

# International Institute of Information Technology, Hyderabad.

## Introduction to Information Security

### Problem Set

February 26, 2020

---

1. Using a coin with  $\Pr[\text{Heads}] = p$ , for some unknown  $p$ , design a method to simulate an *unbiased* coin.
2. Let  $f, g$  be length preserving one-way function (so, e.g.,  $|f(x)| = |x|$ ). For each of the following functions  $h$ , decide whether or not it is necessarily a one-way function (for arbitrary  $f, g$ ). If it is, prove it. If not, show a counterexample.

(a)  $h(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f(x) \oplus g(x)$ .

(b)  $h(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f(f(x))$ .

(c)  $h(x_1 \| x_2) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f(x_1) \| g(x_2)$ , ( $\|$  means concatenation)

(d)  $h(x_1, x_2) = (f(x_1), x_2)$  where  $|x_1| = |x_2|$ .

3. Let  $G$  be a pseudorandom generator mapping  $n$ -bit strings to  $2n$ -bit strings, and consider the following private-key encryption scheme  $\Pi$ :  $\text{Gen}(1^n)$  outputs a key  $k \in \{0, 1\}^n$ , chosen uniformly at random.  $\text{Enc}_k(m_1 \| m_2)$  with  $k \in \{0, 1\}^n$  and  $m_1, m_2 \in \{0, 1\}^{2n}$ , outputs the ciphertext  $c_1 \| c_2$  where

$$c_1 := G(k) \oplus m_1 \text{ and } c_2 := G(k) \oplus m_1 \oplus m_2$$

- (a) Show how decryption can be performed.
  - (b) Show that this scheme does *not* have indistinguishable encryptions in the presence of an eavesdropper, i.e., give an explicit adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  and show that:  
 $\Pr[\text{Output of Eavesdropping Game} = 1] - 1/2$  is not negligible
4. Consider the following private-key encryption scheme: The shared key is  $k \in \{0, 1\}^n$ . To encrypt message  $m \in \{0, 1\}^n$ , choose random  $r \in \{0, 1\}^n$  and output  $(r, F_r(k) \oplus m)$ , where  $F$  is a block cipher. Show that this scheme is not CPA-secure.
  5. Consider the following key-agreement protocol:
    - (a) **Alice** chooses  $k, r \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^n$  at random, and sends  $s := k \oplus r$  to **Bob**.
    - (b) **Bob** chooses  $t \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^n$  at random and sends  $u := s \oplus t$  to **Alice**.
    - (c) **Alice** computes  $w := u \oplus r$  and sends  $w$  to **Bob**.
    - (d) **Alice** outputs  $k$  and **Bob** computes  $w \oplus t$

Show that **Alice** and **Bob** output the same key. Analyze the security of the scheme (i.e., either prove its security or show a concrete attack)

6. Give Shannon's definition of perfect secrecy and also give the adversarial indistinguishable definition of perfect secrecy (game-based definition where an unbounded adversary is able to differentiate (better than guessing) between the encryptions of two distinct plaintexts). Prove that both these definitions are equivalent.

7. The node A wishes to establish a secret key with node D using the Diffie-Hellman key exchange algorithm. However, *one* of the (six) channels in the network is suspected to be actively corrupt by a computationally *unbounded* adversary who can easily solve the discrete logarithm problem as well as modify the messages sent across the channel. Design a protocol for key agreement between A and D that works correctly and securely no matter which channel is corrupt. Illustrate your protocol via an example.
8. Fermat primes are prime numbers of the form  $2^n + 1$ . A Fermat number is a positive integer of the form  $2^{2^n} + 1$ . A Mersenne number is a positive integer of the form  $2^n - 1$ . A Mersenne prime is a Mersenne number that is prime. Answer the following questions.
  - (a) How many Fermat primes are also Mersenne primes? Prove your answer.
  - (b) Prove that 2 is the *only* Fermat prime that is not a Fermat number.
  - (c) Prove that if  $2^n - 1$  is prime then  $n$  is prime.
  - (d) Show that Diffie-Hellman key-exchange protocol is *insecure* in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , if  $p$  is a Fermat prime.
9. Let  $f, g$  be negligible functions. Decide whether:
  - (a)  $H(n) = f(n) + g(n)$
  - (b)  $H(n) = f(n) \times g(n)$
  - (c)  $H(n) = f(n)/g(n)$

are necessarily negligible functions (for arbitrary  $f, g$ ) or not. If it is, prove it. If not, give a counterexample.
10. Let  $G$  be a multiplicative group of order  $n$ . Consider an element  $g$  in  $G$ . Prove that order of  $g$  divides  $n$ .
11. Prove that if  $2^n - 1$  is prime then  $n$  is prime.
12. A number is said to be an exact-power if it is of the form  $a^b$ . There exists a polynomial-time algorithm for testing if the given number is an exact-power.
13. Prove or refute: For every encryption scheme that is perfectly secret it holds that for every distribution over the message space  $\mathcal{M}$  every  $m, m' \in \mathcal{M}$  and every  $c \in \mathcal{C}$

$$\Pr[M = m | C = c] = \Pr[M = m' | C = c]$$

14. Let  $G$  be a pseudorandom generator mapping  $n$ -bit strings to  $2n$ -bit strings, and consider the following private-key encryption scheme  $\Pi$ :  $\text{Gen}(1^n)$  outputs a key  $k \in \{0, 1\}^n$ , chosen uniformly at random.  $\text{Enc}_k(m_1 || m_2)$  with  $k \in \{0, 1\}^n$  and  $m_1, m_2 \in \{0, 1\}^{2n}$ , outputs the ciphertext  $c_1 || c_2$  where

$$c_1 := G(k) \oplus m_1 \text{ and } c_2 := G(k) \oplus m_1 \oplus \text{reverse}(m_2)$$

- (a) Show how decryption can be performed.
  - (b) Show that this scheme does *not* have indistinguishable encryptions in the presence of an eavesdropper, i.e., give an explicit adversary  $\mathcal{A}$  and show that:  
 $\Pr[\text{Output of Eavesdropping Game} = 1] - 1/2$  is not negligible
15. After having studied the Diffie-Hellman protocol, a young cryptographer decides to implement it. In order to simplify the implementation, he decides to use the additive group  $(\mathbb{Z}_p; +)$  instead of the multiplicative one  $(\mathbb{Z}_p^*; \times)$ . As an experienced cryptographer, what do you think about this new protocol?