

# Smart Contract Audit

# **Kleros Liquid**

---

By,  
Shebin John  
3rd May 2020

---

## Index

1. [Introduction](#)
2. [High Threats](#)
3. [Medium Threats](#)
4. [Low Threats](#)
5. [Optimization & Readability](#)
6. [Typo's & Comments](#)
7. [Suggestions](#)

**Note:** Some threat levels or headings might be empty if there is no vulnerability/updates/suggestions found.



## Introduction

The contract audited here is/are:

<https://github.com/kleros/kleros/blob/c639bf9/contracts/kleros/KlerosLiquid.sol>

**Related Contracts:**

[https://github.com/kleros/kleros-interaction/blob/96dba0f/contracts/standard/rng/BlockhashRNG.  
sol](https://github.com/kleros/kleros-interaction/blob/96dba0f/contracts/standard/rng/BlockhashRNG.sol)

and was shared by Kleros for auditing purposes while working with them.

Based on the audit, we were able to find no High threats, 1 Medium threat, and no Low threat with some other changes in the optimization, readability, typos, and comments section.



## High Threats

1. None

## Medium Threats

1. An attacker can change values older than 256 blocks based on pre-determined values from the last 256 blocks using [`saveRN`](#) function.

**Attack Workflow [Fixed]:** Calls `saveRN` function (as it is a public one, might have avoided this attack if it was internal). As ``blockhash(_block)`` will give `0x0`, it will call the ``getFallbackRN`` function, which is implemented in [BlockhashRNGFallback.sol](#), from which if he calculated in advance, can change the number to whichever one, based on any one of the last 256 block values.

## Low Threats

1. None



## Optimization & Readability

1. To increase readability, the condition in `if` can be changed in Line [857](#), [866](#), etc. Like for Line 857, instead of writing it like `!(_subcourtID < courts.length)` we can write it like _subcourtID >= courts.length`. Similarly for Line 861, instead of writing it like !( _stake == 0 || courts[_subcourtID].minStake <= _stake)` we can write it as _stake != 0 || _stake < courts[_subcourtID].minStake`.`
2. Using brackets in the logical expression to avoid confusion is recommended in [Line 658](#).

## Typo's & Comments

1. [Line 39](#), a better description would be “Where parties can cast votes (for public votes) or reveal them (for private ones).”
2. [Line 40](#), a better description would be “Where the current ruling can be appealed.”. The dispute is not being appealed, rather the ruling of the dispute is.

## Suggestions

1. None