

U.S. Department of Justice

Executive Office for Immigration Review

Board of Immigration Appeals Office of the Clerk

5107 Leesburg Pike, Suite 2000 Falls Church, Virginia 20530

RIVERA SASA, SARITA DHS/ICE Office of Chief Counsel - BOS RIVERA SASA IMMIGRATION LAW OFFICES P.O. Box 8728
151 BROADWAY ST Boston, MA 02114
SUITE 215
PROVIDENCE, RI 02903

Name: CAZARIN CABRERA, JORGE A...

A 205-500-769

Date of this notice: 7/16/2015

Enclosed is a copy of the Board's decision and order in the above-referenced case.

Sincerely,

Donne Carri

Donna Carr Chief Clerk

Enclosure

Panel Members: Grant, Edward R.

241211

Userteam: Docket

For more unpublished BIA decisions, visit www.irac.net/unpublished/index/



immigrant & Refugee Appellate Center, LLC | www.irac.net

Falls Church, Vîrginia 20530

File: A205 500 769 - Boston, MA

Date:

In re: JORGE ARTURO CAZARIN CABRERA

JUL 162015

IN REMOVAL PROCEEDINGS

APPEAL

ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENT: Sarita Rivera Sasa, Esquire

APPLICATION: Reopening

The respondent, a native and citizen of Mexico, appeals from the June 23, 2014, order of an Immigration Judge denying the respondent's motion to reopen. The Department of Homeland Security (DHS) has not filed a brief in response to the appeal. The record will be remanded.

In the respondent's motion to reopen, he denied having received notice of his in absentia hearing, and his motion was supported by his affidavit. The Immigration Judge's order merely states that it agrees with the reasons stated in the DHS opposition to the motion.

Under these circumstances, we find the record inadequate for review. An Immigration Judge's decision that lacks sufficient analysis does not provide an adequate opportunity to the alien to contest the Immigration Judge's determinations on appeal and leaves the Board without adequate means of reviewing the bases for the Immigration Judge's decision in light of the respondent's arguments on appeal. See Matter of A-P-, 22 I&N Dec. 468 (BIA 1999); Matter of M-P-, 20 I&N Dec. 786 (BIA 1994) (finding that an Immigration Judge must fully explain the reasons for denying a motion in order to allow the respondent a fair opportunity to contest the decision and the Board an opportunity for meaningful appellate review). Accordingly, the record will be remanded to the Immigration Judge for the issuance of a full decision.

In remanding this case, we intimate no opinion as to the ultimate merits of the respondent's appeal.

ORDER: The record is returned to the Immigration Court for further proceedings in accordance with this decision.

FOR THE BOARD

NON-DETAINED

Sarita Rivera-Sasa, Esq. Rivera-Sasa Immigration Law Offices 151 Broadway St., Suite 215 Providence, RI 02903 (401) 272-2463 Phone

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE **EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW OFFICE OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS**

In The Matter Of

File No.: A# 205-500-769

JORGE A. CAZARIN CABRERA Respondent

Immigration Judge: Brenda O'Malley

Next Hearing: **TBD** (Last Hearing: 2/4/2014)

MOTION TO REOPEN AN IN ABSENTIA ORDER

This motion is hereby: **QRANTED** DENIED

US Immigration Judge

Date: 6 123114

to the Ressans, Stekes in Duls's opposition filed in 5/16/2014,