

List of Figures

Figure 1. Locations of sites in the SNEP low gradient IBI development dataset (n=109 unique sites), coded by sampling entity (MassDEP or Tetra Tech), with Level 3 ecoregions as the backdrop.....	3
Figure 2. A diverse group of low gradient sites are represented in the IBI calibration dataset, ranging from slow winding, soft bottom streams to slow-moving streams with gravel or cobble substrate.....	10
Figure 3. Distribution of jabs per site across the four major habitat types..	11
Figure 4. USEPA's StreamCat metrics (Hill et al. 2016) cover two spatial scales: local catchment and total watershed.....	12
Figure 5. Process for assigning sites to disturbance categories.	15
Figure 6. Several urban areas, including Providence, RI, are located in the U.S. EPA Southern New England program (SNEP) region, as well as agricultural areas (including cranberry bogs), forest and wetlands (source: NLCD 2016).....	17
Figure 7. Spatial distribution of SNEP sites color-coded by disturbance category and overlaid on Level 3 and 4 ecoregions.....	18
Figure 8. Box plots showing the range of disturbance represented in the reference (n=26) and stressed (n=23) sites, as measured by the ICI, IWI, percent urban, and percent agricultural land cover.	19
Figure 9. Bi-plot of total taxa (nt_total) and the log(10) transformation of drainage area, showing reference sites as solid blue markers, non-reference sites as open circles, and the reference 95 th quantile regression line as a blue sloping line.	24
Figure 10. Discrimination efficiency (DE).	26
Figure 11. Distribution of SNEP IBI scores across disturbance categories, reference (Ref), intermediate (MidStrs), and stressed (HighStrs).	34
Figure 12. Relationship between the low gradient, multihabitat IBI vs. ICI (upper left), IWI (lower left), percent urban (upper right) and percent agriculture (lower right).	35
Figure 13. IBI scores in relation to RBP total habitat scores, marked by disturbance category.	36
Figure 14. IBI scores in relation to effective habitat variables, including available cover, pool variability, riparian vegetation, and sediment deposition.....	37
Figure 15. IBI scores in relation to dissolved oxygen (DO) in sites with DO data, marked by disturbance category.....	38
Figure 16. IBI scores in relation to conductivity (on a log-transformed axis) at sites with conductivity data, marked by disturbance category.	39
Figure 17. IBI scores in relation to percent forest cover (watershed-scale), marked by disturbance category.	39
Figure 18. Conductivity (on a log-transformed axis) at sites with conductivity data in relation to percent urban land uses in the watershed, marked by disturbance category.	40
Figure 19. Conductivity (on a log-transformed axis) at sites with conductivity data in relation to pH, marked by disturbance category.....	41
Figure 20. Modeled mean summer stream temperature (MSST; Hill et al. 2013) in relation to percent canopy cover, marked by disturbance category.	41
Figure 21. Percent sand, silt, and clay substrates in relation to IBI scores, marked by disturbance category.	42
Figure 22. Site drainage area (on a log-transformed axis) in relation to IBI scores, marked by disturbance category.....	43
Figure 23. Mean Summer Stream Temperature (MSST) in relation to IBI scores, marked by disturbance category.....	43
Figure 24. In situ (measured) stream temperature in relation to IBI scores, marked by disturbance category.	44
Figure 25. IBI score distributions (medians, interquartile ranges, non-outlier ranges, and outliers) in Level 4 ecoregions and disturbance categories; reference (Ref), intermediate (MidStrs), and stressed (HighStrs).	44

Figure 26. Percent water and wetland in the watershed in relation to IBI scores, marked by disturbance category.....	45
Figure 27. IBI values comparing calibration data and revised data, showing the unity line (black dashed), regression line (red dashed), and the central threshold at 60 in calibration data and 64 in revised data.....	48
Figure 28. Proportional odds logistic regression graph, showing probability of membership in the highly stressed (Stress), moderately stressed (ModStrs), and reference (Ref) disturbance categories.....	49
Figure 29. Low gradient SNEP index distributions plotted by disturbance category and showing recommended thresholds (dashed lines) and threshold ranges (shaded bars) to describe index values associated with narrative condition categories.....	50
Figure 30. Low gradient, multihabitat sites in the SNEP region color-coded by biological condition category based on the recommended IBI thresholds in Table 17.....	51