BEYOND BITCOIN

ENGINEERING EXODUS PROTOCOLS FOR COORDINATION & IDENTITY

(TabConf 2025-10-13)

Christopher Allen — Trust Architect
Blockchain Commons

WHO AM I?

I HELPED BUILD THIS TRAP

- Co-authored IETF TLS 1.0 (the in your browser) 1990s
- Originated Ten Principles of Self-Sovereign Identity
- Co-authored W3C DID Standard for decentralized identifiers
- Worked at Certicom, Blackphone, Blockstream
- Now Principal Architect at Blockchain Commons

I've spent decades building digital trust infrastructure.

I've watched platforms betray that trust for over a decade.

BUT THERE'S ONE SYSTEM THAT GOT IT RIGHT.

BITCOIN

WHEN PAYMENTS BECAME PERMISSION

The story of Bitcoin's core strength: Three acts of financial censorship.

- 2010: WikiLeaks blockade—Visa, Mastercard, PayPal, Bank of America
 - No charges. No trial. Just coordinated deplatforming.
- 2022: Canadian truckers—206+ accounts frozen without trial
 - Emergency powers. Algorithmic enforcement. No due process.
- 2022: Russian dissidents fleeing Putin—Western sanctions block activists
 - Can't distinguish oligarch from opposition. Financial exile.

THIS IS WHY BITCOIN MATTERS.

BUT BITCOIN ONLY SOLVED VALUE TRANSFER.

THE PATTERN REPEATS

A platform emerges → Reduces friction → We adopt it → Network effects lock us in → Platform becomes essential → Extracts rent → Exerts power

(Cory Doctorow calls this "enshittification")

Changing terms. Removing features. Cutting off access.

By the time you want to leave, switching costs are insurmountable.

THIS IS THE SYSTEMATIC TRANSFORMATION OF RIGHTS INTO REVOKABLE PRIVILEGES.

THIS QUESTION HAUNTED ME:

WHAT WOULD IT MEAN TO BUILD INFRASTRUCTURE
THAT COULDN'T FAIL YOU?

BITCOIN PROVED IT WORKS

15 years of autonomous infrastructure:

- No servers to shut down
- No administrators to pressure
- No companies whose failure matters

When governments tried to ban it, the network persisted.

When exchanges were hacked, self-custody preserved funds.

THE FOUNDATION HELD BECAUSE IT WAS BUILT TO HOLD.

BITCOIN IS AN EXODUS PROTOCOL

A genuine path from platform captivity to autonomy

BUILT ON FIVE ARCHITECTURAL PRINCIPLES

- And these aren't Bitcoin-specific
 - They're the architecture of autonomy itself

PATTERN 1: OPERATE WITHOUT EXTERNAL DEPENDENCIES

- **Bitcoin's approach:** Distributed verification across thousands of independent nodes. No central server, no phone home behaviors.
- **The pattern:** Self-contained cryptographic objects that work without asking permission.
- Applied to coordination: Emergency plans via sneakernet.
 Medical records via threshold cryptographic proof, no database needed.

The principle: If it requires permission to operate, it's not autonomous.

WE NEED COERCION-RESISTANT ARCHITECTURE.

Technical details: Deep Dive

PATTERN 1: TECHNICAL DEEP DIVE

- Cryptographic Primitives:
 - Gordian Envelope: Self-contained nested encryption
 - SSKR (Shamir's Secret Sharing) & FROST: Threshold shares
 - No external verification required—math is self-proving
- Real Implementation:
 - Gordian Clubs as autonomous objects
 - Content + permits + signatures in single envelope
 - Works offline indefinitely
- Why This Matters:
 - No API calls to fail
 - No OAuth tokens to revoke
 - No platform to deplatform

← Back to Pattern 1 | See also: Pattern 2 (FROST) • Gordian Technical

PATTERN 2: ENCODE RULES IN MATHEMATICS, NOT POLICY

- **Bitcoin's approach:** Consensus rules in protocol code, not administrator decisions.
- **The pattern:** Cryptographic proof replaces administrative decision-making. Verification is deterministic.
- **Applied to coordination:** Threshold signatures for governance (7-of-12 must agree). Fair witness assertions show their work rather than claim truth.

The principle: Math doesn't discriminate, doesn't take sides, doesn't change its mind under pressure.

CODE CAN BE COERCED; MATHEMATICS CANNOT.

PATTERN 2: FROST & MUSIG2

Threshold Signature Schemes:

- FROST: Privacy-preserving (can't identify which subset signed)
- MuSig2: Aggregated signatures (can reveal participants)
- Both produce single Schnorr signature indistinguishable from single-party

Governance Applications:

- Board decisions requiring cryptographic quorum
- Content updates needing threshold approval
- No administrator override possible

Current Status:

- FROST: Formal security proofs, mature implementations
- MuSig2: Production-ready, widely deployed
- Gordian Clubs: FROST integration in progress

← Back to Pattern 2 | See also: Pattern 1 (Envelope) • Gordian Technical

PATTERN 3: MAKE CONSTRAINTS LOAD-BEARING

- **Bitcoin's approach:** Each "limitation" protects against capture.
 - Can't reverse transactions = can't seize funds by fiat.
- The pattern: What appears as limitation is actually freedom.
 - Can't expire = works forever
 - Can't phone home = perfect privacy
- Applied to coordination:
 - No time-based expiration = works during time-server outages.
 - No usage tracking = eliminates surveillance exhaust.

The principle: What can't be changed can't be weaponized.

THIS IS COERCION-RESISTANT DESIGN.

PATTERN 4: PRESERVE EXIT THROUGH PORTABILITY

- **Bitcoin's approach:** Your keys work in any wallet. Open protocol means freedom to switch implementations.
- **The pattern:** Interoperability and open standards. No proprietary formats that trap users.
- **Applied to coordination:** XIDs persist across organizations. Technical details: Relational Identity
- Reputation travels with you: Gordian Envelope enables selective disclosure and progressive trust

The principle: Lock-in is the opposite of sovereignty. Exit is not escape—it's leverage.

WITHOUT THE ABILITY TO WALK AWAY, <u>CONSENT</u> COLLAPSES INTO COERCION.

IDENTITY AS RELATIONSHIPS, NOT JUST NODES

Traditional View: Identity = Individual + Their Key

Relational View: Identity = Aggregation of Edges

Consider a learning community. Your identity isn't just "student with a credential." It's:

- Student who collaborates with specific peers
- Whose work is endorsed by specific faculty
- Whose contributions reference other members' work
- Whose credentials carry attestations from specific signers

Why This Matters for Exodus Protocols:

- Identity defined by relationships survives institutional collapse
- Portable because it references cryptographic identities, not domain names
- Exit preserved because relationships travel with you
- No platform can sever your relational edges

This is Pattern 4 in action: Cryptographic relationships, not platform relationships.

PATTERN 5: WORK OFFLINE AND ACROSS TIME

- **Bitcoin's approach:** Sign transactions offline, broadcast later. The protocol doesn't care about connectivity.
- **The pattern:** Asynchronous operation. Works during outages. Survives across decades.
- **Applied to coordination:** Documents decrypt offline using threshold shares. Governance via QR codes and Bluetooth when networks fail. For example, educational credentials that should survive institutional collapse.

The principle: Infrastructure that requires connectivity can be denied connectivity.

TRUE AUTONOMY WORKS WHEN COERCION ATTEMPTS TO DENY — FAIL

THESE FIVE PATTERNS DEFINE THE EXODUS PROTOCOL ARCHITECTURE

- 1. Operate without external dependencies
- 2. Encode rules in mathematics, not policy
- 3. Make constraints load-bearing
- 4. Preserve exit through portability
- 5. Work offline and across time

THEY'RE THE BLUEPRINT FOR INFRASTRUCTURE THAT HOLDS WHEN EVERYTHING ELSE FAILS.

BUT BITCOIN ONLY SOLVED ONE PROBLEM

VALUE TRANSFER

You can transact without permission. You can't be censored from payments. You hold the keys, you own the value.

But platform capture accelerates daily:

- Servers seized → sources exposed
- Institutions fail → credentials worthless
- States collapse → identity vanishes
- Borders weaponized → funds frozen
- Location revealed → family endangered

WE HAVE ONLY SOLVED MONEY.

WHAT IF THE SAME PATTERNS APPLIED TO EVERYTHING?

FIVE HUMAN STORIES

When infrastructure becomes a weapon:

- The Journalist when servers can be raided and sources exposed
- The Student when institutions cascade into bankruptcy
- The Refugee when identity papers no longer exist or can't be renewed.
- The Dissident when identity itself becomes the weapon
- The Engineer when family safety depends on pseudonymity

NOT THEORETICAL, BUT REAL. REAL PEOPLE. REAL THREATS.

Details: Journalist • Student • Refugee • Dissident • Engineer

WHAT IF YOUR ABILITIES BECAME MATHEMATICAL RIGHTS INSTEAD OF PLATFORM PRIVILEGES?

Not just for money, but for:

- The Journalist: sources protected by mathematics, not promises
- The Student: learning that survives institutional collapse
- The Refugee: identity that exists without state permission
- The Dissident: reputation that crosses hostile borders
- The Engineer: open source contribution without exposure

THIS IS ABOUT EXODUS PROTOCOLS FOR THE FULL EXERCISE OF <u>HUMAN RIGHTS</u> IN DIGITAL SPACE.

THE JOURNALIST: FREEDOM OF PRESS AS MATHEMATICAL RIGHT

- **The problem:** Protecting whistleblowers under authoritarian pressure. Server location matters. Hosting provider matters. Payment processor matters. Each dependency is a vulnerability.
- Exodus protocol solution: Source materials encrypted as a Gordian Club with SSKR threshold shares—any 3 of 5 editorial board members can access. Works via sneakernet in censored regions. No server to raid, no access logs to subpoena.
- **Even better: selective disclosure.** Sensitive details elided for court review while maintaining cryptographic signatures that prove authenticity. Prove the document is genuine without revealing protected sources.

FREEDOM OF PRESS BECOMES A MATHEMATICAL RIGHT, NOT A CORPORATE PRIVILEGE.

← Back to Five Stories | **Technical**: Implementation Details

JOURNALIST SCENARIO: TECHNICAL IMPLEMENTATION

Gordian Club Structure:

ENCRYPTED_CONTENT: Whistleblower documents
PERMITS:
- SSKR (3-of-5 editorial board threshold)
- Individual editor public keys (ongoing access)
SIGNATURES: Threshold board approval
PROVENANCE: Tamper-evident edition chain

Cryptographic Properties:

- Content encrypted with symmetric key
- SSKR shares distributed to 5 editors
- Any 3 can reconstruct key offline
- No coordination required for reconstruction
- Selective disclosure via Gordian Envelope elision

Why This Protects:

- No central server to subpoena
- No access logs exist
- Works completely offline
- Court can verify threshold without revealing participants

← Back to Journalist Scenario | See also: Pattern 1 (Envelope) • Pattern 2 (FROST) • Gordian Technical

THE STUDENT: WHEN INSTITUTIONS VANISH

- **The problem:** Today, my former students struggle to get paper diplomas. Digital credentials? Impossible. The registrar has changed hands four times. Authentication systems gone. Verification portals vanished.
 - Bainbridge Graduate Institute → Pinchot University → Presidio → Dominican College
- **Exodus protocol solution:** Diplomas as autonomous cryptographic objects, signed by threshold attestations from faculty. School issues a degree signed by any 5 of 9 faculty members and 2 of 3 administrators.
- Even better: herd privacy. School publishes one elided root containing all of a year's graduate credentials. Individual students hold their unelided credential proving inclusion, but root reveals nothing about which credential belongs to which student. Students choose what to reveal to others, not institutions.

MATHEMATICAL ATTESTATIONS ENDURE WHEN REGISTRARS DON'T.

← Back to Five Stories

THE REFUGEE: IDENTITY WITHOUT STATE RECOGNITION

- **The problem:** 40% of displaced Syrians lack family booklets needed for civil documents. Digital identities frozen in time. Border crossings demand papers that no longer exist.
- **Exodus protocol solution:** Identity credentials as autonomous cryptographic objects with progressive disclosure. Prove age without revealing birthdate. Prove family relationship without exposing full identity. Works offline via Bluetooth at border crossings when networks unavailable. Works without state recognition—cryptography proves validity.
- Even better: credentials that outlive institutions. A refugee Syrian nurse carries medical training attestations from a threshold of doctors. Faculty fled—two dead, two in Jordan, one in Germany. But the credentials still verify because the foundation is mathematical, not institutional.

WHEN STATES FAIL TO RECOGNIZE IDENTITY, HUMAN RIGHTS SHOULDN'T VANISH WITH THE PAPERWORK.

← Back to Five Stories

THE DISSIDENT: WHEN FREEDOM REQUIRES EXIT

- **The problem:** Russian opposition activists flee Putin's regime. Physical freedom to leave—but bank accounts frozen. Credit cards canceled. Payment apps disabled. Not Russia blocking them—Western sanctions make no distinction between oligarch and activist.
- Exodus protocol solution: Financial credentials proving identity without revealing nationality. Reputation that transfers across borders. Access to funds through threshold cryptography—cooperation of trusted contacts, not permission from institutions judging your passport.
- Even better: zero-knowledge proof of funds. Prove financial capacity without revealing amounts or sources. Reputation attestations from trusted colleagues already in refuge. Progressive trust building across borders without nationality exposure. Enable peer-to-peer transactions when banking infrastructure refuses service.

MATHEMATICS DOESN'T CHECK PASSPORTS.

← Back to Five Stories

THE ENGINEER: CONTRIBUTING WITHOUT EXPOSURE

- **The problem:** Amira is a Syrian software engineer who wants to contribute to women's safety applications and exodus projects. Using her real identity could endanger her family still in Syria. Using anonymous accounts means no reputation, no trust, no meaningful contribution.
- **Exodus protocol solution:** Creates pseudonymous identity "BWHacker" using XID —a stable cryptographic identifier that persists across projects. Demonstrates expertise through verifiable contributions. Earns peer endorsements cryptographically signed to BWHacker. Builds portable reputation that transfers across collaborations.
- Even better: progressive trust with key rotation. If keys are compromised, she can rotate them while maintaining the same identity and reputation history. The XID persists even as the cryptographic keys change. Prove competence without exposing vulnerability.

CONTRIBUTION WITHOUT EXPOSURE. REPUTATION WITHOUT REVELATION.

← Back to Five Stories | **Technical:** XIDs

FIVE SCENARIOS. ONE ARCHITECTURE.

Infrastructure you control can't be used to control you.

These five stories demonstrate the five patterns you know from Bitcoin:

- 1. Operate without external dependencies
- 2. Encode rules in mathematics, not policy
- 3. Make constraints load-bearing
- 4. Preserve exit through portability
- 5. Work offline and across time

Foundations that hold.

THE SAME ARCHITECTURAL PATTERNS.
APPLIED BEYOND MONEY.

THIS ISN'T ANOTHER DAO

CRITICAL DISTINCTION FOR THIS AUDIENCE

- DAOs: Replaced centralized companies but still run on infrastructure
 - Need blockchains running
 - Need gas fees paid
 - Need validators operating
 - Need oracles functioning
- Exodus Protocols: Eliminate infrastructure dependency entirely
 - No blockchain required
 - No tokens needed
 - No validators
 - No oracles

DAOs are organizations ON infrastructure. Exodus protocols ARE infrastructure that needs no infrastructure.



- We are a community interested in self-sovereign control of digital assets.
- We bring together stakeholders to collaboratively develop interoperable infrastructure.
- We design decentralized solutions where everyone wins.
- We are a neutral "not-for-profit" that enables people to control their own digital destiny.

GORDIAN CLUBS: ONE IMPLEMENTATION

Not promoting a product—showing the pattern

Applying Bitcoin's autonomy to coordination:

- Pure cryptographic objects (like UTXO model, but for shared documents)
- Multiple access methods without servers (like script flexibility, but for permits)
- Threshold governance without platforms (like multisig, but for group coordination)
- Provenance chains without centralized witness (like blockchain, but for editions)
- No phone home behaviors (like offline signing, but for all operations)
- Store-carry-forward messaging without servers (like mempool relay, but for sealed dead-drops)

Learn more: Anatomy • Technical Architecture • XIDs • Permits • Hubert

GORDIAN CLUB: AUTONOMOUS CRYPTOGRAPHIC OBJECT

Four-Part Structure:

- 1. **Public Metadata:** Visible to everyone
 - Club name, purpose, version
 - No encryption required
- 2. Encrypted Content: Protected data
 - Strong symmetric encryption (ChaCha20-Poly1305)
 - Decryption key accessed via permits
- 3. Multiple Permits: Different access paths to same key
 - Passwords (simple), Public Keys (individual), SSKR (threshold)
 - FROST/MuSig2 (governance), XIDs (portable identity)
 - Each permit unlocks same content
- 4. **Provenance Chain:** Cryptographic audit trail
 - Each edition references previous
 - Write group signatures prove authorization
 - No central timestamp server needed

Key Property: Club is a single file. Copy it anywhere, works offline indefinitely.

← Back to Gordian Intro | **See also:** Technical Architecture • XIDs • Permits

GORDIAN CLUBS: TECHNICAL ARCHITECTURE

- Gordian Envelope: Nested, deterministic encryption structure supporting selective disclosure
 - Multiple access paths to content
 - CBOR-based canonical encoding
 - Enables verifiable, minimal disclosure
- Permit System: Multiple access methods for the same encrypted data
 - Passwords: Simple shared access (current edition only)
 - Public Keys: Individual member access (ongoing)
 - SSKR: Social recovery shares (offline threshold reconstruction)
 - FROST/MuSig2: Threshold governance (online signing ceremonies)
- XIDs: Portable, rotatable, cryptographically rooted identifiers
 - Derived from an inception key; persist through rotation
 - Enable pseudonymous reputation and cross-organization continuity
- Provenance Marks: Tamper-evident chains that order and authenticate editions
 - Cryptographic sequencing and verification
 - No trusted timestamp server required
- Read / Write Model: Cryptographically enforced permissions for data access and updates
 - **Read:** Decrypt content with any valid permit
 - Write: Requires signatures from a threshold of the prior edition's write group
- Hubert Transport: Asynchronous, high-latency-tolerant "dead-drop" layer for coordination
 - Store-carry-forward message delivery (like mempool gossip, but for encrypted data)

XIDS: STABLE PSEUDONYMOUS IDENTITY

- XID = eXtensible IDentifier
 - 32-byte identifier derived from inception key (SHA-256 hash)
 - Remains stable even as keys rotate
 - Portable across organizations
- Key Rotation Without Identity Change:
 - 1. XID derived from initial "inception" key
 - 2. Additional keys added/removed without affecting XID
 - 3. Original key can be rotated out entirely
 - 4. Identifier stays consistent → reputation travels with you
- Why This Matters for AMIRA:
 - Build reputation through pseudonym
 - Keys can be upgraded, compromised keys rotated
 - Identity persists across contexts
 - Progressive trust through stable identifier
 - Exit preserved: reputation isn't locked to one platform

← Back to Gordian Intro | **See also:** Relational Identity • Engineer Scenario • Technical Architecture • Permits

PERMITS: ONE DOOR, MANY KEYS

- Password Public Key / XID SSKR threshold
 - (future) MuSig2 / FROST variants
- All unlock the same symmetric key → same plaintext Edition via different assurance/recovery paths
- Selective disclosure: reveal only what's needed via Envelope elision
- Offline by design: QR / Bluetooth / sneaker-net; fetch later via dead-drops
- Exit preserved: permits are portable; no phone-home or platform dependency

HUBERT: CRYPTOGRAPHIC DEAD-DROP TRANSPORT

An **asynchronous transport layer** using a **cryptographic dead-drop model** instead of client-server or publish-subscribe architectures.

- Pattern: sealed message → ARID drop → later retrieval (no sessions, no broker)
- ARIDs as capabilities: each ARID is a private capability—a secret location in public networks
- Write-once immutability: messages cannot be modified or deleted once published

 —integrity guaranteed
- Resilient delivery: store-carry-forward across time, outage, or censorship
- Where it lives: DHT (≤1 KB control) · IPFS (large payloads) · Hybrid · Emerging secure networks
- Privacy: observers see only encrypted GSTP envelopes + derived keys (ARIDs never exposed)
- Bidirectional flow: request embeds response ARID → responder posts reply there
- Group coordination: FROST enables multiparty consensus → single cryptographic result

A MESH OF SEALED RENDEZVOUS POINTS—
COORDINATION BUILT ON MATHEMATICS + PERSISTENCE, NOT SERVERS + BROKERS

WHAT AUTONOMOUS CRYPTOGRAPHIC OBJECTS ENABLE

When information becomes a **self-contained object** with its own keys, rules, and history:

- Unstoppable access (like UTXOs, but for knowledge) copies verify anywhere, even offline
- **Perfect privacy** (like cold storage, but for communication) no logs, no tracking, no servers
- Disaster resilience (like hardware wallets, but for coordination) works through outages and time gaps
- **Censorship resistance** (like consensus rules, but for governance) math replaces administrative approval
- True ownership (like private keys, but for data) control shared through permits, not platforms

Principle: If a server can deny it, it's not autonomous.

PROGRESS ON GORDIAN CLUBS

Current status: Working CLI app proof-of-concept. FROST integration in progress.

Honest assessment: Cryptographic primitives mature. Novel part is applying them to autonomous coordination.

Needs formal security audits before production.

THE REAL TRANSFORMATION REQUIRES DIVERSITY

THE ECOSYSTEM IMPERATIVE

Gordian Clubs are but one implementation of the **Exodus Protocol** patterns.

But the real transformation requires diversity.

- Different implementations of autonomous coordination.
- Different approaches to the same patterns.

Bitcoin succeeded not just because of good cryptography but because **the pattern was sound**—and was implemented many different ways.

ONE IMPLEMENTATION SUCCEEDS → PATTERN FAILS.

MANY IMPLEMENTATIONS → PATTERN WINS.

NO SINGLE TECHNOLOGY SOLVES ALL OF THESE

We need an ecosystem of autonomous foundations:

- Identity that truly doesn't phone home
- Group decision-making without platform dependency
- Messaging that survives infrastructure failure
- Reputation and credentials that outlive issuers
- Shared work that isn't hostage to companies

Each optimized for different use cases. All adhering to the same core patterns.

The diversity is strength. When one approach fails, others remain.

THE 5-YEAR WINDOW

- There's a window closing. Every day of network effects makes alternatives structurally harder. Platform lock-in accelerates as more of essential life moves digital.
- We have perhaps five years to build foundations before technical lock-in becomes effectively permanent.
- Not because the technology will disappear, but because each day compounds platform power.
- Crisis will create opportunity—but only for those prepared to act.

THE PREPARED INHERIT THE EXODUS

WHY FIVE YEARS?

- **Network effects compound daily.** Each person who joins a platform makes leaving harder for everyone else.
- Technical lock-in accelerates as essential life functions move digital.
- Regulatory capture deepens as platforms colonize our agency.

The window isn't arbitrary—it's the point where **alternatives become structurally impossible**, not because technology fails, but because coordinated exodus requires critical mass we won't have.

PREPARATION MUST HAPPEN WHILE ALTERNATIVES ARE STILL POSSIBLE.

HOW YOU CAN PARTICIPATE

IF YOU'RE A CRYPTOGRAPHER

We need:

- Formal analysis of delegation constructions
- FROST provenance VRF design review
- Naive protocol audits (adaptor signatures for capabilities)
- Threshold capability research (extending to multi-party)
- Security proofs for novel applications of mature primitives

The cryptographic primitives are mature.

The novel part is applying them to autonomous coordination.

We need your expertise to ensure the applications are sound.

CRYPTOGRAPHER FOCUS AREAS

• High Priority Audits:

1. FROST Provenance Chain

- VRF construction for edition ordering
- Security properties in adversarial conditions
- Naive assumptions that need formal proof

2. Adaptor Signature Capabilities

- Single-key delegation (preparing for audit)
- Read vs write capability separation
- Threshold extensions (research phase)

3. Key Agreement Protocols

- Leveraging FROST/MuSig2 shared material
- Novel constructions requiring formal proofs

Research Opportunities:

- Scriptless scripts for complex authorization
- VRF timelocks for autonomous expiration
- Zero-knowledge proofs for capability composition

← Back to Cryptographer | See also: Pattern 2 (FROST) • Gordian Technical

IF YOU'RE AN ENGINEER

We need:

- More eyes to understand our architecture
- Production hardening of proof-of-concept implementations
- Integration testing in adversarial environments
- **UX research** beyond CLI tools
- Alternative implementations exploring different trade-offs

Don't just audit Gordian Clubs.

Deeply learn the patterns of autonomy

ENGINEERING FOCUS AREAS

- Implementation Opportunities:
 - Rust/Swift/Go: Alternative language implementations
 - Embedded: Low-resource devices, IoT applications
 - **Mobile**: Native iOS/Android libraries
 - **Web**: Browser-based implementations (carefully)
 - **Specialized**: Domain-specific optimizations
- Integration Challenges:
 - Existing wallet ecosystems
 - Communication channels (GSTP, alternatives)
 - Key management systems
 - Backup and recovery flows
- UX Research Needs:
 - Non-technical user flows
 - Progressive complexity revelation
 - Error handling and recovery
 - Offline operation clarity

← Back to Engineer | **See also:** Gordian Technical • XIDs • Hubert

IF YOU'RE A BUILDER

We need:

- Real-world deployment partnerships in adversarial contexts
- Use cases we haven't imagined
- Documentation and examples for specific domains
- Your unique problems

Apply these patterns to YOUR domain problems.

Journalists protecting sources?
Activists coordinating under surveillance?
Researchers preserving data across institutional collapse?

You understand your domain. We understand the patterns.

Together we build solutions.

EVERYONE: RECOGNIZE THE PATTERN

You've been using exodus protocols for 15 years in Bitcoin.

Now extend them:

- Coordination that survives platform betrayal
- Identity that persists across organizational collapse
- Collaboration that works when infrastructure fails
- Credentials that outlive their issuers

The patterns are proven. The primitives exist. The window is open.

What comes next when Bitcoin's patterns meet the full exercise of human rights in digital space?

RESOURCES

Musings of a Trust Architect:

www.blockchaincommons.com/musings

- The Gordian Club: Preserving Agency When Infrastructure Fails
- Foundations That Cannot Fall (HackMD Draft)

Developer Documentation:

developer.blockchaincommons.com

Code: github.com/BlockchainCommons

Contact: team@blockchaincommons.com

Learn more: Gordian Architecture

Progressive Trust

Fair Witnessing | Data Minimization | No Phone Home

THE CHALLENGE

You understand Bitcoin's patterns better than anyone.

You've proven autonomous infrastructure works.

You've also seen what happens when platforms become shadow governance systems controlling access, data, and the ability to leave.

When everything becomes property, nothing remains sacred.

Now extend those patterns to human dignity.

DIGITAL RIGHTS AS MATHEMATICAL RIGHTS— BECAUSE HUMAN DIGNITY DESERVES INFRASTRUCTURETHAT <u>CANNOT BE TAKEN AWAY</u>. "Some ideas are worth waiting for. Some dreams just need the right tools to become real."

-- Christopher Allen

BITCOIN SHOWED US THE PATTERN.

The tools exist to apply it beyond money.

The bedrock is being built—not by any one project, but by a movement toward autonomous foundations.

WHEN THE GROUND MOVES AND IT WILL THESE FOUNDATIONS WILL HOLD

THANK YOU

The prepared inherit the exodus.

Let's build foundations that cannot fall.





www.BlockchainCommons.com
Christopher Allen
@ChristopherA