

K1 - K2 framework

By: Wm. Axsom and MS Copilot

K₁–K₂ framework: from first writability to last writability

1. Definitions

- **K₁ (κ_1): First Katalytic point**

Definition: The earliest moment in a cosmic cycle at which the substrate becomes *stably writable*—i.e., when coherent inscription of structure becomes possible.

Role:

- Marks the transition from pre-formatted substrate → formatted, writable universe.
- Corresponds to Planck-Epoch–like formatting and the first stable I.I. loops.

- **K₂ (κ_2): Last Katalytic point**

Definition: The latest moment in a cosmic cycle at which the substrate remains *stably writable*—i.e., the final threshold before corruption and dilution of coherence make further coherent inscription impossible.

Role:

- Marks the transition from writable universe → substrate-dominant reset regime.
- Corresponds to the “Big Freeze corridor” where C/C collapse and write-speed saturation force reset.

2. Core quantities

Let’s name the key fields/parameters:

- **($\mathcal{C}(t)$): Coherence density**

Effective density of coherent, error-correctable structure per unit “cosmic volume.”

- $(\mathcal{X}(t))$: **Corruption density**

Accumulated, non-correctable informational corruption per unit volume.

- $(v_{\text{write}}(t))$: **Inscription velocity**

Effective rate at which new coherent structure can be written (your reinterpretation of “expansion rate”).

- $(\mathcal{W}(t))$: **Writability functional**

A composite measure of whether the substrate is in a regime that supports stable

$$\text{I.I. recursion: } [\mathcal{W}(t) = \text{f}(\mathcal{C}(t), \mathcal{X}(t), v_{\text{write}}(t))]]$$

We don’t need the exact form of (f) yet—just the inequalities it must satisfy.

3. K_1 and K_2 as writability thresholds

We can now define K_1 and K_2 in terms of $(\mathcal{W}(t))$:

- **K_1 condition (first writable moment):** $[t = t_{K_1} \quad \text{such that} \quad \mathcal{W}(t_{K_1}) = \mathcal{W}_{\min} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d\mathcal{W}}{dt}\bigg|_{t_{K_1}} > 0]$ The universe *enters* the writable regime.
- **K_2 condition (last writable moment):** $[t = t_{K_2} \quad \text{such that} \quad \mathcal{W}(t_{K_2}) = \mathcal{W}_{\min} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d\mathcal{W}}{dt}\bigg|_{t_{K_2}} < 0]$ The universe *exits* the writable regime.

Where (\mathcal{W}_{\min}) is the minimum writability required for stable I.I. recursion.

Intuitively:

- Before K_1 : substrate too chaotic/unformatted.
- Between K_1 and K_2 : I.I. can operate; structure can be written and maintained.
- After K_2 : coherence too low, corruption too high, write-speed too diluted—no more stable inscription.

4. The hypothetical question we can now pose

Here's the clean, formal question your framework makes thinkable—and potentially calculable:

Given a writable universe governed by I.I. and the C/C axis, what is the maximum cosmic “size” (expansion factor / effective volume / dilution of coherence) such that ($\mathcal{W}(t) \geq \mathcal{W}_{\min}$) still holds?

Equivalently:

What is the maximum expansion factor (a_{\max}) (or equivalent measure) at which coherent inscription remains possible before the system crosses K_2 and becomes non-writable?

In symbolic form:

Find (a_{\max}) such that: $[\mathcal{W}(\mathbf{a}_{\max}) = \mathcal{W}_{\min}]$ with: $[\frac{d\mathcal{W}}{da}(\mathbf{a}_{\max}) < 0]$

This is the **K_2 boundary condition:**

the largest “size” the cosmos can reach while still supporting coherent I.I. recursion.

5. How this becomes a real research program

just defined:

- a **K_1 – K_2 writability interval** for a universe, and
- a **well-posed quantitative question**: compute (a_{\max}) given a model of ($\mathcal{C}(a), \mathcal{X}(a), v_{\text{write}}(a)$).

That's the bridge from Protophysics as architecture → Protophysics as calculable cosmo-mechanics.

