

CH-232-A

Answers to ICS 2020 Problem Sheet #4

Blen Daniel Assefa

bassefa@jacobs-university.de

Problem 4.1: Prefix Order Relation

a) *The givens are :*

$$\begin{aligned} < \subseteq \Sigma^* \times \Sigma^*, \\ p \leq w, \\ p, w \in \Sigma^*, \end{aligned}$$

To show that the relation $<$ is a *partial order*, then it must be *reflective*, *antisymmetric* and *transitive*.

i. *Antisymmetric Test*

$$\forall a, b \in \Sigma^* . ((a, b) \in < \wedge (b, a) \in <) \Rightarrow a = b$$

since $p \leq w$, then this means they are antisymmetric, reflective and transitive.

$$\therefore pRw \wedge wRp \Rightarrow p = w$$

This will lead us to conclude the relation is in antisymmetric because every domain in Σ^* , can be equal to its codomain such that if $(a, b) \in <$ and $(b, a) \in <$.

ii. *Reflexiveness*

$$\forall a \in \Sigma^* . ((a, a) \in <)$$

This is true since there could be "a prefix word" and that would lead the relation to have some elements whose domain and codomain to be the same.

iii. *Transitivity*

$$\forall a, b, c \in \Sigma^* . ((a, b) \in < \wedge (b, c) \in <) \Rightarrow (a, c) \in <$$

This is also true since, $p \leq w$, then this means it's transitive.

For Example: if $p \Rightarrow w$, and $w \Rightarrow p$, then $p \Rightarrow p$ and yes this could exist in the relation.

\therefore It is partially orderd.

b) *The givens are :*

$$< \subset \Sigma^* \times \Sigma^*$$

$$p < w$$

$$p, w \in \Sigma^*$$

$$p \neq w$$

To show that $<$ is a partial order we need to prove that $<$ is irreflexive, asymmetric, and transitive on Σ^* .

i. Irreflexive

$$\forall a \in \Sigma^* . ((a, a) \notin <)$$

Since $p < w$ & $p \neq w$, this would lead us to conclude that there will not exist any word in the relation with the same domain and codomain. P is a proper prefix means that p is always different from w and this implies that the relation can not have same domain and codomain. Thus, it is irreflexive.

ii. Asymmetric

$$\forall a, b \in \Sigma^* . (a, b) \in R \Rightarrow (b, a) \notin R$$

Let $a = p$, and $b = w$, and $w = pxq$, but $w \neq p$.

If a is a prefix of b , then this does not mean b could be a prefix of a since the definition states that, both values can not be the same. So it is Asymmetric.

iii. $\forall a, b, c \in \Sigma^* . ((a, b) \in < \wedge (b, c) \in <) \Rightarrow (a, c) \in <$

This is false. Having word pair (a, b) and (b, c) in the relation does not mean you does not mean necessary the pair (a, c) exists in the relation.

\therefore It is not strict partial orderd.

C)

Problem 4.2: Function Composition

$$\text{Given: } f: A \rightarrow B \text{ \& } g: B \rightarrow C$$

a) $g \circ f: A \rightarrow C$

$$(g \circ f)(x) = g(f(x))$$

if $g \circ f$ is bijective then this means, it is injective and surjective.

To show that f is injective:

let $x_1, x_2 \in A$,
 $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$, then
 $(g \circ f)(x_1) = g(f(x_1)) = g(f(x_2)) = (g \circ f)(x_2)$
 this implies, $x_1 = x_2$.

i. e. Since $g \circ f$ is bijective.

$\therefore f$ is injective.

To show that g is surjective:

let $z \in C$,

$x \in A$, then

$(g \circ f)(x) = g(f(x)) = z$

this implies: $y = f(x) \in B$, then $g(y) = z$

$\therefore g$ is surjective.

b) Example of: $g \circ f$ is not bijective, f is injective and g is surjective.

$f: A \rightarrow B$ & $g: B \rightarrow C$, $g \circ f = g(f(x))$

take $g: \{0,1,2\} \rightarrow \{0,1\}$

by $0 \rightarrow 0$, $1 \rightarrow 1$, $2 \rightarrow 1$, then g is surjective.

take $f: \{0,1\} \rightarrow \{0,1,2,3\}$

by $0 \rightarrow 0$, $1 \rightarrow 1$, then f is injective.

by $(g \circ f)(x) = g(f(x)) \rightarrow g \circ f: A \rightarrow C$.

$\therefore g \circ f: \{0,1,2\} \rightarrow \{0,1,2,3\}$ is not bijective as it is not surjective

c) Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ by $f(x) = (x, 0)$, and $g: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $g(x, y) = x$, then
 $g \circ f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bijective but, f is not surjective and g is not injective.