

# Combinatorics HW6

Daniel Son

**Sec5.7q48** Use Theorem 5.6.1 to show that, if  $m$  and  $n$  are positive integers, then a partially ordered set of  $mn + 1$  elements has a chain of size  $m + 1$  or an antichain of size  $n + 1$ .

**Solution** We proceed with a constructive proof. Consider poset  $S$  and an ordering  $(S, \leq)$ . Among all the antichain covers of  $S$ , let  $P$  be the minimal antichain cover. That is, any antichain cover of  $S$  involves at least  $|P|$  antichains. By Mirski's theorem, we know that the largest chain in the poset  $|S|$  must have a length of  $|P|$ .

If  $|P| > m$ ,  $|P| \geq m + 1$  and the longest chain has more than  $m + 1$  elements. We consider the case where  $|P| \leq m$ . In the cover  $P$ , there must exist a antichain larger than

$$\left\lceil \frac{mn + 1}{|P|} \right\rceil \geq \left\lceil \frac{mn + 1}{m} \right\rceil = n + 1$$

. This is by the pigeonhole principle. So there exists some antichain that has a size greater than  $n + 1$ .

We have shown that the longest chain must be longer than  $m + 1$  or the largest antichain must be larger than  $n + 1$ . From the largest chain/antichain, exclude elements to obtain the desired size  $n + 1$  or  $m + 1$ .  $\square$

**Sec5.7q49** Use the result of the previous exercise to show that a sequence of  $mn + 1$  real numbers either contains an increasing subsequence of  $m + 1$  numbers or a decreasing subsequence of  $n + 1$  number.

**Solution** Let the sequence of real numbers be denoted as

$$a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{nm+1}$$

We define a set of tuples as follows.

$$S := \{(a_i, i) | 0 \leq i \leq nm + 1\}$$

Also, define a partial ordering on  $S$ . For  $s_i = (a_i, i), s_j = (a_j, j) \in S$ ,  $s_i \leq s_j$  if and only if

$$a_i \leq a_j \quad \text{and} \quad i \leq j$$

Invoke the result from problem 48. There must either be a chain in  $S$  that has length of  $m + 1$  or antichain of length  $n + 1$ . We consider each case. Write out the chain as

$$(a_{p1}, p1) \leq (a_{p2}, p2) \leq \dots \leq (a_{p(m+1)}, p(m+1))$$

By the definition of the partial order, we deduce

$$a_{p1} \leq a_{p2} \leq \dots \leq a_{p(m+1)}$$

and we have obtained an increasing subsequence of length  $m + 1$ .

If such a chain does not exist, there must exist an antichain of length  $n + 1$ . Write out the elements in increasing index

$$(a_{q1}, q1), (a_{q2}, q2), \dots, (a_{q(n+1)}, q(n+1))$$

$$\text{and } q1 \leq q2 \leq \dots \leq q(n+1)$$

If  $a_{qi} \leq a_{q(i+1)}$ , then  $(a_{qi}, qi) \leq (a_{q(i+1)}, q(i+1))$ . Such result will contradict that all antichain elements are incomparable. For all  $i < n + 1$ ,  $a_{qi} > a_{q(i+1)}$ . We have constructed a decreasing subsequence.

$$a_{q1} > a_{q2} > \dots > a_{q(n+1)}$$

□

**Sec5.7q50** Consider the poset  $([12], |)$ .

a) Determine the longest chain and the partition of  $[12]$  into the smallest number of antichains.

Motivated by the proof of Mirski's theorem, we proceed by eliminating the minimal elements. Denote  $\min(X)$  to be the minimal elements of the set  $X$ . Also, define  $S_0 = \min([12])$ ,  $P_0 = [12] \setminus S_0$ . Recursively define:

$$S_i = \min(S_{i-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad P_i = P_{i-1} \setminus S_i$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} S_0 = \{1\} & P_0 = \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12\} \\ S_1 = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11\} & P_1 = \{4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12\} \\ S_2 = \{4, 6, 9, 10\} & P_2 = \{8, 12\} \\ S_3 = \{8, 12\} & \end{array}$$

So the longest chain is  $\{1, 2, 4, 8\}$  and the minimal antichain cover is  $\{S_i\}_{i \leq 3}$  □

b) Determine the longest antichain and the partition of  $[12]$  into the smallest number of chains.

Upon inspection, it seems like that the longest antichain is  $\{4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11\}$ . We can also find a minimal chain cover  $\{S_i\}_{i \leq 6}$ .  $S_i$  is defined as follows.

$$\begin{array}{l} S_1 := \{1, 2, 4, 8\} \\ S_2 := \{3, 6, 12\}, S_3 := \{5, 10\} \\ S_4 := \{7\}, S_5 := \{9\}, S_6 := \{11\} \end{array}$$

By the generalized version of the pigeonhole principle, a subset of  $X$  that has a size greater than 6 must include two elements in the same chain. Thus, indeed the assumed maximum antichain is verified to be maximum. By Dilworth's theorem, the cover we have obtained is the minimum chain cover.

**Sec5.7q24**

24. What is the number of ways to place six nonattacking rooks on the 6-by-6 boards with forbidden positions as shown?

(a)

×	×				
		×	×		
				×	×

(b)

×	×				
×	×				
		×	×		
		×	×		
				×	×
				×	×

**a** Let condition  $P_i$  be the condition that the rook in column  $i$  to be in the forbidden position. There is only one forbidden position for each column. Define  $A_i$  be the set of arrangements that satisfy condition  $P_i$  and where the six rooks don't attack each other. With ease, for all  $i \leq 6$

$$|A_i| = 5!$$

To compute the intersect of the two sets, we start with some specific cases.

$$|A_1 \cap A_2| = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |A_1 \cap A_3| = 4!$$

For the three pairs,  $A_1 \cap A_2$ ,  $A_3 \cap A_4$ ,  $A_5 \cap A_6$ , the intersects are empty. For all the other twelve pairs, the size of the set is  $4!$ .

For the intersect of three parts, the only nonzero cases are when each rook is chosen for every column. For each set  $\{P_1, P_2\}, \{P_3, P_4\}, \{P_5, P_6\}$ , make a choice between the two. There are a total 8 choices, and the size of each intersect is  $3!$ . In specific,

$$|A_1 \cap A_3 \cap A_5| = 3!$$

The intersect of more than four parts will always be zero. If more than four conditions are met, two of the rooks will be next to each other.

Apply the inclusion-exclusion principle to compute the number of allowed permutations.

$$\begin{aligned} |\cap_{n \leq 6} \bar{A}_n| &= 6! - \sum_{n \leq 6} |A_n| + \sum_{n, m \leq 6} |A_n \cap A_m| - \sum_{n, m, k \leq 6} |A_n \cap A_m \cap A_k| \\ &= 6! - 6 \cdot 5! + 12 \cdot 4! - 8 \cdot 3! = 288 - 48 = \boxed{240} \end{aligned}$$

**b** Define the condition  $P_i$  to be the condition where the rooks in column  $2i - 1, 2i$  to be in the forbidden position. Let the three parts that correspond to  $P_i$  be  $A_i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq 3$ ). Notice,

$$|A_1| = |A_2| = |A_3| = 2 \cdot 4!$$

Also, the intersects are

$$|A_1 \cap A_2| = |A_2 \cap A_3| = |A_1 \cap A_3| = 4 \cdot 2!$$

and

$$|A_1 \cap A_2 \cap A_3| = 8$$

Apply the inclusion-exclusion principle.

$$\begin{aligned} & |\bar{A}_1 \cap \bar{A}_2 \cap \bar{A}_3| \\ = & |S| - (|A_1| + |A_2| + |A_3|) + |A_1 \cap A_2| + |A_2 \cap A_3| + |A_1 \cap A_3| - |A_1 \cap A_2 \cap A_3| = \\ & 6! - 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 4! + 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 2! - 8 = 720 - 144 + 24 - 8 \\ & = \boxed{592} \end{aligned}$$