

# Chapter 1: Vector Spaces

Juan Patricio Carrizales Torres

Aug 17, 2022

**Problem 1.1.** Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$ . Show that if  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$  and if  $\mathbf{v}$  is a nonzero vector in  $\mathcal{V}$ , then  $\alpha\mathbf{v} = \beta\mathbf{v} \implies \alpha = \beta$ . [HINT:  $\alpha - \beta \neq 0 \implies \mathbf{v} = (\alpha - \beta)^{-1}(\alpha - \beta)\mathbf{v}$ .]

*Proof.* Suppose, to the contrary, that there are distinct  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$  such that for some nonzero  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}$  we have  $\alpha\mathbf{v} = \beta\mathbf{v}$ . Then,  $\alpha - \beta \neq 0$  and so  $\mathbf{v} = (\alpha - \beta)^{-1}(\alpha - \beta)\mathbf{v}$ . Hence,

$$\mathbf{v} = (\alpha - \beta)^{-1}\alpha\mathbf{v} - (\alpha - \beta)^{-1}\beta\mathbf{v} = (\alpha - \beta)^{-1}(\alpha\mathbf{v} - \beta\mathbf{v}).$$

Since  $\alpha\mathbf{v} = \beta\mathbf{v}$ , it follows that  $\alpha\mathbf{v} - \beta\mathbf{v} = \beta\mathbf{v} - \beta\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ . This implies that  $\mathbf{v} = (\alpha - \beta)^{-1}\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$ . This is a contradiction to our assumption that  $\mathbf{v}$  was nonzero.

Another way to prove this directly is by using the fact, for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$  and nonzero vector  $\mathbf{v}$ , that  $\alpha\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0} \implies \alpha = 0$ . A proof reads as follows:

Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$  and  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}$  be some nonzero vector such that  $\alpha\mathbf{v} = \beta\mathbf{v}$ . Then,  $\alpha\mathbf{v} - \beta\mathbf{v} = \beta\mathbf{v} - \beta\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$  and so  $(\alpha - \beta)\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ . Since  $\mathbf{v}$  is nonzero, it follows that  $\alpha - \beta = 0$  and so  $\alpha = \beta$ .  $\square$

**Problem 1.2.** Show that the space  $\mathbb{R}^3$  endowed with the rule

$$\mathbf{x} \square \mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} \max(x_1, y_1) \\ \max(x_2, y_2) \\ \max(x_3, y_3) \end{bmatrix}$$

for vector addition and the usual rule for scalar multiplication is not a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* We show that this space has no unique additive identity. Consider some  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ . Then, both  $\mathbf{y} = (x_1 - 1, x_2 - 1, x_3 - 1)$  and  $\mathbf{z} = (x_1 - 2, x_2 - 2, x_3 - 2)$  are in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and they are distinct. Note that  $\mathbf{x} \square \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{x} \square \mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x}$ .

In fact, one can easily show that there is no vector that is an additive inverse of every vector (the zero vector  $\mathbf{0}$ ) since one can easily construct a vector with elements lower than the ones from any other vector.  $\square$

**Problem 1.3.** Let  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  denote the set of vectors  $\mathbf{a} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \end{bmatrix}$  such that the polynomial

$a_1 + a_2t + a_3t^2 \geq 0$  for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Show that it is closed under vector addition (i.e.,  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{C} \implies \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{C}$ ), but that  $\mathcal{C}$  is not a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ . [REMARK: A set  $\mathcal{C}$  with the indicated two properties is called a **cone**.]

*Proof.* We first show that  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed under addition. Consider any  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{C}$ . Then, for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  we have  $a_1 + a_2t + a_3t^2 \geq 0$  and  $b_1 + b_2t + b_3t^2 \geq 0$ . Then,

$$a_1 + a_2t + a_3t^2 + b_1 + b_2t + b_3t^2 = (a_1 + b_1) + (a_2 + b_2)t + (a_3 + b_3)t^2 \geq 0$$

for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus,  $\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 + b_1 \\ a_2 + b_2 \\ a_3 + b_3 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{C}$ . However, it is not closed under scalar

multiplication. Consider some nonzero  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{C}$  and let  $\alpha = -1$ . Since  $v_1 + v_2t + v_3t^2 \geq 0$  for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , it follows that  $(-v_1) + (-v_2)t + (-v_3)t^2 < 0$  for every positive  $t$ . Hence,  $(-1)\mathbf{v} \notin \mathcal{C}$  and so it is not a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ .  $\square$

**Problem 1.5.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  denote the set of continuous real-valued functions  $f(x)$  on the interval  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ . Show that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$  with respect to the natural rules of vector addition  $((f_1 + f_2)(x) = f_1(x) + f_2(x))$  and scalar multiplication  $((\alpha f)(x) = \alpha f(x))$ .

*Proof.* (a) **Closed under vector addition**

Consider two functions  $f, g \in \mathcal{F}$ . Let  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Then,  $f(x), g(x) \in \mathbb{R}$  and so  $(f + g)(x) = f(x) + g(x) \in \mathbb{R}$  since  $\mathbb{R}$  is closed under addition. Therefore,  $f + g$  is a real-valued function on the interval  $[0, 1]$  and so  $(f + g) \in \mathcal{F}$ .

(b) **Closed under scalar multiplication**

Consider some function  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  and real number  $\alpha$ . Let  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Then,  $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}$  and so  $(\alpha f)(x) = \alpha f(x) \in \mathbb{R}$  since  $\mathbb{R}$  is closed under multiplication. Thus,  $\alpha f$  is a real-valued function on the interval  $[0, 1]$  and so  $\alpha f \in \mathcal{F}$ .

(c) **Vector addition is commutative**

Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Then,  $(f + g)(x) = f(x) + g(x) = g(x) + f(x) = (g + f)(x)$  since addition in the set of real numbers is commutative.

(d) **Vector addition is associative**

Let  $f, g, h \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Then,  $((f + g) + h)(x) = (f + g)(x) + h(x) = f(x) + g(x) + h(x) = f(x) + (g + h)(x) = (f + (g + h))(x)$  since addition in  $\mathbb{R}$  is associative (the order of addition does not matter).

(e) **Existence of additive identity**

Let  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by  $f(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Then,  $f$  is a continuous real-valued function and so  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ . Consider any  $g \in \mathcal{F}$  and let  $a \in [0, 1]$ . Then,  $(f + g)(a) = f(a) + g(a) = 0 + g(a) = g(a)$  since 0 is the additive identity of real numbers. Thus,  $f$  is an additive identity in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

(f) **Existence of additive inverse**

Consider some  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ . Let  $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by  $g(x) = -f(x)$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Consider some  $x \in [0, 1]$  and so  $(f + g)(x) = f(x) + g(x) = f(x) - f(x) = 0$ . Hence,  $g$  is the additive inverse of  $f$ .

(g)  $f \in \mathcal{F} \implies (1)f = f$

Let  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ . Consider any  $x \in [0, 1]$  and so  $f(x) = (1)f(x)$ . Thus,  $f = (1)f$ .

(h) For any  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$  and vector  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\alpha(\beta f) = (\alpha\beta)f$

Let  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Consider any  $x \in [0, 1]$  and so  $\alpha(\beta f)(x) = \alpha(\beta f(x)) = (\alpha\beta)f(x)$  since multiplication in  $\mathbb{R}$  is associative. Thus,  $\alpha(\beta f) = (\alpha\beta)f$

(i) For any  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$  and vector  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $(\alpha + \beta)f = \alpha f + \beta f$

Let  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Consider any  $x \in [0, 1]$  and so  $(\alpha + \beta)f(x) = \alpha f(x) + \beta f(x) = (\alpha f + \beta f)(x)$  since multiplication over addition is distributive for real numbers. □

**Lemma 1.** Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a nonempty subset of a vector space  $\mathcal{M}$  over  $\mathbb{F}$ . Then,  $\mathcal{S}$  is a vector space if and only if for every pair of vectors  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$ ,  $\alpha\mathbf{v} + \beta\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{S}$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $\mathcal{S}$  is a vector space and so it is closed under addition and scalar multiplication. Let  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$ , then  $\alpha\mathbf{v}, \beta\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{S}$  and so  $\alpha\mathbf{v} + \beta\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{S}$ .

Suppose, for every pair of vectors  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$ , that  $\alpha\mathbf{v} + \beta\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{S}$ . Let  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{F}$ . Consider any vectors  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{S}$ . Then,  $\alpha\mathbf{v} = 0$  is the additive identity of  $\mathcal{M}$  and so  $\beta\mathbf{a} = \alpha\mathbf{v} + \beta\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{S}$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{S}$  is closed under scalar multiplication.

Consider some vectors  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{S}$  and let  $\alpha = \beta = 1$ . Then,  $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{a} = (1)\mathbf{v} + (1)\mathbf{a} = \alpha\mathbf{v} + \beta\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{S}$  since  $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{M}$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{S}$  is closed under addition and so it is a vector space. □

**Problem 1.6.** Let  $F_0$  denote the set of continuous real-valued functions  $f(x)$  on the interval  $0 \leq x \leq 1$  that met the auxiliary constraints  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f(1) = 0$ . Show that  $F_0$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$  with respect to the natural rules of vector addition and scalar multiplication that were introduced in **Exercise 1.5** and that  $F_0$  is a subspace of the vector space  $\mathcal{F}$  that was considered there.

*Proof.* By definition,  $F_0 \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ . Let's prove that it is closed under addition and scalar multiplication. Consider some  $f, g \in F_0$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then,  $\alpha f + \beta g$  is a real-valued function since  $f, g \in \mathcal{F}$ . Particularly,  $(\alpha f + \beta g)(0) = \alpha f(0) + \beta g(0) = 0 + 0 = \alpha f(1) + \beta g(1) = (\alpha f + \beta g)(1)$  and so, by condition, it is a vector in  $F_0$ . Therefore,  $F_0$  is a subspace of  $\mathcal{F}$ . □

**Problem 1.7.** Let  $F_1$  denote the set of continuous real-valued functions  $f(x)$  on the interval  $0 \leq x \leq 1$  that meet the auxiliary constraints  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f(1) = 1$ . Show that  $F_1$  is not a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$  with respect to the natural rules of vector addition and scalar multiplication that were introduced in **Exercise 1.5**.

*Proof.* We know that  $F_1 \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ . Consider some  $f \in F_1$ . Then,  $(2)f$  is a continuous real-valued function since  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ . However, note that  $(2f)(1) = (2)f(1) = 2 \neq 1$  and so  $(2)f \notin F_1$ . Hence,  $F_1$  is not closed under scalar multiplication and so  $F_1$  is not a subspace of  $\mathcal{F}$ . □