# Putting integer\_sequence on a diet

### Vittorio Romeo

vittorioromeo.info vittorio.romeo@outlook.com vromeo5@bloomberg.net @supahvee1234

C++ Now 2019

2019/05/08 Aspen, CO



(C) 2019 Bloomberg Finance L.P. All rights reserved

- Iterate over a *variadic pack*, providing both the *index* and the *element* 
  - The *index* must be usable in a *constant expression*

```
template <typename ... Xs>
void print_with_index(const Xs& ... xs)
{
    enumerate([](auto i, const auto& x)
    {
        static_assert(i < sizeof ... (xs));
        std::cout << i << ": " << x << '\n';
    }, xs ...);
}</pre>
```

```
print_with_index("hello", 42, 'x');
// 0: hello
// 1: 42
// 2: x
```

Verbose, user syntax is annoying

**solution #1** *nicer user syntax with C++20 template lambdas* 

```
template <typename ... Xs>
void print_with_index(Xs ... xs)
{
    enumerate([] < auto I > (auto x)
    {
        std::cout << I << ": " << x << '\n';
    }, xs ...);
}</pre>
```

```
template <std::size_t ... Is, typename F, typename ... Xs>
void enumerate_impl(std::index_sequence<Is ... >, F& f, Xs& ... xs)
{
    (f.template operator()<Is>(std::forward<Xs>(xs)), ...);
}
(on wandbox.org)
```

Nicer - can we use this to avoid enumerate\_impl ?

solution #2
enumerate with C++20 template lambdas

```
template <typename F, typename ... Xs>
void enumerate(F&& f, Xs&& ... xs)
{
    [&] < auto ... Is > (std :: index_sequence < Is ... >)
    {
        (f.template operator() < Is > (std :: forward < Xs > (xs)), ...);
    }(std :: index_sequence_for < Xs ... > {});
}
```

- Avoid indirection with enumerate\_impl
- Less boilerplate, no need to pass f and xs ... again

- P1306: "Expansion statements" (A. Sutton, S. Goodrick, D. Vandevoorde)
  - Approved by EWG (Kona 2019)

```
for ... (auto elem : std::tuple{0, 'a', 3.14})
    std::cout << elem << '\n';</pre>
```

```
\downarrow
```

```
std::cout << std::get<0>(t) << '\n';
std::cout << std::get<1>(t) << '\n';
std::cout << std::get<2>(t) << '\n';</pre>
```

- Supports "iteration" over entities that bind to structured bindings
  - (among others)

can we do better? expansion statements

```
for ... (auto i : std::make_index_sequence<3>{})
    std::cout << i << '\n';</pre>
```

Does this work?

- No, it doesn't
  - Can we make it work?

can we do better? expansion statement

```
template <auto ... Is>
struct sequence { };

template <auto I, auto ... Is>
constexpr auto get(sequence<Is ... >) { return std::array{Is ... }[I]; }
```

```
template <auto ... Is>
struct tuple_size<my::sequence<Is ... >>
    : std::integral_constant<std::size_t, sizeof ... (Is)> { };

template <std::size_t I, auto ... Is>
struct tuple_element<I, my::sequence<Is ... >>
    : std::type_identity<std::common_type_t<decltype(Is) ... >> { };
```

- Working with Alisdair Meredith to make integer\_sequence decomposable
  - The following will very likely be possible in C++20/23

```
template <typename F, typename ... Xs>
void enumerate(F&& f, Xs&& ... xs)
{
    auto t = std::forward_as_tuple(std::forward<Xs>(xs) ...);
    for ... (auto I : std::index_sequence_for<Xs ... >{})
    {
        f.template operator()<I>()(std::get<I>(t));
    }
}
```

- P1061: "Structured Bindings can introduce a Pack" (B. Revzin, J. Wakely)
  - EWGI wants to see it again (Kona 2019)

```
template <typename F, typename ... Xs>
void enumerate(F& f, Xs& ... xs)
{
    constexpr auto [ ... Is] = std::index_sequence_for<Xs ... >{};
    (f.template operator()<Is>(std::forward<Xs>(xs)), ...);
}
```

- My favorite solution
  - Less confident that this will be available in C++20/23 (or ever)

## Thanks!

https://vittorioromeo.info

https://github.com/SuperV1234/cppnow2019

vittorio.romeo@outlook.com vromeo5@bloomberg.net

@supahvee1234

## Bloomberg