



U.S. Department of Justice

Executive Office for Immigration Review

Board of Immigration Appeals
Office of the Clerk

5107 Leesburg Pike, Suite 2000 Falls Church, Virginia 22041

Flower, Claudia Law Office of Claudia Flower, PLLC 3137 Mt. Vernon Av. Alexandria, VA 22305 DHS/ICE Office of Chief Counsel - NYC 26 Federal Plaza, 11th Floor New York, NY 10278

Name: GUARDADO OSMIN

A 094-497-543

onne Carr

Date of this notice: 1/8/2016

Enclosed is a copy of the Board's decision and order in the above-referenced case.

Sincerely,

Donna Carr Chief Clerk

Enclosure

Panel Members: Guendelsberger, John

Userteam: Docket

For more unpublished BIA decisions, visit www.irac.net/unpublished/index/

Falls Church, Virginia 22041

File: A094 497 543 - New York, NY

Date:

JAN - 8 2016

In re: GUARDADO OSMIN

IN REMOVAL PROCEEDINGS

APPEAL

ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENT: Claudia Flower, Esquire

APPLICATION: Reopening

The respondent, a native and citizen of El Salvador, appeals from the November 19, 2014, order of an Immigration Judge denying the respondent's motion to reopen. The Department of Homeland Security (DHS) has not filed a brief in reply to the appeal. The record will be remanded.

In the respondent's motion to reopen, he alleges that he is not removable and also alleges that he did not receive notice of his hearing. The Immigration Judge's order merely states that the court agrees with the reason stated in the opposition to the motion.

Under these circumstances, we find the record inadequate for review. An Immigration Judge's decision that lacks sufficient analysis does not provide an adequate opportunity to the alien to contest the Immigration Judge's determinations on appeal and leaves the Board without adequate means of reviewing the bases for the Immigration Judge's decision in light of the respondent's arguments on appeal. See Matter of A-P-, 22 I&N Dec. 468 (BIA 1999); Matter of M-P-, 20 I&N Dec. 786 (BIA 1994) (finding that an Immigration Judge must fully explain the reasons for denying a motion in order to allow the respondent a fair opportunity to contest the decision and the Board an opportunity for meaningful appellate review). Accordingly, the record will be remanded to the Immigration Judge for the issuance of a full decision.

In remanding this case, we intimate no opinion as to the ultimate merits of the respondent's appeal.

ORDER: The record is returned to the Immigration Court for further proceedings in accordance with this decision.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW IMMIGRATION COURT

26 FEDERAL PLZ, 12TH FL RM1237

NEW YORK, NY 10278

Law Office of Claudia Flower, PLLC Flower, Claudia 3137 Mt. Vernon Av. Alexandria, VA 22305

IN THE MATTER OF GUARDADO OSMIN

FILE A 094-497-543

DATE: Nov 21, 2014

UNABLE TO FORWARD - NO ADDRESS PROVIDED

ATTACHED IS A COPY OF THE DECISION OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE. THIS DECISION IS FINAL UNLESS AN APPEAL IS FILED WITH THE BOARD OF IMMIGRATION APPEALS WITHIN 30 CALENDAR DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE MAILING OF THIS WRITTEN DECISION. SEE THE ENCLOSED FORMS AND INSTRUCTIONS FOR PROPERLY PREPARING YOUR APPEAL. YOUR NOTICE OF APPEAL, ATTACHED DOCUMENTS, AND FEE OR FEE WAIVER REQUEST MUST BE MAILED TO: BOARD OF IMMIGRATION APPEALS

OFFICE OF THE CLERK 5107 Leesburg Pike, Suite 2000 FALLS CHURCH, VA 20530

ATTACHED IS A COPY OF THE DECISION OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE AS THE RESULT OF YOUR FAILURE TO APPEAR AT YOUR SCHEDULED DEPORTATION OR REMOVAL HEARING. THIS DECISION IS FINAL UNLESS A MOTION TO REOPEN IS FILED IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 242B(c)(3) OF THE IMMIGRATION AND NATIONALITY ACT, 8 U.S.C. SECTION 1252B(c)(3) IN DEPORTATION PROCEEDINGS OR SECTION 240(c)(6), 8 U.S.C. SECTION 1229a(c)(6) IN REMOVAL PROCEEDINGS. IF YOU FILE A MOTION TO REOPEN, YOUR MOTION MUST BE FILED WITH THIS COURT:

IMMIGRATION COURT 26 FEDERAL PLZ, 12TH FL RM1237

NEW YORK, NY A0278

COURT CLERK
IMMIGRATION COURT

FF

CC:

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW UNITED STATES IMMIGRATION COURT NEW YORK, NY

)	
IN THE MATTER OF:	
GUARDADO OSMIN)	
IN REMOVAL) PROCEEDINGS)	Io. 094 497 543
ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE	
Upon due consideration of the MOTION T IN ABSENTIA filed in the above entitled matter, i motion be:	
GRANTEDDENIED because	
DHS does not oppose the motion.	
A response to the motion has not been filed with the court.	
_Good Cause has been established for the	e motion.
The court agrees with the reason stated i	n the opposition to the motion.
The motion is untimely per	
_Other:	
It is furthered ORDERED that the case is set for	
11/19/22/Y Date	Sonoy K. Hom Immigration Judge
To: [] Alien [] Alien c/o Custodial Officer [rsonal Service