Section 1.6: Cantor's Theorem

Juan Patricio Carrizales Torres

May 30, 2022

This chapter deals with some excercises that aid in proving a transcendental Theorem of Cantor:

Problem 1.6.1. Show that (0,1) is uncountable if and only if \mathbb{R} is uncountable.

Proof. The function $f:(0,1)\to\mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(x)=\tan(\pi x-\pi/2)$ is bijective. Thus, $(0,1)\sim\mathbb{R}$.

Problem 1.6.2. (a) Explain why the real number $x = .b_1b_2b_3b_4...$ cannot be f(1).

Solution (a). Because the real number with decimal expansion $.3... \neq .2...$

- (b) Now, explain why $x \neq f(2)$, and in general why $x \neq f(n)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
 - **Solution** (b). In general, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_{n,n} \neq b_n$. This difference is what makes the decimal expansion of f(n) to be different from x.
- (c) Point out the contradiction that arises from these observations and conclude that (0,1) is uncountable.
 - **Solution** (c). Since $x \neq f(n)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it follows that function f is not onto. This is a contradiction of the assumption.

Problem 1.6.3. Supply rebuttals to the following complaints about the proof of Theorem 1.6.1 ($\mathbb{R} \sim (0,1)$).

- (a) Every rational number has a decimal expansion, so we could apply this same argument to show that the set of ration numbers between 0 and 1 is uncountable. However, because we know that any subset of \mathbb{Q} must be countable, the proof of Theorem 1.6.1 must be flawed.
 - **Solution** (a). Recall that rational numbers are expressed by periodic decimal expansions. Carrying out the diagonalization does not assure the creation of a periodic decimal expansion. This sounds very unlikely, in fact the periodic decimal expansion repeats a finite sequence, I may not have a proof, but it must be that the number created is irrational (lacks periodic decimal expansion).

(b) Some numbers have *two* different decimal representations. Specifically, any decimal expansion that terminates can also be written with repeating 9's. For instance, 1/2 can be written as .5 or as 4.999.... Doesn't this cause some problems?

Solution (b). Since 2 and 3 are used to generate the real number b, we should see the case where this can be considered a problem. Let a be some real number paired with the positive integer n such that it terminates in the position n with 3, namely, $a = \ldots 3$ and so $a = \ldots 299999 \ldots$. Then, the integer in the n'th place of the decimal expansion of a corresponds to the one in the decimal expansion of b. However, note that the integer in the n+1 place of the decimal expansion of b is either 2 or 3 which clearly does not correspond with 0 or 9. Thus, $a \neq b$ remains true.

Problem 1.6.4. Let S be the set consisting of all sequences of 0's and 1's. Observe that S is not a particular sequence, but rather a large set whose elements are sequences; namely,

$$S = \{(a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots : a_n = 0 \text{ or } 1\}.$$

As an example, the sequence $(1,0,1,0,1,0,1,0,\ldots)$ is an element of S, as is the sequence $(1,1,1,1,1,1,\ldots)$. Give a rigorous argument showing that S is uncountable.

Solution 1.6.4. We can apply the a similar argument to the one given by Cantor; namley, suppose, to the contrary, that there is a bijection $f: N \to S$, where $f(n) = (a_{n1}, a_{n2}, a_{n3}, a_{n4}, \dots)$. Thus, one can construct the following matrix of values.

$$f(1) \to (a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{13}, a_{14}, a_{15}, a_{16}, \dots)$$

$$f(2) \to (a_{21}, a_{22}, a_{23}, a_{24}, a_{25}, a_{26}, \dots)$$

$$f(3) \to (a_{31}, a_{32}, a_{33}, a_{34}, a_{35}, a_{36}, \dots)$$

$$f(4) \to (a_{41}, a_{42}, a_{43}, a_{44}, a_{45}, a_{46}, \dots)$$

$$f(5) \to (a_{51}, a_{52}, a_{53}, a_{54}, a_{55}, a_{56}, \dots)$$

$$f(6) \to (a_{61}, a_{62}, a_{63}, a_{64}, a_{65}, a_{66}, \dots)$$

$$\vdots$$

Now, define a sequence $b = (b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5, \dots)$ by

$$b_n = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } a_{nn} = 0\\ 0, & \text{if } a_{nn} \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Thus, $b \neq f(n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and so f is not onto. This is a contradiction. Therefore, S is uncountable.

Using this same argument, one can prove that the countable cartesian product of \mathbb{N} is uncountable. Which also implies that the countable product of countable sets is uncountable.

Problem 1.6.5. If A is finite with n elements, show that P(A) has 2^n elements.

Solution 1.6.5. Consider some finite set A with k elements. Hence, we can order them finitely with some bijective function $f: \mathbb{N} \to A$. Now, consider the set S of all possible k-tuples of 0's and 1's. For instance, the k-tuple $(1, 1, 0, 1, \ldots, 0) \in S$. Since each place can be either 1 or 0, and their values are independent of each other, it follows that S has S elements. Let some function S is S defined by

 $\varphi(s) = \{f(n) : \text{ for all n'th positions in } s \text{ that are equal to } 1\}.$

Hence, every subset of A is represented by a unique sequence in S, and so φ is bijective. Therefore, $|S| = |A| = 2^k$.

Problem 1.6.6. (a) Letting $C = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, produce an example of a 1-1 map $g: C \to P(C)$.

Solution (a). Define the function g by

$$g(c) = \{c\}.$$

(b) Explain why, in part (a), it is impossible to construct mappings that are onto.

Solution (b). Consider some finite set C. Then, any function $f: C \to P(C)$ is a relation where each $c \in C$ is paired with only one $p \in P(C)$. In fact, this is true for any function. Therefore, $|f(C)| = |C| < |P(C)| = 2^{|C|}$. Hence, there are elements in P(C) that are not the image of any element of c, and so it is impossible to build an *onto* function from any finite set to its power set.