$  language L is **semi-decidable**, if there exists a TM, which:
- for every  $w \in L$ , TM halts in a final state
  - $\rightarrow$  language L is **decidable**, if there exists a TM, which:

for every  $w \in L$ , TM halts in a final state

for every  $w \notin L$ , TM halts in a non-final state

- $\rightarrow$  language L is **undecidable**, if it is not decidable.
- 5.4 Theorems for sets

Let S, A, B be arbitrary sets. Then:

- S is  $decidable \Rightarrow S$  is semi-decidable
- S is  $decidable \Rightarrow \overline{S}$  is decidable
- S and  $\overline{S}$  are semi-decidable  $\Rightarrow$  S is decidable
- A and B are  $semi\text{-}decidable \Rightarrow A \cap B \& A \cup B$  are semi-decidable
- A and B are decidable  $\Rightarrow A \cap B \& A \cup B$  are decidable

### 5.5 Known languages:

- Diagonalizable language  $\to L_d = \{ < M > | < M > \notin L(M) \}$  undecidable / not semi-decidable.
- Universal language  $\to L_u = \{(\langle M \rangle, w) | w \in L(M)\}$  semi-decidable, but not decidable.
- Empty language  $\rightarrow L_e\{\langle M \rangle | L(M) = \{\}\}$  undecidable
- Non-Empty language  $\to L_{ne} = \{ \langle M \rangle | L(M) \neq \{ \} \}$  semi-decidable, but not decidable.

### 5.6 Rice's theorem for (not)semi-decidabilty:

- 1.  $L \in S \land L \subseteq L' \Rightarrow L' \in S$
- 2.  $L \in S \land L \ infinite \Rightarrow \exists L' \subseteq L : L \in S, \ L' \ finite$
- 3. innumerability of final sets in S

### $(1) \land (2) \land (3) \Leftrightarrow L_s$ is semi-decidable

# 6 Coplexity classes

## 6.1 In terms of formal languages:

- DTIME $(T(n)) = \{L | L \text{ is a language } \land L \text{ has time complexity } T(n)\}$
- DSPACE $(S(n)) = \{L | L \text{ is a language } \land L \text{ has space complexity } S(n)\}$
- NTIME $(T(n)) = \{L | L \text{ is a language } \land L \text{ has nondet. time complexity } T(n)\}$
- DSPACE(S(n)) = {L| L is a language  $\land$  L has nondet. space complexity S(n)}

### 6.2 In terms of decision problems:

- DTIME $(T(n)) = \{D | D \text{ is a decision problem } \land L(D) \text{ has time complexity } T(n)\}$
- DSPACE(S(n)) = {D| D is a decision problem  $\land$  L(D) has space complexity S(n)}
- NTIME $(T(n)) = \{D | D \text{ is a decision problem } \land L(D) \text{ has non-det. time complexity } T(n) \}$
- DSPACE $(S(n)) = \{D | D \text{ is a decision problem } \land L(D) \text{ has nondet. space complexity } S(n)\}$

#### 6.3 Relations between different complexity classes:

- DTIME $(T(n)) \subseteq DSPACE(T(n))$  i.e. What can be solved in time O(T(n)), can also be solved on space O(T(n))
- $L \in \mathrm{DSPACE}(S(n)) \land S(n) \geq \log_2 n \Rightarrow \exists c : L \in DTIME(c^{S(n)})$  i.e. What can be solved nondeterministically in space O(S(n)), can be solved deterministically in (at most) time  $O(c^{S(n)})$
- $L \in NTIME(T(n)) \Rightarrow \exists c : L \in DTIME(c^{T(n)})$  i.e What can be solved nondeterminstically in time O(T(n)), can be solved deterministically in (at most) time  $O(c^{T(n)})$
- Consequentely, the substitution of nondeterministic algorithm with a deterministic one causes at most *exponential* increase in the **time** required to (deterministically) solve a problem.
- $NSPACE(S(n)) \subseteq DSPACE(S^2(n))$ , if  $S(n) \ge log_2 n \land S(n)$  is "well behaved" i.e What can be solved nondeterministically on space O(S(n)), can also be solved deterministically on space  $O(S^2(n))$  Consequentely, the substitution of nondeterministic algorithm with a deterministic one causes at most quadratic increase in the space required to (deterministically) solve a problem.

### 6.4 Define P, NP, PSPACE, NPSPACE:

- $P = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} \text{DTIME}(n^i)$  is the class of all decision problems **deterministically** solvable in *polynomial time*.
- $NP = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} \text{ NTIME}(n^i)$  is the class of all decision problems nondeterministically solvable in *polynomial time*.
- $PSPACE = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} DSPACE(n^i)$  is the class of all decision problems **deterministically** solvable on *polynomial space*.
- $NPSPACE = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} NSPACE(n^i)$  is the class of all decision problems **nondeterministically** solvable on *polynomial space*.

### 6.5 Relations between P, NP, PSPACE, NPSPACE:

$$P\subseteq NP\subseteq PSPACE=NPSPACE$$

Proof:

- $P \subseteq NP \to \text{Every deterministic TM of polynomial time complexity can be viewed as a (trivial) nondeterministic TM of the same complexity.$
- NP  $\subseteq$  PSPACE  $\to$  If  $L \in NP$ , then  $\exists k$  such that  $L \in NTIME(n^k)$ . So  $L \in NSPACE(n^k)$ , and hence  $L \in DSPACE(n^{2k})$ . Therefore  $L \in PSPACE$ .
- (PSPACE = NPSPACE)  $\rightarrow$  Trivially, PSPACE  $\subseteq$  NPSPACE. The opposite direction: NPSPACE = (def) =  $\cup$  NSPACE( $n^i$ )  $\subseteq$  (by Savitch)  $\subseteq \cup$  DSPACE( $n^j$ )  $\subseteq$  PSPACE