Section 8.6: The Integers Modulo n

Juan Patricio Carrizales Torres

July 4, 2022

We know that for any positive integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the relation R defined on \mathbb{Z} by a R b if $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ is an equivalence relation that results in the distinct equivalence classes $[0], [1], \ldots, [n-1]$. Then, we can define some class that contains these equivalences classes, namely, $\mathbb{Z}_n = \{[0], [1], \ldots, [n-1]\}$, where \mathbb{Z}_n is known as **integers modulo n**. Although, some may refer to it as the set of **residue classes**. Furthermore, one can define some type of addition and multiplication on \mathbb{Z}_n as follows:

$$[a] + [b] = [a+b]$$
 $[a] \cdot [b] = [ab],$

for any $[a], [b] \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. Since the elements of \mathbb{Z}_n are equivalence classes (partitions of \mathbb{Z}), it follows that both $a+b \in [c]$ and $ab \in [d]$ for some $[c], [d] \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, which implies that [a+b] = [c] and [ab] = [d]. Hence, this addition and multiplication are operations in \mathbb{Z}_n , which means that both the sum and product of two equivalence classes are also equivalence classes. In fact, these operations are well-defined and so the sum and product of two equivalence classes do not depend on the representative integers. More precisely, if [a] = [b] and [c] = [d], then [a+c] = [b+d] and [ac] = [bd]. This operations have the familiar properties of addition and product on \mathbb{Z} , namely,

- (a) Commutative Property [a] + [b] = [b] + [a] and $[a] \cdot [b] = [b] \cdot [a]$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$
- (b) Associative Property ([a] + [b]) + [c] = [a] + ([b] + [c]) and $([a] \cdot [b]) \cdot [c] = [a] \cdot ([b] \cdot [c])$ for all $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$
- (c) Distributive Property $[a] \cdot ([b] + [c]) = [a] \cdot [b] + [a] \cdot [c]$ for all $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Problem 57. Let $S = \mathbb{Z}$ and $T = \{4k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Thus T is a nonempty subset of S.

(a) Prove that T is closed under addition and multiplication.

Proof. Let $a, b \in T$. Then, a = 4m and b = 4n for some $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, a + b = 4m + 4n = 4(n+m) and ab = 16nm = 4(4nm). Since both n+m and 4nm are integers, it follows that $a + b, ab \in T$. Hence, T is closed under addition and multiplication. \square

- (b) If $a \in S T$ and $b \in T$, is $ab \in T$?
 - **Solution** (b). Yes. Since multiplying the integer divisible by four b = 4m by the integer a, one gets the integer divisible by four ab = 4(ma) which is an element of T.
- (c) If $a \in S T$ and $b \in T$, is $a + b \in T$?
 - **Solution (c).** No. Since $a \in S T$, it follows that a = 4k + m where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m \in 1, 2, 3$. Hence, a + b = 4l + m, where $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, is not divisible by 4 and so it is not an element of T.
- (d) If $a, b \in S T$, is it possible that $ab \in T$?
 - **Solution (d).** Yes. Let a=4n+2 and b=4m+2 for integers n,m. Hence, $a,b \in S-T$. However, ab=16mn+8n+8m+4=4(4mn+2m+2n+1) which is divisible by 4. Thus, $ab \in T$.
- (e) If $a, b \in S T$, is it possible that $a + b \in T$?
 - **Solution (e).** Yes. Let a=4n+2 and b=4m+2 for integers n,m. Hence, $a,b \in S-T$. However, a+b=4n+4m+4=4(m+n+1) which is divisible by 4. Thus, $a+b \in T$.

We can conclude that S-T is not closed under addition and multiplication.

Problem 58. Prove that the multiplication in \mathbb{Z}_n , $n \geq 2$, defined by [a][b] = [ab] is well-defined.

Proof. Consider the equivalence classes [a] = [b] and [c] = [d] in \mathbb{Z}_n where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{n}$. By **theorem 4.11**, $ac \equiv bd \pmod{n}$ and so [ac] = [bd].

Problem 59. (a) Let $[a], [b] \in \mathbb{Z}_8$. If $[a] \cdot [b] = [0]$, does it follows that [a] = [0] or [b] = [0]?

Solution (a). Let a = [2] and b = [4]. Then, $[a] \cdot [b] = [2] \cdot [4] = [8] = [0]$. However, $[2], [4] \neq [0]$.

- (b) How is the question in (a) answered if \mathbb{Z}_8 is replaced by \mathbb{Z}_9 ? by \mathbb{Z}_{10} ? by \mathbb{Z}_{11} ?
 - **Solution (b).** For \mathbb{Z}_9 , note that $[3] \cdot [3] = [0]$, for \mathbb{Z}_{10} , note that $[2] \cdots [5] = [0]$. However, for \mathbb{Z}_{11} , [ab] = [0] = [11k] for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if either a or b are multiples of 11 since 11 is a prime integer. This suggests that the question in (a) follows only for prime numbers.
- (c) For which integers $n \geq 2$ is the following statement true? (You are only asked to make a conjecture, not to provide a proof.) Let $[a], [b] \in \mathbb{Z}_n, n \geq 2$. If $[a] \cdot [b] = [0]$, then [a] = [0] or [b] = [0].

Solution (c). This seems to be true for all **prime** integers $n \ge 2$. Namely, if $[a] \cdot [b] = [0] = [nk]$ for some integer k, then [a] = [0] = [nm] or [b] = [0] = [nl] for integers l, m.

Problem 60. For integers $m, n \geq 2$ consider Z_m and Z_n . Let $[a] \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ where $0 \leq a \leq m-1$. Then, $a, a + m \in [a]$ in \mathbb{Z}_m . If $a, a + m \in [b]$ for some $[b] \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, then what can be said of m and n?

Solution 60. If $a, a + m \in [b]$ for some $[b] \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, then a - b = kn and (a + m) - b = ln for integers l, k. Thus, kn + m = ln and so m = n(l - k), where $l - k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $l - k \neq 0$. This implies that $n \mid m$.