

Decentraland Security Audit

Mariana Soffer (<https://blog.coinfabrik.com/author/mariana-soffel/>)

December 20, 2018 (<https://blog.coinfabrik.com/uncategorized/decentraland-security-audit/>)



Contents



1. Introduction
2. Overview
3. Methodology
4. Detailed findings
 - 4.1. Critical severity
 - 4.2. Major severity
 - 4.3. Minor severity
 - 4.3.1. Minimum parcel price is not enforced
 - 4.4. Enhancements
 - 4.4.1. Documentation is incomplete
 - 4.4.2. Separate contracts into their own files
 - 4.5. Non issues
 - 4.5.1. Use of array item without checking length
 - 4.5.2. Option to configure if tokens will be burned is unclear
 - 4.5.3. Rounding errors
5. Conclusion
6. References

Introduction

CoinFabrik has been hired to audit the contracts for the Decentraland Land Auction. In the following sections we will provide a description of the contracts and their purpose, the audit methodology, detailed information about the issues found and, to wrap up, our conclusions on the contracts.

Overview

The contracts audited are from the "Land Auction" repository at <https://github.com/decentraland/land-auction> (<https://github.com/decentraland/land-auction>).

The audit is based on the commit `76c575a27016fd643bcd3efcd87438224744e1742`, and updated to reflect changes at `05d3d4a7ec9c95ac5316e01ee4f6e417557b6ff7`.

The contracts control the second Decentraland land auction. The land auction will begin the second week of December and it will last for 15 days. The auction will be implemented as a *Dutch auction*. It will provide a mechanism for both existing and new users to obtain unowned land. All remaining 9,300 unowned parcels will start at a price of 200,000 MANA. This price will then drop at a non-linear rate.

The audited contracts are:

- auction/LANDAUction.sol: Implementation of the auction contract
- auction/LANDAUctionStorage.sol: Data structures used by Auction contract
- dex/KyberConverter.sol: Converter to accept arbitrary tokens for payment
- dex/IKyberNetwork.sol: Interface for Kyber Network
- dex/ITokenConverter.sol: Interface for KyberConverter

Methodology

The following analyses were performed:

- Misuse of different call methods: `call.value()`, `send()` and `transfer()`.
- Integer rounding errors, overflow, underflow and related usage of `SafeMath` functions.
- Old compiler version pragmas.
- Race conditions such as reentrancy attacks and front running.
- Misuse of block timestamps, assuming anything other than them being strictly increasing.
- Contract softlocking attacks (DoS).
- Potential gas cost of functions being over the gas limit.
- Missing function qualifiers and qualifier misuse.
- Fallback functions with a higher gas cost than allowed by a transfer or send call.
- Fraudulent or erroneous code.
- Code and contract interaction complexity.
- Wrong or missing error handling.
- Overuse of transfers in a single transaction instead of using withdrawal patterns.
- Insufficient analysis of function input requirements.

The contracts use Kyber Network to trade whitelisted ERC20 tokens for MANA tokens. In this audit we assume Kyber Network's contract behaves as defined by the *IKyberNetwork* interface.

Detailed findings

Critical severity

No issues with critical severity found.

Major severity

No issues with major severity found.

Minor severity

Minimum parcel price is not enforced (<https://blog.coinfabrik.com/>)

As per the Land auction documentation (<https://decentraland.org/blog/technology/how-will-the-land-auction-work>) (<https://blog.coinfabrik.com/>) a minimum price of 1,000 MANA. This minimum is not enforced in the `_setCurve` function in the `LANDAuction` contract.

```
function _setCurve(uint256[] _xPoints, uint256[] _yPoints) internal {
    uint256 pointsLength = _xPoints.length;
    require(pointsLength == _yPoints.length, "Points should have the same length");
    for (uint i = 0; i < pointsLength - 1; i++) {
        uint256 x1 = _xPoints[i];
        uint256 x2 = _xPoints[i + 1];
        uint256 y1 = _yPoints[i];
        uint256 y2 = _yPoints[i + 1];
        require(x1 < x2, "X points should increase");
        require(y1 > y2, "Y points should decrease");
        (uint256 base, uint256 slope) = _getFunc(
            x1,
            x2,
            y1,
            y2
        );

        curves.push(Func({
            base: base,
            slope: slope,
            limit: x2
        }));
    }
    initialPrice = _yPoints[0];
    endPrice = _yPoints[pointsLength - 1];
}
```

Enhancements

Documentation is incomplete

Some interface contracts are not well documented, for example `IKyberNetwork` in the file `IKyberNetwork` and `LANDRegistry` in file `LANDAuctionStorage.sol`.

```
/**
 * @title Interface for contracts conforming to ERC-721
 */
contract LANDRegistry {
    function assignMultipleParcels(int[] x, int[] y, address beneficiary) external;
}
```

In contrast, others, such as `ITokenConverter`, have very good documentation.

Separate contracts into their own files

It would be more convenient for a couple of contracts in the file `LANDAuctionStorage.sol` to to have files of their own. Examples are `ERC20` and `LANDRegistry`

```
/**
 * @title ERC20 Interface with burn
 * @dev IERC20 imported in ITokenConverter.sol
 */
contract ERC20 is IERC20 {
    function burn(uint256 _value) public;
}
```

Non issues

This website uses cookies to improve your web experience.

Accept

Use of array item without checking length

In the *LANDAuction* contract constructor, an item in the array *_xPoints* is accessed without checking the length. (https://blog.coinfabrik.com/)

```
(https://blog.coinfabrik.com/)
// Set total duration of the auction
duration = _xPoints[_xPoints.length - 1];
```

This code is relying on current Solidity behavior: *_xPoints.length - 1* will cause an overflow and generate an invalid position, then the execution will fail when that position is dereferenced.

It is a non issue because in any case, the constructor will generate an error and the contract will fail to deploy, but it is better to have an explicit check for the array length.

Option to configure if tokens will be burned is unclear

In the function *allowToken*, the option *_shouldBurnTokens* appears to control whether the token will be burned or not.

In any case, the tokens will first be converted to MANA tokens using Kyber Network. When *_shouldBurnTokens* is set to true, approximately 5% of the tokens are reserved and will be burned directly. The other 95% are converted to MANA and burned in a similar manner.

When *_shouldBurnTokens* is set to false, the whole amount of tokens will be converted to MANA and burned in the *_processFunds* function.

The severity is minor since *allowToken* can only be called by the contract owner. In case of a mistake, the owner can call the function *disableToken* and reconfigure the token.

Rounding errors

The prices are set using a set of points, and intermediate values are calculated using linear interpolation. The x coordinate is the time in seconds since the UNIX epoch and the y coordinate is the price in MANA (which has a decimal precision of 18).

The slope is calculated as

$$slope = \frac{y1 - y2}{x2 - x1}$$

Since it is stored in the contract as a *uint*, it will lose some of this precision. The UNIX timestamp on Friday November 30 at 12:00:00 UTC was 1543579200, which is about 1.5×10^9 seconds; also, MANA tokens use 18 decimal digits. The resulting slope will have a precision of around 10^9 decimal digits.

It should not cause problems because the minimum price was set to 1,000 MANA and the resulting rounding error should be around 10^{-12} , but we feel the need to mention it in case the parameters of the auction change.

Conclusion

The only issue we found was that the minimum value is not enforced when configuring the price “curve”, but it should be pretty easy to fix.

This website uses cookies to improve your web experience. Accept
Other findings listed in the *Non Issues* section do not cause direct harm because any unwanted behavior would require an explicit mistake made by the owner of the contracts.

We found the contracts to be very well developed and properly documented, with the exception of third party contracts such as the Kyber Network interface one. (https://blog.coinfabrik.com/)

***Disclaimer:** (https://blog.coinfabrik.com/) This audit report is not a security warranty, investment advice, or an approval of the Decentraland Land Auction since Coinfabrik has not reviewed its platform. Moreover, it does not provide a smart contract code faultlessness guarantee.*

References

- Decentraland's Second LAND Auction:
<https://decentraland.org/blog/announcements/announcing-decentralands-second-land-auction> (<https://decentraland.org/blog/announcements/announcing-decentralands-second-land-auction>)
- How will the LAND auction work?: <https://decentraland.org/blog/technology/how-will-the-land-auction-work> (<https://decentraland.org/blog/technology/how-will-the-land-auction-work>)

Related Posts

(<https://blog.coinfabrik.com/smart-contracts/smart-contract-audit-smart-contracts/arcadierx-security-audit/>)
ArcadierX Security Audit (<https://blog.coinfabrik.com/smart-contracts/smart-contract-audit-smart-contracts/arcadierx-security-audit/>)
CoinFabrik was asked to audit the contracts for the ArcadierX project. Firstly, we will provide...

(<https://blog.coinfabrik.com/smart-contracts/smart-contract-audit-smart-contracts/auditing-solidity-code-with-slither/>)
Auditing Solidity Code with Slither
(<https://blog.coinfabrik.com/smart-contracts/smart-contract-audit-smart-contracts/auditing-solidity-code-with-slither/>)

contracts/auditing-
solidity-
code-
with-
slither/)

(https://blog.coinfabrik.com/auditoria-
smart-
contracts/auditing-
with-
securify/)

Auditoria CryptoCup
(https://blog.coinfabrik.com/es/contratos-
inteligentes/auditoria-cryptocup/)
inteligentes/auditoria-
cryptocup/)

(https://blog.coinfabrik.com/smart-
contracts/smart-
contract-
audit-
smart-
contracts/easypool-
smart-
contract-
security-
audit-
v2/)

Security Auditing: Beware of Duplicated Storage in Solidity Smart
Contract Development (https://blog.coinfabrik.com/smart-
contracts/security-auditing-beware-duplicated-storage-solidity-smart-
contract-development/)

Solidity semantics are confusing for smart contract developers with experience in traditional
programming languages. This...

(<https://blog.coinfabrik.com/>)

(<https://blog.coinfabrik.com/smart-contracts/smart-contract-audit-smart-contracts/coinfabrik-audit-process/>)

contracts/smart-

Smart Contract Audit Process

contract-

(<https://blog.coinfabrik.com/smart-contracts/smart-contract-audit-smart-contracts/coinfabrik-audit-process/>)

audit-

audit-smart-contracts/coinfabrik-audit-process/)

smart-

A security audit is a process in which a client subjects his or her smart...

contracts/coinfabrik-

audit-

process/)

Smart Contract Auditing: Human vs. Machine

(<https://blog.coinfabrik.com/smart-contracts/smart-contract-audit-smart-contracts/smart-contract-auditing-human-vs-machine/>)

smart-contracts/smart-contract-auditing-human-vs-machine/)

In this article we are benchmarking several auditing tools. The smart contract security audit is...

(<https://blog.coinfabrik.com/smart-contracts/smart-contract-audit-smart-contracts/smart-contract-audits-ultimate-security-guide/>)

contracts/smart-

Smart Contract Audits: The Ultimate Security Guide

contract-

(<https://blog.coinfabrik.com/smart-contracts/smart-contract-audit-smart-contracts/smart-contract-audits-ultimate-security-guide/>)

audit-

audit-smart-contracts/smart-contract-audits-ultimate-

smart-

security-guide/)

contracts/smart-

Smart contract security is a serious problem today. Security flaws, misbehavior, and inefficiency are very...

contract-

audits-

ultimate-

security-

guide/)

Smart Contract Short Address Attack Mitigation Failure

(<https://blog.coinfabrik.com/blockchain/blockchain-development/smart-contract-short-address-attack-mitigation-failure/>)

contract-short-address-attack-mitigation-failure/)

Overview Our smart contract audit team found that Short Address Attack mitigations can cause several problems...

This website uses cookies to improve your web experience.

Accept

(<https://blog.coinfabrik.com/smart-contracts/etherparty-etherparty-smart-contract-security-audit>)

EtherParty Smart Contract Security Audit

contracts/etherparty-

token- (https://blog.coinfabrik.com/smart-contracts/etherparty-
(https://blog.coinfabrik.com/)
smart- token-smart-contract-security-audit-coinfabrik/)
contract- (https://blog.coinfabrik.com/)
security- CoinFabrik team has been hired to audit Etherparty smart contracts. Firstly, we will
audit- provide a...
coinfabrik/)

(https://blog.coinfabrik.com/smart-
contracts/smart-
contract- RCN BasicCosigner Smart Contract Security Audit
audit- (https://blog.coinfabrik.com/smart-contracts/smart-contract-
smart- audit-smart-contracts/rcn-basiccossigner-smart-contract-
contracts/security-audit/)
basiccosigner- CoinFabrik security audit's team was asked to audit the BasicCosigner contract.
smart- This contract is part...
contract-
security-
audit/)

(https://blog.coinfabrik.com/smart-
contracts/smart-
contract- Bricks4US Token Smart Contract Security Audit
audit- (https://blog.coinfabrik.com/smart-contracts/smart-contract-
smart- audit-smart-contracts/bricks4us-token-smart-contract-
contracts/bricks4us-
token- security-audit/)
smart- CoinFabrik was asked to audit the contracts for the Bricks4Us token sale. Firstly,
contract- we will...
security-
audit/)

(https://blog.coinfabrik.com/smart-

contracts/smart-
audit-
smart-
contracts/cryptosolartech-
security-
audit/)

Cryptosolartech Security Audit (https://blog.coinfabrik.com/)
(https://blog.coinfabrik.com/smart-contracts/smart-contract-
(https://blog.coinfabrik.com/)
audit-smart-contracts/cryptosolartech-security-audit/)
Coinfabrik's smart contract audit's team was asked to audit the contracts for the
Cryptosolartech sale....
contracts/cryptosolartech-

Tags:

audit
(https://blog.coinfabrik.com/tag/audit/)

security
(https://blog.coinfabrik.com/tag/security/)

SHARE
ON

f

(https://www.facebook.com/sharer/sharer.php?u=https://blog.coinfabrik.com/uncategorized/decentraland-security-audit/)

🐦

(https://twitter.com/intent/tweet?text=Decentraland%20Security%20Audit&url=https://blog.coinfabrik.com/uncategorized/decentraland-security-audit/)

📌

(https://pinterest.com/pin/create/button/?url=https://blog.coinfabrik.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/08/Decentraland.jpg&description=Decentraland+Security+Audit)

in

(https://www.linkedin.com/shareArticle?mini=true&url=https://blog.coinfabrik.com/uncategorized/decentraland-security-audit/&title=Decentraland%20Security%20Audit&source=CoinFabrik%20Blog)

← PREVIOUS ARTICLE

NEXT ARTICLE →

Auditing Solidity Code with Slither
(https://blog.coinfabrik.com/smart-contracts/smart-contract-audit-smart-contracts/auditing-solidity-code-with-slither/)

DMToken Security Audit V2 2019
Updated
(https://blog.coinfabrik.com/smart-contracts/smart-contract-audit-smart-contracts/dmtoken-security-audit-v2-2019-updated/)

You may also like

(https://blog.coinfabrik.com/smart-contracts/magic-bridge-audit/)
Magic Bridge Audit (https://blog.coinfabrik.com/smart-contracts/magic-bridge-audit/)

(https://blog.coinfabrik.com/smart-contracts/smart-contract-audit-smart-contracts/mintingfactoryv2-baseupgradablemarketplace-kodav3upgradablelegatedmarketplace/)

MintingFactoryV2, BaseUpgradableMarketplace & KODAV3UpgradableGatedMarketplace (https://blog.coinfabrik.com/smart-contracts/smart-contract-audit-smart-contracts/mintingfactoryv2-baseupgradablemarketplace-kodav3upgradablegatedmarketplace/)

 (https://blog.coinfabrik.com/feed/)

 (https://ar.linkedin.com/company/coinfabrik)

 (https://twitter.com/coinfabrik)


(https://www.youtube.com/channel/UC2GmjCr7aEz-il31kqOy9aw)

 (https://www.facebook.com/CoinFabrik/)

 (https://www.reddit.com/r/CoinFabrik/)

 (https://github.com/coinfabrik)

© 2021 CoinFabrik - All Rights Reserved.

Made with ❤️ in Buenos Aires, Argentina.