

## U.S. Department of Justice

**Executive Office for Immigration Review** 

Board of Immigration Appeals
Office of the Clerk

5107 Leesburg Pike, Suite 2000 Falls Church, Virginia 22041

CADET, JOSE HERIBERTO A027-646-311 DHS-BUFFALO FEDERAL D.F. 4250 Federal Dr Batavia, NY 14020 DHS/ICE Office of Chief Counsel - BTV 130 Delaware Avenue, Room 203 Buffalo, NY 14202

Name: CADET, JOSE HERIBERTO

A 027-646-311

Date of this notice: 6/26/2017

Enclosed is a copy of the Board's decision in the above-referenced case. If the attached decision orders that you be removed from the United States or affirms an Immigration Judge's decision ordering that you be removed, any petition for review of the attached decision must be filed with and received by the appropriate court of appeals within 30 days of the date of this decision.

Sincerely,

Cynthia L. Crosby Deputy Chief Clerk

Enclosure

Panel Members: Pauley, Roger

Userteam: Docket

For more unpublished BIA decisions, visit www.irac.net/unpublished/index/



Falls Church, Virginia 22041

File: A027 646 311 - Batavia, NY

Date:

JUN 2 6 2017

In re: JOSE HERIBERTO CADET

IN REMOVAL PROCEEDINGS

APPEAL

ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENT: Pro se

APPLICATION: Termination of proceedings

The respondent, a native and citizen of the Dominican Republic, has appealed from the Immigration Judge's order dated March 30, 2017. The record will be remanded.

While the Immigration Judge issued an order of removal that indicates it is a summary of an oral decision, that decision is not in the record. The decision of the Immigration Judge may be either oral or written. However, even a transcribed oral decision must include findings as to removability, provide the reasons for granting or denying any request, and end with the Immigration Judge's order. In addition, the transcribed oral decision must be separate from the remainder of the transcript. See 8 C.F.R. § 1240.12(a); Matter of A-P-, 22 I&N Dec. 468 (BIA 1999). In this case, the oral decision is not identified or separated from the transcript.

Alternatively, if the Immigration Judge intended this decision to be a summary decision, it would not be proper in this case. A summary decision may only be issued by an Immigration Judge in removal proceedings in lieu of a separate written or transcribed oral decision when the respondent has expressly admitted to both the factual allegations and the charges of removability and, either (1) the respondent's ineligibility for any form of relief is clearly established on the pleadings, or (2) the respondent chooses not to apply for any form of relief for which it appears [he/she] may be eligible, after being given an appropriate advisement and an opportunity to do so, or (3) the respondent only applies for, and is granted, the relief of voluntary departure. Otherwise, a full decision is needed to provide the factual and legal basis for the decision. See 8 C.F.R. §§ 1240.12(a), (b); Matter of A-P-, supra.

Because the pro se respondent requested a continuance to hire an attorney and because he did not concede to the charges concerning removability, a summary decision is not appropriate in the present case. As no separate oral decision is in the record, we will remand these proceedings to enable the Immigration Judge to prepare one, making the necessary fact-finding and legal analysis.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Summary decisions are allowed only "where inadmissibility or deportability is determined on the pleadings pursuant to § 1240.10(b) and the respondent does not make an application under § 1240.11, the alien is statutorily ineligible for relief, or the respondent applies for voluntary departure only and the Immigration Judge grants the application . . . ." See 8 C.F.R. § 1240.12(b).

Accordingly, the following order will be entered.

ORDER: The record is remanded to the Immigration Judge for further proceedings consistent with the foregoing opinion.

## IMMIGRATION COURT 4250 FEDERAL DRIVE, ROOM F108 BATAVIA, NY 14020

In the Matter of

Case No.: A027-646-311

CADET, JOSE HERIBERTO Respondent

IN REMOVAL PROCEEDINGS

## ORDER OF THE IMMIGRATION JUDGE

Th	is	is a summary of the oral decision entered on
Th	is	memorandum is solely for the convenience of the parties. If the
		edings should be appealed or reopened, the oral decision will become
		fficial opinion in the case.
	1	
	•	DOMINICAN REPUBLIC or in the alternative to .
ſ	1	Respondent's application for voluntary departure was denied and
•	,	respondent was ordered removed to or in the
		alternative to .
ſ	]	
ι	,	upon posting a bond in the amount of \$
		with an alternate order of removal to .
Re	sno	ndent's application for:
١	3p0 ]	Asylum was ( )granted ( )denied( )withdrawn.
ĺ	-	Withholding of removal was ( )granted ( )denied ( )withdrawn.
[		A Waiver under Section was ( ) granted ( ) denied ( ) withdrawn.
ľ		Cancellation of removal under section 240A(a) was ( )granted ( )denied
ι	J	( ) withdrawn.
ъ.		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	-	ndent's application for: Cancellation under section 240A(b)(1) was ( ) granted ( ) denied
ι	]	
		( ) withdrawn. If granted, it is ordered that the respondent be issued
	,	all appropriate documents necessary to give effect to this order.
[	]	
		( )withdrawn. If granted it is ordered that the respondent be issued
	_	all appropriated documents necessary to give effect to this order.
[	]	
		( )withdrawn. If granted it is ordered that the respondent be issued
_	_	all appropriated documents necessary to give effect to this order.
[	]	
		removal under Article III of the Convention Against Torture was
_	_	( ) granted ( ) denied ( ) withdrawn.
[	]	Respondent's status was rescinded under section 246.
[	]	•
[	]	
[	]	Respondent knowingly filed a frivolous asylum application after proper
		notice.
[	]	•
		failure to appear as ordered in the Immigration Judge's oral decision.
[	]	Proceedings were terminated.
[	]	
		Date: Mar 30, 2017
		Way N. Mala
		WALTER RUEHLE
		Immigration Judge

Appeal: Waived Reserved Appeal

Appeal Due By: 5/1/2017