

DAY-3/90 CTO BHAIYA

GITHUB -:DAY-3

¶ LeetCode Problem Solutions – Two Pointer & HashMap Mastery

Complete guide with crystal-clear explanations, intuitions, and step-by-step breakdowns for interview preparation.

¶ Table of Contents

1. [Two Sum](#)
 2. [Two Sum II – Sorted Array](#)
 3. [Merge Sorted Array](#)
 4. [Count Pairs Whose Sum < Target](#)
 5. [3Sum](#)
-

1 ¶ LeetCode 1 – Two Sum

¶ Problem Link

[LeetCode 1 - Two Sum](#)

¶ Intuition / Approach

Problem: Given an **unsorted array**, find two numbers that add up to the target.

Key Insight: - For each number x , we need to find if $(\text{target} - x)$ exists in the array - Instead of checking the entire array repeatedly ($O(n^2)$), we can use a **HashMap** to instantly check if the required partner exists

Think of it like this:

`nums = [2, 7, 11, 15], target = 9`

At index 0: current = 2
→ Need: $9 - 2 = 7$
→ Is 7 in map? NO
→ Store: {2: 0}

At index 1: current = 7
→ Need: $9 - 7 = 2$
→ Is 2 in map? YES! ✓
→ Return: [0, 1]

Why Use HashMap?

Approach	Time Complexity	Why?
Brute Force (nested loops)	$O(n^2)$	Check every pair - slow!
HashMap	$O(n)$	Instant lookup - one pass only!

HashMap gives us: - $\checkmark O(1)$ lookup time - \checkmark Single pass through array - \checkmark Store number \rightarrow index mapping

Complexity Analysis

- **Time Complexity:** $O(n)$ - we traverse array once
- **Space Complexity:** $O(n)$ - HashMap stores at most n elements

Code with Detailed Comments

```
class Solution {
public:
    vector<int> twoSum(vector<int>& nums, int target) {
        // HashMap to store: number → its index
        unordered_map<int, int> mp;

        for(int i = 0; i < nums.size(); i++) {
            // Calculate what number we need to reach target
            int diff = target - nums[i];

            // Check if required number already exists in map
            if(mp.find(diff) != mp.end()) {
                // Found! Return both indices
                return {mp[diff], i};
            }

            // Store current number with its index for future lookups
            mp[nums[i]] = i;
        }

        return {}; // No solution found
    };
}
```

Step-by-Step Execution

Example: $\text{nums} = [3, 2, 4]$, $\text{target} = 6$

Step	i	nums[i]	diff	HashMap Before	Action	Result
1	0	3	$6-3=3$	{}	3 not in map	$\text{mp} = \{3:0\}$
2	1	2	$6-2=4$	{3:0}	4 not in map	$\text{mp} = \{3:0, 2:1\}$
3	2	4	$6-4=2$	{3:0, 2:1}	2 found! \checkmark	return [1, 2]

[2 Mind Map / Key Points](#)

Two Sum (Unsorted Array)

- Problem: Find 2 numbers = target
- Key Insight: Use HashMap for O(1) lookup
- Algorithm:
 - Calculate: diff = target - current
 - Check: Is diff in map?
 - YES → Return indices
 - NO → Store current in map
 - Continue
- Complexity: O(n) time, O(n) space

Remember: - HashMap = Fast Partner Finder
- diff = target - current - Store as you go, check before storing

[2 LeetCode 167 – Two Sum II \(Sorted Array\)](#)

[2 Problem Link](#)

LeetCode 167 - Two Sum II

[2 Intuition / Approach](#)

Problem: Given a **sorted array**, find two numbers that add up to the target.

Key Insight: - Array is **sorted** → we can use **Two Pointers** technique - Start pointer at beginning, end pointer at end - Move pointers based on sum comparison

Visual Understanding:

numbers = [2, 7, 11, 15], target = 9

Start: [2, 7, 11, 15]
 ↑ ↑
 start end

Sum = 2 + 15 = 17 > 9 (too big!)
→ Move end left

Next: [2, 7, 11, 15]
 ↑ ↑
 start end

Sum = 2 + 11 = 13 > 9 (still too big!)
→ Move end left

Next: [2, 7, 11, 15]
 ↑ ↑

start end

Sum = 2 + 7 = 9 ✓ Found!

Why Use Two Pointers?

Approach	Time	Space	Why Better?
HashMap	O(n)	O(n)	Works but uses extra space
Two Pointers	O(n)	O(1)	✓ No extra space + sorted advantage!

Two Pointers Logic: - Sum **too small** → increase sum → move **start** right → - Sum **too big** → decrease sum → move **end** left ← - Sum **equals target** → Found! ✓

Complexity Analysis

- **Time Complexity:** O(n) – single pass with two pointers
- **Space Complexity:** O(1) – no extra data structures

Code with Detailed Comments

```
class Solution {
public:
    vector<int> twoSum(vector<int>& numbers, int target) {
        int start = 0; // Left pointer
        int end = numbers.size() - 1; // Right pointer

        while(start < end) {
            int sum = numbers[start] + numbers[end];

            if(sum == target) {
                // Found! Return 1-based indices
                return {start + 1, end + 1};
            }
            else if(sum < target) {
                // Sum too small → need bigger number
                // Move start right to increase sum
                start++;
            }
            else {
                // Sum too big → need smaller number
                // Move end left to decrease sum
                end--;
            }
        }

        return {}; // No solution
    };
}
```

2 Step-by-Step Execution

Example: numbers = [2, 3, 4], target = 6

Step	start	end	numbers[start]	numbers[end]	sum	Action
1	0	2	2	4	6	sum == target ✓ return [1, 3]
Result						

Example 2: numbers = [1, 2, 3, 4, 6], target = 6

Step	start	end	sum	Comparison	Action
1	0	4	1+6=7	7 > 6	end--
2	0	3	1+4=5	5 < 6	start++
3	1	3	2+4=6	6 == 6 ✓	return [2, 4]

2 Mind Map / Key Points

Two Sum II (Sorted Array)

- Key: Array is SORTED
- Technique: Two Pointers
- Pointer Movement:
 - sum < target → start++ (increase sum)
 - sum > target → end-- (decrease sum)
 - sum == target → Found!
- Why Better?: O(1) space vs HashMap O(n)
- Return: 1-based indices

Remember: - Sorted array = Two Pointers opportunity
- Compare sum, move accordingly - O(1) space advantage!

3 LeetCode 88 – Merge Sorted Array

Problem Link

[LeetCode 88 - Merge Sorted Array](#)

Intuition / Approach

Problem: Merge two sorted arrays into **nums1 in-place** (**nums1** has extra space).

Key Insight: - Merging from **start** → would overwrite **nums1** elements **X**- Merging from **end** → empty space available ✓- Place largest elements first at the end

Visual Understanding:

nums1 = [1, 2, 3, 0, 0, 0], m = 3
nums2 = [2, 5, 6], n = 3

Step 1: Compare from end

[1, 2, 3, 0, 0, 0]

↑ ↑
i k

[2, 5, 6]

↑
j

Compare: 3 vs 6 → 6 is bigger

Place 6 at position k

Step 2:

[1, 2, 3, 0, 0, 6]

↑ ↑
i k

[2, 5, 6]

↑
j

Compare: 3 vs 5 → 5 is bigger

Place 5 at position k

... continue until done

Why Merge from End?

Approach	Issue	Solution
Start → End	Overwrites nums1 elements	✗ Need extra space
End → Start	Empty space at end of nums1	✓ No overwriting!

Advantages: - ✓No extra array needed - ✓Truly in-place - ✓Uses empty space smartly

Complexity Analysis

- **Time Complexity:** $O(m + n)$ – single pass through both arrays
- **Space Complexity:** $O(1)$ – no extra space, merge in-place

Code with Detailed Comments

```
class Solution {
public:
    void merge(vector<int>& nums1, int m, vector<int>& nums2, int n) {
        // Three pointers: i (nums1), j (nums2), k (merged position)
        int i = m - 1;           // Last element of nums1's valid part
        int j = n - 1;           // Last element of nums2
        int k = m + n - 1;       // Last position in nums1 (total array)

        // Merge from end to start
        while(i >= 0 && j >= 0) {
            if(nums1[i] > nums2[j]) {
```

```

        // nums1's element is bigger
        nums1[k--] = nums1[i--];
    }
    else {
        // nums2's element is bigger or equal
        nums1[k--] = nums2[j--];
    }
}

// If nums2 has remaining elements, copy them
// (If nums1 has remaining, they're already in place)
while(j >= 0) {
    nums1[k--] = nums2[j--];
}
};


```

Step-by-Step Execution

Example: `nums1 = [1, 2, 3, 0, 0, 0]`, $m = 3$, `nums2 = [2, 5, 6]`, $n = 3$

Step	i	j	k	nums1[i]	nums2[j]	Compare	Action	nums1 State
Start	2	2	5	3	6	$3 < 6$	Place nums2[j]	[1,2,3,0,0,6]
1	2	1	4	3	5	$3 < 5$	Place nums2[j]	[1,2,3,0,5,6]
2	2	0	3	3	2	$3 > 2$	Place nums1[i]	[1,2,3,3,5,6]
3	1	0	2	2	2	$2 == 2$	Place nums2[j]	[1,2,2,3,5,6]
4	1	-1	1	-	-	j done	Exit while	[1,2,2,3,5,6]
Final								[1,2,2,3,5,6] ✓

Mind Map / Key Points

Merge Sorted Array

- Challenge: Merge in-place without extra space
- Key Insight: Merge from END → avoid overwriting
- Three Pointers:
 - i → last valid element in nums1
 - j → last element in nums2
 - k → current merge position
- Algorithm:
 - Compare nums1[i] vs nums2[j]
 - Place LARGER at nums1[k]

```
└─ Move corresponding pointer
   └─ Copy remaining nums2 if any
   Complexity: O(m+n) time, O(1) space
```

Remember: - Start from END, not beginning! - Three pointers: i, j, k - Pick the BIGGER element - Copy remaining nums2 if needed

42 LeetCode 2824 – Count Pairs Whose Sum < Target

Problem Link

[LeetCode 2824 - Count Pairs Whose Sum < Target](#)

Intuition / Approach

Problem: Count all pairs (i, j) where $i < j$ and $\text{nums}[i] + \text{nums}[j] < \text{target}$.

Key Insight: - Brute force: Check all pairs $\rightarrow O(n^2)$ with nested loops - **Optimization:** Sort + Two Pointers \rightarrow count multiple pairs at once! - If $\text{nums}[\text{start}] + \text{nums}[\text{end}] < \text{target}$, then ALL elements between start and end form valid pairs with start

Visual Understanding:

`nums = [1, 2, 3, 4], target = 5`

After sorting: [1, 2, 3, 4]

Step 1: start=0, end=3

[1, 2, 3, 4]

↑

↑

start end

`sum = 1 + 4 = 5 (NOT < 5)`

`→ end--`

Step 2: start=0, end=2

[1, 2, 3, 4]

↑

↑

start end

`sum = 1 + 3 = 4 < 5 ✓`

Valid pairs: (1,2), (1,3) \rightarrow count = 2

`→ start++`

Step 3: start=1, end=2

[1, 2, 3, 4]

↑

↑

start end

```
sum = 2 + 3 = 5 (NOT < 5)
→ end--
```

Done! Total count = 2

Why Sort First?

Approach	Time	Why?
Brute Force (nested loops)	$O(n^2)$	Check every pair one by one
Sort + Two Pointers	$O(n \log n)$	✓ Count multiple pairs at once!

Magic of Sorted Array: When $\text{nums}[\text{start}] + \text{nums}[\text{end}] < \text{target}$: - $\text{nums}[\text{start}] + \text{nums}[\text{start}+1] < \text{target}$ (smaller number) - $\text{nums}[\text{start}] + \text{nums}[\text{start}+2] < \text{target}$ (even smaller) - ... ALL elements from start+1 to end work! - **Count += (end - start)** pairs in one step! ↴

Complexity Analysis

- **Time Complexity:** $O(n \log n)$ – sorting takes $O(n \log n)$, two pointers scan is $O(n)$
- **Space Complexity:** $O(1)$ – only using pointers

Code with Detailed Comments

```
class Solution {
public:
    int countPairs(vector<int>& nums, int target) {
        // Sort to enable two-pointer technique
        sort(nums.begin(), nums.end());

        int start = 0;
        int end = nums.size() - 1;
        int count = 0;

        while(start < end) {
            int sum = nums[start] + nums[end];

            if(sum < target) {
                // KEY INSIGHT: If nums[start] + nums[end] < target,
                // then nums[start] + ALL elements from (start+1 to end)
                // will also be < target (because array is sorted)
                count += (end - start);
                start++; // Move to next element
            }
            else {
                // Sum >= target, need smaller sum
                end--; // Move end pointer left
            }
        }
    }
}
```

```

        return count;
    }
};


```

[Step-by-Step Execution](#)

Example: `nums = [-1, 1, 2, 3, 1]`, `target = 2`

After sorting: `nums = [-1, 1, 1, 2, 3]`

Step	start	end	nums[start]	nums[end]	sum	Comparison	Action	Count Added	Total Count
1	0	4	-1	3	2	$2 == 2$	end-	0	0
2	0	3	-1	2	1	$1 < 2 \checkmark$	start++	3	3
3	1	3	1	2	3	$3 > 2$	end-	0	3
4	1	2	1	1	2	$2 == 2$	end-	0	3
Done	1	1	-	-	-	start==end	Stop	-	3

Valid pairs: (-1,1), (-1,1), (-1,2) = 3 pairs \checkmark

[Mind Map / Key Points](#)

Count Pairs (Sum < Target)

- Step 1: SORT the array
- Step 2: Two Pointers (start, end)
- Logic:
 - sum < target:
 - ALL pairs from start to end are valid
 - count += (end - start)
 - start++
 - sum >= target:
 - end-- (reduce sum)
- Why Sort?: Enables counting multiple pairs at once
- Complexity: $O(n \log n)$ time, $O(1)$ space

Remember: - Sort first! \square - $\text{sum} < \text{target} \rightarrow \text{count} += (\text{end} - \text{start})$ - One pointer move can count MULTIPLE pairs - Sorted array = efficiency boost

[5. LeetCode 15 – 3Sum](#)

[Problem Link](#)

LeetCode 15 - 3Sum

[Intuition / Approach]

Problem: Find all **unique triplets** $[a, b, c]$ where $a + b + c = 0$.

Key Insight: - Convert 3Sum problem \rightarrow 2Sum problem! - Fix one element \rightarrow find two elements that sum to $-fixed_element$ - Sort array to easily skip duplicates

Breakdown: 1. **Sort** the array 2. **Fix** first element (loop with i) 3. Use **Two Pointers** (left, right) for remaining two elements 4. **Skip duplicates** to ensure unique triplets

Visual Understanding:

nums = [-1, 0, 1, 2, -1, -4]

After sorting: [-4, -1, -1, 0, 1, 2]

Fix $i=1$ ($nums[i]=-1$):

Need: $0 - (-1) = 1$

[-4, -1, -1, 0, 1, 2]
 ↑ ↑ ↑
 i l r

sum = $-1 + (-1) + 2 = 0 \checkmark$

Found: [-1, -1, 2]

Skip duplicates, continue...

Why Sort + Two Pointers?

Approach	Time	Issues
Three nested loops	$O(n^3)$	Too slow + hard to avoid duplicates
Sort + Fix one + 2 Pointers	$O(n^2)$	\checkmark Efficient + easy duplicate handling

Advantages: - \checkmark Reduces from $O(n^3)$ to $O(n^2)$ - \checkmark Sorted array helps skip duplicates easily - \checkmark Two pointer technique for inner elements

Complexity Analysis

- **Time Complexity:** $O(n^2) - O(n \log n)$ for sort + $O(n^2)$ for loop with two pointers
- **Space Complexity:** $O(1)$ – excluding output array (sorting is typically $O(\log n)$ for space)

Code with Detailed Comments

```
class Solution {
public:
    vector<vector<int>> threeSum(vector<int>& nums) {
        vector<vector<int>> ans;
```

```

// Step 1: Sort to enable two-pointer and skip duplicates
sort(nums.begin(), nums.end());

// Step 2: Fix first element
for(int i = 0; i < nums.size(); i++) {
    // Skip duplicate first elements
    if(i > 0 && nums[i] == nums[i-1])
        continue;

    // Step 3: Two pointers for remaining two elements
    int l = i + 1;           // Left pointer
    int r = nums.size() - 1; // Right pointer

    while(l < r) {
        int sum = nums[i] + nums[l] + nums[r];

        if(sum == 0) {
            // Found a valid triplet!
            ans.push_back({nums[i], nums[l], nums[r]});

            // Skip duplicate left elements
            while(l < r && nums[l] == nums[l+1])
                l++;

            // Skip duplicate right elements
            while(l < r && nums[r] == nums[r-1])
                r--;

            // Move both pointers
            l++;
            r--;
        }
        else if(sum < 0) {
            // Sum too small, need bigger number
            l++;
        }
        else {
            // Sum too big, need smaller number
            r--;
        }
    }
}

return ans;
}
};

```

🔗 Step-by-Step Execution

Example: `nums = [-1, 0, 1, 2, -1, -4]`

After sorting: `[-4, -1, -1, 0, 1, 2]`

i	nums[i]	l	r	sum	Action	Result
0	-4	1	5	-4+-1+2=-3	sum<0, l++	-
0	-4	2	5	-4+-1+2=-3	sum<0, l++	-
0	-4	3	5	-4+0+2=-2	sum<0, l++	-
0	-4	4	5	-4+1+2=-1	sum<0, l++	-
0	-4	5	5	l==r	Next i	-
1	-1	2	5	-1+1+2=0 ✅	Found!	[-1,-1,2]
1	-1	3	4	-1+0+1=0 ✅	Found!	[-1,0,1]
2	-1	-	-	Skip (duplicate)	continue	-
3+	-	-	-	No valid triplets	-	-

Final Answer: `[[-1, -1, 2], [-1, 0, 1]] ✅`

🔗 Mind Map / Key Points

3Sum Problem

- Goal: Find unique triplets with sum = 0
- Strategy: Fix one + Two Pointers for rest
- Algorithm:
 - Step 1: SORT array
 - Step 2: Loop with i (fix first element)
 - Skip duplicates: if `nums[i]==nums[i-1]`
 - Step 3: Two Pointers (l, r)
 - sum == 0: Add to result, skip duplicates, move both
 - sum < 0: l++ (need bigger)
 - sum > 0: r-- (need smaller)
 - Return all unique triplets
- Duplicate Handling:
 - Skip duplicate i values
 - Skip duplicate l values
 - Skip duplicate r values
- Complexity: $O(n^2)$ time, $O(1)$ space

Remember: - Sort first! 🔗 - Fix i, then 2Sum problem for rest - Skip duplicates at ALL levels (i, l, r) - sum logic: $<0 \rightarrow l++$, $>0 \rightarrow r-$, $==0 \rightarrow \text{found!}$

💡 Final Comparison Table

Problem	Technique	Key Insight	Time	Space
Two Sum	HashMap	Unsorted → instant lookup	$O(n)$	$O(n)$
Two Sum II	Two Pointers	Sorted → move based on sum	$O(n)$	$O(1)$
Merge Sorted	Three Pointers	Merge from END	$O(m+n)$	$O(1)$
Count Pairs	Sort + Two Pointers	count += (end-start)	$O(n \log n)$	$O(1)$
3Sum	Sort + Fix + Two Pointers	Fix one, 2Sum for rest	$O(n^2)$	$O(1)$

💡 Quick Revision Checklist

When to use HashMap: - ✓Unsorted array - ✓Need $O(1)$ lookup - ✓Finding pairs/complements

When to use Two Pointers: - ✓Sorted array (or sort first) - ✓Need $O(1)$ space - ✓Finding pairs/triplets with sum conditions

Golden Rules: 1. **Sorted array** → Think Two Pointers first 2. **Unsorted + need pairs** → Think HashMap 3. **Merge operations** → Work from END 4. **Avoid duplicates** → Sort + skip same values 5. **3Sum/4Sum** → Fix element(s) + reduce to 2Sum