

Midterm II-part I

Daniel Son

1. Prove or disprove: there is an entire analytic function with real part $x - xy$. If there is such an analytic function, find all such functions. Also, find the series expansion of the function of z around the origin.

Solution Let function $f(z) = f(x + iy)$ be such a function that satisfies the condition. Analytic functions are necessarily holomorphic and vice versa. Hence, it is possible to apply the Cauchy-Riemann Equations in this context. Define:

$$u := \operatorname{Re}(f(x + iy)) \quad \text{and} \quad v := \operatorname{Im}(f(x + iy))$$

It is given that $u = x - xy$. We compute:

$$u_x = 1 - y \quad \text{and} \quad u_y = -x$$

By the Cauchy-Riemann Equations, we deduce:

$$\begin{aligned} u_x &= v_y & \text{and} & & u_y &= -v_x \\ v_x &= -u_y = x & \text{and} & & v_y &= u_x = 1 - y \end{aligned}$$

The function $v(x, y)$ must be expressed as the following:

$$v(x, y) = x^2/2 + C(y) = y - y^2/2 + D(x)$$

Where C, D are functions that map real values to real values that depend solely on y and x respectively. The two expressions of $v(x, y)$ must equate each other. Write:

$$C(y) - y + y^2/2 = D(x) - x^2/2$$

Recognize that the LHS is independent of x and the RHS independent of y . Thus, we conclude that both expressions equal to a constant, say C .

$$D(x) = x^2/2 + C \quad \text{and} \quad v(x, y) = x^2/2 + y - y^2/2 + C$$

Compute the complex derivative of f by differentiating it over the real axis. The holomorphicity of f guarantees that the derivative is unique. Write:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dz} f(z) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} u(x, y) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} v(x, y)i \\ &= (1 - y) + xi = 1 - iz \end{aligned}$$

Taking the antiderivative, we conclude, for some complex constant C' ,

$$f(z) = z - iz^2/2 + C'$$

The real part of f does not contain a constant. Hence, we narrow down $C' = Ci$ where C is a real value.

We have shown that a function f that satisfies $Re(f) = x - xy$ must be in the form of:

$$f(x) = Ci + z - iz^2/2 \quad (C \in \mathbb{R})$$

Indeed all such functions must be holomorphic, for f is a complex polynomial of order two. Moreover, by some algebra, we notice that such functions always have a real part $x - xy$. We conclude that the functions of the form above are all the analytic entire functions that have a real part of $x - xy$. The function is already written as its series expansion about the origin.

□

2. Compute four integrals.

i) Compute:

$$I := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{\cosh(x)} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{2dx}{e^x + e^{-x}}$$

Solution The integrand is an even function. Hence we write:

$$I = 4 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dx}{e^x + e^{-x}} \quad \text{and} \quad I/4 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^x dx}{e^{2x} + 1}$$

Apply the u-substitution, $u = e^x$:

$$I/4 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{du}{u^2 + 1} = \arctan(u) \Big|_{-\infty}^{\infty} = \pi$$

Hence:

$$I = 4\pi$$

□

ii) Let ζ be any real number and $a > 0$. Evaluate:

$$I := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-2\pi\zeta x}}{x^2 + a^2} dx$$

Solution Define a holomorphic function $f(z)$ as follows:

$$f(z) = \frac{e^{-2\pi\zeta z}}{z^2 - a^2}$$

The numerator and the denominator are known to be holomorphic. Thus the function is holomorphic everywhere other than the poles which are located at $z = \pm a$. Draw a semicircular contour centered at the origin that occupies quadrant I and IV. Call this contour γ , and denote the radius as R .

Take the contour integral of $f(z)$ over γ . Let the straight segment of the contour be called S , and the circular region C .

We claim that the integral over the circular region vanishes. That is, as $R \rightarrow \infty$, $\oint_C f = 0$

Notice:

$$\left| \oint_C f \right| = \left| \int_{z \in C} \frac{e^{-2\pi\zeta z}}{z^2 + a^2} dz \right| \leq \int_{z \in C} \frac{\max |e^{-2\pi\zeta z}|}{R^2 - a^2} dz$$

Note that the modulus of an exponent is the exponent of the modulus of the argument. That is:

$$|e^{-z}| = e^{\operatorname{Re}(-2\pi\zeta z)}$$

And for $z \in C$, the quality is bounded under 1. Thus:

$$\left| \oint_C f \right| \leq \frac{2\pi R}{R^2 - a^2}$$

And the upper bound converges to zero as R approaches infinity. This shows that the circular region converges to zero. ✓

By the residue theorem:

$$\oint_C f + \oint_S f = 2\pi i \operatorname{Res}_f(a)$$

The first summand of the LHS vanishes. The second summand can be computed with some algebra. We write:

$$\oint_S f = \int_{x=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-2\pi\zeta ix} \cdot (-i) dx}{(xi)^2 - a^2} = i \int_{x=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-2\pi\zeta ix} dx}{x^2 + a^2} = iI$$

The residue can be computed with ease:

$$\operatorname{Res}_f(a) = \lim_{z \rightarrow a} \frac{e^{-2\pi\zeta z}(z - a)}{z^2 - a^2} = \lim_{z \rightarrow a} \frac{e^{-2\pi\zeta z}}{z + a} = \frac{e^{-2\pi\zeta a}}{2a}$$

Combining the results, we write:

$$iI = 2\pi i \frac{e^{-2\pi\zeta a}}{2a} \quad \text{or} \quad \boxed{I = \frac{\pi e^{-2\pi\zeta a}}{a}}$$

iii) Compute:

$$\frac{I}{2\pi i} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=2} \frac{zdz}{z^2 - 1}$$

Solution The function

$$f(z) = \frac{z}{z^2 - 1}$$

is holomorphic outside the two poles $z = \pm 1$. By the residue theorem, the integral I equals to the sum of the residues multiplied by $2\pi i$. Our answer is the following sum:

$$Res_f(1) + Res_f(-1)$$

Write:

$$Res_f(1) = \lim_{z \rightarrow 1} \frac{z(z-1)}{z^2 - 1} = z/(z+1) \Big|_{z=1} = 1/2$$

$$Res_f(-1) = \lim_{z \rightarrow -1} \frac{z(z+1)}{z^2 - 1} = z/(z-1) \Big|_{z=-1} = 1/2$$

Thus:

$$\boxed{\frac{I}{2\pi i} = 1}$$

iv) Compute:

$$I := \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{-1/2}}{x+1} dx$$

Solution We use two identities about the beta function. Recall the definition:

$$B(n, m) := \int_0^1 x^n (1-x)^m dx$$

And the two identities:

$$B(n, m) = \frac{\Gamma(n)\Gamma(m)}{\Gamma(n+m)} \quad \text{and} \quad B(n, m) = \int_0^\infty \frac{u^{\alpha-1}}{(1+u)^{\alpha+\beta}} du$$

By the second condition, the integral simplifies to:

$$I = B(1/2, 1/2)$$

And by the first identity:

$$B(1/2, 1/2) = \Gamma(1/2)^2 / \Gamma(1) = \pi$$

We conclude:

$$I = \pi$$

□

3. Consider the following infinite products:

$$I_1(a) := \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + a_n) \quad \text{and} \quad I_2(b) := \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + b_{mn})$$

a) State the definition of convergence of $I_1(a)$. Give an example of a product that converges to a finite, nonzero number, and an example that diverges.

Definition We define the partial product S_N as follows:

$$S_N := \sum_{n=1}^N (1 + a_n)$$

If the partial product converges as $N \rightarrow \infty$, then the infinite product $I_1(a)$ is defined to converge.

Consider the case where $a_n = 0$ identically. Trivially, $S_N = 1$ regardless of N . The infinite series converges to 1.

Now, let $a_n = 1/n$. By induction, it is possible to show $S_N = N + 1$. For the base case, $S_1 = 1 + a_1 = 2$. For the inductive case:

$$S_{N+1} = \prod_{n=1}^{N+1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) = \frac{N+2}{N+1} S_N = N+2$$

which proves the claim. Ergo, S_{N+1} diverges to infinity.

b) State the definition for the convergence of the infinite product $I_2(b)$.

Definition It would be nice if the nested products all converge. That is: $I_1(b_k)$ converges for any k . The sequence b_k denotes the sequence:

$$b_{k1}, b_{k2}, b_{k3}, \dots, b_{kn}, \dots$$

Given that $I_1(b_k)$ converges for any k , we define $I_2(b)$ to converge if $I_1(I_1(b_k) - 1)$ converges. In words, if each column of b converges, we write out all the products associated with column. Subtract 1 from each of the results, and take the infinite product of the results. If any of the columns has a divergent infinite product, we define the doubly product to also diverge.

c) Does there exist a bounded sequence $\{b_{mn}\}$ such that each $b_{mn} \neq -1$ and:

$$\prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + b_{mn}) \right] \neq \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1 + b_{mn}) \right]$$

Either prove no such sequence exists, or find one where the two products are not equal.

Solution There exists a sequence where the left product is undefined but the right product converges to zero. Consider the following sequence:

$$b_{mn} = \frac{(-1)^m}{(m+1)^2}$$

Note that b_{mn} is independent with regards to n . Hence the product

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + b_{mn})$$

diverges for even m . Each term is constantly greater than 1. By the definition of nested infinite products in part b), we conclude that the left product is undefined.

However, the right product converges to zero. It suffices to show:

$$0 < \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1 + b_{mn}) < 1$$

Before proving the inequality, we first demonstrate that the product indeed converges. Recall (from the textbook) that if the infinite sum $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$ converges absolutely, then so does $\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 + a_k)$. To show convergence of the infinite product, we show the convergence of the following sum:

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m+1)^2}$$

This sum converges by the p-series test. $p = 2 > 1$ so the sequence converges, and hence the product converges too. Evidently, the product is greater than zero.

It remains to indeed show that the infinite product converges to a value less than 1. Now that the convergence of the product is guaranteed, we group the product into pairs. That is:

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{(-1)^m}{m+1} \right) &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{3} \right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{4} \right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{5} \right) \cdots \\ &= \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2m-1} \right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2m} \right) \leq \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2m-1} \right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2m-1} \right) = \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(2m-1)^2} \right) < 1 \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that the nested product on the right converges to zero. \square

Feedback What were your two favorite and two least favorite topics?

Remark I believe that the most valuable technique that I have learned in this course is how to use the residue theorem to solve contour integrals. I did not like the part about conformal mappings. The theory seemed interesting, but I did not see how it can be applied to other areas of math. My second least favorite topic was the prime number theorem. I guess one of the reasons why I did not enjoy the topic is partially on my incapability, but it seemed like the proof was too much for me to digest in a span of two weeks. I enjoyed studying Fourier series and transforms. The applications seem interesting, and I wish to learn more about it.