



U.S. Department of Justice

Executive Office for Immigration Review

Board of Immigration Appeals Office of the Clerk

5107 Leesburg Pike, Suite 2000 Falls Church, Virginia 22041

Wong, Margaret W Margaret W. Wong 3150 Chester Avenue Cleveland, OH 44114 DHS/ICE Office of Chief Counsel - NYC 26 Federal Plaza, 11th Floor New York, NY 10278

Name: Harris, C

A -200

Date of this notice: 2/3/2020

Enclosed is a copy of the Board's decision and order in the above-referenced case.

Sincerely,

Donne Carr

Donna Carr Chief Clerk

Enclosure

Panel Members: Grant, Edward R.

Tranc

Userteam: Docket

For more unpublished decisions, visit www.irac.net/unpublished/index



mmigrant & Refugee Appellate Center, LLC | www.irac.net

U.S. Department of Justice

. Executive Office for Immigration Review

Falls Church, Virginia 22041

File: A -200 – New York, NY

Date:

FEB 0 3 2020

In re: C

IN REMOVAL PROCEEDINGS

MOTION

ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENT: Margaret W. Wong, Esquire

APPLICATION: Reopening

On August 15, 2019, the respondent, a native and citizen of China, filed a motion to reopen sua sponte in proceedings in which the Board summarily affirmed the Immigration Judge's decision finding her removable and ineligible for relief from removal on October 11, 2002. The motion is granted, and the record remanded to the Immigration Judge.

As this is the respondent's second motion to reopen, and because it was filed more than 16 years after the Board's final administrative decision, it is statutorily time and number-barred. Section 240(c)(7) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1229a(c)(7); 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c)(2). However, the respondent asks that proceedings be reopened sua sponte to allow her to apply for adjustment of status based on an approved Petition for Alien Relative, Form I-130, filed by her United States citizen child (Motion, Tabs A, H, P). 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(a). She also reports that she wishes to pursue a provisional waiver of illegal presence (Form I-601) (Motion, Tab I), and a discretionary waiver under section 212(i) of the Act relating to her inadmissibility under section 212(a)(6)(C)(i) of the Act, because of her fraudulent manner of entry into the United States (using a photo-substituted passport) (Motion at 6-8).

The respondent has remained in the United States for many years after her removal order, and has obtained equities in this country, as well as the opportunity to pursue lawful status. She has submitted evidence showing that her husband relies on her emotionally, and to help run their business. In this regard, she has submitted a copy of a psychological evaluation of her husband reflecting that he was diagnosed with major depression, alcohol abuse, intermittent explosive disorder, and personality disorders, also citing the respondent's "unsettled immigration status" as a factor complicating his present emotional state (Motion, Tab J).

In viewing the totality of the evidence presented, we find that the respondent has demonstrated exceptional circumstances such that sua sponte reopening is warranted. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(a). Accordingly, the motion is granted, and the record is remanded to the Immigration Judge for further proceedings.