

Smart Contract Audit

Kleros Governor

By,
Shebin John
10th May 2020

Index

1. [Introduction](#)
2. [High Threats](#)
3. [Medium Threats](#)
4. [Low Threats](#)
5. [Optimization & Readability](#)
6. [Typo's & Comments](#)
7. [Suggestions](#)

Note: Some threat levels or headings might be empty if there is no vulnerability/updates/suggestions found.



Introduction

The contract audited here is/are:

<https://github.com/kleros/kleros/blob/0bfdbfe/contracts/kleros/KlerosGovernor.sol>

and was shared by Kleros for auditing purposes while working with them.

Based on the audit, we were able to find no High threats, no Medium threat, and 1 Low threat with some other changes in the optimization, readability, typos, and comments section.



High Threats

1. None

Medium Threats

1. None

Low Threats

1. [Line 244](#) can lead to Denial of Service if the correct order is not specified while adding the transactions in the list of submissions.

Optimization & Readability

1. Instead of [Line 482](#)'s comparison part of for loop, we could use the below code before the for loop and use it for the condition part in for loop:

```
uint iterateUntil = submission.txs.length;  
if (_count != 0) { iterateUntil = submission.txs.length > (_cursor + _count) ? (_cursor + _count): submission.txs.length; }
```

Typo's & Comments

1. [Line 29](#), change from `Tracks each appeal round of a dispute.` to `Tracks each appeal round of the dispute in the session in the form rounds[appeal].`
2. [Line 32](#), [35](#), etc could use `in the form` before showing how each literal is used. Like for [Line 32](#) `Tracks all lists that were submitted in a session. In the form submittedLists[submissionID].`
3. Above [line 373](#), maybe a comment saying that more than one party has completely funded their appeal.

Suggestions

1. None

