Section 8.3: Equivalence Relations

Juan Patricio Carrizales Torres

May 19, 2022

In this section, the concept of **Equivalence Relation** on some set A is introduced. In short words, an **Equivalence Relation** on some set A is one that has is reflexive, symmetric and transitive. One of the best examples is the relation R defined by x R y if x = y. Also, an important subset to understand the behavior of these type of relations is the **equivalence class**. Basically, an **equivalence class** [a] contains all elements $x \in A$ that are related to some specific $a \in A$, namely,

$$[a] = \{x \in A : x R a\}$$

Note that if $b \in [a]$ (b is related to a), then b and a are "equivalent". Note that $a \in [b]$ and [b] = [a] due to the symmetric and transitive properties of R. Quite interesting!!!

Lemma 8.3.1. Let R be an equivalence relation on an nonempty set A. Then, a R b for some $a, b \in A$ is a necessary and sufficient condition for [a] = [b].

Proof. Because R is reflexive, $a \in [a]$ and $b \in [b]$ and so they are nonempty. Consider some $x \in [a]$, then x R a. Note that a R b and so, by the transitive property of R, x R b. Hence, $x \in [b]$ which implies that $[a] \subseteq [b]$.

Now consider some $y \in [b]$ and so y R b. Since R is symmetric and a R b, it follows that b R a. Thus, by the transitive property, y R a and so $y \in [a]$. Therefore, $[b] \subseteq [a]$ and so [a] = [b].

For the converse, assume that [a] = [b]. Since R is reflexive, it follows that $a \in [a]$ and so $a \in [b]$. Hence, a R b.

Note that this implies that the union of all equivalence classes of A is A itself!!!

Corollary 8.3.1. Let R be an equivalence relation on an nonempty set A and consider some $a, b \in A$. Then, [b] = [a] if and only if $b \in [a]$.

Proof. Assume that [b] = [a]. By **Lemma 8.3.1**, b R a. Therefore, $b \in [a]$. For the converse, suppose that $b \in [a]$. Then, b R a. By **Lemma 8.3.1**, [b] = [a].

Corollary 8.3.2. Let R be an equivalence relation on A with a total of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ different equivalence classes $[a_1], [a_2], [a_3], \ldots, [a_n]$. Then, the equivalence classes are disjoint.

Proof. Suppose, to the contrary, that $[a_i] \cap [a_j] \neq \emptyset$ for some positive integers $i, j \leq n$ such that $i \neq j$. Then, there is at least some $x \in [a_i] \cap [a_j]$ and so $x \in [a_i]$ and $x \in [a_j]$. By **Corollary 8.3.1**, $[a_i] = [x] = [a_j]$. However, this contradicts the assumption that $[a_i] \neq [a_j]$.

Lemma 8.3.2. Let R be an equivalence relation on A with a total of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ different equivalence classes $[a_1], [a_2], [a_3], \ldots, [a_n]$. Then,

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} [a_i] = A$$

Proof. Suppose, to the contrary, that

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} [a_i] \neq A.$$

Hence, either

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} [a_i] \not\subseteq A \quad \text{or} \quad \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} [a_i] \not\supseteq A.$$

Suppose the first. Then, there exists some $x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^n [a_i]$ such that $x \notin A$. This implies that $x \in [a_k]$ for some positive integer k. However, $x \notin A$ and this contradicts the fact that $[a_k] = \{x \in A : x R a_k\}$.

Thus, we can assume that $\bigcup_{i=1}^n [a_i] \not\supseteq A$. Then, there is some $y \in A$ such that $y \not\in \bigcup_{i=1}^n [a_i]$. Because $\bigcup_{i=1}^n [a_i]$ is the union of all distinct equivalence classes resulting from R, it follows that $y \not R$ a for any $a \in A$. Hence $(y,y) \not\in R$. However, this contradicts the fact that R is reflexive.

Thus,

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} [a_i] = A.$$

Problem 24. Let R be an equivalence relation on $A = \{a, b, c, d, e, f, g\}$ such that a R c, c R d, d R g and b R f. If there are three distinct equivalence classes resulting from R, then determine these equivalence classes and determine all elements of R.

Solution 24. By repetitive use of **Lemma 8.3.1**, we conclude that [a] = [c] = [d] = [g] and [b] = [f]. Also, since e is not related to any element of A, it follows that the remaining equivalence class is [e]. Note that the reflexive property of R implies that g R g and f R f. Therefore, by the transitive property,

$$[g] = \{a, g, d, c\} = [a] = [c] = [d]$$

 $[f] = \{b, f\} = [b]$
 $[e] = \{e\}$

Therefore,

$$R = \{(a, a), (g, a), (d, a), (c, a), (a, c), (g, c), (d, c), (c, c), (a, d), (g, d), (d, d), (c, d), (a, g), (g, g), (d, g), (c, g), (b, b), (f, b), (b, f), (f, f), (e, e)\}.$$

This is a taste of how useful equivalence classes can be. Wow!!!

Problem 25. Let $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$. The relation

$$R = \{(1,1), (1,5), (2,2), (2,3), (2,6), (3,2), (3,3), (3,6), (4,4), (5,1), (5,5), (6,2), (6,3), (6,6)\}$$

is an equivalence relation on A. Determine the distinct equivalence classes.

Solution 25. Since R is an equivalence relation on A, then we can use **Lemma 1.8.3** to determine the equivalence classes. Note that $(1,1),(5,1),(2,2),(6,2),(3,2),(4,4) \in R$. Hence,

$$[1] = \{1, 5\} = [5]$$
$$[2] = \{2, 6, 3\} = [3] = [6]$$
$$[4] = \{4\}$$

Problem 26. Let $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$. The distinct equivalence classes resulting from an equivalence relation R on A are $\{1, 4, 5\}, \{2, 6\}$ and $\{3\}$. What is R?

Solution 26. By Corollary 8.3.1,

$$\{1,4,5\} = [1] = [4] = [5]$$

 $\{2,6\} = [2] = [6]$ and
 $\{3\} = [3].$

Therefore, the relation

$$R = \{(1,1), (4,1), (5,1), (1,4), (4,4), (5,4), (1,5), (4,5), (5,5), (2,2), (6,2), (2,6), (6,6), (3,3)\}$$

Corollary 8.3.3. Let R be an equivalence relation on A with a total of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ different equivalence classes $[a_1], [a_2], [a_3], \dots, [a_n]$. Then,

$$|R| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |[a_i]|^2$$
.

Proof. Consider some $[a_k]$ for a $k \leq n$. By **Corollary 8.3.1**, $[x] = [a_k]$ for every $x \in [a_k]$. Then, $|[a_k]|$ elements of A are related to x for every $x \in [a_k]$. Hence, $|[a_k]|^2$ different n-tuples are elements of R. This is the apport of each equivalence class, however we need to be sure

that each $x \in A$ is an element of only one equivalence class. Since **Corollary 8.3.2** implies that the different equivalence classes are disjoint and **Lemma 8.3.2** implies that their union is A, it follows that

$$|R| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |[a_i]|^2$$

Problem 27. Let R be a relation defined on \mathbb{Z} by a R b if $a^3 = b^3$. Show that R is an equivalence relation on \mathbb{Z} and determine the distinct equivalence classes.

Solution 27. We first show that R is an equivalence relation on \mathbb{Z} .

Proof. Consider some integer x, then $x^3 = x^3$ and so x R x. Hence, R is reflexive.

Now, consider some $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that x R y. Therefore, $x^3 = y^3$ and so $y^3 = x^3$, which implies that y R x. Thus, R is symmetric.

Let $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that x R y and y R z. Then, $x^3 = y^3 = z^3$ and so $x^3 = z^3$, which implies that x R z. Therefore, R is transitive. Hence, R is an equivalence relation on \mathbb{Z} .

Note that $x^3 = y^3 \iff x = y$ for any integers x and y. Therefore, each integer is only related to itself by R namely, $[x] = \{x\}$ whenever $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence, there is an inifinity of different equivalence relations.

Problem 30. Let $H = \{2^m : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. A relation R is defined on the set \mathbb{Q}^+ of positive rational numbers by a R b if $a/b \in H$.

(a) Show that R is an equivalence relation.

Proof. Firs, we prove that it is reflexive. Consider some $x \in \mathbb{Q}^+$. Then $x/x = 1 = 2^0 \in H$. Hence, x R x.

Now, we show that it is symmetric. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ such that a R b, namely, $a/b = 2^m$ for some integer m. Then, $b/a = 1/2^m = 2^{-m}$. Since $-m \in \mathbb{Z}$, it follows that b R a. Last, we prove that it is transitive. Consider some $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ such that a R b and b R c, which implies that $a/b = 2^m$ and $b/c = 2^n$ for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that

$$\frac{a}{c} = \frac{a}{b} \cdot \frac{b}{c}$$
$$= 2^m \cdot 2^n$$
$$= 2^{m+n}.$$

Since $m + n \in \mathbb{Z}$, it follows that a R c.

(b) Describe the elements in the equivalence class [3].

Solution b. Consider some positive rational number x such that $x \in \mathbb{R}$ 3. Then, $x/3 = 2^k$ for some integer k. Then, $x = 3 \cdot 2^k$. Thus, $[3] = \{3 \cdot 2^k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

Problem 31. A relation R on a nonempty set A is defined to be **circular** if whenever x R y and y R z, then z R x for all $x, y, z \in A$. Prove that a relation R on A is an equivalence relation if and only if R is circular and reflexive.

Proof. Firts, assume that R is an equivalence relation on some nonempty set A. Hence, R is reflexive, symmetric and transitive. We show that it is circular. Consider some $x, y, z \in A$ such that x R y and y R z. By the transitive property, x R z. Since R is symmetric, it follows that z R x and so R is circular.

For the converse, Let R be a **circular** and reflexive relation on some nonempty set A. We show that it is symmetric and transitive. Consider some $x, y \in A$ such that x R y. Since R is reflexive, it follows that y R y, and so y R x due to the circular property of R. Thus, R is symmetric.

Now, consider some $x, y, z \in A$ such that x R y and y R z. By the **circular property** of R, z R x. Because R is symmetric, it follows that x R z and so R is transitive. Hence, R is an equivalence relation on A.