

Combinatorics HW6

Daniel Son

Sec5.7q48 Use Theorem 5.6.1 to show that, if m and n are positive integers, then a partially ordered set of $mn + 1$ elements has a chain of size $m + 1$ or an antichain of size $n + 1$.

Solution We proceed with a constructive proof. Consider poset S and an ordering (S, \leq) . Among all the antichain covers of S , let P be the minimal antichain cover. That is, any antichain cover of S involves at least $|P|$ antichains. By Mirski's theorem, we know that the largest chain in the poset $|S|$ must have a length of $|P|$.

If $|P| > m$, $|P| \geq m + 1$ and the longest chain has more than $m + 1$ elements. We consider the case where $|P| \leq m$. In the cover P , there must exist a antichain larger than

$$\left\lceil \frac{mn + 1}{|P|} \right\rceil \geq \left\lceil \frac{mn + 1}{m} \right\rceil = n + 1$$

. This is by the pigeonhole principle. So there exists some antichain that has a size greater than $n + 1$.

We have shown that the longest chain must be longer than $m + 1$ or the largest antichain must be larger than $n + 1$. From the largest chain/antichain, exclude elements to obtain the desired size $n + 1$ or $m + 1$. \square

Sec5.7q49 Use the result of the previous exercise to show that a sequence of $mn + 1$ real numbers either contains an increasing subsequence of $m + 1$ numbers or a decreasing subsequence of $n + 1$ number.

Solution Let the sequence of real numbers be denoted as

$$a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{nm+1}$$

We define a set of tuples as follows.

$$S := \{(a_i, i) | 0 \leq i \leq nm + 1\}$$

Also, define a partial ordering on S . For $s_i = (a_i, i), s_j = (a_j, j) \in S$, $s_i \leq s_j$ if and only if

$$a_i \leq a_j \quad \text{and} \quad i \leq j$$

Invoke the result from problem 48. There must either be a chain in S that has length of $m + 1$ or antichain of length $n + 1$. We consider each case. Write out the chain as

$$(a_{p1}, p1) \leq (a_{p2}, p2) \leq \dots \leq (a_{p(m+1)}, p(m+1))$$

By the definition of the partial order, we deduce

$$a_{p1} \leq a_{p2} \leq \dots \leq a_{p(m+1)}$$

and we have obtained an increasing subsequence of length $m + 1$.

If such a chain does not exist, there must exist an antichain of length $n + 1$. Write out the elements in increasing index

$$(a_{q1}, q1), (a_{q2}, q2), \dots, (a_{q(n+1)}, q(n+1))$$

$$\text{and } q1 \leq q2 \leq \dots \leq q(n+1)$$

If $a_{qi} \leq a_{q(i+1)}$, then $(a_{qi}, qi) \leq (a_{q(i+1)}, q(i+1))$. Such result will contradict that all antichain elements are incomparable. For all $i < n + 1$, $a_{qi} > a_{q(i+1)}$. We have constructed a decreasing subsequence.

$$a_{q1} > a_{q2} > \dots > a_{q(n+1)}$$

□

Sec5.7q50 Consider the poset $([12], |)$.

a) Determine the longest chain and the partition of $[12]$ into the smallest number of antichains.

Motivated by the proof of Mirski's theorem, we proceed by eliminating the minimal elements. Denote $\min(X)$ to be the minimal elements of the set X . Also, define $S_0 = \min([12])$, $P_0 = [12] \setminus S_0$. Recursively define:

$$S_i = \min(S_{i-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad P_i = P_{i-1} \setminus S_i$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} S_0 = \{1\} & P_0 = \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12\} \\ S_1 = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 11\} & P_1 = \{4, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12\} \\ S_2 = \{4, 6, 9, 10\} & P_2 = \{8, 12\} \\ S_3 = \{8, 12\} & \end{array}$$

So the longest chain is $\{1, 2, 4, 8\}$ and the minimal antichain cover is $\{S_i\}_{i \leq 3}$ □

b) Determine the longest antichain and the partition of $[12]$ into the smallest number of chains.

Upon inspection, it seems like that the longest antichain is $\{4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11\}$. We can also find a minimal chain cover $\{S_i\}_{i \leq 6}$. S_i is defined as follows.

$$\begin{array}{l} S_1 := \{1, 2, 4, 8\} \\ S_2 := \{3, 6, 12\}, S_3 := \{5, 10\} \\ S_4 := \{7\}, S_5 := \{9\}, S_6 := \{11\} \end{array}$$

By the generalized version of the pigeonhole principle, a subset of X that has a size greater than 6 must include two elements in the same chain. Thus, indeed the assumed maximum antichain is verified to be maximum. By Dilworth's theorem, the cover we have obtained is the minimum chain cover.

Sec6.7Q11 Determine the number of permutations of $[8]$ in which no even integer is in its natural position.

Solution Define a universal set S to be all the permutations of $[8]$. A permutation satisfies property P_i if the number i does not show up in position i . Let A_i be the set of permutations that satisfy P_i . We wish to count

$$|\cap_{i \in \{2,4,6,8\}} \bar{A}_i|$$

. Apply the inclusion exclusion principle.

$$\begin{aligned} |\cap_{i \in \{2,4,6,8\}} \bar{A}_i| &= |S| - \sum_i |A_i| + \sum_{i,j} |A_i \cap A_j| - \sum_{i,j,k} |A_i \cap A_j \cap A_k| + |A_1 \cap A_2 \cap A_3 \cap A_4| \\ &= 8! - 4 \cdot 7! + 6 \cdot 6! - 4 \cdot 5! + 4! = \boxed{24024} \end{aligned}$$

Sec6.7Q12 Determine the number of permutations of $[8]$ in which exactly four numbers are in their natural position.

Solution Construct all such permutations sequentially and apply the principle of multiplication. Choose four elements and fix them in their original position. Then, derange the other four. We count the answer to be

$$\binom{8}{4} \cdot D_4 = \boxed{1660}$$

Sec6.7Q14 Determine the number of permutations of $[n]$ in which exactly k numbers are in their natural position.

Solution Apply the same logic from problem 12.

$$\boxed{\binom{n}{k} \cdot D_{n-k}}$$

Sec5.7q24

24. What is the number of ways to place six nonattacking rooks on the 6-by-6 boards with forbidden positions as shown?

(a)

×	×				
		×	×		
				×	×

(b)

×	×				
×	×				
		×	×		
		×	×		
				×	×
				×	×

a Let condition P_i be the condition that the rook in column i to be in the forbidden position. There is only one forbidden position for each column. Define A_i be the set of arrangements that satisfy condition P_i and where the six rooks don't attack each other. With ease, for all $i \leq 6$

$$|A_i| = 5!$$

To compute the intersect of the two sets, we start with some specific cases.

$$|A_1 \cap A_2| = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |A_1 \cap A_3| = 4!$$

For the three pairs, $A_1 \cap A_2$, $A_3 \cap A_4$, $A_5 \cap A_6$, the intersects are empty. For all the other twelve pairs, the size of the set is $4!$.

For the intersect of three parts, the only nonzero cases are when each rook is chosen for every column. For each set $\{P_1, P_2\}, \{P_3, P_4\}, \{P_5, P_6\}$, make a choice between the two. There are a total 8 choices, and the size of each intersect is $3!$. In specific,

$$|A_1 \cap A_3 \cap A_5| = 3!$$

The intersect of more than four parts will always be zero. If more than four conditions are met, two of the rooks will be next to each other.

Apply the inclusion-exclusion principle to compute the number of allowed permutations.

$$\begin{aligned} |\cap_{n \leq 6} \bar{A}_n| &= 6! - \sum_{n \leq 6} |A_n| + \sum_{n, m \leq 6} |A_n \cap A_m| - \sum_{n, m, k \leq 6} |A_n \cap A_m \cap A_k| \\ &= 6! - 6 \cdot 5! + 12 \cdot 4! - 8 \cdot 3! = 288 - 48 = \boxed{240} \end{aligned}$$

b Define P_i, A_i as we did in part a. Also, group rooks $(1, 2), (3, 4), (4, 6)$ into three groups. To apply the inclusion-exclusion principle, the greatest challenge will be to count the number of multiplicities involved in each intersect.

We refine our notation for writing down intersects. Let $\{s_i\}$ be a subset of $[6]$. All intersects of A_i 's can be written as $\cap_i A_{s_i}$. From now on, we refer to each intersect by the corresponding subset which includes all the subscripts ranging from 1 to 6. For example, $A_1 \cap A_2$ is referred as $\{1, 2\}$.

Define a grouping function $\phi : [6] \mapsto \{1, 2, 3\}$ defined as $\phi(n) = \lceil n/2 \rceil$. The grouping function will connect rook i to its corresponding group number. We say that an intersect $\{s_i\}$ has m groups involved if the image of the subset under the function ϕ has a size of m . For example, $A_1 \cap A_2$, or $\{1, 2\}$ has an image $\{\phi(1), \phi(2)\} = \{1\}$ under the grouping function ϕ . Thus, the intersect has only one group involved.

Let intersect $\{s_i\}$ to be an intersect with size n . Also, suppose the intersect involves m groups. We claim the size of the intersect equals

$$2^m(6 - n)!$$

To justify this counting, we construct all valid arrangements of nonattacking rooks for intersect $\{s_i\}$. We first arrange all the rooks that are to be in the forbidden position, that is all the rook s_i 's. Observe that each group, regardless if there are one or two rooks in the group, has two degrees of freedom. For the free rooks not included in the intersect, arrange them as free permutations. The principle of multiplication yields the result above.

We consider all the possible intersects. However, we are only concerned with the group number of each intersect. We wish to count the number of intersects of size n involving m groups. Say there are x such intersects. We write $x|mg$. Here is an exhaustive list of all the intersects.

size 1 : $6|1g$
size 2 : $3|1g, 12|2g$
size 3 : $12|2g, 8|3g$
size 4 : $3|2g, 12|3g$
size 5 : $6|3g$
size 6 : $1|3g$

Applying our observation on the sizes of the intersects, we list out the number of permutations corresponding to the size of each intersect.

size 1 : $6 \cdot 2 \cdot 5! = 1440$
size 2 : $3 \cdot 2 \cdot 4! + 12 \cdot 2^2 \cdot 4! = 1296$
size 3 : $12 \cdot 2^2 \cdot 3! + 8 \cdot 2^3 \cdot 3! = 672$
size 4 : $3 \cdot 2^2 \cdot 2! + 12 \cdot 2^3 \cdot 2! = 216$
size 5 : $6 \cdot 2^3 \cdot 1! = 48$
size 6 : $1 \cdot 2^3 \cdot 0! = 8$

By the inclusion-exclusion principle, we count the answer.

$$|S| + \sum_{i=1}^6 (-1)^i |[\text{all intersects of size } i]|$$

$$= 720 - 1440 + 1296 - 672 + 216 - 48 + 8 = \boxed{80}$$