



CS 240: Programming in C

Lecture 15: Pointers to Pointers The Many Faces of Zero Pointers to Functions

Prof. Jeff Turkstra



Feasting with Faculty

- Tuesday this week!
 - No room reserved, will likely be in the main dining area somewhere (Earhart)
 - Still 12pm – 1pm
- No lunch Thursday this week!



Takehome Quiz 7


- **You must swap the nodes themselves (update the pointers). You will receive no credit for simply exchanging the values.**
- 1: Use `typedef` to define a type named “`node`.” “`node`” should be a structure with two fields: an integer (named “`val`”) and a pointer to a node structure (named “`next`”)
- 2: Write a function with the following prototype:
`node *swap_with_next(node *, int);`
 - This function accepts a pointer to the head of a singly-linked list and the value of a node that, when found, should swap positions with its next node.
 - Traverse the list and find the node whose value equals the second argument. If it does not exist, return NULL. Once found, swap its position with its next node. Return a pointer to the head of the list on success.
 - Include any necessary `assert()`ion checks. `#includes` are not needed

Announcements

- No homework due over spring break
 - We suggest completing it **before** spring break, then you don't have to worry about it
 - Homework 8 is due Wednesday, 3/26 9pm

Pointers to pointers

- In the same way that we can create a pointer that points to an integer or a structure, we can also create a pointer that points to another pointer...



- Why do we want to do this?

Why use pointers to pointers?

- In some cases, we haven't been able to get a single function to do everything we want. E.g.:
- We'd like to have a function `free()` a memory location and set the pointer to NULL.

```
free(ptr);  
ptr = NULL;
```
- How can we create a function to (conveniently) do both of these operations?
- We need something that can modify the pointer in addition to what is pointed to...

Passing a pointer to a pointer

- Consider a function called `my_free()`...

```
void my_free(struct double_l **ptr_ptr) {  
    struct double_l *ptr = NULL;  
    assert(ptr_ptr != NULL);  
  
    ptr = *ptr_ptr;  
    free(ptr);  
    *ptr_ptr = NULL;  
}
```
- Call it like: `my_free(&ptr);`

Other uses

- The main() function is passed a pointer to pointers to char:

```
int main(int argc, char **argv) {  
    char *temp = NULL;  
    if (argc > 1) {  
        temp = argv[1];  
        printf("Argument 1 is: %s\n", temp);  
    }  
}
```

- Now you know what that argv thing is...

Rules for using pointers to pointers

- The issue of pointer **type** becomes just a little more important
 - You cannot assign pointers to each other that are not the right **type**
- Now you have more types to choose from
- You need to be sure what you are pointing to is something real (and that it's still there)
 - More NULL conditions to check for...

Pointer problems

```
int main(int argc, char **argv) {  
    int i = 0;  
    int *pi = NULL;  
    int **ppi = NULL;  
  
    pi = &i;  
    ppi = &pi;  
    i = 5;  
  
    printf("i is %d\n", **ppi);  
    pi = NULL;  
    printf("i is %d\n", **ppi);  
    return *pi;  
}
```

Rules of thumb...

- Don't use more levels of indirection than you need
- Use multilevel pointers only when not doing so would be very inefficient or error prone
- You can triple-level pointers
 - ...but if you do, you're probably doing something wrong

List operations using pointers to pointers

- Let's look at another situation where using pointers to pointers makes sense:

```
void prepend_to_head(  
    struct item **head_ptr,  
    struct item *new_ptr);
```

- Call it like this:

```
prepend_to_head(&head, item_ptr);
```



prepend_to_head()

```
void prepend_to_head(struct item **head_ptr,
                    struct item *new_item_ptr) {
    assert(head_ptr != NULL);

    new_item_ptr->prev_ptr = NULL;
    if (*head_ptr == NULL) {
        *head_ptr = new_item_ptr;
    }
    else {
        new_item_ptr->next_ptr = *head_ptr;
        (*head_ptr)->prev_ptr = new_item_ptr;
        *head_ptr = new_item_ptr;
    }
}
```

List elements containing pointers to other things

- We can have pointers inside list elements that point to other structures
 - E.g., next_ptr, prev_ptr
- We can have pointers inside list elements that point to other arbitrary things...

```
struct info {  
    char *name;  
    char *address;  
    struct info *next_ptr;  
};
```



Using internal pointers incorrectly...

```
struct info *create_info(char *name,  
                          char *address) {  
    struct info *ptr = NULL;  
    ptr = malloc(sizeof(struct info));  
    assert(ptr != NULL);  
  
    ptr->name = name;  
    ptr->address = address;  
  
    ptr->next_ptr = NULL;  
    return ptr;  
}
```

Why was the previous function incorrect?

- Consider the following code segment:

```
printf("Enter name: ");  
scanf("%s", name);  
printf("Enter address: ");  
scanf("%s", address);  
head_ptr = create_info(name, address);
```

```
name[0] = '\0';  
address[0] = '\0';
```

```
printf("Node:      name: %s\n", head_ptr->name);  
printf("      address: %s\n", head_ptr->address);
```



Using internal pointers...

- Make sure you allocate everything...

```
struct info *create_info(char *name,  
                        char *address) {  
    struct info *ptr = NULL;  
    ptr = malloc(sizeof(struct info));  
    assert(ptr != NULL);  
  
    ptr->name = malloc(strlen(name) + 1);  
    assert(ptr->name != NULL);  
    strcpy(ptr->name, name);  
  
    ptr->address = malloc(strlen(address) + 1);  
    assert(ptr->name != NULL);  
    strcpy(ptr->address, address);  
  
    ptr->next_ptr = NULL;  
    return ptr;  
}
```

Using internal pointers...

- Also make sure you deallocate everything...

```
void delete_info(struct info **info_ptr_ptr) {  
    assert(info_ptr_ptr != NULL);  
    assert(*info_ptr_ptr != NULL);
```

```
    if ((*info_ptr_ptr)->name != NULL) {  
        free((*info_ptr_ptr)->name);  
        (*info_ptr_ptr)->name = NULL;  
    }
```

```
    if ((*info_ptr_ptr)->address != NULL) {  
        free((*info_ptr_ptr)->address);  
        (*info_ptr_ptr)->address = NULL;  
    }
```

```
    (*info_ptr_ptr)->next_ptr = NULL;  
    *info_ptr_ptr = NULL;
```

```
}
```



Using internal pointers...

- Also make sure you deallocate everything...


```
void delete_info(struct info **info_ptr_ptr) {  
    assert(info_ptr_ptr != NULL);  
    assert(*info_ptr_ptr != NULL);  
  
    if ((*info_ptr_ptr)->name != NULL) {  
        free((*info_ptr_ptr)->name);  
        (*info_ptr_ptr)->name = NULL;  
    }  
  
    if ((*info_ptr_ptr)->address != NULL) {  
        free((*info_ptr_ptr)->address);  
        (*info_ptr_ptr)->address = NULL;  
    }  
  
    (*info_ptr_ptr)->next_ptr = NULL;  
    free(*info_ptr_ptr);  
    *info_ptr_ptr = NULL;  
}
```

Pointers to pointers – again

- Desire to change the 'value' of **fp**:

```
void my_close(FILE *file_ptr) {  
    fclose(file_ptr);  
    file_ptr = NULL;  
}
```

```
int main() {  
    FILE *fp = NULL;  
    fp = fopen("input", "r");  
    my_close(fp);  
    return (0);  
}
```



It didn't work.


- Why wasn't fp set to NULL?
 - The value of fp was passed to my_close()
 - The new value assigned inside my_close() was not stored back into fp
- In fact, there is no way for my_close() to modify the value of fp!
- What remained constant in both main() and my_close() with respect to the file pointer?
 - The address (memory location) of the file pointer – pointer to pointer

Pointers to pointers - correct

- `my_close()` now modifies `fp`:

```
void my_close(FILE **file_ptr_ptr) {  
    fclose(*file_ptr_ptr);  
    *file_ptr_ptr = NULL;  
}
```

```
int main() {  
    FILE *fp = NULL;  
    fp = fopen("input", "r");  
    my_close(&fp);  
    return (0);  
}
```



Purdue Trivia

- The Stone Lions Fountain was dedicated in 1904 as a gift from the class of 1903
 - “Ran dry” (was turned off) somewhere between 1923-1931. Nobody knows why.
- Re-dedicated 4/22/2001



The many faces of 'zero'

- Lots of people wonder what the difference between 0, 0.0, NULL, '\0', NUL, 0x0, etc are and when/where to use them
 - 0 is an integer. `sizeof(0) == 4`
 - 0x0 is the same as 0 expressed in hexadecimal notation
 - '\0' is a character. Its character code is NUL. Characters are one-byte integers. It is interchangeable with 0. `sizeof('\0') == 4`
 - 0.0 is a floating point value.
 - Interchangeable with 0
 - NULL is literally: `((void *) 0)`
 - Must assign to or compare against a pointer

The mark of zero

- Whenever you want to use a NULL, 0, or '\0', you can just say: 0

```
void subroutine(char *char_ptr) {  
    float value = 0;           /* 0.0 */  
    char *new_ptr = 0;         /* NULL */  
    if (char_ptr == 0) return; /* NULL */  
    if (*char_ptr == 0) return; /* '\0' */  
    new_ptr = (&char_ptr[1]);  
    char_ptr[2] = 0;           /* '\0' */  
}
```


- But use the right symbol in the right place so that you'll understand your code later

What to do about zero...

- If you can't get your code to compile because of one of those `'\0'`, `NULL`, `0.0` problems, just use `0`
- Then clean your code up for clarity...
 - Figure out whether it's a pointer or not, then use `NULL` or `0` respectively
 - If it's a character type, use `'\0'`
 - If it's a float type, use `0.0`
- Where does `NULL` come from?

Function pointers

- Recall the dreaded memory layout map...



- Functions reside in memory. Therefore we can **refer** to their addresses
- We can **call** functions via their addresses!

Declaring a function pointer

- The difficult part of using function pointers is figuring out how to declare a pointer to a function
- Here is a pointer to a function that accepts two integers and returns an integer:
`int (*ptr_to_func)(int x, int y);`
- We could also initialize this pointer to NULL:
`int (*ptr_to_func)(int x, int y) = NULL;`
- We don't need argument names:
`int (*ptr_to_func)(int, int) = NULL;`

Using a function pointer

```
int sum(int addend, int augend) {  
    return addend + augend;  
}
```

```
int main() {  
    int result = 0;  
    int (*ptr_to_func)(int, int) = NULL;  
  
    ptr_to_func = sum;  
    result = (*ptr_to_func)(3, 5);  
    printf("result = %d\n", result);  
    return 0;  
}
```

Or like this...

```
int sum(int addend, int augend) {  
    return addend + augend;  
}
```

```
int main() {  
    int result = 0;  
    int (*ptr_to_func)(int, int) = NULL;  
  
    ptr_to_func = sum;  
    result = ptr_to_func(3, 5);  
    printf("result = %d\n", result);  
    return 0;  
}
```

Passing a pointer to function

```
int do_operation(int (*pf)(int, int),
                int value1,
                int value2) {
    return pf(value1, value2);
}


int main() {
    int (*ptr_to_func)(int, int) = NULL;
    ptr_to_func = sum;
    printf("%d\n",
        do_operation(ptr_to_func, 3, 5));
    return 0;
}
```

What's this good for?

- Suppose we have a subroutine that uses Newton's Method to locate a root of a polynomial function:

```
float newton(float (*ptr_fn)(float x),  
            float start);
```
- We might want to call the subroutine for different mathematical functions...

```
root1 = newton(func1, 5.3);  
root2 = newton(func2, 2.9);
```

Newton's Method

```
float newton(float (*function)(float),
            float start) {
    float x1, x2, y1, y2, tmp;
    x1 = start;
    x2 = x1 + 1.0;
    do {
        y1 = function(x1);
        y2 = function(x2);
        tmp = x1 - y1 / ((y1 - y2) / (x1 - x2));
        x2 = x1;
        x1 = tmp;
    } while (fabs(y1 - y2) > 0.001);
    return x1;
}
```

Example: find the square root of 23

```
/* The positive root of this function
 * is the square root of 23.
 */
float func(float x) {
    return pow(x, 2) - 23.0;
}

int main() {
    float root = 0;
    root = newton(func, 1);
    printf("root of x^2 - 23 = %f\n", root);
    return 0;
}
```

Pointers to functions and linked-lists

- Linked list manipulation routines we've looked at so far have assumed that one of the elements of the node was the **key** of the search/sort
- What if we had multiple items in the node structure and we wanted to be able to search by any one of them?

```
struct node {  
    char *name;  
    char *title;  
    char *company;  
    char *location;  
    struct node *next;  
};
```

Fields that we might
search by...

New list_search

```
struct node *list_search(  
    int (*compare)(struct node *, char *),  
    struct node *head_ptr, char *item) {  
  
    while (head_ptr != NULL) {  
        if (compare(head_ptr, item) == 0) {  
            return head_ptr;  
        }  
        head_ptr = head_ptr->next;  
    }  
    return NULL;  
} /* list_search */
```

Example comparison function

```
/* Definition of comparison:
 * zero:      equal
 * negative:  structure value 'less than' item
 * positive:  structure value 'greater than' item
 */
int compare_name(struct node *ptr, char *item) {
    return strcmp(ptr->name, item);
}

/*
 * Example of calling list_search...
 */
ptr = list_search(compare_name, head_ptr, "Jeff");
```

Strings

- When you use a string literal in C, that value is stored in “read-only” memory (the text/code segment)
 - It cannot be changed
- What’s the difference between this:
`char *str = “Hello!”;`
...and this:
`char str[] = “Hello”;`

Strings

- `char *str = "Hello!";`
 - Allocates a pointer on the stack
 - Points to an array in the read-only "code/text segment"
- `char str[] = "Hello";`
 - Allocates an array on the stack and initializes it by **copying** values from the array in the read-only "code/text segment"

For next lecture

- Study the examples in this lecture at home
- Practice the examples
- Modify the examples

Boiler Up!