



U.S. Department of Justice

Executive Office for Immigration Review

Board of Immigration Appeals Office of the Clerk

5107 Leesburg Pike, Suite 2000 Falls Church, Virginia 22041

Pereyaslavskiy, Yuriy Prisoners' Legal Services of New York 41 State Street Albany, NY 12207 USICE/DHS Litigation/ULS 15 Governor Drive Newburgh, NY 12550

Name: James, Value Alexandra Alexandra Alexandra -968

Date of this notice: 2/3/2020

Enclosed is a copy of the Board's decision and order in the above-referenced case.

Sincerely,

Donne Carr

Donna Carr Chief Clerk

Enclosure

Panel Members: Wendtland, Linda S.

Humadyl Userteam:

For more unpublished decisions, visit www.irac.net/unpublished/index



Falls Church, Virginia 22041

File: A -968 – Napanoch, NY

Date:

IN REMOVAL PROCEEDINGS

MOTION

ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENT: Yuriy Pereyaslavskiy, Esquire

ON BEHALF OF DHS: Daniel. W. Kelly

Assistant Chief Counsel

APPLICATION: Reopening; termination

This case was previously before the Board on May 1, 2019, when we dismissed the respondent's appeal of the Immigration Judge's denial of his application for deferral of removal under the Convention Against Torture. On July 17, 2019, the respondent, a native and citizen of Jamaica, filed a timely motion to reopen and terminate his removal proceedings. The Department of Homeland Security ("DHS") opposes the motion. The motion will be granted in part and the record will be remanded.

At the time of the Board's May 1, 2019, decision, there was no dispute that the respondent was removable as charged as his conviction for assault in the first degree in violation of N.Y.P.L. § 120.10(2), was deemed final. However, with his motion, the respondent has submitted evidence from the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Appellate Division: Second Judicial Department, that his motion for an extension of time to take an appeal from his conviction upon a plea of guilty was granted and his motion was deemed to constitute a timely notice of appeal (Respondent's Motion at 2; Attachment B). As such, he argues that his conviction is no longer final for immigration purposes.

We acknowledge the DHS's argument that the respondent bears the burden to show that his appeal relates to the issue of his guilt or innocence or another substantive defect in order to justify reopening (DHS's Br. at 3-4). See Matter of J.M. Acosta, 27 I&N Dec. 420 (BIA 2018). However, we agree with the respondent that the documents he submitted show that his criminal conviction is now on direct appeal (Respondent's Motion at 2, 5-8; Attachments). Further, the respondent has submitted a letter from his counsel in his criminal appeal, stating that "we expect to challenge [the respondent's] conviction on the merits," including but not limited to the raising of "issues involving a client's right to due process of law and effective assistance of counsel under the Federal and New York State Constitutions" (Respondent's Motion; Attachment A). Consequently, we will reopen the respondent's proceedings and remand the record to the Immigration Judge to re-assess whether the respondent is removable as charged. The parties on remand may update the Immigration Court on the status of the respondent's criminal appeal, including the issues actually raised. Hence, under the facts presented here, we decline to terminate proceedings at this time.



Accordingly, the following orders will be entered.

ORDER: The motion to reopen is granted in part and the respondent's removal proceedings are reopened.

FURTHER ORDER: The record is remanded for further proceedings consistent with the foregoing opinion and the entry of a new decision.

Linda J. Wondtland FOR THE BOARD