

Math 253y - Symplectic Manifolds and Lagrangian Submanifolds

Taught by Denis Auroux
Notes by Dongryul Kim

Fall 2018

⌋+instructor+⌋ ⌋+meetingtimes+⌋ ⌋+textbook+⌋ ⌋+enrolled+⌋ ⌋+grading+⌋
⌋+courseassistants+⌋

Contents

1	September 4, 2018	2
1.1	Overview I	2
2	September 6, 2018	5
2.1	Overview II	5
2.2	Symplectic manifolds	6
3	September 11, 2018	8
3.1	Hamiltonian vector fields	8
3.2	Moser's theorem	9
3.3	Darboux's theorem	10
4	September 13, 2018	12
4.1	Lagrangian neighborhood theorem	12
4.2	Hamiltonian group actions	13

1 September 4, 2018

The main goal of this class is to learn symplectic manifolds, Lagrangian submanifolds, pseudo-holomorphic curves, Floer homology, Fukaya categories, etc. This is not a beginning course on symplectic geometry. For people who do need grade, I will try to have homeworks.

1.1 Overview I

Let us start with reviewing basic symplectic geometry.

Definition 1.1. A **symplectic manifold** (M^{2n}, ω) is an even-dimensional manifold with $\omega \in \Omega^2(M)$ that is closed, i.e., $d\omega = 0$, and non-degenerate, i.e., $\omega : TM \cong T^*M$. This non-degenerate condition is equivalent to $\omega^n \neq 0$.

Example 1.2. Oriented surfaces with an area form, are symplectic manifolds. In higher dimension, there is $M = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ with the canonical symplectic form $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^n dx_i \wedge dy_i$. You can also take the cotangent bundle $M = T^*N$ of an arbitrary manifold N . Here, there is

$$\omega = d\lambda, \quad \lambda = pdq$$

where q are coordinates on the base and p are coordinates on the fiber. This λ is called the **Liouville form**. Complex projective space $M = \mathbb{C}P^n$ has a Fubini–Kähler form, and so do smooth complex projective varieties.

Theorem 1.3 (Darboux). *For all $p \in M$, there exists a neighborhood p and local coordinates (x_i, y_i) such that $\omega = \sum_i dx_i \wedge dy_i$.*

So there are no local invariants. On the other hand, there is an obvious global invariant $[\omega] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$.

Theorem 1.4 (Moser). *If M is compact closed, and $(\omega_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ are a continuous symplectic family with $[\omega_t] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ independent of t , then there exists an isotopy $\varphi_t \in \text{Diff}(M)$ such that $\varphi_t^* \omega_t = \omega_0$. In particular, $\varphi_1 : (M, \omega_0) \cong (M, \omega_1)$.*

In this field, the group of symplectomorphisms is very large. Inside $\text{Symp}(M, \omega)$, there is a subgroup

$\text{Ham}(M, \omega) = \text{flows of (time-dependent) Hamiltonian vector fields.}$

Given any $H \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$, there exists a unique vector field X_H such that $\omega(X_H, -) = -dH$. This vector field preserves the symplectic form, because

$$\mathcal{L}_{X_H} \omega = d\iota_{X_H} \omega + \iota_{X_H} d\omega = 0$$

by the Cartan formula.

Lagrangian submanifolds are your savior if you think there are no interesting thing to do, due to Darboux's theorem.

Definition 1.5. A **Lagrangian submanifold** is $L^n \subseteq M^{2n}$ such that $\omega|_L = 0$.

Example 1.6. In $(\mathbb{R}_{x_i, y_i}^{2n}, \omega_0)$, the space $\mathbb{R}_{x_i}^n$ is a Lagrangian submanifold. On a surface, any simple closed curve is a Lagrangian submanifold. In T^*N , there is the zero section, and there are also the cotangent fibers. In \mathbb{R}^{2n} , the product $\prod S^1(r_i)$ is Lagrangian. More generally, the T^n -orbits in a toric symplectic manifold is Lagrangian.

Observe that $(TL)^\perp = TL$. So we get an isomorphism

$$NL = (TM|_L)/TL \rightarrow T^*L; \quad [v] \mapsto \omega(v, -)|_{TL}.$$

Theorem 1.7 (Weinstein). *A neighborhood of L in M is symplectomorphic to a neighborhood of the zero section in T^*L .*

So deformations of $L \subseteq M$ corresponds to sections of T^*L . But for $\alpha \in \Omega^1(L)$, its graph is Lagrangian if and only if α is closed. Moreover, the deformation is an Hamiltonian isotopy if and only if α is exact. This leads to the notion of a flux, lying in $H^1(L, \mathbb{R})$. For $L = S^1$, this is equal to the area swept, and it vanishes if and only if the isotopy is Hamiltonian.

What kinds of Lagrangian submanifolds L exist in a given symplectic manifold (M, ω) ? For example, on a oriented surface, Lagrangians are simple closed curves. To consider Hamiltonian isotopies, you need to keep track of the area swept.

Conjecture 1.8 (Arnold, nearby). *Let N be a closed manifold. In its cotangent bundle, look at closed exact $L \subseteq T^*N$. Then L is Hamiltonian isotopic to the zero section.*

What is exact? Recall that $\omega = d\lambda$. Then L is Lagrangian if and only if $\lambda|_L$ is closed. We say that L is exact Lagrangian if and only if $\lambda|_L = df$ is exact. If we know that L is a section, it is easy. The conjecture is proved for T^*S^1 , which is easy, and for T^*S^2 (2013) and T^*T^2 (2016). On the other hand, the homology was known for a bit longer.

Theorem 1.9 (Abouzaid–Kragh, 2016). *Let $L \subseteq T^*N$ be a closed exact Lagrangian, and consider the projection $\pi_L : L \rightarrow N$. Then π_L is a (simple) homotopy equivalence.*

What about in \mathbb{R}^4 ? Let us look at closed compact Lagrangians $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^4$. If L is orientable, then $L \cong T^2$. (This is because the normal bundle is isomorphic to the cotangent bundle, and then you do some computations.) If L is not orientable, we should have $\chi(L) < 0$ and divisible by 4. (The Klein bottle was excluded by Nemirovski in 2006.) So these problems are surprisingly hard. All known Lagrangian tori in \mathbb{R}^4 are Hamiltonian isotopic to

- a product torus $S^1(r_1) \times S^1(r_2)$,
- Chekanov (v1990) torus $T_{\text{Ch}}(r)$.

But we don't know if these are all.

The manifold \mathbb{R}^{2n} is a cotangent bundle, so we can talk about exact Lagrangians. It is a theorem of Gromov that there is no closed exact Lagrangian in \mathbb{R}^{2n} . If L is exact, then any disk bound by L has zero area. But Gromov showed that $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ must bound holomorphic discs, and these have positive area. The next best are monotone Lagrangians. These are such that the symplectic area of a disc bound by L is positively proportional to its Maslov index.

In $\mathbb{C}P^2$, which is a toric manifold, we know about product tori. Monotone ones are $\{(x : y : 1) : |x| = |y| = 1\}$. There is also the Chekanov monotone torus, which bounds more holomorphic discs. In 2014, R. Vianna showed that there are infinitely many types of monotone Lagrangian tori.

In \mathbb{R}^6 , there is a result of Fukaya that states that monotone closed Lagrangians must be diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times \Sigma_g$. On the other hand, there is a construction due to Ekholm–Evashberg–Murphy–Smith 2013 that any $N\#(S^1 \times S_2)$ has a Lagrangian embedding into \mathbb{R}^6 .

Theorem 1.10. *There exist infinitely many different families of monotone Lagrangian $T^3 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^6$.*

All known ones are Lagrangian isotopic to product tori. In \mathbb{R}^8 , there exist knotted monotone Lagrangian tori T^4 . There is a bunch of complicated results like this.

2 September 6, 2018

Last time I started with an overview of all the things that will appear in the class.

2.1 Overview II

The latter part of the course will use J -holomorphic curves to study Lagrangians. These are key tools to study Lagrangians in modern symplectic geometry. In general, there is no reason for a symplectic manifold to carry a complex structure. But they carry almost-complex structures $J : TM \rightarrow TM$ with $J^2 = -1$ compatible with the symplectic structure. Here, compatibility means that $\omega(-, J-)$ is a Riemannian metric. The choice is contractible, so it is not too important.

Definition 2.1. A J -holomorphic curve is a smooth map from a Riemann surface

$$u : (\Sigma, j) \rightarrow (M, J)$$

that satisfy $\bar{\partial}_J u = 0$, i.e., $J \circ du = du \circ j$.

The Riemann surface Σ can have boundaries, and then we still require that the boundary of Σ maps to a given Lagrangian in M . The space of maps will have finite expected dimension, because $\bar{\partial}$ is Fredholm. Using an index theorem, we can compute the dimension of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(\Sigma, J, [u])$, where $[u]$ is the homology. Then Gromov compactness says that this moduli space has a suitable compactification. The area of the J -holomorphic curve with respect to the metric g is equal to the symplectic area, which is $\langle [\omega], [u] \rangle$.

Once we have this notion, we can define Lagrangian Floer (co)homology, invented by Floer. Given two Lagrangians L_1, L_2 , we will define a chain complex and define

$$HF(L_1, L_2) = H^*(CF(L_1, L_2), \partial).$$

The chain complex is going to be the vector space generated by $L_1 \cap L_2$. We might ask what the coefficient of q in ∂p is. This is going to be a weighted count of J -holomorphic

$$u : \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow M$$

such that the ends go to q and p . What Floer showed is that if L_i do not bound any holomorphic discs (for instance, the exact case) then $\partial^2 = 0$ and the cohomology is invariant under Hamiltonian isotopies and of J . Moreover, $HF(L, L) \cong H^*(L)$. There is no grading by default, but when they exist, it is going to be a graded isomorphism.

Corollary 2.2. If L does not bound discs, $\#(L, \psi(L)) \geq \dim H^*(L)$ where $\psi \in \text{Ham}(M, \omega)$ and $\psi(L) \pitchfork L$.

Example 2.3. Consider the cylinder and L_1 a circle. Suppose we push it around with a Hamiltonian isotopy and get L_2 , with two intersections p, q . Then we have

$$\partial p = q - q = 0,$$

and then $HF(L_1, L_2) = CF = H^*(S^1)$.

Example 2.4. Consider the same L_1 , but now let L_2 a boundary of a small disc passing L_1 . Let the intersection by p, q . In this case,

$$\partial p = (\cdots)q, \quad \partial q = (\cdots)p,$$

and so $\partial^2 \neq 0$.

Near the end of the course, we will talk about other disc-counting invariants, e.g., distinguishing exotic monotone Lagrangians by counting holomorphic discs. Then we will also talk about Fukaya categories. This is a way to package all the Lagrangians with intersections in one category. The objects are (nice) Lagrangian submanifolds, with extra data, with morphisms given by Floer complexes and differentials. Composition

$$CF(L_2, L_3) \otimes CF(L_1, L_2) \rightarrow CF(L_1, L_3)$$

is given by counting holomorphic discs bound by L_1, L_2, L_3 . This is really an A_∞ -category. The reason this language is useful is because the category can be generated by some Lagrangians we are familiar with.

2.2 Symplectic manifolds

A reference for this is *Lectures on symplectic geometry* by A. Cannas da Silva, and *Introduction to symplectic topology* by McDuff-Salamon. Recall that a **symplectic manifold** is a manifold (M^{2n}, ω) equipped with a real 2-form ω that is closed and non-degenerate. This also gives a map $\omega_x : T_x M \rightarrow T_x^* M$. Also, $\omega^{\wedge n}$ is nonzero, so we get a top exterior form.

Example 2.5. Here are some examples:

- An oriented surface M with an area form.
- Euclidean space $M = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ with $\omega = \sum_i dx_i \wedge dy_i$. Actually, every nondegenerate skew-symmetric bilinear form on a vector space always looks like this. There are other symplectic structures on \mathbb{R}^{2n} but these are because something interesting happens at infinity.
- Take $M = T^*N$, and $\omega = d\lambda$. In local coordinates, take (q_1, \dots, q_n) coordinates on N , and take p_1, \dots, p_n dual coordinates on the fiber. Then consider $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i dq_i$, so that $\omega = \sum dp_i \wedge dq_i$. This λ is independent on coordinates, and you can check this. Or you can intrinsically define λ as

$$\lambda_{(x, \xi)}(v) = \langle \xi, d\pi(v) \rangle$$

where $\pi : T^*N \rightarrow N$ is the projection.

- Products of symplectic manifolds are $M_1 \times M_2$ with symplectic form $\omega_1 \oplus \omega_2 = \pi_1^* \omega_1 + \pi_2^* \omega_2$.
- Symplectic submanifolds $V \subseteq W$ are submanifolds with $\omega|_{TV}$ is nondegenerate.

Try to think about for which n the sphere S^{2n} has a symplectic form.

A **Lagrangian submanifold** is $L^n \subseteq (M^{2n}, \omega)$ such that $\omega|_L = 0$. In this case,

$$T_x L^{\perp \omega} = \{u \in T_x M : \omega(u, v) = 0 \text{ for all } v \in T_x L\} = T_x L$$

for dimension reasons.

Example 2.6. Again, here are examples.

- The zero section in T^*N .
- More generally, consider graphs of 1-forms $\alpha \in \omega^1(M, \mathbb{R})$. First, we note that

$$\text{graph}(\alpha) \subseteq T^*N \xrightarrow{\pi} N$$

is a diffeomorphism. Then the restriction of the Liouville form is tautologically

$$\lambda|_{\text{graph}(\alpha)} = \alpha \quad (\text{or rather, } \pi^* \alpha).$$

So $\text{graph}(\alpha)$ is Lagrangian if and only if $d\lambda|_{\text{graph}(\alpha)} = 0$ if and only if $d\alpha = 0$. (It is called an exact Lagrangian if and only if α is exact.)

- The conormal bundle to a smooth submanifold $V^k \subseteq N^n$ is defined as

$$N^*V = \{(x, \xi) : x \in V, \xi \in T_x^*N, \xi|_{T_x V} = 0\} \subseteq T^*N.$$

This is going to be a rank $n - k$ subbundle of $T^*N|_V$. Then $\lambda|_{N^*V} = 0$ because $d\pi(v) \in T_x V$ implies $\lambda(v) = \langle \xi, d\pi(v) \rangle = 0$. So N^*V are exact Lagrangians.

- Let $\varphi \in \text{Diff}(M)$ be a diffeomorphism. Consider

$$\text{graph}(\varphi) = \{(x, \varphi(x)) \in M^- \times M\}.$$

Here, M^- is the symplectic manifold with $-\omega$ instead of ω . Then $\varphi \in \text{Symp}(M, \omega)$ if and only if $\text{graph}(\varphi)$ is a Lagrangian in $M^- \times M$. This is because $i^* \hat{\omega} = -\omega + \varphi^* \omega$.

3 September 11, 2018

Today we will do some basic symplectic geometry.

3.1 Hamiltonian vector fields

Remember for (M, ω) a symplectic manifold and $H \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$, there exists a unique vector field X_H such that

$$\iota_{X_H} \omega = -dH.$$

Recall that given a time-dependent vector field V_t , the corresponding flow φ_t generated by this is a family of diffeomorphisms

$$\varphi_0(p) = p, \quad \frac{d}{dt}(\varphi_t(p)) = v_t(\varphi_t(p)).$$

Then it is a general fact that

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\varphi_t^* \alpha) = \varphi_t^*(L_{V_t} \alpha).$$

There is also Cartan's formula

$$L_v \alpha = d\iota_v \alpha + \iota_v d\alpha.$$

So given a Hamiltonian H_t , we get a flow of X_{H_t} and it satisfies

$$\varphi_t^* \omega = \omega$$

because

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\varphi_t^* \omega) = \varphi_t^*(L_{X_{H_t}} \omega) = \varphi_t^*(d\iota_{X_{H_t}} \omega + \iota_{X_{H_t}} d\omega) = \varphi_t^*(-dH_t + 0) = 0.$$

Definition 3.1. We define the group of **Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms** $\text{Ham}(M, \omega)$. (This is a group, because if we concatenate, we can reparametrize so that the flow is smooth at the boundary.)

Also note that $dH(X_H) = -\omega(X_H, X_H) = 0$. So the flow of H preserves the level sets of H .

Example 3.2. Here are some examples:

- Take \mathbb{R}^2 with $\omega_0 = dx \wedge dy = r dr \wedge d\theta$. Let us take the Hamiltonian $H = \frac{1}{2}r^2$. Then the Hamiltonian vector field is

$$X_H = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta},$$

which is rotation.

- On S^2 , consider the standard area form $\omega_0 = d\theta \wedge dz$. If we take the Hamiltonian $H = z$, then

$$X_H = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}.$$

These are examples of Hamiltonian S^1 -actions.

- Take $M = T^*N$ with coordinates (q, p) . Let us first consider $H = H(q)$, a Hamiltonian that factors through the projection $\pi : T^*N \rightarrow N$. It turns out that

$$X_H(q, p) = (0, -dH_{(q)}) \in T_{(q,p)}(T^*N).$$

On the other hand, we can give a Riemannian metric on N so that $TN \cong T^*N$. Consider $H = \frac{1}{2}|p|^2$. Then

$$X_H = \text{geodesic flow}.$$

If you couple these together $H = \frac{1}{2}|p|^2 + V(q)$, we will get the dynamics of a particle with potential V .

Hamiltonian vector fields are those with $\iota_X \omega = -dH$ is exact. A **symplectic vector field** is that with $\iota_X \omega$ is closed. The flow still preserves ω . Given a symplectic isotopy (φ_t) generated by a symplectic vector field V_t , we get the identity component in Symp , and actually $\pi_0 \text{Symp}$ is also very interesting.

To look at the difference between symplectic flows and Hamiltonian flows, we define

$$\text{Flux}(\varphi_t) = \int_0^1 [-\iota_{V_t} \omega] dt \in H^1(M, \mathbb{R}).$$

Any Hamiltonian isotopy has flux zero. For small enough isotopy, you can also show that a symplectic isotopy with zero flux can be made into a Hamiltonian isotopy.

The flux has a concrete interpretation. Given $\gamma : S^1 \rightarrow M$, the image $\varphi_t(\gamma)$ sweeps out a cylinder. Write $\Gamma(s, t) = \gamma_t(\gamma(s))$, and we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Gamma} \omega &= \int \Gamma^* \omega = \int_0^1 \int_{S^1} \omega \left(\frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial t} \right) ds dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_{S^1} -\iota_{V_t} \omega \left(\frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial x} \right) ds dt = \int_0^1 \langle [-\iota_{V_t} \omega], [\varphi_t(\gamma)] \rangle dt = \langle \text{Flux}, [\gamma] \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

3.2 Moser's theorem

All these theorems use what is called Moser's trick.

Theorem 3.3 (Moser's theorem). *Let M be a compact closed manifold, and let us say I have $(\omega_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ a smooth family of symplectic forms, such that $[\omega_t] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ is independent of t . Then there exists an isotopy $\varphi_t \in \text{Diff}(M)$ such that $\varphi_t^* \omega_t = \omega_0$. Hence φ_1 gives $(M, \omega_0) \cong (M, \omega_1)$.*

Proof. If we look at $\frac{d\omega_t}{dt}$, this is going to be exact because they all lie in the same cohomology class. So there exist 1-forms α_t such that

$$d\alpha_t = \frac{d\omega_t}{dt}.$$

Then there exists a smooth family α_t . (This requires an explicit version of Poincaré's lemma, and some partition of unity argument.) We now know that there exists a vector field X_t such that

$$\iota_{X_t}\omega_t = -\alpha_t.$$

Let us now take φ_t the flow generated by X_t . Then

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\varphi_t^*\omega_t) = \varphi_t^*\left(\mathcal{L}_{X_t}\omega_t + \frac{d\omega_t}{dt}\right) = \varphi_t^*\left(d\iota_{X_t}\omega_t + \frac{d\omega_t}{dt}\right) = 0$$

because $\iota_{X_t}\omega_t = -\alpha_t$ and $\frac{d\omega_t}{dt} = d\alpha_t$. \square

This is only for compact closed manifolds, but if don't assume this, you need to make assumptions at infinity. It is also not true that any two symplectic forms in the same cohomology class are isotopic in the same $[\omega]$. (This is different from the Kähler world, where you can just linearly interpolate.) For instance, McDuff has the following example. On $S^2 \times S^2 \times T^2$, we can take

$$\omega_0 = \pi_1^*\omega_{X^2} + \pi_2^*\omega_{S^2} + ds \wedge dt, \quad \omega_1 = \psi^*\omega_0.$$

Here, $\psi(z, w, s, t) = (z, R_{z,t}(w), s, t)$ where $R_{z,t}$ is the rotation by axis z with angle t . They are in the same cohomology class, but there does not exist a ω_t connecting them in this class. You can find the proof in McDuff's book on J -holomorphic curves (in something like section 9.7).

3.3 Darboux's theorem

Theorem 3.4 (Darboux's theorem). *For any $p \in (M, \omega)$, there exist local coordinates near p in which $\omega = \sum dx_i \wedge dy_i$.*

We need the following linear algebra fact.

Lemma 3.5. *We have $(T_p M, \omega_p) \cong (\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega_0)$ as a symplectic vector space.*

Proof. You build a standard basis e_i, f_j such that $\omega(e_i, f_i) = \delta_{ij}$ and $\omega(e_i, e_j) = \omega(f_i, f_j) = 0$. You first choose e_1 and then find f_1 such that $\omega(e_1, f_1) = 1$. Then look at the orthogonal to the span of e_1 and f_1 and continue by induction. \square

Using the standard basis on $T_p M$, we find local coordinates

$$\mathbb{R}^{2n} \supset U \xrightarrow{f} M$$

such that $\omega_1 = f^*\omega$ agrees with ω_0 at the origin. Define

$$\omega_t = (1-t)\omega_0 + t\omega_1.$$

Since non-degeneracy is an open condition, and $\omega_0 = \omega_1$ at 0, these are all symplectic on a neighborhood of 0. Shrink the domain U if necessary. Note that $\frac{d\omega_t}{dt} = \omega_1 - \omega_0$ is closed, hence exact. So define

$$d\alpha = d\omega_1 - d\omega.$$

We can assume that $\alpha = 0$ at the origin. But then, the first-order terms in α become constant forms in $d\alpha$, and this is zero. So we can discard these terms and assume that α vanishes to order 2 at the origin.

Let v_t be the vector field such that $\iota_{v_t}\omega_t = -\alpha$. Then $v_t(0) = 0$. Also, let φ_t be the flow of v_t , well-defined and staying inside U in a small neighborhood of 0. If we let Moser do its thing, we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\varphi_t^*\omega_t) = \varphi_t^*\left(d\iota_{v_t}\omega_t + \frac{d\omega_t}{dt}\right) = \varphi_t^*(-d\alpha + d\alpha) = 0.$$

Then we find that

$$(f \circ \varphi_1)^*\omega = \varphi_1^*(f^*\omega) = \varphi_1^*\omega_1 = \omega_0.$$

4 September 13, 2018

Homework 1 is under construction. We have talked about Moser and Darboux next time.

4.1 Lagrangian neighborhood theorem

Proposition 4.1. *If $L \subseteq M$ is a Lagrangian submanifold, then $NL \cong T^*L$.*

Proof. We have $TM|_L \cong T^*M|_L \rightarrow T^*L$, and the kernel is TL . So $TM/TL \cong NL \cong T^*L$. \square

Theorem 4.2 (Lagrangian neighborhood theorem, Weinstein). *If $L \subseteq M$ is a Lagrangian, then there exist neighborhoods U of L in (M, ω) and U_0 of the zero section in (T^*L, ω_0) , and a symplectomorphism*

$$\varphi : (U_0, \omega_0) \xrightarrow{\sim} (U, \omega)$$

that maps L as you think.

Proof. We first pick a complement to TL , i.e., a subbundle $N \subseteq TM|_L$ such that $TM|_L = TN \oplus TL$. Here, we can ensure N is a Lagrangian subbundle, for instance, by picking an ω -compatible metric. Now we can use the exponential map to build

$$\psi : T^*L \cong N \supseteq U_0 \rightarrow U \subseteq M$$

such that (i) ψ along the zero section is the inclusion, (ii) the pullback of the symplectic form $\psi^*\omega = \omega_1$ coincides with ω_0 at the zero section.

We are now in position to use Moser. Consider

$$\omega_t = (1 - t)\omega_0 + t\omega_1.$$

These are going to be exact symplectic forms on a neighborhood of the zero section. So we can take

$$\frac{d\omega_t}{dt} = \omega_1 - \omega_0 = d\alpha$$

for some 1-form α . In fact, we can choose α so that it vanishes at every point of the zero section (even to order 2). Let v_t to be the vector field corresponding to it, so that $\iota_{v_t}\omega_t = -\alpha$. Let φ_t be the flow generated by it. Then we have the customary calculation

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\varphi_t^*\omega_t) = 0.$$

Our final answer is then going to be $\varphi = \psi \circ \varphi_1$ (defined over some neighborhood of the zero section). \square

There also exist neighborhood theorems for symplectic submanifolds or isotropic manifolds. But they are not nice.

4.2 Hamiltonian group actions

A lot of construction comes with Hamiltonian group actions. Let us say we have a Lie group G . If G acts on M , it induces a map of Lie algebras

$$T_e G = \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M) = (\text{vector fields on } M); \quad \xi \mapsto X_\xi = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} (\exp(t\xi)x).$$

This is a Lie algebra homomorphism, that is, $X_{[\xi, \eta]} = [X_\xi, X_\eta]$.

Let us now look at actions which preserve ω ,

$$G \rightarrow \text{Symp}(M, \omega).$$

For instance, a symplectic S^1 -action are symplectic vector fields whose flow are 2π -periodic. We say that an S^1 -action is Hamiltonian if the vector field $X_{\partial/\partial\theta}$ is Hamiltonian. More generally, if I have an action of a torus T^k , we will need each S^1 factor to act in a Hamiltonian way. In this case, there exist k functions $H_1, \dots, H_k \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ such that $X_{\partial/\partial\theta_i} = X_{H_i}$. This is the notion of a moment map. This is a package that contains all the Hamiltonians for X_g , where $g \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Definition 4.3. We say that a G -action is **Hamiltonian** if there exists a **moment map** $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ with the following properties:

- (1) For all $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, let $H_\xi = \langle \mu, \xi \rangle : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then X_ξ is the Hamiltonian vector field generated by H_ξ .
- (2) The moment map μ is G -equivariant, i.e.,

$$\langle \mu(g \cdot x), \text{Ad}_g(\xi) \rangle = \langle \mu(x), \xi \rangle.$$

Note that if G is abelian, this is just $\mu(gx) = \mu(x)$. For $G = T^k$, we can call

$$\mu = (H_1, \dots, H_k) : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^* \cong \mathbb{R}^k.$$

These Hamiltonians need to satisfy the 2π -periodicity condition, and also they should commute with each other. Also (2) says that μ is invariant under the G -action, so the flow of X_{H_i} preserves not just H_i but also all H_j . Actually condition (2) comes for free in this case. Because the Lie bracket is zero, we have

$$X_{\{H_i, H_j\}} = [X_{H_i}, X_{H_j}] = 0,$$

where $\{f, g\} = dg(X_f) = \omega(X_f, X_g)$ is the **Poisson bracket**. So $\{H_i, H_j\}$ is constant, and in fact 0 because you can integrate along the S^1 corresponding to X_{H_i} . So $dH_j(X_{H_i}) = 0$, i.e., H_j is invariant under the i th action.

So for torus actions, we found that

- $\omega(X_{H_i}, X_{H_j}) = \{H_i, H_j\} = 0$, orbits are isotropic, (so you can't have an effective Hamiltonian T^n -action on a symplectic manifold with dimension less than $2n$)

- the level sets of $\mu = (H_1, \dots, H_k) : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^* \cong \mathbb{R}^k$ are foliated by orbits, and moreover coisotropic (this means $(TN)^{\perp\omega} \subseteq TN$), because orthogonal complement to the orbit is the tangent space.

Example 4.4. There is a standard T^n -action on (\mathbb{C}^n, ω) , given by

$$(e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_n}) \cdot (z_1, \dots, z_n) = (e^{i\theta_1} z_1, \dots, e^{i\theta_n} z_n).$$

This has moment map

$$\mu = \left(\frac{1}{2}|z_1|^2, \dots, \frac{1}{2}|z_n|^2 \right).$$

Note that the image of this map is the positive orthant of \mathbb{R}^n .

Definition 4.5. A **toric manifold** is a symplectic manifold (M^{2n}, ω) with a Hamiltonian T^n -action. Then the regular levels of the moment map $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ are Lagrangian.

Regular levels correspond to where the action has discrete stabilizer. So by dimension reasons, regular levels are disjoint unions of T^n -orbits. In fact, we can show connectedness assuming that M is something like compact and closed. So each nonempty fiber of μ is a single orbit.

Theorem 4.6 (Atiyah, Guillemin–Sternberg 1982). *Let (M, ω) be a compact connected symplectic manifold with a Hamiltonian action of a torus. Denote the moment map $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$. Then the level sets of μ are connected, and the image of μ is a convex polytope (which is the convex hull of the image under μ of the fixed points).*

Index

- Darboux's theorem, 10
- Hamiltonian action, 13
- Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms, 8
- J -holomorphic curve, 5
- Lagrangian neighborhood theorem, 12
- Lagrangian submanifold, 3, 7
- Liouville form, 2
- moment map, 13
- Moser's theorem, 9
- Poisson bracket, 13
- symplectic manifold, 2, 6
- symplectic vector field, 9
- toric manifold, 14