

# 1 Finite Automata

## 1.1 Deterministic finite automaton - DFA

is a 5-tuple  $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$  where:

- $Q$  is a finite set of *states*,
- $\Sigma$  is a finite *input alphabet*
- $q_0 \in Q$  is the *initial state*,
- $F \subseteq Q$  is the set of *final states*, and
- $\delta$  is the *transition function*, i.e.  $\delta : Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow Q$   
For each state, there must be a transition for every input symbol out of  $\Sigma$ .

**exp.** Dfa for finding modulo of binary numbers

Suppose our modulo is  $m$ . Then for every possible remainder, there must be a state in fa  $\{q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{m-1}\}$ .

- state  $q_0 : m * k + 0$   
 $m * k \mid 0 \Rightarrow 2 * (5k) + 0 = m * k + 0$  (on 0, we go to  $q_0$ )  
 $m * k \mid 1 \Rightarrow 2 * (5k) + 1 = m * k + 1$  (on 1, we go to  $q_1$ )
- state  $q_1 : k + 1$   
 $m * k \mid 0 \Rightarrow 2 * 1 + 0 = 2$  (go to  $q_2$ )  
 $m * k \mid 1 \Rightarrow 2 * 1 + 1 = 3$  (go to  $q_3$ )
- state  $q_{m-1} : k + (m - 1)$   
 $m * k \mid 0 \Rightarrow 2 * (m - 1) + 0$   
 $m * k \mid 1 \Rightarrow 2 * (m - 1) + 1$

If your remainder is bigger than  $m$ , then you must modulo it!

## 1.2 Nondeterministic finite automaton - NFA

is a 5-tuple  $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$  where:

- $Q, \Sigma, q_0, F$  read dfa
- $\delta$  is the *transition function*, i.e.  $\delta : Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow 2^Q$   
That is  $\delta(q, a)$  is the *set* of all states  $p$  such that there is a transition labeled from  $a$  to  $p$ .

## 1.3 NFA with epsilon moves - $NFA_\epsilon$

is a 5-tuple  $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$  where:

- $Q, \Sigma, q_0, F$  read dfa
- $\delta$  is the *transition function*, i.e.  $\delta : Q \times (\Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}) \rightarrow 2^Q$   
That is  $\delta(q, a)$  is the *set* of all states  $p$  such that there is a transition labeled from  $a$  to  $p$ , where  $a$  is either a symbol in  $\Sigma$  or  $\epsilon$ .

$\epsilon$ -closure defines which  $\epsilon$  transitions are allowed from a single state in a fa (set of states we can reach).

**exp.** NFA for  $L^c$

$$NFA(L) \rightarrow DFA(L) \rightarrow DFA(L^c)$$

Due to the properties of **DFA**, the complementation is applied just by switching final and non-final states of fa.

# 2 Regular expressions

## 2.1 Regular operations

Let  $L_1, L_2$  be some regular languages. Then their

- **union**  $\rightarrow L_1 \cup L_2 = \{\forall x : x \in L_1 \text{ or } x \in L_2\}$
- **concatenation**  $\rightarrow L_1.L_2 = L_1L_2$
- **kleene closure**  $\rightarrow L^*$

are also regular languages.

Regexp are equivalent with NFA.

**2.2 Pumping lemma for regular languages** Let  $R$  be a class of regular languages. Then language  $L \in R \rightarrow \exists n > 0 :$

$$\forall z \in L, |z| \geq n :$$

$$\exists u, v, w : |uv| \leq n, |v| \geq 1, z = uvw \rightarrow \forall i \geq 0 : uv^i w \in L$$

if we negate lemma, we can prove that some languages are irregular

$$\forall n > 0 : \exists z \in L, |z| \geq n$$

$$\forall u, v, w : |uv| \leq n, |v| \geq 1, z = uvw \rightarrow \exists i \geq 0 : uv^i w \notin L \Rightarrow L \notin R$$

# 3 Context-free grammars

## 3.1 Definition: A context-free grammar (CFG)

is a 4-tuple  $G = (V, T, P, S)$  where:

- $V$  is a finite set of *variables*
- $T$  is a finite set of *terminals*
- $P$  is a finite set of *productions*  
each of which is of the form  $A \rightarrow \alpha$ ,  
where  $A \in V$  and  $\alpha$  is a word in the language  $(V \cup T)^*$
- $S$  is a special variable called the *start symbol*

**Ambiguity:** A CFG is said to be ambiguous if some word has more than one derivation tree.

**exp.** regex to CFG conversion

Suppose we have a regex:  $a(ab)^*bb(aa+b)^*a$

Then we could model a CFG for it as:

- $S \rightarrow XYZUV$
- $X \rightarrow a$
- $Y \rightarrow abY|\epsilon$
- $Z \rightarrow bb$
- $U \rightarrow aaU|bU|\epsilon$
- $V \rightarrow a$

**3.2 Pumping lemma for context-free languages** Let  $L$  be a CFL.

$$\exists n > 0 :$$

$$\forall z \in L, |z| \geq n :$$

$$\exists u, v, w, x, y : |vwx| \leq n, |vx| \geq 1 \\ z = uvwxy \rightarrow \forall i \geq 0 : uv^iwx^iy \in L$$

if we negate lemma, we can prove that some languages are not context-free.

$$\forall n > 0 :$$

$$\exists z \in L, |z| \geq n :$$

$$\forall u, v, w, x, y : |vwx| \leq n, |vx| \geq 1 \\ z = uvwxy \rightarrow \exists i \geq 0 : uv^iwx^iy \notin L$$

# 4 Pushdown Automata

## 4.1 Definition: A pushdown automaton (PDA)

is a 7 tuple  $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, Z_0, F)$  where:

- $Q$  is a finite set of *states*
- $\Sigma$  is the *input alphabet*
- $\Gamma$  is the *stack alphabet*
- $q_0 \in Q$  is the *initial state*
- $Z_0 \in \Gamma$  is the *start stack symbol*
- $F \subseteq Q$  is the set of *final states*, and
- $\delta$  is the *transition function*  
i.e. a mapping from  $Q \times (\Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}) \times \Gamma$  to finite subsets of  $Q \times \Gamma^*$   
 $\rightarrow 2^{Q \times \Gamma^*}$

## 4.2 Accepted languages of the PDA

For PDA  $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, Z_0, F)$  we define two languages:

- $L(M)$ , the *language accepted by final state*, to be  
 $L(M) = \{w \in \Sigma^* \mid (q_0, w, Z_0) \rightarrow^* (p, \epsilon, \gamma)\}$   
for some  $p \in F$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma^*$
- $L(M)$ , the *language accepted by empty stack*, to be  
 $N(M) = \{w \in \Sigma^* \mid (q_0, w, Z_0) \rightarrow^* (p, \epsilon, \epsilon); \text{ for some } p \in Q\}$

## 5 Touring Machines

**5.1 Definition:** A basic Touring Machine (TM)

is a 7-tuple  $M = \{Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, B, F\}$  where:

- $Q$  is a finite set of *states*
- $\Sigma$  is the *input alphabet*
- $\Gamma$  is the *tape alphabet*  $B \in \Gamma \Rightarrow \Sigma \subseteq \Gamma$
- $\delta$  is the *transition function*
- $q_0$  is the *initial state* and,
- $F \subseteq Q$ : is the set of *final states*

### 5.2 TM modifications:

- Finite storage  $\Rightarrow \delta : Q \times \Gamma \times \Gamma^k \rightarrow Q \times \Gamma \times \{L, R, S\} \times \Gamma^k$
- Multiple track tape  $\Rightarrow \delta : Q \times \Gamma^{tk} \rightarrow Q \times \Gamma^{tk} \times \{L, R, S\}$
- Two-way infinite tape  $\Rightarrow \delta : Q \times \Gamma \rightarrow Q \times \Gamma \times \{L, R, S\}$
- Multiple tapes  $\Rightarrow \delta : Q \times \Gamma^{tp} \rightarrow Q \times (\Gamma \times \{L, R, S\})^{tp}$
- Multidimensional tape  $\Rightarrow \delta : Q \times \Gamma \rightarrow Q \times \Gamma \times \{L_1, R_1, \dots, L_d, R_d, S\}$

### 5.3 Universal Touring Machine (UTM)

is a TM, that accepts some *Touring machine*  $\mathbf{M}$  description and a *word*  $\mathbf{w}$ . The universal TM then decides if  $w \in L(M)$ .

$[TM \text{ description} | w] 111 < q_1 > 11 < q_2 > 11 \dots 11 < q_k > 111w$

$\rightarrow$  language  $L$  is **semi-decidable**, if there exists a TM, which:

for every  $w \in L$ , TM halts in a final state

$\rightarrow$  language  $L$  is **decidable**, if there exists a TM, which:

for every  $w \in L$ , TM halts in a final state

for every  $w \notin L$ , TM halts in a non-final state

$\rightarrow$  language  $L$  is **undecidable**, if it is not decidable.

### 5.4 Theorems for sets

Let  $S, A, B$  be arbitrary sets. Then:

- $S$  is *decidable*  $\Rightarrow S$  is *semi-decidable*
- $S$  is *decidable*  $\Rightarrow \bar{S}$  is *decidable*
- $S$  and  $\bar{S}$  are *semi-decidable*  $\Rightarrow S$  is *decidable*
- $A$  and  $B$  are *semi-decidable*  $\Rightarrow A \cap B$  &  $A \cup B$  are *semi-decidable*
- $A$  and  $B$  are *decidable*  $\Rightarrow A \cap B$  &  $A \cup B$  are *decidable*

### 5.5 Three possibilities for set complementation:

- $S$  and  $\bar{S}$  are *decidable*
- $S$  and  $\bar{S}$  are *undecidable*, one is semi, and the other is not.
- $S$  and  $\bar{S}$  are *undecidable*, and neither is semi-decidable.

### 5.6 Known languages:

- *Diagonalizable language*  $\rightarrow L_d = \{\langle M \rangle \mid \langle M \rangle \notin L(M)\}$  - undecidable / not semi-decidable.
- *Universal language*  $\rightarrow L_u = \{\langle M \rangle, w \mid w \in L(M)\}$  - semi-decidable, but not decidable.
- *Empty language*  $\rightarrow L_e = \{\langle M \rangle \mid L(M) = \{\}\}$  - undecidable
- *Non-Empty language*  $\rightarrow L_{ne} = \{\langle M \rangle \mid L(M) \neq \{\}\}$  - semi-decidable, but not decidable.

### 5.6 Rice's theorem for (not)semi-decidability:

1.  $L \in S \wedge L \subseteq L' \Rightarrow L' \in S$
2.  $L \in S \wedge L \text{ infinite} \Rightarrow \exists L' \subseteq L : L' \in S, L' \text{ finite}$
3. innumerability of final sets in  $S$

$$(1) \wedge (2) \wedge (3) \Leftrightarrow L_s \text{ is semi-decidable}$$

## 6 Complexity classes

### 6.1 In terms of formal languages:

- $DTIME(T(n)) = \{L \mid L \text{ is a language} \wedge L \text{ has time complexity } T(n)\}$
- $DSPACE(S(n)) = \{L \mid L \text{ is a language} \wedge L \text{ has space complexity } S(n)\}$
- $NTIME(T(n)) = \{L \mid L \text{ is a language} \wedge L \text{ has nondet. time complexity } T(n)\}$
- $DSPACE(S(n)) = \{L \mid L \text{ is a language} \wedge L \text{ has nondet. space complexity } S(n)\}$

### 6.2 In terms of decision problems:

- $DTIME(T(n)) = \{D \mid D \text{ is a decision problem} \wedge L(D) \text{ has time complexity } T(n)\}$
- $DSPACE(S(n)) = \{D \mid D \text{ is a decision problem} \wedge L(D) \text{ has space complexity } S(n)\}$
- $NTIME(T(n)) = \{D \mid D \text{ is a decision problem} \wedge L(D) \text{ has nondet. time complexity } T(n)\}$
- $DSPACE(S(n)) = \{D \mid D \text{ is a decision problem} \wedge L(D) \text{ has nondet. space complexity } S(n)\}$

### 6.3 Relations between different complexity classes:

- $DTIME(T(n)) \subseteq DSPACE(T(n))$  i.e. What can be solved in time  $O(T(n))$ , can also be solved on space  $O(T(n))$
  - $L \in DSPACE(S(n)) \wedge S(n) \geq \log_2 n \Rightarrow \exists c : L \in DTIME(c^{S(n)})$  i.e. What can be solved nondeterministically in space  $O(S(n))$ , can be solved deterministically in (at most) time  $O(c^{S(n)})$
  - $L \in NTIME(T(n)) \Rightarrow \exists c : L \in DTIME(c^{T(n)})$  i.e. What can be solved nondeterministically in time  $O(T(n))$ , can be solved deterministically in (at most) time  $O(c^{T(n)})$
- Consequently, the substitution of nondeterministic algorithm with a deterministic one causes at most *exponential* increase in the **time** required to (deterministically) solve a problem.
- $NSPACE(S(n)) \subseteq DSPACE(S^2(n))$ , if  $S(n) \geq \log_2 n \wedge S(n)$  is "well behaved" i.e. What can be solved nondeterministically on space  $O(S(n))$ , can also be solved deterministically on space  $O(S^2(n))$
- Consequently, the substitution of nondeterministic algorithm with a deterministic one causes at most *quadratic* increase in the **space** required to (deterministically) solve a problem.

### 6.4 Define P, NP, PSPACE, NPSPACE:

- $P = \cup_{i \geq 1} DTIME(n^i)$  is the class of all decision problems **deterministically** solvable in *polynomial time*.
- $NP = \cup_{i \geq 1} NTIME(n^i)$  is the class of all decision problems **nondeterministically** solvable in *polynomial time*.
- $PSPACE = \cup_{i \geq 1} DSPACE(n^i)$  is the class of all decision problems **deterministically** solvable on *polynomial space*.
- $NPSPACE = \cup_{i \geq 1} NSPACE(n^i)$  is the class of all decision problems **nondeterministically** solvable on *polynomial space*.

### 6.5 Relations between P, NP, PSPACE, NPSPACE:

$$P \subseteq NP \subseteq PSPACE = NPSPACE$$

Proof:

- $P \subseteq NP \rightarrow$  Every deterministic TM of polynomial time complexity can be viewed as a (trivial) nondeterministic TM of the same complexity.
- $NP \subseteq PSPACE \rightarrow$  If  $L \in NP$ , then  $\exists k$  such that  $L \in NTIME(n^k)$ . So  $L \in NSPACE(n^k)$ , and hence  $L \in DSPACE(n^{2k})$ . Therefore  $L \in PSPACE$ .
- $(PSPACE = NPSPACE) \rightarrow$  Trivially,  $PSPACE \subseteq NPSPACE$ . The opposite direction:  $NPSPACE = (\text{def}) = \cup NSPACE(n^i) \subseteq$  (by Savitch)  $\subseteq \cup DSPACE(n^j) \subseteq PSPACE$

### 6.6 NP-complete & NP-hard problems

**NP-hard:**  $D \leq^p D^*$ , for every  $D \in NP$ .

**NP-complete:**  $D^* \in NP \wedge D \leq^p D^*$ , for every  $D \in NP$ .

Hence,  $D^*$  is NP-complete if  $D^*$  is in NP and  $D^*$  is NP-hard.