

U.S. Department of Justice

Executive Office for Immigration Review

Board of Immigration Appeals Office of the Clerk

5107 Leesburg Pike, Suite 2000 Falls Church, Virginia 22041

Levine, Kenneth Sylvan Levine & Eskandari, LLC P.O. Box 674138 Marietta, GA 30006 DHS/ICE Office of Chief Counsel - ATL 180 Ted Turner Dr., SW, Ste 332 Atlanta, GA 30303

Name: AHMAD, ADELIYA MUBARIKA

A 096-297-241

Date of this notice: 10/14/2016

Enclosed is a copy of the Board's decision and order in the above-referenced case.

Sincerely,

Donna Carr Chief Clerk

onne Carr

Enclosure

Panel Members: Mann, Ana

Userteam: Docket

For more unpublished BIA decisions, visit www.irac.net/unpublished/index/



mmigrant & Refugee Appellate Center, LLC | www.irac.net

Falls Church, Virginia 22041

File: A096 297 241 - Atlanta, GA

IN REMOVAL PROCEEDINGS

Date:

OCT 1 4 2016

In re: ADELIYA MUBARIKA <u>AHMAD</u>

APPEAL

ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENT: Kenneth S. Levine, Esquire

APPLICATION: Reopening

The respondent has appealed the Immigration Judge's decision dated November 5, 2015, denying her motion to reopen. The Immigration Judge had previously ordered the respondent removed in absentia for her failure to appear at the hearing on June 18, 2009. We review an Immigration Judge's findings of fact for clear error, but questions of law, discretion, and judgment, and all other issues in appeals, de novo. 8 C.F.R. §§ 1003.1(d)(3)(i), (ii). The record will be remanded to the Immigration Judge.

In her motion to reopen, the respondent asserts that she failed to appear at her removal hearing due to exceptional circumstances, specifically, the ineffective assistance of her former attorney, and because she lacked notice. In denying her motion, the Immigration Judge found that the respondent received sufficient notice and that she failed to use due diligence in filing her motion to reopen. However, the Immigration Judge did not address the respondent's claim of ineffective assistance of counsel or her request for sua sponte reopening. Under the particular circumstances of this case, we find it appropriate to remand the record to the Immigration Judge for further consideration of this claim and the entry of a new decision. See 8 C.F.R. § 1003.1(d)(3)(iv) (limiting the Board's fact-finding ability on appeal).

Accordingly, the following order will be entered.

ORDER: The record is remanded to the Immigration Court for further consideration of the respondent's motion to reopen.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE EXECUTIVE OFFICE FOR IMMIGRATION REVIEW IMMIGRATION COURT 180 TED TURNER DR SW, STE. 241 ATLANTA, GA 30303

Levine & Eskandari, LLC Levine, Kenneth Sylvan P.O. Box 674138 Marietta, GA 30006

IN THE MATTER OF AHMAD, ADELIYA MUBARIKA

FILE A 096-297-241

DATE: Nov 9, 2015

UNABLE TO FORWARD - NO ADDRESS PROVIDED

ATTACHED IS A COPY OF THE DECISION OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE. THIS DECISION IS FINAL UNLESS AN APPEAL IS FILED WITH THE BOARD OF IMMIGRATION APPEALS WITHIN 30 CALENDAR DAYS OF THE DATE OF THE MAILING OF THIS WRITTEN DECISION. SEE THE ENCLOSED FORMS AND INSTRUCTIONS FOR PROPERLY PREPARING YOUR APPEAL. YOUR NOTICE OF APPEAL, ATTACHED DOCUMENTS, AND FEE OR FEE WAIVER REQUEST MUST BE MAILED TO: BOARD OF IMMIGRATION APPEALS

OFFICE OF THE CLERK 5107 Leesburg Pike, Suite 2000 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22041

ATTACHED IS A COPY OF THE DECISION OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE AS THE RESULT OF YOUR FAILURE TO APPEAR AT YOUR SCHEDULED DEPORTATION OR REMOVAL HEARING. THIS DECISION IS FINAL UNLESS A MOTION TO REOPEN IS FILED IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 242B(c)(3) OF THE IMMIGRATION AND NATIONALITY ACT, 8 U.S.C. SECTION 1252B(c)(3) IN DEPORTATION PROCEEDINGS OR SECTION 240(c)(6), 8 U.S.C. SECTION 1229a(c)(6) IN REMOVAL PROCEEDINGS. IF YOU FILE A MOTION TO REOPEN, YOUR MOTION MUST BE FILED WITH THIS COURT:

IMMIGRATION COURT 180 TED TURNER DR SW, STE. 241 ATLANTA, GA 30303

OTHER:

COURT CLERK

IMMIGRATION COURT

FF

CC: DHS Alty-RESP

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE Executive Office for Immigration Review Office of the Immigration Judge

In the Matter of:	Case No.: A 096 297 ZV
A Deliya AHMAD	Docket: Marta 64
RESPONDENT/APPLICANT	IN DEMONT PROCEEDINGS
	ant
ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE	
Upon consideration of respondent's/applicant's	
Motion to Reconsider an Immigration Judge's decision	
Motion to Reopen proceedings	pel
filed in the above entitled matter, it is HEREBY ORDERED that the motion	
be granted.	C
be denied for the reasons indicated in the attached decision.	
Respondent was Genera with a Mother To Appear + Notice. Of Hearing + Francis 10 Appear + was on Devel Removed	
ON JUME 98, 2009. ACODIOR DE 1 10 00 COLCE	
IMP3Sev71A Orden WAS MAILED Immigration Judge /	
TU Her Astorney.	11/5/53
Respondent was informed of the	
IMAGENTA PROPER DOLG /2011 OF BIT PAULO DO VSC DUE	
Dilligence. The motion to Peopen was Fred 640ths Toola And only After AN 1-130 WAS Fred	
And ony AFTER AN 1-130 WE	75 Fled
Despondent Also Changed A	Doness W/O MIGHTAN TO
The COURT AS REGULZED. Appeal: RESERVED/WAIVED (A/I/B)	Force SOER - 2 Printed on Recycled Paper REV JUNE 93
prom. Italia in the fix	Litter of teachers of the Late