


Reconstruction and Simulation of Neocortical Microcircuitry

Graphical Abstract



Highlights

- The Blue Brain Project digitally reconstructs and simulates a part of neocortex
- Interdependencies allow dense *in silico* reconstruction from sparse experimental data
- Simulations reproduce *in vitro* and *in vivo* experiments without parameter tuning
- The neocortex reconfigures to support diverse information processing strategies

Authors

Henry Markram, Eilif Müller,
Srikanth Ramaswamy,
Michael W. Reimann, ..., Javier DeFelipe,
Sean L. Hill, Idan Segev, Felix Schürmann

Correspondence

henry.markram@epfl.ch

In Brief

A digital reconstruction and simulation of the anatomy and physiology of neocortical microcircuitry reproduces an array of *in vitro* and *in vivo* experiments without parameter tuning and suggests that cellular and synaptic mechanisms can dynamically reconfigure the state of the network to support diverse information processing strategies.

Reconstruction and Simulation of Neocortical Microcircuitry

Henry Markram,^{1,2,19,*} Eilif Muller,^{1,19} Srikanth Ramaswamy,^{1,19} Michael W. Reimann,^{1,19} Marwan Abdellah,¹ Carlos Aguado Sanchez,¹ Anastasia Ailamaki,¹⁶ Lidia Alonso-Nanclares,^{6,7} Nicolas Antille,¹ Selim Arsever,¹ Guy Antoine Atenekeng Kahou,¹ Thomas K. Berger,² Ahmet Bilgili,¹ Nenad Buncic,¹ Athanassia Chalimourda,¹ Giuseppe Chindemi,¹ Jean-Denis Courcol,¹ Fabien Delalondre,¹ Vincent Delattre,² Shaul Druckmann,^{4,5} Raphael Dumusc,¹ James Dynes,¹ Stefan Eilemann,¹ Eyal Gal,⁴ Michael Emiel Gevaert,¹ Jean-Pierre Ghobril,² Albert Gidon,³ Joe W. Graham,¹ Anirudh Gupta,² Valentin Haenel,¹ Etay Hay,^{3,4} Thomas Heinis,^{1,16,17} Juan B. Hernando,⁸ Michael Hines,¹² Lida Kanari,¹ Daniel Keller,¹ John Kenyon,¹ Georges Khazen,¹ Yihwa Kim,¹ James G. King,¹ Zoltan Kisvarday,¹³ Pramod Kumbhar,¹ Sébastien Lasserre,^{1,15} Jean-Vincent Le Bé,² Bruno R.C. Magalhães,¹ Angel Merchán-Pérez,^{6,7} Julie Meystre,² Benjamin Roy Morrice,¹ Jeffrey Muller,¹ Alberto Muñoz-Céspedes,^{6,7} Shruti Muralidhar,² Keerthan Muthurasa,¹ Daniel Nachbaur,¹ Taylor H. Newton,¹ Max Nolte,¹ Aleksandr Ovcharenko,¹ Juan Palacios,¹ Luis Pastor,⁹ Rodrigo Perin,² Rajnish Ranjan,^{1,2} Imdad Riachi,¹ José-Rodrigo Rodríguez,^{6,7} Juan Luis Riquelme,¹ Christian Rössert,¹ Konstantinos Sfyrakis,¹ Ying Shi,^{1,2} Julian C. Shillcock,¹ Gilad Silberberg,¹⁸ Ricardo Silva,¹ Farhan Tauheed,^{1,16} Martin Telefont,¹ Maria Toledo-Rodriguez,¹⁴ Thomas Tränkler,¹ Werner Van Geit,¹ Jafet Villafranca Díaz,¹ Richard Walker,¹ Yun Wang,^{10,11} Stefano M. Zaninetta,¹ Javier DeFelipe,^{6,7,20} Sean L. Hill,^{1,20} Idan Segev,^{3,4,20} and Felix Schürmann^{1,20}

¹Blue Brain Project, École polytechnique fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL) Biotech Campus, 1202 Geneva, Switzerland

²Laboratory of Neural Microcircuitry, Brain Mind Institute, EPFL, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

³Department of Neurobiology, Alexander Silberman Institute of Life Sciences, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem 91904, Israel

⁴The Edmond and Lily Safra Center for Brain Sciences, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem 91904, Israel

⁵Janelia Farm Research Campus, Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Ashburn, VA 20147, USA

⁶Laboratorio Cajal de Circuitos Corticales, Centro de Tecnología Biomédica, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, 28223 Madrid, Spain

⁷Instituto Cajal (CSIC) and CIBERNED, 28002 Madrid, Spain

⁸CeSViMa, Centro de Supercomputación y Visualización de Madrid, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, 28223 Madrid, Spain

⁹Modeling and Virtual Reality Group, Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, 28933 Móstoles, Madrid, Spain

¹⁰Key Laboratory of Visual Science and National Ministry of Health, School of Optometry and Ophthalmology, Wenzhou Medical College, Wenzhou 325003, China

¹¹Caritas St. Elizabeth's Medical Center, Genesys Research Institute, Tufts University, Boston, MA 02111, USA

¹²Department of Neurobiology, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06510 USA

¹³MTA-Debreceni Egyetem, Neuroscience Research Group, 4032 Debrecen, Hungary

¹⁴School of Life Sciences, University of Nottingham, Nottingham NG7 2UH, United Kingdom

¹⁵Laboratoire d'informatique et de visualisation, EPFL, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

¹⁶Data-Intensive Applications and Systems Lab, EPFL, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

¹⁷Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, UK

¹⁸Department of Neuroscience, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm 17177, Sweden

¹⁹Co-first author

²⁰Co-senior author

*Correspondence: henry.markram@epfl.ch

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.09.029>

SUMMARY

We present a first-draft digital reconstruction of the microcircuitry of somatosensory cortex of juvenile rat. The reconstruction uses cellular and synaptic organizing principles to algorithmically reconstruct detailed anatomy and physiology from sparse experimental data. An objective anatomical method defines a neocortical volume of $0.29 \pm 0.01 \text{ mm}^3$ containing $\sim 31,000$ neurons, and patch-clamp studies identify 55 layer-specific morphological and 207 morphoelectrical neuron subtypes. When digitally reconstructed neurons are positioned in the volume and synapse formation is restricted to biological bouton densities and numbers of synapses per connection,

their overlapping arbors form ~ 8 million connections with ~ 37 million synapses. Simulations reproduce an array of *in vitro* and *in vivo* experiments without parameter tuning. Additionally, we find a spectrum of network states with a sharp transition from synchronous to asynchronous activity, modulated by physiological mechanisms. The spectrum of network states, dynamically reconfigured around this transition, supports diverse information processing strategies.

INTRODUCTION

Since Santiago Ramón y Cajal's seminal work on the neocortex (DeFelipe and Jones, 1988; Ramón y Cajal, 1909, 1911), a vast number of studies have attempted to unravel its multiple levels

of anatomical organization (types of neurons, synaptic connections, layering, afferent and efferent projections within and between neocortical regions, etc.) and functional properties (neuronal response characteristics, synaptic responses and plasticity, receptive fields, functional neocortical columns, emergent activity maps, interactions between neocortical regions, etc.). However, there are still large gaps in our knowledge, especially concerning the anatomical and physiological organization of the neocortex at the cellular and synaptic levels.

Specifically, while neurons have been classified in terms of their electrophysiological behaviors (Connors and Gutnick, 1990; Kasper et al., 1994; McCormick et al., 1985), expression of different calcium-binding proteins and neuropeptides (Celio, 1986; DeFelipe, 1993; Gonchar and Burkhalter, 1997; Kawaguchi and Kubota, 1997; Toledo-Rodriguez et al., 2005) and morphological features (Kisvárdy et al., 1985; Larkman, 1991a; Tamás et al., 1998; Wang et al., 2002), there is still no consensus on an objective and comprehensive classification of neuron types. Although the distribution of protein and genetic markers for different neurons (Grange et al., 2014; Hendry et al., 1989; Kawaguchi and Kubota, 1997; Meyer et al., 2002; Toledo-Rodriguez et al., 2004) and the relative proportions of some morphologically and electrically classified neurons (Beaulieu and Colonnier, 1983; Cauli et al., 1997; Hendry et al., 1984; Meyer et al., 2010a; Rudy et al., 2011) have been described, we lack a comprehensive view of the number of each type of neuron in each layer. Since the advent of paired recording techniques, several studies have characterized the anatomical and physiological properties of synaptic connections between some types of neurons (Cobb et al., 1997; Feldmeyer et al., 1999; Frick et al., 2008; Gupta et al., 2000; Mason et al., 1991; Reyes et al., 1998; Thomson et al., 1993), but a large proportion have yet to be studied. Although labeling with retrograde and anterograde tracers and trans-synaptic viral vectors, imaging with array tomography, and saturated reconstruction with electron microscopy have made it possible to begin mapping pre- and postsynaptic neurons for individual neocortical neurons (Boyd and Matsubara, 1991; Callaway, 2008; Glenn et al., 1982; Kasthuri et al., 2015; Killackey et al., 1983; Micheva and Smith, 2007; Micheva et al., 2010; Wickersham et al., 2007), we know neither the numbers and types of the pre- and postsynaptic neurons associated with any specific neuron type nor the numbers and locations of the synapses that they form with their immediate neighbors.

At a functional level, there have been many investigations of emergent behavior in neocortical slices (Cunningham et al., 2004; Mao et al., 2001; McCormick et al., 2003; Sanchez-Vives and McCormick, 2000; Yuste et al., 1997), correlated activity (Hasenstaub et al., 2005; Livingstone, 1996; Salinas and Sejnowski, 2001; Shu et al., 2003; Silberberg et al., 2004; Singer, 1993), and the functional impact of individual neurons across cortical layers (Sakata and Harris, 2009; Schroeder and Foxe, 2002; Silva et al., 1991; Steriade et al., 1993), as well as in vivo activity in somatosensory and other cortical areas (Chen et al., 2015; Klausberger et al., 2003; Leinekugel et al., 2002; Luczak et al., 2007; Reyes-Puerta et al., 2015; Wilson et al., 2012). However, we still lack an understanding of the cellular and synaptic mechanisms and the role of the different layers in the simplest

of behaviors, such as correlated and uncorrelated single-neuron activity and, more generally, synchronous and asynchronous population activity. For example, it is known that different types of neurons are connected through synapses with different dynamics and strengths, strategically positioned at different locations on the neurons' dendrites, somata, and axons, but the functional significance of this organization remains unclear. Computational approaches that abstract away this level of biological detail have not been able to explain the functional significance of such intricate cellular and synaptic organization. Although future experimental research will undoubtedly advance our knowledge, it is debatable whether experimental mapping alone can provide enough data to answer these questions.

Here, we present a complementary algorithmic approach that reconstructs neuronal microcircuitry across all layers using available sparse data and that leverages biological principles and interdependencies between datasets to predict missing biological data. As a test case, we digitally reconstructed a small volume of tissue from layers 1 to 6 of the hind-limb somatosensory cortex of 2-week-old Wistar (Han) rat. This model system was chosen not only because it is one of the most comprehensively characterized in the neocortex, but also because experimental data on its cellular and synaptic organization are readily available and validation experiments are relatively easy to perform. In brief, we recorded and digitally reconstructed neurons from *in vitro* brain slices and classified the neurons in terms of well-established morphological types (m-types; Figure 1A), positioned the neurons in a digital volume of objectively defined dimensions according to experimentally based estimates of their layer specific densities (Figure 1B), and reconstructed the connectivity between the neurons (Figure 1C). Neurons were then classified into electrical types (e-types), using an extended version of the classification proposed in the Petilla convention (Ascoli et al., 2008), and models were produced that captured the characteristic electrical behavior of each type. (Figure 1D); similarly, synapses were modeled to capture the characteristic synaptic dynamics and kinetics of particular synapse types (s-types; Figure 1E). Finally, we constructed a virtual slice and reconstructed thalamic input using experimental data (Figure 1F; Meyer et al., 2010b).

This approach yielded a first-draft digital reconstruction of the microcircuitry, which was validated against a multitude of experimental datasets not used in the reconstruction. The results suggest that it is possible to obtain dense maps of neural microcircuitry without measuring every conceivable biological parameter and point to minimal datasets required, i.e., strategic data. Integrating complementary, albeit sparse, datasets also makes it possible to reconcile discrepancies in the literature, at least partially addressing the problem of data quality and reproducibility. Simulations exploring some of the emergent behaviors of the reconstructed microcircuitry reproduce a number of previous *in vitro* and *in vivo* findings and provide insights into the design and functioning of neocortical microcircuitry. The experimental data, the digital reconstruction, and the simulation results are available at the Neocortical Microcircuit Collaboration Portal (NMC Portal; <https://bbp.epfl.ch/nmc-portal>; see Ramaswamy et al., 2015).




Figure 1. Workflow for Data-Driven Reconstruction of Neocortical Microcircuitry

(A) Morphological diversity of neurons. (a) Identify the morphological diversity in the neocortical microcircuit (m-types). (b) Repair and then clone the various m-types with statistical variations to enrich the number of exemplars.

(B) Microcircuit anatomy. (a) Define the spatial dimensions of a unitary microcircuit. (b) Assemble individual neurons in 3D space according to the frequency of occurrence of each m-type per layer, selecting the appropriate m-type instance that satisfies laminar constraints on the axonal and dendritic distribution.

(C) Reconstructing microcircuit connectivity. Derive the number and location of synaptic contacts formed between all neurons in the microcircuit, based on a series of synaptic connectivity rules.

(D) Electrical diversity of neurons. Map and model the electrical types (e-types) of each m-type to account for the observed diversity of morpho-electrical subtypes (me-types).

(E) Synaptic diversity of neurons. Map and model the diversity of synaptic types (s-types) observed between pre-post combinations of me-types, according to rules derived from synaptic physiology.

(F) Reconstructing virtual tissue volumes. Apply the above strategy to reconstruct defined circuit volumes (microcircuits, slices, mesocircuits) for *in silico* experiments; insert synapses formed by thalamocortical fibers for stimulation experiments.

RESULTS

Neuron-type Nomenclature

Neurons differ in terms of their location in the brain, morphology, electrical properties, projections, and the genes and proteins that they express (for reviews, see [Harris and Shepherd, 2015](#); [Markram et al., 2004](#)). The combination of these properties implies an immense diversity of neuron types. Given the lack of sufficient data for other dimensions, the neuronal classification used for this first-draft digital reconstruction considered only layer, local morphology, and electrophysiology. Naming of morphological types was based on the most common names used over the past century ([Connors and Gutnick, 1990](#); [DeFelipe, 1993](#); [DeFelipe et al., 2013](#); [Douglas and Martin, 2004](#);

[Fairén et al., 1984](#); [Hestrin and Armstrong, 1996](#); [Kawaguchi and Kubota, 1997](#); [Kisvárdy et al., 1985](#); [Oberlaender et al., 2012](#); [Somogyi et al., 1982, 1998](#); [Svoboda et al., 1997](#); [Szabadics et al., 2006](#)), extended with a layer prefix (e.g., Layer_Morphology, L5_MC for layer 5 Martinotti cells). Electrical types, based on the Petilla convention ([Ascoli et al., 2008](#)), were treated as subtypes, (e.g., L5_MC_NAC for the non-accommodating subtype; see [Experimental Procedures](#)). When whole-brain axonal tracing data for a sufficient number of projecting neurons becomes available (e.g., L5_TTPC_CP and L5_TTPC_CT to represent cortico-pontine and cortico-tectal subtypes; [Hallman et al., 1988](#); [Wang and McCormick, 1993](#); for a review, see [Ram-aswamy and Markram, 2015](#)), the proposed classification can be extended to include projection subtypes. Similarly, when there




Figure 2. Table of Neocortical Neuronal Morphologies

Exemplar 3D reconstructions of 55 m-types. Morphologies in L2 and L3 are not separated. Axon in blue, dendrites in red. Full morphologies are not always shown. See also Figure S1 for average arbor densities of each m-type and Figure S2 for objective classification of m-types and details of the morphology cloning process. See also Movie S1A.

are sufficient single-cell gene and protein expression data to systematically identify cells, it can be extended to include molecular subtypes. The abbreviations used for each m-type are provided in Figure 2. A mapping between the nomenclature used in this study and alternative names present in the literature is provided in Table 1.

Morphological Diversity of Neocortical Neurons

We recorded and labeled >14,000 neurons from all six layers in the somatosensory cortex of P14 male Wistar (Han) rats, using patch-clamp electrodes in *in vitro* slices. Of these neurons, 2,052 were sufficiently well stained to allow expert classification into m-types, based on well-established characteristic features of their dendritic and axonal arbors, a procedure initiated by early neuroanatomists and still in use today (Fairén et al., 1984; Karagiannis et al., 2009; Karube et al., 2004; Kawaguchi and Kubota, 1997; Kisvárdy et al., 1985; Larkman, 1991a; Perrenoud et al., 2013; Peters and Kaiserman-Abramof, 1970; Ramón y Cajal, 1909, 1911; Somogyi et al., 1982, 1998; Wang et al., 2004; Yuste, 2005). We were able to digitally reconstruct a subset of 1,009 of these neurons. This allowed validation of the expert classification using an objective method (see below) based on clustering of characteristic features and provided the initial pool of digital neuron models needed to reconstruct the microcircuitry. In a few cases, we had no morphological reconstructions for rare m-types known to be present in the microcircuitry (L5_BP, L5_ChC, L6_NGC; Oláh et al., 2007; Szabadics et al., 2006). These were represented using exemplars of the same morphology from neighboring layers. Although L6 horizontal and

sub-plate pyramidal cells (L6_HPC and L6_SPC) were present in the dataset and have also been reported in the literature (Ghosh and Shatz, 1993; Hevner et al., 2001), the quality of the stains was not sufficient for reliable reconstruction. These morphologies are not represented in the first draft.

Aggregating morphological reconstructions and reports in the literature, we distinguished 55 m-types (65 if layers 2/3 are considered separately and 67 if L6_HPC and L6_SPC are also considered; Figure 2). Inhibitory types are mostly distinguished by axonal features and excitatory types by dendritic features (for reviews, see Markram et al., 2004; Ramaswamy and Markram, 2015; Spruston, 2008). Figure S1 shows overlays of multiple exemplars of each of the 55 major m-types, and Figures S2A and S2B illustrate the objective classification. While in some cases, it might have been possible to introduce a finer separation between m-types, this would have limited the size of the samples for individual types, reducing the reliability of the classification.

The same inhibitory types were present in all layers except layer 1, which contained a unique set of inhibitory neuron types. Pyramidal cell morphologies varied across layers (Figure 2, right) and also with depth within layer, as illustrated by the diversity of L2/3 PCs (Figure 2, upper-right). The number of pyramidal cell types, as defined by their local morphology, increased from upper to lower layers. Several types of interneurons (e.g., LBC and DBC) had axonal arbors that tended to descend to deeper layers when they were in upper layers and to ascend to upper layers when they were in deeper layers. Consistent with this trend, one type of pyramidal cell (L6_IPC) also had inverted axonal arbors.

Table 1. Relation of Interneuron Classes to Classification Schemes Found in the Literature

Morphological Type	Neurogliaform Cell (NGC)	Small Basket Cell (SBC)	Double Bouquet Cell (DBC)	Bipolar Cell (BP)	Martinotti Cell (MC)	Bitufted Cell (BTC)	Large Basket Cell (LBC)	Nest Basket Cell (NBC)	Chandelier Cell (ChC)
Other morphological classifications	Dwarf cell, button-type cell	Clutch cell	Bitufted cell/interneuron, horse-tail cell	Bitufted cell/interneuron	Bitufted cell/interneuron	Bitufted interneuron	Common basket cell, typical basket cell	Willow cell, arcade cell, shaft-biased cell, atypical basket cell	Axo-axonic cell
Predominantly expressed Ca^{2+} -binding proteins and peptides	CB (−), NPY (+), PV (−), VIP (−), CR (−) NPY (++) PV (−), VIP (+++), SOM (−) CR (+)	CB (−), NPY (−), PV (−), VIP (−), SOM (−) NPY (++) PV (−), VIP (+++), SOM (−) CR (+)	CB (−), NPY (−), PV (−), VIP (−), SOM (−) NPY (++) PV (−), VIP (+++), SOM (−) CR (+)	CB (−), NPY (−), PV (−), VIP (−), SOM (−) NPY (++) PV (−), VIP (−), SOM (−) CR (−)	CB (−), NPY (−), PV (−), VIP (−), SOM (−) NPY (++) PV (−), VIP (−), SOM (−) CR (−)	CB (−), NPY (−), PV (−), VIP (−), SOM (−) NPY (++) PV (−), VIP (−), SOM (−) CR (−)	CB (−), NPY (−), PV (−), VIP (−), SOM (−) NPY (++) PV (−), VIP (−), SOM (−) CR (−)	CB (−), NPY (−), PV (−), VIP (−), SOM (−) NPY (++) PV (−), VIP (−), SOM (−) CR (+)	CB (−), NPY (−), PV (−), VIP (−), SOM (−) NPY (++) PV (−), VIP (−), SOM (−) CR (−)
Electrical types	bNAC (7%), cNAC (79%), cSTUT (7%), cAC (7%) cNAC (36%), dNAC (29%)	bNAC (36%), cAC (9%), bIR (37%), bNAC (9%) cAC (18%), dSTUT (29%)	bAC (9%), bIR (18%), bNAC (9%) cAC (14%), dSTUT (7%)	cAC (7%), cNAC (14%), bNAC (29%) cAC (14%), dNAC (7%)	bAC (29%), cNAC (3%), bIR (14%), bNAC (4%) cAC (3%), dNAC (3%)	cNAC (37%), bSTUT (4%), bNAC (3%) cAC (3%), dNAC (3%)	bAC (17%), cNAC (11%), bSTUT (4%), cNAC (17%) cAC (3%), dNAC (3%)	bAC (6%), cNAC (6%), bIR (12%), bSTUT (6%) cAC (17%), dNAC (12%), cSTUT (24%)	cIR (7%), bNAC (6%), cNAC (17%), bSTUT (13%), cSTUT (20%) cAC (38%), dNAC (20%), dSTUT (7%)
Other electrical classifications	Non-fast spiking, late spiking	Fast spiking, non-accommodating, non-adapting	Irregular spiking, regular spiking non-pyramidal, adapting	Late spiking, regular spiking non-pyramidal, adapting	Regular spiking non-pyramidal, burst spiking non-pyramidal, low threshold spiking	Regular spiking non-pyramidal, adapting, burst spiking non-pyramidal	Fast spiking, non-accommodating, non-adapting	Fast spiking, non-accommodating, non-adapting	Fast spiking, late spiking, non-adapting

The terms used in this paper are in the first row, followed by other common names in the literature. Interneurons can be categorized according to which primary marker they express (calcium-binding proteins: parvalbumin [PV], calbindin [CB], and calretinin [CR]; neuropeptides: somatostatin [SOM], vasoactive intestinal polypeptide [VIP], neuropeptide Y [NPY], and cholecystokinin [CCK]). The mapping to serotonergic receptors ($5\text{HT}_{3\text{A}}\text{R}$) is not included since this was not assayed in the RT-PCR. We assign several possible electrical types to each morphological type, based on the Petilla convention, and show other names frequently used in the literature. See Figure 4 for definitions of electrical types.




Figure 3. Neuron Densities and Composition and Microcircuit Dimensions.

(A) Neuron densities and numbers. Vertical thicknesses as determined by transitions in neuronal somata size and density in NeuN stained slices (six animals; mean \pm SD). Neuron densities and numbers (six animals; mean \pm SD).

(B) Neuron fractions. Confocal block imaging of dual immunohistochemical labeling. DAPI labels all cells (blue). NeuN labels all neurons (green), GABA labels all GABAergic cells including glia (red), dual GABA and NeuN labels only GABAergic neurons (green). Bars to the right show fractions of excitatory (red) and inhibitory (blue) neurons in each layer.

(C) m-type composition. Fractions of inhibitory (left) and excitatory (right) m-types per layer ($n = 2052$).

(D) Dimensions. The horizontal dimension was defined as the smallest circle required to attain maximal dendritic volume at a central minicolumn (brown, top); cut-off radius, 95% of the plateau volume ($r = 210 \mu\text{m}$, middle). To allow tiling, the circle was transformed into a hexagon, preserving the area. For m-type acronyms, see Figure 2.

See also Figure S3 for details on morphology placement and Figure S4 for validation of the composition. See also Movie S1B.

Using multiple exemplars obtained from different animals for each m-type, we developed a repair process to recover arbors cut during the slicing process, which was validated using *in vivo* reconstructed neurons (see [Experimental Procedures](#); Anwar et al., 2009). To generate an even larger pool of unique morphologies, we cloned multiple exemplars of each m-type (Figures S2C–S2F), jittering branch angles, and section lengths in the clones (see [Experimental Procedures](#)). The morphometric properties of the resulting population were validated against distributions of features obtained from reconstructed neurons (see [Experimental Procedures](#)). This approach allowed us to establish a dataset of neuronal morphologies (see [Movie S1A](#)) that respects biological variability. Software applications for repairing and cloning *in vitro* neuron morphologies and for automated classification of neurons into the 55 m-types are available through the NMC Portal.

Reconstructing Neuron Densities, Ratios, and Composition

Reconstruction began by specifying the dimensions of the microcircuit, the fractions of excitatory and inhibitory neurons, the proportions of each m-type, and the number of neurons of each

m-type. The height of the neocortex and heights of each layer were measured experimentally in six animals, yielding an average overall height of $2,082 \pm 80$ microns (mean \pm SD; $n = 6$; Figure 3A). Layer thicknesses were determined experimentally by measuring the location of transitions in cell densities and soma sizes in NeuN-stained tissue blocks (see [Experimental Procedures](#)). Fractions of excitatory and inhibitory neurons per layer (E-I fractions) were established by counting cells stained for DAPI (all cells), NeuN (all neurons), and GABA (all inhibitory neurons) in tissue blocks (Figure 3B; see [Experimental Procedures](#)). Overall, excitatory and inhibitory neurons represented $87\% \pm 1\%$ and $13\% \pm 1\%$ of the population, respectively, with a trend toward higher fractions of excitatory neurons in deeper layers (Figure 3B).

The m-type composition for all excitatory and all inhibitory neurons in each layer was obtained from the relative frequencies of each m-type in the experimental dataset of 2,052 classified neurons mentioned earlier (Figure 3C; see [Experimental Procedures](#)). It is not possible to exclude sampling bias in this dataset. However, since E-I fractions were obtained in an unbiased manner, any bias is restricted to the proportions of m-types within the excitatory and inhibitory neurons and does not affect the overall E-I balance.

The E-I fractions and m-type composition determined in this way are broadly consistent with previous reports (DeFelipe et al., 2002; Lefort et al., 2009). For example, it is well established that ~50% of inhibitory interneurons are basket cells (i.e., LBCs and NBCs—predominantly parvalbumin-positive cells; SBCs—predominantly vasoactive intestinal peptide (VIP)-positive cells; we found ~53%, see below), that Martinotti cells (i.e., predominantly somatostatin-positive cells; we found ~22%, see below) are frequent in all layers except L1, and that bitufted and bipolar cells (i.e., many of the calbindin and calretinin-positive cells) and double bouquet cells (i.e., many of the VIP-positive cells) are both found in layers 2–6. Other inhibitory interneuron types are also found in L2–L6 but less frequently (Kawaguchi and Kubota, 1997; Krimer et al., 2005; Meyer et al., 2011; Oláh et al., 2007; Sancesario et al., 1998; Somogyi et al., 1998; for a review, see Markram et al., 2004). Previously published neuron densities could not be used because they varied by a factor of two (40,000–80,000 neurons/mm³; Beaulieu, 1993; Cragg, 1967; DeFelipe et al., 2002; Keller and Carlson, 1999; Peters, 1987) and are too low to account for the number of synapses in the microcircuit (see below, “Digital Reconstruction of Connectivity”). We therefore performed new experiments, counting cells in NeuN-stained tissue blocks. The experiments yielded a mean cell density of $108,662 \pm 2,754$ neurons/mm³ (mean \pm SEM, n = 6; see Experimental Procedures), comparable to observations in rat barrel cortex (Meyer et al., 2010a). Neuron densities were highest in L4 (Figure 3A), consistent with previous studies (Meyer et al., 2010a).

Since hind-limb somatosensory cortex, unlike barrel cortex, has no anatomically defined horizontal columnar organization (Horton and Adams, 2005; Markram, 2008), we chose to define the radius of the microcircuit by placing reconstructed neurons in a cylindrical volume and determining the minimal radius where the density of dendrites saturates at the center (Figure 3D; 95% of the plateau value obtained at a radius of 210 μm ; see Experimental Procedures). We chose dendrites, as opposed to axons, because they only arborize locally. This convention, which yields a minimal radius that reflects saturated dendritic density along the central axis, could allow comparisons between microcircuits in different brain regions. It yields a radius similar to the horizontal extent of the dendrites of the largest neuron in the microcircuit (i.e., the L5_TTPC; for a review, see Ramaswamy and Markram, 2015) and is comparable with the dimensions of the barrels in the rodent barrel cortex (Meyer et al., 2010b; Wimmer et al., 2010). To allow tiling of multiple microcircuits while minimizing edge effects, the volume of the microcircuit was defined as a hexagonal prism (Figure 3D, bottom) with a cross-sectional area equal to that of the circle with the radius defined above and a height determined by the combined height of the layers.

With these densities, m-type composition, and circuit dimensions, we calculated the number of each m-type in each layer and in the whole microcircuit. To approximate inter-individual variation in layer dimensions and neuronal densities, we digitally reconstructed separate microcircuits corresponding to layer heights and densities measured in five animals (Bio1–Bio5). The five reconstructions had an average of $31,375 \pm 2,251$ neurons (mean \pm SD, n = 5), with the number of neurons increasing in each layer from L1 to L6. We then constructed an additional

microcircuit using the averaged data (BioM). To assess the variation introduced by the digital reconstruction process (stochastic variations in m-type composition, selection and positioning of model neurons, and synaptic connectivity [see below]), we reconstructed seven instances of each microcircuit (i.e., seven reconstructions each from Bio1–Bio5 and seven from BioM; 42 in total).

Positioning Morphologically Reconstructed Neurons

After establishing the dimensions of the microcircuit and the number of neurons belonging to each m-type in each layer, it was necessary to position each neuron in the digital reconstruction. Consistent with reports of weak minicolumnar organization in rodents, (Mountcastle, 1998), neurons were arranged in 310 minicolumns at horizontal positions drawn from 2D Gaussians around the center of each minicolumn, thus relaxing the strictness of the minicolumnar organization (see Experimental Procedures). The positions of the neurons along the vertical axis of the minicolumn were randomly chosen within each layer, using a space-filling algorithm to ensure that somata did not overlap (see Experimental Procedures).

Once the positions of the neurons were established, a second algorithm randomly selected a suitable morphology for each position from the top 8% of morphologies, scored by their match to typical patterns of arborization within and across layers (Figure S3; see Experimental Procedures). These patterns were manually annotated on each reconstructed neuron, based on the depth of the recorded neuron within each layer and cross-layer arborization patterns described in the literature (see Experimental Procedures and NMC Portal). Figure S4A illustrates the microcircuit at this stage of reconstruction (see also Movie S1B). The total lengths of axons and dendrites in the average microcircuit were 350 ± 4 m and 215 ± 3 m (mean \pm SD, n = 7), respectively.

Biological accuracy at this stage of the reconstruction was validated against two experimental datasets that had not been used thus far. The first tissue-level dataset provides *in vitro* immunohistochemical staining of 30 μm sections for seven markers (calcium-binding proteins and neuropeptides) commonly used to label inhibitory interneurons (Figure S4B). The second cellular-level dataset provides estimated probabilities that the genes for these markers are expressed in specific m-types (Toledo-Rodriguez et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2002, 2004). We used the second dataset to add the markers to the model neurons. We then performed *in silico* immunohistochemical staining of the whole reconstructed tissue for each marker separately and compared the *in silico* stains against immunohistochemical stains from the first dataset. Although gene expression data are noisy and genes do not translate equally to protein levels, we found a reasonable correspondence between the numbers of neurons at different depths stained for specific markers in the *in silico* and the *in vitro* stains (regression, $r = 0.65$; Figure S4C). Furthermore, the layer-dependent pattern of *in silico* stained cells was consistent with previous staining experiments in this brain region (Ascoli et al., 2008; Condé et al., 1994; DeFelipe, 1993; Dumitriu et al., 2007; Gentet et al., 2010, 2012; Gonchar and Burkhalter, 1997; Gonchar et al., 2007; Kawaguchi and Kondo, 2002; Kawaguchi and Kubota, 1993,




Figure 4. Table of Morpho-Electrical Neuron Types

(A) e-types. Diverse firing patterns in response to depolarizing step current injections in neocortical neurons. c, continuous; d, delayed; b, bursting. AC, accommodating; NAC, non-accommodating; STUT, stuttering, IR, irregular; AD, adapting.

(B) An exemplar neuron (L23NBC) with a diversity of e-types. Percentages indicate the relative frequency of e-type occurrence.

(C) Fractions of e-types (11 e-types) recorded experimentally in each of the 55 m-types, making up 207 me-types. Solid lines indicate layer boundaries. See Table 1 for relation of e-types to other classifications in the literature.

1997; McGarry et al., 2010; O'Connor et al., 2009; Packer and Yuste, 2011; Santana et al., 2013; see also NMC portal). The observed correspondence would be unlikely in the presence of major errors in neuron densities, m-type composition, or positioning of reconstructed neurons. However, the biological data are highly variable, and the validation of the inhibitory m-type composition used only a small proportion of markers reported in the literature. The reconstruction should thus be considered as a first draft, to be refined as it is challenged with additional markers.

Morpho-Electrical Composition

We applied a standardized battery of stimulation protocols (Le Bé et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2002, 2004) to >3,900 neurons from all layers, recording and analyzing their responses. The neurons were classified using quantified features of the neuronal response to step current pulses, according to the criteria established by the Petilla convention (Ascoli et al., 2008; Figure 4A, top), with the exception of stuttering cells, which were considered as a separate class (see Druckmann et al., 2013). Since no significant bursting behavior was observed in excitatory m-types from animals of the age used in this study, all excitatory m-types were classified as continuous adapting (cAD) neurons (Figure 4A, bottom). Using this feature-based

classification scheme, we identified 11 e-types (10 inhibitory e-types and 1 excitatory e-type) (Figure 4A; see Experimental Procedures). Objective clustering of the same features produced a similar classification, validating the original classification scheme (Druckmann et al., 2013). The fact that the e-types identified in this way have characteristic ion channel profiles provides further evidence for their distinctive identity (Khazen et al., 2012; Toledo-Rodriguez et al., 2004).

Most inhibitory m-types expressed multiple e-types (Figure 4B), consistent with previous observations (Ascoli et al., 2008; Cauli et al., 2000; Nelson, 2002; Toledo-Rodriguez et al., 2005). Combining m- and e-types yielded 207 morpho-electrical types (me-types), providing an integrated view of the morpho-electrical diversity of the microcircuit (Figure 4C). A dataset of 511 morphologically and electrically classified inhibitory neurons was used to determine the relative proportion of e-types for each inhibitory m-type (in a layer-dependent manner for m-types with sufficient samples and otherwise in a layer-independent manner; Figure 4C, color map; see Experimental Procedures). The relative proportions were combined with neuron densities to calculate the number of neurons for each me-type in each layer. The resulting diversity and spatial distribution of inhibitory e-types is illustrated in Figure 5A. This integrated view of the microcircuitry reveals that, at this age, the most common inhibitory




Figure 5. Layer-Dependent Distribution of Inhibitory e-Types

(A) In silico “brainbow” staining of a random selection of inhibitory morphologies, colored by e-type.

(B) Layer-wise distribution of inhibitory e-types ($n = 35$ reconstructions; mean \pm SD). See Figure 4 for definitions of e-types.

other connection types (synapse locations; Hill et al., 2012). We now show that they reproduce the connectivity (numbers and locations) of all connection types that have been studied experimentally (see NMC Portal). For example, the anatomy of in silico synaptic connections between L5 Martinotti cells and L5 thick-tufted PCs (Figure S5) compares well with available experimental data (Silberberg and Markram, 2007). The algorithm provides detailed anatomical predictions for connection properties, which it has not yet been possible to measure experimentally (e.g., numbers of source and target cells and synapses) (Figure S5). The reconstruction also allows studies of neurons involved in polysynaptic pathways (see NMC Portal) forming known motifs (Honey et al., 2007; Perin et al., 2011; Silberberg, 2008; Sporns and Kötter, 2004).

The algorithm yields 1,941 biologically plausible multi-synapse connection types (out of a theoretical 3,025) that are consistent with the connectivity principles described above. Figure 7

shows the predicted average number of synapses formed by each potentially viable connection type (Figure 7A) as well as their predicted average connection probabilities (Figure 7B). The predicted number of synapses/connection is 4.5 ± 0.1 (3.6 for excitatory connections, 13.9 for inhibitory connections; $n = 35$). We also predict 27,625 types of connection between neurons of different m-types (see NMC Portal).

On average, each neuron innervates 255 ± 13 other neurons belonging to $32\% \pm 1\%$ of m-types, forming an average of $1,145 \pm 75$ synapses per neuron present in the microcircuit (Figure S6A; mean \pm SD, across the 35 Bio1-5 reconstructions; all neurons sampled). As a population, the neurons belonging to a given m-type innervate $63\% \pm 6\%$ of the m-types in the microcircuit. The individual reconstructions (Bio1-5) yield an average of 638 ± 74 million appositions and 36.7 ± 4.2 million synapses (27.0 ± 2.9 million excitatory and 9.7 ± 1.5 million inhibitory). Taken together, the neurons of the microcircuit form 8.1 ± 0.9 million connections. Figure 7C and Table S1 provide a first view of the connectivity between neurons of the neocortical microcircuit. Analyzing these data, we find that, at this age, the fraction of excitatory synapses (red) increases from L1 to L6 (Figure S6B). At later ages, this trend may change as axons

e-type is cAC, followed by cNAC and dNAC, and that stuttering and irregular e-types (cSTUT, bSTUT, dSTUT, cIR, and bIR) are relatively rare (Figure 5B). Inhibitory e-types with regular firing patterns (cAC, bAC, cNAC, bNAC, and dNAC) occur more frequently in superficial layers, whereas e-types with irregular firing patterns (cSTUT, bSTUT, dSTUT, cIR, bIR) are more common in deep layers (Figure 5B).

Digital Reconstruction of Connectivity

We developed an algorithmic approach to reconstruct synaptic connectivity between neurons in a companion study (Reimann et al., 2015). The approach is based on five rules of connectivity described in the Experimental Procedures and validated in Reimann et al. (2015). We implemented these rules in four stages that yield plausible multi-synapse connections, consistent with the rules and constrained by experimental bouton densities (Figure 6A).

The algorithm predicts the characteristics of multi-synapse connections between pairs of neurons that belong to specific m-types (Figure 6B). We have previously shown that these predictions faithfully reproduce detailed anatomical data on connectivity between L5 thick-tufted PCs (number of synapses and locations; Ramaswamy et al., 2012) and for a number of




Figure 6. Reconstructing Connectivity

(A) Four-step algorithm to convert putative axo-dendritic appositions into functional synapses. (1) Axonal appositions. For an exemplar L23SBC (left, soma and dendrites in black, axon in blue), connectivity based on all axo-dendritic appositions (in red) is characterized by an extremely wide distribution of synapses per connection and almost 100% connection probability (right, pooled data from efferent connections to L23PCs of $n = 100$ L23SBCs). (Inset) A selected axon collateral with all appositions. (2) After general pruning. For the same exemplar, L23SBC, randomly removing a fraction of appositions removes the right side of the distribution of synapses per connection (right). (3) After multi-synapse pruning. Removing connections formed by too few appositions prunes the left side of the distribution of synapses (right) but leaves short inter-bouton intervals. (4) After plasticity pruning. The last step randomly removes more connections (right), leading to correct inter-bouton-intervals and connection probabilities.

(B) Examples of in silico multi-synapse connections resulting after the four-step apposition to synapse conversion algorithm. The pre- and postsynaptic m-types forming the synaptic connection are indicated. The presynaptic neuron is shown in yellow, postsynaptic neuron in black, and synaptic contacts as red circles.

mature and reach higher layers. Pooling all excitatory and inhibitory cells in each layer reveals that recurrent excitation increases with cortical depth while recurrent inhibition is weak in all layers, that descending interlaminar projections are stronger than

ascending projections, and that intralaminar inhibition is weakest in layer 4 (Figure S6C).

The seven statistical instantiations of the mean microcircuit (BioM) yield 636 ± 10 million appositions and 36.5 ± 0.5 million




Figure 7. Predicted Synapse Numbers and Connection Probabilities

(A) Synapses per connection. A matrix of the average synapses per connection for multi-synapse connections formed between the 55 m-types (1,941 biologically viable connection types).

(B) Connection probabilities. A matrix of average connection probabilities within 100 μm .

(C) The connectome of the reconstructed microcircuit grouped by m-type (i.e., 1,941 m-type pathways). Colors group m-types by layer. Thickness of ribbon proportional to the number of synapses; inner ring segments, outputs (axons); outer ring segments, inputs (dendrites).

See also Figure S5 for anatomical details of an exemplary pathway; Figure S6 and Table S1 for more details of synaptic innervation strength; and Figure S20 for a comparison of the predicted connectome to a recent EM study. See also Movie S1C.

synapses (25.8 ± 0.4 million excitatory and 10.6 ± 0.2 million inhibitory; $n = 7$; Table S1 and Movie S1C). The lower variability of the statistical instantiations compared to the individual reconstructions (Bio1–Bio5; Table S1) indicates that the variation across digital reconstructions falls well within the bounds of biological variability.

From the space remaining on dendrites after accounting for predicted intrinsic connectivity (assuming 1.1 synapses/ μm ; Datwani et al., 2002; Kawaguchi et al., 2006; Larkman, 1991b), we predict that afferent fibers from beyond the microcircuit (extrinsic synapses) form a further 147 ± 4 million synapses (mean \pm SD; $n = 35$) (Figures S6D and S6E). The total

predicted number of synapses in the microcircuit is thus 184 ± 6 million (mean \pm SD; $n = 35$), of which only $20\% \pm 2\%$ of synapses are formed by neurons belonging to the microcircuit (i.e., intrinsic synapses), consistent with previous estimates in neocortex (Stepanyants et al., 2009). In a parallel electron microscopy study in which we determined average synapse density ($0.63 \pm 0.1/\mu\text{m}^3$; mean \pm SD; $n = 25$) and calculated the number of synapses in a comparable volume of the neocortex, we obtained 182 ± 6 million synapses. On the assumption that the average number of synapses/connection is the same for afferent fibers as for excitatory connections within the microcircuit (3.6 ± 0.04 synapses/connection; $n = 35$), we predict that

the microcircuit contains ~41 million mostly en passant afferent fibers.

The reconstructed microcircuitry reproduces numerous other experimental findings that were not used in the reconstruction process, described in a companion paper (Reimann et al., 2015). Nevertheless, it is clear that the predicted connectivity is a first draft that will be challenged and refined as experimental studies discover exceptions to the connectivity rules used here.

Reconstructing Neuronal Physiology

A series of algorithms and an automated workflow were developed to configure NEURON models to reproduce the electrophysiology of each me-type, (Druckmann et al., 2007, 2011; Hay et al., 2011) (see Experimental Procedures). In brief, we selected a morphologically reconstructed neuron and distributed Hodgkin-Huxley (HH)-type models of 13 known classes of ion channels (Figure S7) along the neuronal arbors (Figure 8A). Salient features were extracted from electrophysiological traces of e-type responses to step current pulses and data on back-propagating action potentials (Figure 8B; Larkum et al., 2001; Nevian et al., 2007). A multi-objective optimization algorithm (Druckmann et al., 2007) computed the vector of ion channel conductance densities that best reproduced features such as spike amplitudes and widths, spike frequency, and changes in frequency, and the resulting vector was transplanted into all neurons belonging to the m-type. Neurons in the resulting pool of models were challenged with a separate battery of stimuli not used to fit the vector of ion channel conductances. We then selected those that fell within observed distributions of features (~40% of models accepted; Figure 8C). This workflow provided a generic high-throughput method for modeling the electrical behavior of a potentially unlimited number of neurons of any e-type (Figure 8D). We automated the workflow to model all 207 me-types (Figure 8E), generating a pool of 121,231 unique neuron models. Exemplars can be downloaded from the NMC portal together with NEURON models of each m-type with all of their intrinsic synapses (see Movie S2). Morpho-electrical variation in the ensemble of model neurons was comparable to the biological variation observed experimentally. The quality of the final selection was quantified by comparing model and biological neurons in terms of their median z-scores for all electrical features (Figure 8E; see Experimental Procedures).

The generalization power of these models has been demonstrated previously (Druckmann et al., 2011). As a further test, we compared dendritic attenuation of synaptic potentials in the models against past experiments (Berger et al., 2001; Nevian et al., 2007). While attenuation along basal dendrites (Figure S8; space constant, $40.0 \pm 0.1 \mu\text{m}$) was consistent with these results (Nevian et al., 2007), the reconstruction displayed stronger attenuation along apical dendrites (Figure S8; $174.3 \pm 0.4 \mu\text{m}$) than previously reported ($273 \mu\text{m}$; Berger et al., 2001). However, the data in the literature were obtained from adult animals whose apical dendrites have larger diameters (Zhu, 2000) than those of the animals used in this study. In a subset of model neurons whose apical dendrites had similar diameters to those of adult animals (Zhu, 2000), attenuation was similar (Figure S8, B2, dark blue).

In most cases, transplantation of the vector of conductances to variants within the same inhibitory m-type preserved target physiology (~80% of models accepted), which was often maintained, even when conductances were transplanted to other inhibitory m-types (~60% of models accepted). This suggests that, in animals of the age used in the experiments, electrical behavior is relatively independent of the specific neuron morphologies.

Reconstructing Synaptic Physiology

To predict the physiology of the ~36 million synapses in the reconstruction, we integrated published paired-recording data and reported synaptic properties (conductances, postsynaptic potentials [EPSPs/IPSPs], latencies, rise and decay times, failures, release probabilities, etc.; see Experimental Procedures and NMC portal).

Neocortical synapses display known forms of short-term dynamics, which we used to classify synaptic connections as facilitating (E1 and I1), depressing (E2 and I2), or pseudo-linear (E3 and I3) s-types (Figures 9A and 9B) (Beierlein et al., 2003; Reyes and Sakmann, 1999; Reyes et al., 1998; Thomson and Lamy, 2007; Thomson et al., 1996; Wang et al., 2006). The s-types of specific connections were determined from the combination of their pre- and postsynaptic me-types (Ali et al., 2007; Bannister and Thomson, 2007; Beierlein and Connors, 2002; Feldmeyer et al., 2002; Frick et al., 2007; Gupta et al., 2000; Markram et al., 1998; Reyes et al., 1998; Somogyi et al., 1998; Thomson et al., 1993). Based on the available experimental data, we identified five rules to predict s-types for broad classes of connections: (1) pyramidal-to-pyramidal connections are always depressing (E2) (Feldmeyer et al., 1999; Frick et al., 2007, 2008; Gupta et al., 2000; Maffei et al., 2004; Markram et al., 1998; Mason et al., 1991; Mercer et al., 2005; Reyes et al., 1998; Thomson and Bannister, 1998; Thomson et al., 1993), (2) pyramidal-to-interneuron connections are also depressing (E2) (Angulo et al., 1999; Blatow et al., 2003; Holmgren et al., 2003; Markram et al., 1998; Reyes et al., 1998; Silberberg and Markram, 2007; Thomson and Deuchars, 1997; Wang et al., 2002), except for connections onto Martinotti, bitufted and other interneuron types displaying spike frequency accommodation, which are facilitating (E1) (Kapfer et al., 2007; Markram et al., 1998; Reyes et al., 1998; Rozov et al., 2001; Silberberg and Markram, 2007), (3) facilitation from inhibitory neurons is around two times stronger than from excitatory neurons (Gupta et al., 2000; Silberberg and Markram, 2007), (4) synaptic dynamics are preserved across layers for all me-type-specific connections, and (5) any remaining connections belong to the most common s-type (type 2; E2 or I2).

Since physiological characterization of all 27,625 unique me-type-to-me-type connections is not feasible, s-types in which experimental data were missing were specified using the rules above. Parameters for the synaptic dynamics of individual synapses were drawn from experimental distributions. In this manner, we generated a complete, albeit sparsely characterized, map of synaptic dynamics (Figure 9C). Stochasticity of synaptic transmission was modeled by extending a previously reported model (Fuhrmann et al., 2002). As an independent validation of the modeled synaptic dynamics, we compared the




Figure 8. Workflow for High-Throughput Reconstruction of Morpho-Electrical Behaviors

(A) Morphology and ion channel models. Selection of exemplar morphology, ion channel models, and their distribution on soma, dendrites, and axon.

(B) Feature extraction. Selection of experimental traces from a population of recorded cells as targets for fitting. Extraction of voltage and spiking features from experiments.

(C) Quality assurance. Multi-objective optimization of the vector of ion channel conductance densities to match the statistics of the extracted biological features in the model. Screen out models with electrical features that do not match the statistics for equivalent features in biological recordings.

(D) Models of e-types. Shows the 11 e-types modeled.

(E) Generalization and model quality. Generalization of the vector of ion channel conductance densities to other exemplars of the same m-type; application of a standardized set of measurement protocols to each model neuron to determine generalization; quality scores for accepted models (median z-score). See also [Figure S7](#) for properties of modeled ion channels and [Figure S8](#) for dendritic properties.

coefficient of variation (c.v.) of first PSPs against reported experimental data ($r = 0.8$; [Figure 9D](#); Gupta et al., 2000; Markram et al., 1998; Wang et al., 2006).

We then applied unitary synaptic conductances obtained in previous experiments that also measured somatic postsynaptic potentials (PSP) between specific pairs of m-types and compared the resulting in silico PSPs with the corresponding

in vitro PSPs ([Figure 10A](#)). The in silico PSPs were systematically lower. Since the neuron models and the numbers and locations of synapses between pairs of m-types had been validated, we hypothesized that the reported synaptic conductances had been underestimated, because of inadequate compensation for space-clamp errors (Feldmeyer et al., 2002; Gupta et al., 2000; Rinaldi et al., 2008). To quantify the underestimate,




Figure 9. Reconstructing Dynamic Synaptic Transmission.

(A) In silico synaptic connection. Experimental protocol recreated in silico to obtain the frequency dependence of synaptic transmission between pairs of neurons. A presynaptic L4NBC (black pipette) was stimulated with a 30 Hz pulse train to evoke eight APs + 1 “recovery” AP (bottom trace), resulting in inhibitory depressing responses (top traces) in the postsynaptic L4SS (blue pipette); 30 individual trials in gray, average in blue. The connection was mediated by 12 synaptic contacts (blue stars).

(B) Synapse types (s-types). Parameters describing six s-types in the Tsodyks-Markram phenomenological synapse model (see [Experimental Procedures](#)).

(C) Map of predicted synaptic dynamics. Previously established mapping rules were used to constrain s-types for connections that have not yet been characterized experimentally (see [Figure S8](#)), yielding a complete map for all 1,941 m-type-to-m-type connections in the reconstructed microcircuit.

(D) Validation. Trial-to-trial variability for different s-types in silico compared to in vitro data. Dots and error bars show mean \pm SD of the data; dashed line shows regression fit.

synaptic conductances were adjusted until in silico PSPs matched experimental levels ([Figure 10B](#) and [Table S2](#); Angulo et al., 1999; Le Bé et al., 2007; Feldmeyer et al., 2006; Feldmeyer et al., 1999, 2002; Markram et al., 1997; Silberberg and Markram,

2007). The results suggested that reported conductances are about 3-fold too low for excitatory connections, and 2-fold too low for inhibitory connections ([Table S2](#); Gupta et al., 2000; Rinaldi et al., 2008). Other recent studies also suggest that




Figure 10. Reconstructing Quantal Synaptic Conductances.

(A) Unitary synaptic responses. A single AP was evoked in a presynaptic L1HAC (black pipette and trace). The postsynaptic potential was recorded at the soma of a L23PC (blue pipette; 30 individual trials in gray, average in blue); synaptic conductance was recorded simultaneously in the dendrite (green pipette and trace). Scale bars: 0.27 nS, 20 mV, 0.4 mV, 3 ms.

(B) Validation. Comparison of in silico PSP amplitudes to in vitro characterized connections ($n = 9$; mean \pm SD; Table S2), explicitly correcting reported conductances for space-clamp errors (see Experimental Procedures). Dots and error bars show mean \pm SD of the data; dashed line shows regression fit.

(C) Validation. As B for connections that lack conductance estimates ($n = 10$; mean \pm SD; Table S4). Conductances were generalized from B for broad classes of excitatory and inhibitory connections (see Experimental Procedures).

(D) Quantal synaptic conductances. In the absence of experimental data for postsynaptic potentials, synaptic conductances were generalized from data for similar connections, allowing the prediction of quantal synaptic conductances for all synapses on a neuron. Simultaneous recording of quantal synaptic conductances in a L5TTPC are shown in colored traces (excitatory, red to pink; inhibitory, green to blue).

(E) Predicted map of quantal conductances. Circles indicate connections used in B (black) and C (white) above. Black lines separate excitatory m-types. See also Figure S9 for examples of in silico synaptic patch and staining experiments and Table S2 for corrected conductances. See also Movie S2.

previously reported values are underestimated to a similar degree (Sarid et al., 2007; Williams and Mitchell, 2008).

For the vast majority of connection types, no experimental data for synaptic conductances were available. Therefore, we computed the average corrected synaptic conductances for broader classes of synaptic connections (e.g., E-E, E-I, I-I, I-E; see [Experimental Procedures](#)) and applied these conductances to all specific connections where data were missing. The resulting amplitudes of in silico PSPs were validated against experimental data for ten connection types not used in determining the conductances (regression, $r = 0.6$; [Figure 10C](#) and [Table S2](#)). The derived synaptic dynamics and quantal conductances compared well with previous reports (Feldmeyer et al., 2002; Ramaswamy et al., 2012; Silberberg and Markram, 2007; Thomson and Deuchars, 1997) (see NMC Portal). Using the same method, we generated a first prediction of mean synaptic conductances for all 1,941 m-type-to-m-type connections ([Figure 10D](#)). Unique quantal synaptic conductances for individual synapses were drawn from truncated normal distributions around these means ([Figure 10E](#); see [Experimental Procedures](#)).

We performed in silico paired recordings of all 1,941 m-type-to-m-type connections in the average microcircuit (BioM) and found results comparable to previously published paired recordings *in vitro* (see [Figures S9A–S9J](#) for an example; see NMC Portal). Obtaining the anatomical and physiological properties of all the intrinsic synapses formed onto and by any neuron has long been an experimental challenge (Crick, 1979). The reconstruction now allows in silico retrograde staining experiments for any neuron in the microcircuit, providing a detailed view of its presynaptic neurons and their synapses ([Figure S9K](#)). In silico anterograde staining for postsynaptic neurons is also possible. [Figure S9L](#) illustrates predicted locations of afferent synapses formed onto a L23_PC. [Figure S9M](#) shows the mean number of presynaptic (red) and postsynaptic (blue) neurons for excitatory (top) and inhibitory (bottom) m-types. Predicted input-output synapses for all 31,346 neurons in the BioM microcircuit and summary statistics for each of the 55 m-types, 11 e-types, and 207 me-types can be downloaded from the NMC Portal. The portal also provides NEURON models of each m-type, allowing simulation experiments exploring dendritic integration of m-type-specific synaptic inputs.

We found that the m-, e-, and s-types of inputs to any particular neuron were always strikingly different from those of its outputs (i.e., inputs and outputs were highly asymmetrical; see NMC Portal). The predicted average total synaptic conductance for single neurons was ~ 1000 nS (~ 750 nS excitatory and ~ 250 nS inhibitory conductance; based on all synapses in BioM). Predicted average quantal conductance was 0.85 ± 0.44 nS for excitatory synapses (corresponding to ~ 150 AMPA and ~ 20 NMDA receptors; Yoshimura et al., 1999) and 0.84 ± 0.29 nS for inhibitory synapses (corresponding to ~ 40 GABA_A receptors; Ling and Benardo, 1999). The average failure rate across all 1,941 m-type-to-m-type connections was $11.1\% \pm 14.1\%$.

Simulating Spontaneous Activity

To simulate reconstructed microcircuits at the level of detail described above, the NEURON simulator was extended to run on supercomputers ([Figure S10](#); Carnevale and Hines, 2006;

Hines and Carnevale, 1997; Hines et al., 2008a, 2011, 2011; Migliore et al., 2006), and additional functionality was developed to support in silico experimentation (see [Experimental Procedures](#)). We then used simulations to investigate the neuronal activity of the reconstructed microcircuitry ([Figure 11A](#)) under different conditions. We began by simulating spontaneous activity during tonic depolarization (see [Movie S3A](#)), attempting to mimic previous *in vitro* experiments (see [Experimental Procedures](#)). Under these conditions, neurons belonging to all m-types were active and the network exhibited spontaneous slow oscillatory population bursts, initiated in L5, spreading down to L6, and then up to L4 and L2/3 with secondary bursts spreading back to L6 ([Figure 11B](#)). Despite apparent global synchrony, the 55 m-types generated diverse patterns of spiking ([Figures 11C](#) and [11D](#)).

To allow comparison with the *in vitro* experiments, from which the physiological data were obtained, we reconstructed a virtual brain slice (a mesocircuit) that was $230\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ thick and whose width was equivalent to that of seven microcircuits (containing a total of 139,834 neurons) ([Figure 12A](#); see [Movie S3B](#) and [Experimental Procedures](#)). The virtual slice reproduced the oscillatory bursts (~ 1 Hz) found in the previous microcircuit simulations ([Figure 12B](#)), which are comparable to those found in *in vitro* experiments (Lörincz et al., 2015; Sanchez-Vives and McCormick, 2000).

In vitro experiments are typically performed at $2\text{ mM }[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o$, while the level of $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o$ *in vivo* is reported to lie in the range $0.9\text{--}1.1\text{ mM}$ (Amzica et al., 2002; Jones and Keep, 1988; Massini and Amzica, 2001; also see Borst, 2010), increasing in oscillatory cycles to $1.2\text{--}1.3\text{ mM}$ during the transition from wakefulness to sleep (Amzica et al., 2002; Heinemann et al., 1977). Although it is not possible to fully mimic *in-vivo*-like conditions, we nonetheless explored the behavior of the circuit at these lower Ca^{2+} levels as an approximation of the *in vivo* condition.

It is well known that the $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o$ in the extracellular space modulates the probability of neurotransmitter release (Borst, 2010; Ohana and Sakmann, 1998; Rozov et al., 2001). We therefore modified the probability of release, consistent with experimental data for the specific sensitivities of different s-types to changes in $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o$ (Gupta et al., 2000; Rozov et al., 2001; Silver et al., 2003; Tsodyks and Markram, 1997) ([Figure S11](#); see [Experimental Procedures](#)). We found that, in the low Ca^{2+} condition, slow oscillatory bursting disappeared and the neuronal activity became asynchronous and irregular ([Figure 12C](#)). To validate this in silico finding, we performed multi-electrode array recordings *in vitro* ([Figure 12D](#)) in high and low Ca^{2+} conditions (see [Experimental Procedures](#)). As predicted by the simulations, we found that the slow oscillatory bursts present in high Ca^{2+} ([Figure 12E](#)) were replaced by asynchronous and irregular activity under low Ca^{2+} conditions ([Figure 12F](#)).

We then used the virtual slice to explore the behavior of the microcircuitry for a wide range of tonic depolarization and Ca^{2+} levels. We found a spectrum of network states ranging from one extreme, where neuronal activity was largely synchronous, to another, where it was largely asynchronous (the synchronous-asynchronous [SA] spectrum; [Figure S12](#)). The spectrum was observed in virtual slices, constructed from all 35 individual instantiations of the microcircuit (seven for each of Bio1–Bio5) and all seven instantiations of the average microcircuit (BioM).




Figure 11. Simulation of the Reconstructed Microcircuit

(A) Simulation of spontaneous activity. Individual neurons at different levels of depolarization in the microcircuit are colored according to a heatmap (blue, hyperpolarized; red, depolarized; white, spike).

(B) Rastergrams of randomly selected neurons for each m-type during synchronous bursting.

(C) Exemplar voltage traces for each of the 55 m-types during spontaneous activity in the microcircuit (traces truncated at -30 mV).

(D) Inter-spike interval (ISI) distributions of each of the 55 m-types for the activity shown in C.

See also Figure S10 for an overview of the software ecosystem surrounding the simulation of the microcircuit. See also Movie S3A.

This implies that it is a highly reproducible phenomenon, robust to biological and statistical variations in parameters such as layer thickness, cell density, and composition; specific synaptic connectivity; and the specific dimensions of the microcircuit (see Movie S3C).

We observed that a change in $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o$ of $< 1\text{ mM}$ can lead to a transition from the synchronous to the asynchronous state, revealing two distinct activity regimes (Figure S12). The level of $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o$ at the transition varied slightly across the different instantiations of the microcircuit (Bio1–Bio5; Figure S13).

Since the reconstructed microcircuitry displays synaptically coupled assemblies comparable to those found experimentally (Perin et al., 2011; Reimann et al., 2015), we also analyzed correlations in neuronal activity within these assemblies. Neuronal activity was found to be slightly more correlated within assem-

bles compared to randomly sampled neurons (Figure S14). Near the transition, a fall in $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o$ of just $\sim 0.15\text{ mM}$ (Figure S14) led to a sharp decrease in correlated spiking, clearly demarcating a transition in the SA spectrum.

The mechanism underlying this sharp transition is likely to involve the differential Ca^{2+} sensitivities of inhibitory and excitatory synapse types. Indeed, we found that changing $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o$ from 2 mM to 1.3 mM alters the ratio between excitatory and inhibitory synaptic PSPs by a factor of ~ 3.5 , in favor of inhibition (Figure S11). This suggests the existence of a threshold level of Ca^{2+} beyond which inhibition is insufficient to prevent a supercritical state (see below).

The finding that differential sensitivity of s-types to Ca^{2+} levels determines the position of the network along the SA spectrum suggests that other mechanisms that change the




Figure 12. Predicting and Validating Synchronous and Asynchronous States in Spontaneous Activity

- (A) A spontaneously active virtual slice formed from seven unitary microcircuits ($230.9 \times 2800 \times 2082 \mu\text{m}$).
 - (B) Rastergram of a random selection of neurons during *in silico* spontaneous activity under *in-vitro*-like conditions (somatic depolarization to ~90% threshold, $([Ca^{2+}]_o = 2.0 \text{ mM})$). Number of neurons displayed per layer is proportional to the total number of neurons per layer.
 - (C) Rastergram of a random selection of neurons during *in silico* spontaneous activity under *in-vivo*-like conditions (somatic depolarization to ~90% threshold, $([Ca^{2+}]_o = 1.0 \text{ mM})$.
 - (D) To assess network activity, 300- μm -thick cortical slices were mounted on a 3D multi-electrode array (MEA) (reconstruction of a layer 5 pyramidal cell overlaid).
 - (E) Experimentally observed spontaneous multi-unit activity under *in vitro* $[Ca^{2+}]_o$.
 - (F) Experimentally observed spontaneous multi-unit activity under *in vivo*-like $[Ca^{2+}]_o$.
- See also [Figure S11](#) for $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ sensitivity of synapse types; [Figures S12](#) and [S13](#) for activity along the full spectrum of $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ concentrations and its biological variability; [Figure S14](#) for synchrony in synaptically clustered neurons along the spectrum; [Figure S15](#) for the effect of selective knockouts on microcircuit activity. See [Movie S3B](#) for a visualization of B and [Movie S3C](#) for C.

excitatory-inhibitory balance may have similar effects. We therefore performed *in silico* knockout experiments to understand the roles of the different layers, neurons, and connections in control-

ling the position of the microcircuit on the spectrum ([Figure S15](#)). We found that blocking activity in the upper layers tended to shift the network toward the synchronous state, while blocking the

deeper layers had the opposite effect (Figure S15A). Similarly, blocking soma-targeting basket cells produced a stronger shift toward the synchronous state than blocking other interneurons, while blocking pyramidal cells caused a shift toward the asynchronous state (Figure S15B). Corresponding differential effects were found when blocking associated inhibitory and excitatory connections (Figure S15C). These effects were observed both at high and low $[Ca^{2+}]_o$. It follows that differential regulation of layers, neurons, and connections plays an important role in controlling the position of the microcircuit along the SA spectrum, independently of $[Ca^{2+}]_o$.

Simulating Thalamic Activation of the Microcircuit

To examine spatio-temporal patterns of evoked activity, we constructed a mesocircuit consisting of a central microcircuit surrounded by six additional microcircuits. Connectivity was established for the mesocircuit as a whole, with no anatomical borders between microcircuits. An algorithm was developed to approximate input from the thalamus to the central microcircuit in such a way as to satisfy experimental constraints. We used data for the number of incoming fibers, bouton density profiles, and the numbers of synapses per connection (to layer 4) for the ventral posteromedial (VPM) thalamic input to the barrel region of somatosensory cortex (Constantinople and Bruno, 2013; Gil et al., 1999; Meyer et al., 2010b). To represent the number of fibers, we instantiated one fiber centered in each minicolumn with a horizontal spread (Meyer et al., 2010b). We then used the layer-by-layer bouton density profiles (Meyer et al., 2010b) (Figure 13A, left), experimental measurements of the mean number of synapses per thalamic connection in layer 4 (Amitai, 2001; Gil et al., 1999), and the multi-synapse principle (see above and **Experimental Procedures**) to predict the synapses that each thalamic fiber forms onto different m-types (Figure 13A, right). The reconstruction reproduced the number of synapses formed on L4 PCs (Figure 13B) (Amitai, 2001; Gil et al., 1999) and predicted, for example, an average of ~12 synapses on L5 pyramidal neurons (Figure 13B), more than for L4 PCs. Overall, we predicted that each thalamic fiber innervates 903 ± 66 neurons (mean \pm SD; n = 100 fibers; Figure 13C; 775 ± 57 excitatory and 83 ± 11 inhibitory neurons) with an average of 8.1 ± 4.2 synapses/connection. In total, we found that thalamic fibers form ~2 million synapses in the central microcircuit (~1% of synapses across all layers; see Meyer et al., 2010b).

Thalamocortical synaptic transmission was modeled using *in vitro* data on synaptic dynamics (Figure 13D, left; Amitai, 2001; Gil et al., 1999) and the generalized excitatory-to-excitatory conductances derived above (i.e., similar to L4_EXC, E2 s-type; see **Experimental Procedures**). Ca^{2+} dependency was modeled as for other excitatory connections. The resulting synaptic transmission was validated by comparing *in silico* PSPs in L4 and L5 PCs in low- Ca^{2+} conditions against previous *in vivo* reports (Bruno and Sakmann, 2006; Constantinople and Bruno, 2013; Figure 13E). Distributions of PSPs in L4 and L5 PCs in high- Ca^{2+} conditions were also predicted (Figure 13D, right).

With $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ at 1.25 mM and moderate depolarization (*in-vivo-like* conditions), the main response to stimulation of thalamic fibers was in L4 to L6 (Figure S16A). Examination of the spiking activity of a random selection of neurons, covering all 55 m-types, showed that most m-types in these layers re-

sponded to the stimulus (Figure S16B). To investigate the effects of a graded stimulus, we used a single synchronous spike to activate a progressively increasing number of fibers innervating the center of the mesocircuit. With $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ at 2.0 mM and zero depolarization (*in-vitro-like* conditions), activating four or more fibers evoked a stereotypical high-amplitude PSTH response (>80 Hz, Figure 14A), similar to previous *in vitro* observations (Beierlein et al., 2002). In contrast, stimulation under *in-vivo-like* conditions produced graded responses, with 20–30 Hz oscillations emerging in the lower layers, particularly in L6, when higher numbers of fibers were stimulated (Figure 14B). While under *in-vitro-like* conditions, stimulating as few as four thalamic fibers produced all-or-none behavior, indicative of a regenerative state that spread across the whole mesocircuit (Figure 14C), under *in-vivo-like* conditions, the activity remained localized (Figure 14D).

With increasing Ca^{2+} levels, the stimulus response curves measured during the first 10 ms of thalamic stimulation shifted from a linear to a sharp sigmoidal shape (Figure 14E). Analysis of the velocity of spread revealed a qualitative difference between the synchronous and asynchronous regimes. In the synchronous regime, the spread of activity accelerated over time, while in the asynchronous regime, it was constant until the amplitude of the activity fell to zero (Figure 14F). This suggests that, in the synchronous regime, inhibition cannot act fast enough to curb the excitation and prevent uncontrolled spreading activity. Correlated activity is maximal in the regenerative regime and minimal in the non-regenerative regime (Figure S14), suggesting a dynamic range for correlations to emerge during information processing under *in-vivo-like* conditions. Taken together, the simulations predict that, at the average $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ reported in the awake state (Jones and Keay, 1988; Massimini and Amzica, 2001; Westerink et al., 1988), the neocortex will exhibit graded and spatially restricted activation, a prerequisite for the emergence of functional maps with high spatial resolution.

At a level of tonic depolarization where the network is spontaneously active in both the regenerative and non-regenerative regimes, we observed a spectrum of oscillations with lower frequencies (~1 Hz) in the regenerative regime and higher frequencies in the non-regenerative regime (~10–20 Hz; data not shown). Maximum power was observed in layers 5–6 in the regenerative regime and in layers 2–3 in the non-regenerative regime (data not shown). This suggests that shifts along the SA spectrum contribute to the spectra of oscillatory frequencies observed in spontaneously active neocortex (see also Tan et al., 2014).

To establish a more complete demarcation between different activity regimes, we performed a series of simulations systematically exploring network state at varying levels of $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ and depolarization. An analysis of average firing rates demarcated the boundary between evoked and spontaneous activity (Figure 15A). The boundary between spontaneous regenerative and non-regenerative regimes was demarcated by the presence or absence of spontaneous bursting activity (Figure 15B). The transition between the evoked regenerative and non-regenerative regimes was determined by an analysis of the amplitude of the response to stimuli at the edge of the mesocircuit (Figure 15C). The combination of these activity maps demarcates four distinct activity regimes: evoked regenerative




Figure 13. Reconstructing Thalamocortical Input

(A) Bouton and synapse profiles. (Left) The distribution of boutons across the depth of the microcircuit (orange line, Meyer et al., 2010b) assuming one afferent fiber from ventral posteromedial (VPM) thalamic nucleus per minicolumn, overlaid on randomly chosen neurons. (Right) The resulting synapses formed (green) with synapses formed by a single fiber (red).

(B) Distribution of the number of synapses per connection formed by the population of thalamic fibers onto L4 and L5 excitatory neurons. L4 distribution is compared against in vitro data (dashed red line; Gil et al., 1999). Horizontal bar: mean \pm SD.

(C) Postsynaptic neurons. Distribution of the number of postsynaptic neurons innervated by individual thalamic fibers.

(D) In-vitro-like conditions. (Left) Synaptic dynamics of thalamocortical connections to L4 excitatory cells (gray, 30 trials; blue, average; $[Ca^{2+}]_o = 2.0 \text{ mM}$). (Right) Distribution of PSP amplitudes of thalamocortical connections to L4 and L5 excitatory cells ($[Ca^{2+}]_o = 2.0 \text{ mM}$, horizontal bar, mean \pm SD).

(E) In vivo-like conditions. (Left) Synaptic dynamics of thalamocortical connections to L4 excitatory cells (gray, 30 trials; blue, average; $[Ca^{2+}]_o = 1.3 \text{ mM}$). (Right) Distribution of PSP amplitudes of thalamocortical connections to L4 and L5 excitatory cells ($[Ca^{2+}]_o = 1.3 \text{ mM}$, horizontal bar, mean \pm SD). L4 and L5 distributions are compared against in vivo data (L4, dashed red line, left; Bruno and Sakmann, 2006; L5, star dashed red line; Constantinople and Bruno, 2011).

See also Figure S11 for $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ sensitivity of synapse types.

(ER), spontaneous regenerative (SR), evoked non-regenerative (EN), and spontaneous non-regenerative (SN) (Figure 15D).

Reproducibility of Emergent Properties

The reconstructed microcircuitry is based on biological data from a large number of different animals and, in some cases,

from different neocortical regions that together provide statistical distributions for layer heights, neuron densities, cellular composition, and morphological and electrophysiological diversity within and across types of neuron and reflect the diversity of synaptic anatomy and physiology observed in biological experiments. The reconstruction process stochastically creates




Figure 14. Activity Evoked by Thalamic Input

(A) In-vitro-like stimulus-dependent evoked activity. Raster plots (top) and PSTHs (bottom) of the response to stimulation of varying number of thalamic fibers under in vitro-like conditions (somatic depolarization to ~60% threshold, $[Ca^{2+}]_o = 2.0 \text{ mM}$).

(B) In-vivo-like stimulus-dependent evoked activity. Same as in A for in-vivo-like conditions (somatic depolarization to ~100% threshold, $[Ca^{2+}]_o = 1.25 \text{ mM}$). Stimulation times and number of fibers stimulated are shown below.

(C) In-vitro-like activity propagation. A mesocircuit under in vitro-like conditions (no somatic depolarization, $[Ca^{2+}]_o = 2.0 \text{ mM}$) stimulated with single synchronous spikes to each of 16 thalamic fibers at the center of the central microcircuit. The mesocircuit at L4 is depicted from above at different times after stimulation. Neuronal somata are rendered with a heat color map indicating level of depolarization.

(D) In-vivo-like activity propagation. Same as in C but under in vivo-like conditions (somatic depolarization to ~100% threshold, $[Ca^{2+}]_o = 1.25 \text{ mM}$). (E) Stimulus response curves for various levels of Ca^{2+} and somatic depolarization to ~85% threshold. Response amplitude determined as the peak response for the central ten minicolumns in the first 10 ms of the response.

(F) Propagation of the wave front with time in response to thalamic stimulation (at $t = 0$), measured as the half-maximum of a one-sided Gaussian fit to the wave front. Exponential fit for the regenerative activity and linear fit for non-regenerative activity (conditions as in C and D, respectively). In the non-regenerative regime, the amplitude of the wave front was zero at 25 ms. See also Figure S16 for stimulus responses of individual m-types.

instantiations of the digital microcircuit that respect these distributions. We have previously shown that detailed synaptic physiology is largely invariant across different instantiations of the digital microcircuit (Ramaswamy et al., 2012) and that emergent parameters such as the distributions of the locations of synapses formed by different presynaptic neurons are also largely invariant (Hill et al., 2012).

To further assess the reproducibility of the reconstruction as a whole, we measured the variance of a range of its emergent anatomical and physiological properties (i.e., properties not directly specified by the data). The anatomical properties measured from seven instantiations of each microcircuit (seven instantiations of BioM and seven each for Bio1–Bio5) included total number of appositions and synapses, convergence and divergence of connectivity for each m-type, numbers of excitatory and inhibitory synapses and connections, mean numbers and types of presynaptic neurons innervating neurons belonging

to different m-types, and numbers of intra- and inter-laminar synapses and connections. In each case, we found low variance compared to the mean (see Figure S17 for a sample; see Table S1 for selected values; see also NMC Portal).

To gain a deeper understanding of the physiological variability of the digital reconstruction, we examined trial-to-trial variability in the spiking activity of individual neurons and variability across neurons of the same type, as well as variability across layers and across digital reconstructions individualized with data from five different animals (Bio1–Bio5). Cell responses to a single thalamic stimulation, roughly comparable to a single whisker deflection (stimulation of a cluster of 60 minicolumns), displayed varying degrees of trial-to-trial variability (Figure 16A). Since the digital reconstruction implements biologically grounded stochastic mechanisms for synaptic transmission, spontaneous release, and some ion channels, this was expected. However, each cell-type also displayed a characteristic delay to first spike response. In some cases, the distribution of single-neuron responses was similar to that of the population (Figure 16B, left),




Figure 15. The Regime Map

Characterization of spontaneous and evoked activity under different levels of depolarization and $[Ca^{2+}]_o$.

(A) Average spontaneous firing rates. White line indicates interpolated transition between evoked and spontaneous regimes.

(B) Presence of spontaneous bursting activity. White line indicates transition between spontaneous regenerative (SR) and non-regenerative (NR) activity.

(C) Map of evoked regenerative (ER) and non-regenerative activity determined by the amplitude of the response to stimuli at the edge of the mesocircuit in relation to the initial response at the center. White line indicates the transition between the ER and NR regimes. Blue-green region is extrapolated to be NR.

(D) Schematic map of showing the four activity regimes. Evoked regenerative, ER; spontaneous regenerative, SR; evoked non-regenerative, EN; spontaneous non-regenerative, SN.

while in others it was markedly different (Figure 16B, right). For both excitatory and inhibitory neurons, variance in response times decreased with cortical depth (Figure 16C). In all layers, trial-to-trial variability was lower than the variability between individual neurons of the same type in single trials.

Responses from neurons of the same m-type, in digital reconstructions based on data from individual animals (Bio1–Bio5), displayed higher variability across reconstructions than in different instantiations of the average microcircuit (BioM) (for an example, see Figure 16D, left). To isolate the source of this inter-individual variability, we began by re-examining the SA spectra for the reconstructions at different levels of Ca^{2+} . We found that they all displayed the spectrum but that the precise level of Ca^{2+} at the transition between the synchronous and asynchronous state was slightly different for each reconstruction, ranging from 1.23 to 1.31 mM $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ (Figure S13). We therefore repeated the simulations, setting the Ca^{2+} level such that each reconstruction was shifted to the same point along the spectrum relative to the transition. Under these normalized conditions, the variance in the responses of specific m-types across reconstructions decreased strikingly (Figure 16D, right). Figure 16E summarizes the different sources of variability for all neurons in L4 and L5.

Taken together, these results demonstrate the ability of the digital reconstruction to accommodate physiological variability while maintaining reproducibility and are evidence of its potential to generate useful biological insights. To further test this potential, we attempted to replicate results from an array of recent *in vivo* studies.

Reproducing In Vivo Findings

The digital reconstructions described above aimed to recreate the anatomy and physiology of an isolated slice of neocortical tissue, but not specifically to replicate any particular *in vivo* experiment. Nonetheless, we tested the ability of the digital reconstruction to replicate such experiments. We selected a set of recent *in vivo* studies in which a reasonable replication of the stimulation and analysis protocols was technically feasible. We then selected an arbitrary instantiation of BioM and used this model for all tests. In each case, we maintained the model's original parameters, without introducing modifications to fit previously reported results—a “zero tweak” strategy. All simulations were performed near the transition from the synchronous to the asynchronous state.




Figure 16. Reliability of Microcircuit Responses

(A) Raster plot of the spiking activity of an exemplary L23PC (top) and an exemplary L4PC (bottom) in response to simulated thalamocortical stimulation with 60 fibers. The first spike after the stimulus in each of 200 trials is indicated in red, other spikes in black. The blue line indicates the probability that the neuron fires a spike in a 5 ms bin.

(B) Histograms of the response delay (delay of the first spike after stimulus presentation) for L23PCs (left) and L4PCs (right). (Black) For 200 trials of 25 randomly chosen neurons of the indicated type.

(Red) For 200 trials of the neurons indicated in A. (C) Standard deviation of the response delay of neurons in different layers across trials. Red line indicates the median of neurons, blue boxes the 25th and 75th percentiles, and whiskers the full data spread. (Top) Excitatory neurons; (bottom) inhibitory neurons.

(D) Standard deviation of the response delay across trials of neurons when placed in microcircuits constructed from different biological datasets (Bio1–Bio5). (Top) Of five L4SPs. (Bottom) Of five L6SBCs. (Left) Under simulated extracellular Ca²⁺ concentration of 1.25 mM. (Right) When the calcium concentration was set to a value on the border between regenerative and non-regenerative activity for that particular microcircuit. Boxes and whiskers as in C.

(E) Comparison of the different sources of variability. (Left to right) Inter-trial variability (same neuron in same microcircuit across trials); neuronal variability (same trial in same microcircuit across neurons of a given m-type); inter-circuit variability (same neuron in different microcircuit); inter-circuit variability under normalized Ca²⁺ concentrations. (Top) In layer IV; (bottom) in layer V. Boxes and whiskers as in C.

See also Figure S17 for the anatomical variability of microcircuits.

Neuronal Responses to Single-Whisker Deflection

Many *in vivo* studies of evoked neuronal activity have reported that basal activity is sparse in all cell types, that the response characteristics of individually recorded neurons display cell-type-specific diversity, and that response latencies are cell type and layer specific (Constantinople and Bruno, 2013; Reyes-Puerta et al., 2015). To test the ability of the reconstruction to reproduce these findings, we attempted to replicate some of the experiments reported in a recent study by Reyes-Puerta et al. (2015), in which the authors recorded and analyzed neuronal responses to a single-whisker deflection in the barrel cortex of anaesthetized adult rats. We approximated the stimulus as a single pulse in 60 reconstructed thalamic fibers projecting to the center of the digital microcircuit. As shown in Figure 17A1, the response to the stimulation displays cell-type-specific diversity that compares reasonably well with the results reported in Figure 3A of the Reyes-Puerta et al. study (Reyes-Puerta et al., 2015), with the exception of the OFF response, which is not as prominent. The general distribution of responses for excitatory and inhibitory cells is also comparable (Figure 17A2 in silico versus Figure 3B in vivo), though again with fewer OFF

responses. As in Reyes-Puerta et al. (Reyes-Puerta et al., 2015), most responses occurred within 10–20 ms of the stimulus and were generally led by inhibitory cells and, more specifically, by inhibitory cells in L4 and L5 (Figure 17A3 in silico versus Figure 4B1 in vivo).

Anti-correlated Inhibitory Activity Cancels Out Highly Correlated Excitatory Activity

Many previous studies have struggled to explain the uncorrelated neuronal spiking activity that is often observed *in vivo* (Celikel et al., 2004; Mazurek and Shadlen, 2002), with some suggesting that it is the result of poorly correlated excitatory activity (as expected if excitatory neurons generate a rate code), while others argue that correlations in excitatory activity are cancelled out by anti-correlated inhibition (Beierlein et al., 2000; Okun and Lampl, 2008). A model developed to address this issue by Renart et al. (2010) shows that it is indeed theoretically feasible for anti-correlated inhibitory activity to cancel out highly correlated excitatory activity (see their Figure 3). To test this hypothesis, we therefore analyzed whether this phenomenon was evident during spontaneous activity in the digital reconstruction. Although the digital reconstruction was not specifically




Figure 17. Cell-Type Responses In Vivo and E-I Balance

(A1) Cellular response types to simulated single-whisker deflection. Each subplot represents the activity of an individual cell, containing the raster plot aligned to simulated whisker deflection and the PSTH. Upon simulated whisker deflection, neurons increased their firing rate (ON cells), showed no change in firing rate (NR cells), or decreased their firing rate (OFF cells). (A2) Comparison of mean firing rates before and after whisker deflection plotted in logarithmic scale (2630 excitatory and 550 inhibitory neurons). Empty symbols represent neurons showing no significantly different activity in both periods (NR cells), and filled symbols represent neurons showing significantly different ($p < 0.05$) activity (ON and OFF cells). (A3) Mean first-spike latencies of inhibitory (INH) and excitatory (EXC) neurons to simulated whisker deflection, defined by first spike occurrence within 30 ms after stimulation, mean over 200 trials, for all 31,346 neurons in the stimulated column. Each box plot represents median, interquartile, and range of latencies; crosses represent outliers (2.5 times interquartile range).

(B1) Raster (top) of the spontaneous spiking activity of 500 excitatory (red) and inhibitory (blue) neurons under in-vivo-like conditions (100% depolarization and $[Ca^{2+}]_o = 1.25 \text{ mM}$). Bottom curves show tracking of instantaneous population-averaged activities (transformed to z-scores, bin size 3 ms). Average firing rates of E and I cells were $1.09 \pm 1.0 \text{ Hz}$ and $6.00 \pm 8.95 \text{ Hz}$, respectively ($n = 1,000$; mean \pm SD). (B2) Histogram of spike-spike correlations (black, count window 50 ms) and of jittered spike trains (gray, jitter $\pm 500 \text{ ms}$). (B3) Population-averaged cross-correlograms of the somatic membrane current, when cells are held at the reversal potential of inhibition (blue) or of excitation (red) in both cells, or at one potential for one cell and at the other potential for the other cell (magenta). The black curve is for pairs at resting potential.

See also Figure S18 for details of E-I balance.

designed to produce this phenomenon, it nonetheless generated excitatory conductances in single neurons that were highly correlated but effectively cancelled out by anti-correlated inhibitory conductances (Figure 17B).

Deeper investigation revealed that spiking is correlated with momentary imbalances between excitatory and inhibitory conductances lasting $<10 \text{ ms}$ and that the timing of spikes can be predicted from the difference in the E and I conductances (Figure 17B). We also found that the precision with which these imbalances drive spiking falls dramatically as the network state shifts away from the transition in either direction. When it

shifts toward the synchronous state, the correlation is strong but broad, resulting in a temporally imprecise increase in spiking more suitable for a rate code. When it shifts toward the asynchronous regime, the correlation is sharp but too weak to effectively drive spiking, a regime more suitable for a population code based on a high degree of correlated activity (Figure S18).

Temporally Sequential Structure during Spontaneous Activity of L5 Neurons

The search for precise temporal structures in brain activity, such as synfire chains, motifs, repeated spike patterns, etc., has a




Figure 18. Triplet Structure and Diverse Population Coupling

Precisely repeating triplet structures can be predicted from individual neural latencies under synchronous stimulation (20 thalamic fibers, $[Ca^{2+}]_o = 1.25 \text{ mM}$; cf. Luczak et al., 2007, Figure 5).

(A1) Schematic depicting the structure of a spike triplet for a triad of neurons. (A2) Count matrix for a representative neuron triad. Black box indicates region containing precisely repeating triplets. White square signifies mode. (A3) Correlation between neural latency differences and triplet structures. (A4) Precisely repeating triplet probability peaks shortly after onset of activated state. This peak is significant when compared with two null hypotheses (independent Poisson model, blue curve; common excitability model, red curve). Dashed lines show standard deviation.

(B1) Time course of population firing rate just below the transition to the synchronous regime (microcircuit "Bio5," $[Ca^{2+}]_o = 1.27 \text{ mM}$). (B2) (Top) Spike-triggered average of population activity (stPR) for four representative neurons in layers V and VI. (Bottom) Same as above but after shuffling (see Okun et al., 2015; cf. Figures 1E and 1G). (Inset) Distribution of the population-coupling coefficient before and after shuffling (see Okun et al., 2015). (B3) Relative fractions of m-types of soloists (population coupling < 0.5) and choristers (> 2.0).

See also Figure S19 for results under lower $[Ca^{2+}]_o$.

long history. These patterns are thought to reflect “stereotypical organized sequential spread of activation through local cortical networks,” as demonstrated recently (Luczak et al., 2007). Luczak et al. (2007) found a temporally sequential structure during spontaneous activity of L5 neurons *in vivo* in the somatosensory cortex (Luczak et al., 2007). In particular, they found that, after the onset of an UP state, trios of neurons generated spike motifs (triplets) with a precisely defined temporal relationship between spikes that could not be explained by random correlations during high-frequency spiking (see their Figure 5). A similar analysis of the evoked response to thalamic stimulation of L5 neurons in the digital reconstruction found the same repeating triplet structures as observed *in vivo* (Figure 18A). A second *in silico* experiment further into the asynchronous regime (i.e., at lower Ca^{2+} levels; 1.0 mM) showed no evidence of triplet structures (Figures S19A–S19C), supporting our prediction that, in the highly asynchronous regime, it is difficult for single neurons to track fine temporal structure in network activity unless the population of

presynaptic neurons becomes highly synchronized, for example, by external input.

Soloists versus Choristers

A recent study showed that some neurons in a network display spiking activity that is tightly correlated with the average activity of the population of neurons in the network (choristers), while others display a diversity of spiking patterns whose correlation with that of the population is smaller than expected by chance (soloists), suggesting that they actively avoid correlating with the rest of the population (Okun et al., 2015). We simulated the spontaneous activity of a single microcircuit in the asynchronous state but close to the transition to synchronous state for 800 s (Figure 18B1; see also Figure S19D) and analyzed the spiking activity of every individual neuron in L5 and L6 with respect to the spiking of all others. Replication of the analysis in Okun et al. (2015) yielded comparable results, although the proportion of choristers appears to be somewhat higher in the digital reconstruction (Figure 18B2 in *silico* versus Figures 1E and 1G *in vivo*).

We found that soloists are predominantly interneurons, while choristers are mainly pyramidal neurons (Figure 18B3). Pyramidal cells can be found on both extremes; they tend to be soloists when their spontaneous firing rate is high, the ratio of excitatory to inhibitory synaptic innervation is high, and most of the innervating synapses are close to their somata (data not shown).

Shifting the network further into the synchronous regime leads to an increase in the number of choristers, consistent with general recruitment of all neurons and a rate-based response. On the other hand, shifting the network further into the asynchronous regime results in a loss of both choristers and soloists during spontaneous activity (data not shown). This finding supports our prediction that, when the network is far into the asynchronous regime, single neurons cannot easily sense and respond to fine temporal structure in network activity.

Functional Implications

These replications of *in vivo* studies suggest that the digital reconstruction can yield physiologically relevant insights. We therefore went on to address two issues that it has not been possible to address experimentally, either *in vitro* or *in vivo*.

In a first experiment, we investigated the ability of single L5 pyramidal neurons to discriminate between spatially segregated inputs. As previously, we used stimuli that approximated a whisker deflection (Figure 19A). To measure how far apart the stimuli needed to be for single neurons of the microcircuit to discriminate between them, we progressively increased the spatial separation between the stimuli and measured the response of L5 pyramidal cells in terms of rate (represented by the number of spikes emitted) and timing (represented by the latency to first response). Analysis of the difference between responses yielded a measure of latency- and rate-based discrimination. Figure 19B1 shows the responses of a single, arbitrarily selected neuron to stimuli applied at locations separated by 150 μm . In this case, latency- and rate-based discrimination are both significant (Figures 19B2 and 19B3). Exploration of the discriminatory power of L5 pyramidal neurons with different separations between the stimuli and at different levels of Ca^{2+} (Figures 19C1 and 19D1) showed that many neurons discriminate between inputs separated by 150 μm or more and that a few can discriminate between stimuli with separations as small as 50 μm (i.e., approximately two minicolumns apart). In general, timing-based discrimination is much stronger than rate-based discrimination (Figures 19C2 and 19D2). Interestingly, at all separations, discrimination is strongest at Ca^{2+} levels close to the transition between the synchronous and asynchronous regimes (Figure 19D).

Unexpectedly, we noticed a spatial asymmetry in the discriminatory power of the neurons (Figures 19C1 and 19C2, shaded background). To test the reproducibility of the phenomenon, we repeated the simulation using instantiations of Bio1–Bio5 that we already knew to be highly variable (see Figure S17 and Table S1). All reconstructions showed asymmetry, but the specific degree and pattern of asymmetry was different in each case (Figure 19E1, four instantiations shown). We therefore hypothesized that the asymmetry reflects local variations in connectivity arising from the statistical instantiation of the digital microcircuit, amplified by edge effects. To test this hypothesis,

we repeated the discrimination experiment with a mesocircuit constructed as previously described ($[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o$, 1.25 mM, separation 150 μm , see shaded background in Figures 19C1 and 19C2), taking the same microcircuit used in the previous experiment (Figures 19C1 and 19C2) as its central microcircuit (Figure 19E2, white hexagon). Under these conditions, the asymmetry was markedly reduced. We also found strong variation in overall discrimination power across the different instantiations (Figure 19E1).

In the final series of simulations, we explored the relationship between the size of the network and its emergent properties, the emergence of the transition between the synchronous and asynchronous states, and the emergence of spontaneous spatio-temporal patterns for different sized networks (10–1,000 minicolumns). In reconstructions smaller than the anatomically defined microcircuit, the transition occurred at high levels of Ca^{2+} and fell sharply with increasing size of the reconstruction, reaching a plateau in reconstructions larger than ~300 minicolumns (i.e., the size of the anatomically defined microcircuit; Figures 20A and 20B). Even in reconstructions as large as 1,000 minicolumns, the spectrum of states did not exhibit any further qualitative change (Figure 20B). Figures 20C and 20D show the emergence of spontaneous clustered activity as the network increases in size. We found that, in smaller reconstructions, the time course of spontaneous firing rates in different clusters of ~10 minicolumns was very similar and became progressively dissimilar as the reconstructions increased in size (Figure 20D). The between-cluster correlation coefficient decreased exponentially with increasing distance between clusters, also plateauing at distances comparable to the diameter of the anatomically defined microcircuit (~202 μm ; Figure 20E). As a related measure, we also examined the trend in correlated activity within a central set of ~50 minicolumns as the surrounding network increased in size. We found that, in larger networks, the correlation fell exponentially, bottoming out in microcircuits of ~300 minicolumns and larger ($r = \sim 0.4$; Figure 20F).

DISCUSSION

This paper presents a first-draft digital reconstruction of neocortical microcircuitry that integrates experimental measurements of neuronal morphologies, layer heights, neuronal densities, ratios of excitatory to inhibitory neurons, morphological and electro-morphological composition, and electrophysiology, as well as synaptic anatomy and physiology (see “Reconstruction Data” and Table S3). It has been validated against a spectrum of separate anatomical and physiological measurements not used in the reconstruction (see “Validation Data” and Table S3). The reconstruction provides predictions of a wide range of anatomical and physiological properties of the neocortical microcircuitry (Box 1). Simulation of the reconstruction shows a spectrum of emergent network activity states with a sharp transition from synchronous to asynchronous states. At this particular point along the spectrum, digital reconstructions reproduce a number of findings from *in vivo* studies, allowing deeper investigation of their underlying cellular and synaptic mechanisms. They also enable experiments that have not so far been possible either *in vitro* or *in vivo*. Investigation of the




Figure 19. Spatial Resolution

(A) The ability of L5PCs in the microcircuit to discriminate between inputs given by bundles of ten thalamic fibers was examined. The stimuli were centered on locations offset from the center of the circuit to the left or right. (Top) Spatial extent of the synapses activated by ten thalamic fibers in the microcircuit.

(B1) Raster plot of spiking activity of a neuron in response to ten repetitions of spatially constrained stimuli at different locations (1 s of pulses at 20 Hz). Black arrows on top indicate individual pulses. (B2) Histogram of the delay to the first spike after the start of stimulus presentation of the neurons in B1. The difference in delay is statistically significant ($p < 0.001$, Wilcoxon rank sum test). (B3) Histogram of the number of spikes during stimulus presentation (gray window in B1). Differences in spike counts were statistically significant.

(C1) Mean discrimination strength. $-\log_{10}$ of the p value as in B2 of L5PCs at different locations is indicated as color coded. Red and blue stars indicate the centers of the two stimuli to discriminate. Black dots indicate locations of individual L5PCs with a discrimination strength >2 ($p < 0.01$). Each row indicates a different extracellular Ca^{2+} concentration. (C2) Same, for the discrimination power based on spike count as in B3.

(D) Fraction of L5 PCs with a discrimination power >2 (light red) and >3 (dark red) for different conditions shown in C. Asterisks indicate instances in which the number of neurons with separation strength >2 is larger than can be explained as false positives (* $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.001$).


(E1) Discrimination power for a stimulus separation of $150 \mu\text{m}$ at $1.25 \text{ mM } [Ca^{2+}]_o$ for four microcircuits based on biological datasets Bio2–Bio5. (E2) Discrimination based on response delay and spike count when the same microcircuit was embedded in six surrounding microcircuits.

size of network required to reproduce key functional properties of the microcircuit shows that it is roughly equivalent to the volume of neocortical tissue used as the basis for the reconstruction. This is evidence that a network of this size is the minimum functional unit required for neocortical information processing.

Validity of the Digital Reconstruction

The reconstruction certainly includes errors due to mistakes and gaps in experimental datasets and incomplete understanding of

biological principles. For instance, additional cell type markers would improve the accuracy of the morphological composition, saturated EM reconstructions could be used to further validate the derived connectivity, more experiments reporting combined voltage and current measurements for synaptic responses will strengthen conclusions on quantal conductances and connection-specific synaptic dynamics, and further characterization of the sensitivity of different synapses to $[Ca^{2+}]_o$ may allow more accurate demarcation of the transitions between different

**Figure 20. Emergence**

(A) Transition between regenerative and non-regenerative regimes as a function of circuit size and calcium concentration. Panels of raster plots (top) and PSTHs (bottom) of spontaneous activity are shown for a selection of circuit sizes and calcium concentrations (100% depolarization).

(B) Overview of a broad range of circuit sizes and calcium concentrations as in A. Red crosses and green dots indicate regenerative and non-regenerative circuit behavior, respectively, as assessed by visual inspection. Black curve depicts interpolated transition between regenerative and non-regenerative regimes.

(C) Spatial profile of instantaneous firing rates for circuits of increasing size. Mean instantaneous firing rates were estimated for contiguous groupings (clusters) of approximately ten minicolumns using a K-means algorithm. Six spatial firing rate profiles are shown, generated by interpolating these rate estimates (see [Experimental Procedures](#)) at two selected times for three circuit sizes. Colored circles show five exemplary cluster centers.

(D) Time traces of firing rates for selected clusters. Firing rate time courses are shown for the clusters in C in corresponding color for all three circuit sizes. Dashed boxes indicate the times, t₁ and t₂, at which spatial profiles are compared in C.

(E) Pairwise cross-correlation coefficients of cluster firing rate time courses for all cluster combinations versus inter-cluster separation for varying circuit sizes (50 to 1,000 minicolumns). Pair-wise correlation decays exponentially with distance (blue dashed line shows exponential fit to 1,000 minicolumn circuit dataset, space constant λ = 202 μm).

(F) Mean pairwise cluster correlation coefficients versus circuit size for each circuit's centermost five clusters (red circles) and for all clusters (blue circles). Error bars indicate SD. Dashed curves indicate exponential fits to respective data.

activity states and may allow a more precise determination of the role played by each neuron and synapse type in maintaining and shifting regimes. For example, a recent EM study found evidence for a higher number of synapses per connection than predicted by a naive interpretation of Peters' rule ([Kasthuri et al., 2015](#)). Applying the same analysis to the digital reconstruction produced comparable findings ([Figure S20](#) in silico versus [Figures 7D, 7F, and S6B](#) in [Kasthuri et al., 2015](#)). These properties emerge in the digital reconstruction as a consequence of preferential pruning of connections with low numbers of synapses ([Reimann et al., 2015](#)).

The validation tests conducted at multiple stages of the reconstruction process reduce the risk that errors could lead to major inaccuracies in the reconstruction or in simulations of its emergent behavior. For example, validation of electrical neuron models against independent data insulates the emergent behavior of the network from the impact of our limited knowledge of ion channel kinetics and distributions. More generally, the reconstruction passed multiple tests broadly validating

its underlying anatomy and physiology. For instance, major errors in cell morphology, densities, composition, and connectivity would make it difficult to reproduce the types of neuronal assemblies discovered in 12-patch experiments, the numbers of GABAergic synapses on pyramidal somata, protein staining patterns, layer-wise synapse densities, connection probabilities, bouton densities, and distributions, etc. (see [Table S3](#)). These properties lie well within experimentally reported ranges. The reproducibility of observations and predictions in multiple reconstructions using data from different animals and incorporating statistical variations provide evidence that they are robust.

Although the reconstruction is, to our knowledge, the most detailed to date, it omits many important details of microcircuit structure and function, such as gap junctions, receptors, glia, vasculature, neuromodulation, plasticity, and homeostasis. Furthermore, it represents a snapshot of just one brain region, in one strain of male rat, at a young age. This limits the generality of the conclusions that can be drawn. For instance, in

Box 1. Microcircuit Predictions

1. The cellular composition of the microcircuit
2. Total lengths of dendrites and local axons
3. Increase in neuronal diversity with cortical depth
4. Total number of appositions and synapses
5. Total number of connections and connection types
6. Number of connections and synapses per connection between different neuron types
7. Number of connections and synapses formed by incoming fibers
8. Increase in the E-I neuronal fraction with cortical depth
9. All input and output synapses for all neuron types
10. Quantal synaptic conductances for all intrinsic synapses
11. Total excitatory and inhibitory conductances for all neuron types
12. Number and combination of pre- and postsynaptic neurons for all neuron types
13. Detailed synaptic physiology for connections between all neuron pairs
14. E-I ratios within and across layers
15. A spectrum of network states ranging from synchronous to asynchronous activity
16. Extracellular calcium regulates the network state through differential effects on synaptic dynamics
17. Role of layers, neuron, and connection types in modulating network states
18. The *in vivo* phenomena examined only emerge near the transition between synchronous and asynchronous states

animals of the age used for the study, dendritic morphologies have already matured to adult levels (Larkman, 1991a; Romand et al., 2011), but the ascending axons may not be fully represented and are certainly not completely mature (Romand et al., 2011). However, studies at a greater level of biological detail (e.g., including glia, receptors, and signaling pathways) and investigations of different brain regions in animals of different ages, gender, and species, as well as in disease models can use the reconstruction as a reference point. Findings consistent with the reconstruction would indicate the sufficiency of the principles of organization used in the reconstruction process; discrepancies may point to new principles. For example, if application of the connectivity algorithm to another brain region or to animals at a different age or belonging to a different species failed to yield results consistent with experimental findings, this would point to specific variations in the connectivity rules.

Failure in validation could also indicate errors in experimental data. For instance, the reconstruction indicated that cell densities from a dozen previous studies were all too low to account for spine and synapse densities, suggesting new experiments, which verified this prediction. The reconstruction also revealed that many experiments underestimate synaptic conductances and suggests that *in vitro* experiments that do not account for calcium level in the bath may misinterpret the relevance of their findings for *in vivo* conditions. These examples illustrate how the reconstruction process does not take experimental data at face value but uses complementary, related datasets to constrain the use as parameters, wherever possible.

Functional Implications

Simulations of the spontaneous and evoked activity that accounted for the differential sensitivity to Ca^{2+} of different types of synapses and that explored changes in Ca^{2+} levels revealed

a spectrum of activity states ranging from synchronous to asynchronous behavior. Varying the Ca^{2+} level profoundly changes the overall E-I balance and hence the position of the network along the spectrum, leading to a sharp transition between activity regimes. These *in silico* predictions were verified by *new in vitro* experiments.

Further simulations showed that the level of Ca^{2+} , where the transition occurred, varies across digital reconstructions that use data from different animals and that this accounts for a significant proportion of the variance in neuronal spiking and the spatial resolution of the network. We also found that a small adjustment in Ca^{2+} levels ($\sim 0.05 \text{ mM}$) in individual reconstructions significantly reduces their physiological variability. These simulations provide an example of how variations in individual neuroanatomy may lead to functional differences.

Inspired by this finding, we performed further simulations, which demonstrated that activating or inhibiting specific layers, neurons, and synaptic connections also shifts the network along the spectrum. While it is well known from previous theoretical findings that changing E-I balance changes the state of the network (Brunel, 2000; van Vreeswijk and Sompolinsky, 1996), the simulations further suggest that any mechanism that differentially changes the synaptic dynamics of different types of synapses (e.g., through neuromodulation; for reviews, see Lee and Dan, 2012; Zagha and McCormick, 2014) could alter the boundaries between activity regimes in complex ways. We speculate that other emergent properties, such as UP and DOWN states with two meta-stable fixed points, as observed *in vivo* (Steriade et al., 1993), which are not reproduced by the digital reconstruction, may require thalamo-cortical interactions (Hughes et al., 2002), cortico-cortical interactions (Timofeev et al., 2000), intrinsic oscillators (Lörincz et al., 2015; Sanchez-Vives and McCormick, 2000), or neuro-modulation (Constantinople and Bruno, 2011; Lörincz et al., 2015; Sigalas et al., 2015). Modulation of cellular or synaptic

physiology may therefore serve as mechanisms to dynamically reconfigure the network to satisfy different computational requirements.

Reproducing In Vivo Findings

Although the digital reconstruction was largely based on in vitro data and was not designed to reproduce any particular experiment or to capture complex in vivo conditions, it yielded results that were qualitatively comparable to a number of major in vivo findings and made predictions beyond what was possible in these experiments, without tweaking any of the model parameters.

For example, the digital reconstruction made it possible to address a long-standing question concerning the mechanisms underlying the uncorrelated activity frequently observed in in vivo experiments (Haider et al., 2006). Previous theoretical work has shown that uncorrelated activity could be the result of tightly correlated excitatory conductances that are effectively cancelled out by anti-correlated inhibitory conductances (Renart et al., 2010; van Vreeswijk and Sompolinsky, 1996). Our simulations, using a model not specifically designed to address this question, confirm this effect as an emergent property of the network. The simulations further suggest that cortical activity in vivo approaches a critical transition along the synchronous asynchronous spectrum, beyond which regenerative activity leads to neuronal avalanches (see also Beggs and Plenz, 2003). Around this transition, spiking activity is highly correlated with fine temporal structure in synaptic input, reflected in brief moments of imbalance between excitatory and inhibitory conductances. Maximal discrimination between spatially segregated inputs, the generation of fine temporal structures such as triplets, and soloist-like and chorister-like behavior all emerge close to the transition. A recent study has experimentally characterized the plasticity mechanisms for maintaining the network close to this transition (Delattre et al., 2015).

Reproducing these in vivo findings was surprising because the digital reconstruction was based on data and architectural principles obtained from the immature rat somatosensory cortex, while many of the in vivo findings came from different neocortical regions in adult animals, sometimes belonging to other species. The fact that the reconstruction reproduces these phenomena suggests that they arise from fundamental properties of the neocortical microcircuit.

Concluding Remarks

This study demonstrates that it is possible, in principle, to reconstruct an integrated view of the structure and function of neocortical microcircuitry, using sparse, complementary datasets to predict biological parameters that have not been measured experimentally. Although the current digital reconstruction can already be used to gain insights into the way the microcircuitry operates, it is only a first step. To facilitate integration of new experimental data and challenges to the principles on which it is based, we have created a public web resource, which provides access to experimental data, models, and tools used in the reconstruction (The Neocortical Microcircuit Collaboration [NMC] Portal, <https://bbp.epfl.ch/nmc-portal>; Ramaswamy et al., 2015). This will allow the community to integrate their

own data, perform their own analyses, and test their own hypotheses.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

A detailed description is available in the [Supplemental Experimental Procedures](#).

Data Acquisition

Neuron Morphology

Neuron morphologies were obtained from digital 3D reconstructions of biocytin-stained neurons from juvenile rat hind-limb somatosensory cortex, following whole-cell patch-clamp recordings in 300- μ m-thick brain slices (Markram et al., 1997). In some of the reconstructed neurons, bouton locations were annotated on the axon (Wang et al., 2002). Reconstruction used the Neurolucida system (MicroBrightField).

Neuron Electrophysiology

Neurons were stimulated with a set of previously described protocols (Le Bé et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2002, 2004). A subset of these stimuli was used to generate neuron models; a different subset was used to validate the models.

Synaptic Anatomy

Data on the anatomy of synaptic connections were collected from previous studies in which synaptically coupled neurons were digitally reconstructed, and putative synapses were identified using criteria identifiable in light microscopy and validated using EM. In brief, putative synapses were identified at appositions between arbors, where a bouton was also present on the axon of the presynaptic neuron (Markram et al., 1997).

Synaptic Physiology

Presynaptic neurons were stimulated with a set of previously described protocols (Gupta et al., 2000; Markram et al., 1998; Tsodyks and Markram, 1997; Wang et al., 2002, 2006). The synaptic parameters required to model the synapses were obtained by fitting the responses against the Tsodyks-Markram model for dynamic synaptic transmission (Fuhrmann et al., 2002; Tsodyks and Markram, 1997).

Tissue Immunohistochemistry

Standard immunohistochemical methods were used to label markers of cell types (Lefort et al., 2009). Stained cells were counted under light microscopy. Layer boundaries and densities per layer were computed on slices using optical disectors on NeuN-stained tissue (West and Gundersen, 1990; Williams and Rakic, 1988) and Stereo Investigator software (StereolInvestigator 7.0, MicroBright Field). Data for each cortical layer (I, II, III, IV, Va, Vb, VI) were collected from different animals ($n = 5$). Final values for neuronal densities and layer thicknesses were corrected for shrinkage. E/I ratios were determined by soma counting in confocal microscopy imaging of dual NeuN- and GABA-stained tissue.

Electron Microscopy

Serial EM stacks were obtained for blocks of neocortical tissue, as previously described (Denk and Horstmann, 2004).

Multi-electrode Array Experiments

A 3D multi-electrode array with 60 pyramidal platinum electrodes (Qwane Bioscience SA) was used to obtain extracellular recordings from neurons in slices, as previously described (Delattre et al., 2015; Rinaldi et al., 2008). Experimental data analysis was performed in Matlab (The MathWorks) with custom scripts. Extra-cellular spikes were detected when the recorded signal crossed a dynamic threshold.

Manipulating $[Ca^{2+}]_o$

Extracellular Ca^{2+} concentration ($[Ca^{2+}]_o$) was changed by bath perfusion with artificial extracellular fluid containing a modified $[Ca^{2+}]_o$. Bath changing times were minimized by employing a pipette to remove the recording chamber solution prior to changing the subsequent solution.

Reconstruction Process

Digital Neuron Morphologies

Following 3D reconstruction, the cut ends of neuronal morphologies were restored using a repair algorithm (Anwar et al., 2009). Neuronal arbors

were digitally unraveled to compensate for tortuosity caused by shrinkage, and neuron morphologies were cloned (see *Supplemental Experimental Procedures*).

Electrical Neuron Models

Multicompartmental conductance-based models of neurons were generated using up to 13 active ion channel types and a model of intracellular Ca^{2+} dynamics. Axon initial segments (AIS), somata, basal dendrites, and apical dendrites were separated. Interneurons contained only one dendritic region. Each region received a separate set of channels (see NMC portal, <https://bbp.epfl.ch/nmc-portal>; Ramaswamy et al., 2015). Of the axon, only the AIS was simulated. Each AIS was represented by two fixed-length sections, each with a length of 30 μm . AIS diameters were obtained from the reconstructed morphology used for model fitting. Action potentials detected in the AIS were sent to the postsynaptic synapses with a delay corresponding to the axonal length, assuming an axonal velocity of 0.3 m/s. Neuron models were fitted using a feature-based multi-objective optimization method, as previously described (Druckmann et al., 2007).

The Microcircuit Volume

Layer thicknesses and the diameter of the microcircuit were used to construct a virtual hexagonal prism (see main text). A virtual slice was generated from a 1×7 mosaic of microcircuits as a sheet ($230.9 \times 2800 \mu\text{m}$). A meso-circuit was also generated. The meso-circuit consisted of a single microcircuit surrounded by additional microcircuits on all faces.

Cellular Composition

Cell density measurements and experimentally determined fractions of m- and me-types were used to generate the position of each cell in the volume of tissue, using E:I ratios to correct for sampling bias. Each cell was assigned the optimal morphology for its location in the volume (see *Supplemental Experimental Procedures*).

Synaptic Anatomy

Locations of synapses were derived using an algorithm described in the companion article (Reimann et al., 2015). The algorithm eliminates appositions that do not comply with the multi-synapse and plasticity reserve rules and ensures compatibility with observed biological bouton densities.

Synaptic Physiology

Excitatory synaptic transmission was modeled using both AMPA and NMDA receptor kinetics (Fuhrmann et al., 2002; Häusser and Roth, 1997; Markram et al., 1998; Ramaswamy et al., 2012; Tsodyks and Markram, 1997). Inhibitory synaptic transmission was modeled with a combination of GABA_A and GABA_B receptor kinetics (Gupta et al., 2000; Khazipov et al., 1995; De Koninck and Mody, 1997; Mott et al., 1999). Stochastic synaptic transmission was implemented as a two-state Markov model of dynamic synaptic release, a stochastic implementation of the Tsodyks-Markram dynamic synapse model (Fuhrmann et al., 2002; Tsodyks and Markram, 1997). Biological parameter ranges for the four model parameters were taken from experimental values for synaptic connections between specific m- and me-types or between larger categories of pre- and postsynaptic neurons (see Figure 9). Spontaneous miniature PSCs were modeled by implementing an independent Poisson process for each individual synapse that triggered release at rates (λ_{spont}) determined by the experimental data (Ling and Benardo, 1999; Simkus and Stricker, 2002).

Thalamic Innervation

Thalamic input was reconstructed using experimental data for ventro-posterior medial (VPM) axon bouton density profiles in rat barrel cortex (Meyer et al., 2010b), synapses per connection, and approximate numbers of incoming fibers. Synapse locations were determined using a variant of the connectome algorithm (Reimann et al., 2015; see *Supplemental Experimental Procedures*). Synapses were assigned to incoming fibers based on a Gaussian probability centered around each fiber.

Simulation

Microcircuit Simulation

The reconstructed microcircuit was simulated using the NEURON simulation package, augmented for execution on the supercomputer (Hines and Carnevale, 1997; Hines et al., 2008a, 2008b), together with additional custom tools to handle the setup and configuration of the microcircuit and the output of results.

In Silico Experiments

Depolarization was achieved by simulating current injection at the neuron soma. Currents were expressed as percent of first spike threshold for each neuron. Changes in $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i$ were simulated by changing the use parameter of synaptic transmission according to three curves for specific m-types (see Figure S15). Neuronal in silico knockout experiments were performed by hyperpolarizing the target population with somatic current injection (-100% threshold). Thalamic fiber stimulations were performed on circular clusters of minicolumns. The methods used to replicate previous *in vivo* experiments are described in the *Supplemental Experimental Procedures*.

Data Analysis

Anatomical and physiological data analysis were performed using a custom suite of Python-based tools operating on a Linux cluster (*Supplemental Experimental Procedures*). The same analysis as described in Kasthuri et al. (2015) was applied to compare results between a saturated EM reconstruction and the digital reconstruction that we generated (see Figure S20). PSPs were measured at the somata or dendrites of randomly selected pairs of neurons (30 trials). PSTHs were computed from all neurons in the circuit and were normalized by neuron number and time bin to express the average instantaneous firing rate. Mean spike-spike correlations were calculated as the histogram of intervals between all spike times of two different cells (bin size 1 ms). Evoked regenerative activity was defined as activity in which peak activity (PSTH) within 100 ms after stimulus of the outermost 20 minicolumns exceeded 30 Hz and 70% of the activity of the 20 central minicolumns in the 10 ms after stimulus. Spike rasters show spike events at the locations within the layers where they occurred (for clarity, only a fraction of spikes are plotted).

Supercomputing

Reconstruction and simulation workflows, such as neuron model optimization, circuit reconstruction, and network simulation, were executed on supercomputers. The systems used included an IBM Blue Gene/L (until 2009), a CADMOS 4-rack IBM Blue Gene/P (until 2013), a CADMOS 1-rack IBM Blue Gene/Q (until 2014), and the Blue Brain IV operated by the Swiss National Supercomputing Center (CSCS) on behalf of the Blue Brain Project, ranked the 100th most powerful supercomputing system (Top500, June 2015). Blue Brain IV includes a 4-rack IBM Blue Gene/Q, IBM Blue Gene Active Storage, and a 40-node Linux cluster for post-processing, analysis, and visualization, fully interconnected using Infiniband technology and a GPFS file system with 4.2 Petabyte raw storage (Schürmann et al., 2014).

Visualization

Large circuits and simulations in high resolution were visualized using a custom-developed tool, RTNeuron (Hernando et al., 2012). High-quality, static images of small neural circuits, individual neurons, and synaptic spines and boutons were created using Maya 3D animation software (Autodesk, San Rafael, California, USA).

Software Development

Data integration and post processing as well as reconstruction, simulation, analysis, and visualization of neuronal network models used >30 software applications, integrated into automated and semi-automated workflows. Development was supported by a comprehensive development environment based on best practices for version control (git), code review (gerrit), and continuous building, testing, packaging, and deployment (Jenkins).

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes Supplemental Experimental Procedures, 20 figures, six tables, and three movies and can be found with this article online at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.09.029>.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

H.M. conceived and led the study. F.S., S.L.H., I.S., and J.D. co-led the study. H.M. planned and supervised experiments, data integration, strategies and algorithms, model building, *in silico* experiments, and analysis. F.S. planned and supervised the development of algorithms, software and workflows, computing infrastructure, and technical integration. E.M., S.R., M.W.R., and S.L.H. drove and co-supervised the integration of the data, tools, models,

simulations, and analyses. H.M., S.R., E.M., and M.W.R. wrote the manuscript. R.W. edited the manuscript. A detailed listing of author contributions is available in the [Supplemental Information](#).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work was supported by funding from the EPFL to the Laboratory of Neural Microcircuitry (LNMC) and funding from the ETH Domain for the Blue Brain Project (BBP). Additional support was provided by funding for the Human Brain Project from the European Union Seventh Framework Program (FP7/2007–2013) under grant agreement no. 604102 (HBP). Further funding came from The Gatsby Charitable Foundation; the Cajal Blue Brain Project, Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad Spanish Ministry of Education and Science; and an EPFL-Hebrew University Collaborative Grant. In the years 2005–2009, the Blue Gene/L system was funded by the EPFL. Financial support for the subsequent CADMOS Blue Gene/P and Blue Gene/Q systems was provided by the Canton of Geneva, Canton of Vaud, Hans Wilsdorf Foundation, Louis-Jeantet Foundation, University of Geneva, University of Lausanne, and École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne. The BlueBrain IV BlueGene/Q system is financed by ETH Board Funding to the Blue Brain Project as a National Research Infrastructure and hosted at the Swiss National Supercomputing Center (CSCS). A large proportion of the data used in this study was generated at the Weizmann Institute for Science, Israel between 1996 and 2002 through the support of Thomas McKenna from the Office of Naval Research, USA.

We further acknowledge: Georges Abou-Jaoudé for generating the first Blue Brain visualizations and inspirational discussions on visualization; Shadi Akiki for contributions to circuit building and analysis; Katia Antoniello for reconstructions; Haroon Anwar for contributions to morphology repair; Ricardo Auhing for contributions to databasing; Christiane Debono for administrative support; Raphael Holzer for development of Igor programs; Luca Gambazza for contributions to ion channel and morphology databasing; Sonia Garcia for experiment support and reconstructions; Marc-Oliver Gewaltig for feedback on the modeling; the late Philip Goodman for multiple contributions over the years, starting with the first Beowulf computer cluster for brain simulation and for his statistical analyses of gene expression; Rony Hatteland for contributions to morphology cloning; David Horrigan for helpful discussions; Asif Jan for contributions to databasing; James Kozloski for contributions to touch detection; Tara Mahfoud for helpful discussions; Thomas McColligan for contributions to morphology repair; Ruben Moor for contributions to feature extraction; Charles Peck for contributions to touch detection; Jose M. (Chema) Peña for contributions to segmentation; Sandrine Romand for data on the maturation of pyramidal cells; Niklas Schmücker for contributions to the cell building process; Mohit Srivastava for contributions to morphological reconstructions; Garik Suess for contributions to the simulation; Anirudh Vij for contributions to the analysis software; Barthélémy von Haller for contributions on software and workflows for analysis; Anna Traussnig for contributions to circuit analysis; Tahir Uddin for contributions to morphological reconstructions; Alex Thomson for her pioneering work on synaptically coupled neurons that inspired our work, for many years of discussion and feedback, for detailed comments on early versions of the manuscript, and for continuous encouragement; the many other colleagues at IBM for stimulating discussions over the years since 2005; Bert Sakmann for his invaluable guidance, critical feedback, and discussions at the start of this study in 1994; and Kamila Markram for helpful discussions, limitless encouragement, support, and patience.

Received: December 16, 2014

Revised: May 4, 2015

Accepted: September 11, 2015

Published: October 8, 2015

REFERENCES

- simulations, and analyses. H.M., S.R., E.M., and M.W.R. wrote the manuscript. R.W. edited the manuscript. A detailed listing of author contributions is available in the [Supplemental Information](#).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work was supported by funding from the EPFL to the Laboratory of Neural Microcircuitry (LNMC) and funding from the ETH Domain for the Blue Brain Project (BBP). Additional support was provided by funding for the Human Brain Project from the European Union Seventh Framework Program (FP7/2007–2013) under grant agreement no. 604102 (HBP). Further funding came from The Gatsby Charitable Foundation; the Cajal Blue Brain Project, Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad Spanish Ministry of Education and Science; and an EPFL-Hebrew University Collaborative Grant. In the years 2005–2009, the Blue Gene/L system was funded by the EPFL. Financial support for the subsequent CADMOS Blue Gene/P and Blue Gene/Q systems was provided by the Canton of Geneva, Canton of Vaud, Hans Wilsdorf Foundation, Louis-Jeantet Foundation, University of Geneva, University of Lausanne, and École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne. The BlueBrain IV BlueGene/Q system is financed by ETH Board Funding to the Blue Brain Project as a National Research Infrastructure and hosted at the Swiss National Supercomputing Center (CSCS). A large proportion of the data used in this study was generated at the Weizmann Institute for Science, Israel between 1996 and 2002 through the support of Thomas McKenna from the Office of Naval Research, USA.

We further acknowledge: Georges Abou-Jaoudé for generating the first Blue Brain visualizations and inspirational discussions on visualization; Shadi Akiki for contributions to circuit building and analysis; Katia Antoniello for reconstructions; Haroon Anwar for contributions to morphology repair; Ricardo Auhing for contributions to databasing; Christiane Debono for administrative support; Raphael Holzer for development of Igor programs; Luca Gambazzi for contributions to ion channel and morphology databasing; Sonia Garcia for experiment support and reconstructions; Marc-Oliver Gewaltig for feedback on the modeling; the late Philip Goodman for multiple contributions over the years, starting with the first Beowulf computer cluster for brain simulation and for his statistical analyses of gene expression; Rony Hatteland for contributions to morphology cloning; David Horrigan for helpful discussions; Asif Jan for contributions to databasing; James Kozloski for contributions to touch detection; Tara Mahfoud for helpful discussions; Thomas McColgan for contributions to morphology repair; Ruben Moor for contributions to feature extraction; Charles Peck for contributions to touch detection; Jose M. (Chema) Peña for contributions to segmentation; Sandrine Romand for data on the maturation of pyramidal cells; Niklas Schmücker for contributions to the cell building process; Mohit Srivastava for contributions to morphological reconstructions; Garik Suess for contributions to the simulation; Anirudh Vij for contributions to the analysis software; Barthélémy von Haller for contributions on software and workflows for analysis; Anna Traussnig for contributions to circuit analysis; Tahir Uddin for contributions to morphological reconstructions; Alex Thomson for her pioneering work on synaptically coupled neurons that inspired our work, for many years of discussion and feedback, for detailed comments on early versions of the manuscript, and for continuous encouragement; the many other colleagues at IBM for stimulating discussions over the years since 2005; Bert Sakmann for his invaluable guidance, critical feedback, and discussions at the start of this study in 1994; and Kamila Markram for helpful discussions, limitless encouragement, support, and patience.

Received: December 16, 2014
 Revised: May 4, 2015
 Accepted: September 11, 2015
 Published: October 8, 2015

REFERENCES

Ali, A.B., Bannister, A.P., and Thomson, A.M. (2007). Robust correlations between action potential duration and the properties of synaptic connections in layer 4 interneurons in neocortical slices from juvenile rats and adult rat and cat. *J. Physiol.* **580**, 149–169.

Amitai, Y. (2001). Thalamocortical synaptic connections: efficacy, modulation, inhibition and plasticity. *Rev. Neurosci.* **12**, 159–173.

Amzica, F., Massimini, M., and Manfridi, A. (2002). Spatial buffering during slow and paroxysmal sleep oscillations in cortical networks of glial cells in vivo. *J. Neurosci.* **22**, 1042–1053.

Angulo, M.C., Rossier, J., and Audinat, E. (1999). Postsynaptic glutamate receptors and integrative properties of fast-spiking interneurons in the rat neocortex. *J. Neurophysiol.* **82**, 1295–1302.

Anwar, H., Riachi, I., Hill, S., Schurmann, F., and Markram, H. (2009). An approach to capturing neuron morphological diversity. In *Computational Modeling Methods for Neuroscientists* (The MIT Press), pp. 211–231.

Ascoli, G.A., Alonso-Nanclares, L., Anderson, S.A., Barrionuevo, G., Benavides-Piccione, R., Burkhalter, A., Buzsáki, G., Cauli, B., Defelipe, J., Fairén, A., et al.; Petilla Interneuron Nomenclature Group (2008). Petilla terminology: nomenclature of features of GABAergic interneurons of the cerebral cortex. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **9**, 557–568.

Bannister, A.P., and Thomson, A.M. (2007). Dynamic properties of excitatory synaptic connections involving layer 4 pyramidal cells in adult rat and cat neocortex. *Cereb. Cortex* **17**, 2190–2203.

Beaulieu, C. (1993). Numerical data on neocortical neurons in adult rat, with special reference to the GABA population. *Brain Res.* **609**, 284–292.

Beaulieu, C., and Colonnier, M. (1983). The number of neurons in the different laminae of the binocular and monocular regions of area 17 in the cat, Canada. *J. Comp. Neurol.* **217**, 337–344.

Beggs, J.M., and Plenz, D. (2003). Neuronal avalanches in neocortical circuits. *J. Neurosci.* **23**, 11167–11177.

Beierlein, M., and Connors, B.W. (2002). Short-term dynamics of thalamocortical and intracortical synapses onto layer 6 neurons in neocortex. *J. Neurophysiol.* **88**, 1924–1932.

Beierlein, M., Gibson, J.R., and Connors, B.W. (2000). A network of electrically coupled interneurons drives synchronized inhibition in neocortex. *Nat. Neurosci.* **3**, 904–910.

Beierlein, M., Fall, C.P., Rinzel, J., and Yuste, R. (2002). Thalamocortical bursts trigger recurrent activity in neocortical networks: layer 4 as a frequency-dependent gate. *J. Neurosci.* **22**, 9885–9894.

Beierlein, M., Gibson, J.R., and Connors, B.W. (2003). Two dynamically distinct inhibitory networks in layer 4 of the neocortex. *J. Neurophysiol.* **90**, 2987–3000.

Berger, T., Larkum, M.E., and Lüscher, H.-R. (2001). High I(h) channel density in the distal apical dendrite of layer V pyramidal cells increases bidirectional attenuation of EPSPs. *J. Neurophysiol.* **85**, 855–868.

Blatow, M., Rozov, A., Katona, I., Hormuzdi, S.G., Meyer, A.H., Whittington, M.A., Caputi, A., and Monyer, H. (2003). A novel network of multipolar bursting interneurons generates theta frequency oscillations in neocortex. *Neuron* **38**, 805–817.

Borst, J.G.G. (2010). The low synaptic release probability in vivo. *Trends Neurosci.* **33**, 259–266.

Boyd, J., and Matsubara, J. (1991). Intrinsic connections in cat visual cortex: a combined anterograde and retrograde tracing study. *Brain Res.* **560**, 207–215.

Brunel, N. (2000). Dynamics of sparsely connected networks of excitatory and inhibitory spiking neurons. *J. Comput. Neurosci.* **8**, 183–208.

Bruno, R.M., and Sakmann, B. (2006). Cortex is driven by weak but synchronously active thalamocortical synapses. *Science* **312**, 1622–1627.

Callaway, E.M. (2008). Transneuronal circuit tracing with neurotropic viruses. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* **18**, 617–623.

Carnevale, N.T., and Hines, M.L. (2006). The NEURON Book (New York, NY, USA: Cambridge University Press).

Cauli, B., Audinat, E., Lambazole, B., Angulo, M.C., Ropert, N., Tsuzuki, K., Hestrin, S., and Rossier, J. (1997). Molecular and physiological diversity of cortical nonpyramidal cells. *J. Neurosci.* **17**, 3894–3906.

- Cauli, B., Porter, J.T., Tsuzuki, K., Lambolez, B., Rossier, J., Quenet, B., and Audinat, E. (2000). Classification of fusiform neocortical interneurons based on unsupervised clustering. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 97, 6144–6149.
- Celikel, T., Szostak, V.A., and Feldman, D.E. (2004). Modulation of spike timing by sensory deprivation during induction of cortical map plasticity. *Nat. Neurosci.* 7, 534–541.
- Celio, M.R. (1986). Parvalbumin in most gamma-aminobutyric acid-containing neurons of the rat cerebral cortex. *Science* 231, 995–997.
- Chen, N., Sugihara, H., and Sur, M. (2015). An acetylcholine-activated microcircuit drives temporal dynamics of cortical activity. *Nat. Neurosci.* 18, 892–902.
- Cobb, S.R., Halasy, K., Vida, I., Nyíri, G., Tamás, G., Buhl, E.H., and Somogyi, P. (1997). Synaptic effects of identified interneurons innervating both interneurons and pyramidal cells in the rat hippocampus. *Neuroscience* 79, 629–648.
- Condé, F., Lund, J.S., Jacobowitz, D.M., Bainbridge, K.G., and Lewis, D.A. (1994). Local circuit neurons immunoreactive for calretinin, calbindin D-28k or parvalbumin in monkey prefrontal cortex: distribution and morphology. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 341, 95–116.
- Connors, B.W., and Gutnick, M.J. (1990). Intrinsic firing patterns of diverse neocortical neurons. *Trends Neurosci.* 13, 99–104.
- Constantinople, C.M., and Bruno, R.M. (2011). Effects and mechanisms of wakefulness on local cortical networks. *Neuron* 69, 1061–1068.
- Constantinople, C.M., and Bruno, R.M. (2013). Deep cortical layers are activated directly by thalamus. *Science* 340, 1591–1594.
- Cragg, B.G. (1967). The density of synapses and neurones in the motor and visual areas of the cerebral cortex. *J. Anat.* 101, 639–654.
- Crick, F.H. (1979). Thinking about the brain. *Sci. Am.* 241, 219–232.
- Cunningham, M.O., Whittington, M.A., Bibbig, A., Roopun, A., LeBeau, F.E.N., Vogt, A., Monyer, H., Buhl, E.H., and Traub, R.D. (2004). A role for fast rhythmic bursting neurons in cortical gamma oscillations in vitro. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 101, 7152–7157.
- Datwani, A., Iwasato, T., Itohara, S., and Erzurumlu, R.S. (2002). NMDA receptor-dependent pattern transfer from afferents to postsynaptic cells and dendritic differentiation in the barrel cortex. *Mol. Cell. Neurosci.* 21, 477–492.
- De Koninck, Y., and Mody, I. (1997). Endogenous GABA activates small-conductance K⁺ channels underlying slow IPSCs in rat hippocampal neurons. *J. Neurophysiol.* 77, 2202–2208.
- DeFelipe, J. (1993). Neocortical neuronal diversity: chemical heterogeneity revealed by colocalization studies of classic neurotransmitters, neuropeptides, calcium-binding proteins, and cell surface molecules. *Cereb. Cortex* 3, 273–289.
- DeFelipe, J., and Jones, E.G. (1988). *Cajal on the Cerebral Cortex: An Annotated Translation of the Complete Writings* (Oxford University Press).
- DeFelipe, J., Alonso-Nanclares, L., and Arellano, J.I. (2002). Microstructure of the neocortex: comparative aspects. *J. Neurocytol.* 31, 299–316.
- DeFelipe, J., López-Cruz, P.L., Benavides-Piccione, R., Bielza, C., Larrañaga, P., Anderson, S., Burkhalter, A., Cauli, B., Fairén, A., Feldmeyer, D., et al. (2013). New insights into the classification and nomenclature of cortical GABAergic interneurons. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 14, 202–216.
- Delattre, V., Keller, D., Perich, M., Markram, H., and Muller, E.B. (2015). Network-timing-dependent plasticity. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 9, 220.
- Denk, W., and Horstmann, H. (2004). Serial block-face scanning electron microscopy to reconstruct three-dimensional tissue nanostructure. *PLoS Biol.* 2, e329.
- Douglas, R.J., and Martin, K.A.C. (2004). Neuronal circuits of the neocortex. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* 27, 419–451.
- Druckmann, S., Banitt, Y., Gidon, A., Schürmann, F., Markram, H., and Segev, I. (2007). A novel multiple objective optimization framework for constraining conductance-based neuron models by experimental data. *Front. Neurosci.* 1, 7–18.
- Druckmann, S., Berger, T.K., Schürmann, F., Hill, S., Markram, H., and Segev, I. (2011). Effective stimuli for constructing reliable neuron models. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 7, e1002133.
- Druckmann, S., Hill, S., Schürmann, F., Markram, H., and Segev, I. (2013). A hierarchical structure of cortical interneuron electrical diversity revealed by automated statistical analysis. *Cereb. Cortex* 23, 2994–3006.
- Dumitriu, D., Cossart, R., Huang, J., and Yuste, R. (2007). Correlation between axonal morphologies and synaptic input kinetics of interneurons from mouse visual cortex. *Cereb. Cortex* 17, 81–91.
- Fairén, A., DeFelipe, J., and Regidor, J. (1984). Nonpyramidal neurons: general account. In *Cerebral Cortex, Volume 1, Cellular Components of the Cerebral Cortex*, A. Peters and E.G. Jones, eds. (Plenum Press), pp. 201–253.
- Feldmeyer, D., Egger, V., Lubke, J., and Sakmann, B. (1999). Reliable synaptic connections between pairs of excitatory layer 4 neurones within a single 'barrel' of developing rat somatosensory cortex. *J. Physiol.* 521, 169–190.
- Feldmeyer, D., Lübke, J., Silver, R.A., and Sakmann, B. (2002). Synaptic connections between layer 4 spiny neurone-layer 2/3 pyramidal cell pairs in juvenile rat barrel cortex: physiology and anatomy of interlaminar signalling within a cortical column. *J. Physiol.* 538, 803–822.
- Feldmeyer, D., Lübke, J., and Sakmann, B. (2006). Efficacy and connectivity of intracolumnar pairs of layer 2/3 pyramidal cells in the barrel cortex of juvenile rats. *J. Physiol.* 575, 583–602.
- Frick, A., Feldmeyer, D., and Sakmann, B. (2007). Postnatal development of synaptic transmission in local networks of L5A pyramidal neurons in rat somatosensory cortex. *J. Physiol.* 585, 103–116.
- Frick, A., Feldmeyer, D., Helmstaedter, M., and Sakmann, B. (2008). Monosynaptic connections between pairs of L5A pyramidal neurons in columns of juvenile rat somatosensory cortex. *Cereb. Cortex* 18, 397–406.
- Fuhrmann, G., Segev, I., Markram, H., and Tsodyks, M. (2002). Coding of temporal information by activity-dependent synapses. *J. Neurophysiol.* 87, 140–148.
- Gentet, L.J., Avermann, M., Matyas, F., Staiger, J.F., and Petersen, C.C.H. (2010). Membrane potential dynamics of GABAergic neurons in the barrel cortex of behaving mice. *Neuron* 65, 422–435.
- Gentet, L.J., Kremer, Y., Taniguchi, H., Huang, Z.J., Staiger, J.F., and Petersen, C.C.H. (2012). Unique functional properties of somatostatin-expressing GABAergic neurons in mouse barrel cortex. *Nat. Neurosci.* 15, 607–612.
- Ghosh, A., and Shatz, C.J. (1993). A role for subplate neurons in the patterning of connections from thalamus to neocortex. *Development* 117, 1031–1047.
- Gil, Z., Connors, B.W., and Amitai, Y. (1999). Efficacy of thalamocortical and intracortical synaptic connections: quanta, innervation, and reliability. *Neuron* 23, 385–397.
- Glenn, L.L., Hada, J., Roy, J.P., Deschênes, M., and Steriade, M. (1982). Anterograde tracer and field potential analysis of the neocortical layer I projection from nucleus ventralis medialis of the thalamus in cat. *Neuroscience* 7, 1861–1877.
- Gonchar, Y., and Burkhalter, A. (1997). Three distinct families of GABAergic neurons in rat visual cortex. *Cereb. Cortex* 7, 347–358.
- Gonchar, Y., Wang, Q., Burkhalter, A., Gonchar, Y., Wang, Q., and Burkhalter, A. (2007). Multiple distinct subtypes of GABAergic neurons in mouse visual cortex identified by triple immunostaining. *Front. Neuroanat.* 1, 3.
- Grange, P., Bohland, J.W., Okaty, B.W., Sugino, K., Bokil, H., Nelson, S.B., Ng, L., Hawrylycz, M., and Mitra, P.P. (2014). Cell-type-based model explaining coexpression patterns of genes in the brain. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 111, 5397–5402.
- Gupta, A., Wang, Y., and Markram, H. (2000). Organizing principles for a diversity of GABAergic interneurons and synapses in the neocortex. *Science* 287, 273–278.
- Haider, B., Duque, A., Hasenstaub, A.R., and McCormick, D.A. (2006). Neocortical network activity *in vivo* is generated through a dynamic balance of excitation and inhibition. *J. Neurosci.* 26, 4535–4545.

- Hallman, L.E., Schofield, B.R., and Lin, C.-S. (1988). Dendritic morphology and axon collaterals of corticotectal, corticopontine, and callosal neurons in layer V of primary visual cortex of the hooded rat. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 272, 149–160.
- Harris, K.D., and Shepherd, G.M.G. (2015). The neocortical circuit: themes and variations. *Nat. Neurosci.* 18, 170–181.
- Hasenstaub, A., Shu, Y., Haider, B., Kraushaar, U., Duque, A., and McCormick, D.A. (2005). Inhibitory postsynaptic potentials carry synchronized frequency information in active cortical networks. *Neuron* 47, 423–435.
- Häusser, M., and Roth, A. (1997). Estimating the time course of the excitatory synaptic conductance in neocortical pyramidal cells using a novel voltage jump method. *J. Neurosci.* 17, 7606–7625.
- Hay, E., Hill, S., Schürmann, F., Markram, H., and Segev, I. (2011). Models of neocortical layer 5b pyramidal cells capturing a wide range of dendritic and perisomatic active properties. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 7, e1002107.
- Heinemann, U., Lux, H.D., and Gutnick, M.J. (1977). Extracellular free calcium and potassium during paroxysmal activity in the cerebral cortex of the cat. *Exp. Brain Res.* 27, 237–243.
- Hendry, S.H., Jones, E.G., and Emson, P.C. (1984). Morphology, distribution, and synaptic relations of somatostatin- and neuropeptide Y-immunoreactive neurons in rat and monkey neocortex. *J. Neurosci.* 4, 2497–2517.
- Hendry, S.H.C., Jones, E.G., Emson, P.C., Lawson, D.E.M., Heizmann, C.W., and Streit, P. (1989). Two classes of cortical GABA neurons defined by differential calcium binding protein immunoreactivities. *Exp. Brain Res.* 76, 467–472.
- Hernando, J., Schürmann, F., and Pastor, L. (2012). Towards real-time visualization of detailed neural tissue models: View frustum culling for parallel rendering. *BioVis*, 25–32.
- Hestrin, S., and Armstrong, W.E. (1996). Morphology and physiology of cortical neurons in layer I. *J. Neurosci.* 16, 5290–5300.
- Hevner, R.F., Shi, L., Justice, N., Hsueh, Y., Sheng, M., Smiga, S., Bulfone, A., Goffinet, A.M., Campagnoni, A.T., and Rubenstein, J.L.R. (2001). Tbr1 regulates differentiation of the preplate and layer 6. *Neuron* 29, 353–366.
- Hill, S.L., Wang, Y., Riachi, I., Schürmann, F., and Markram, H. (2012). Statistical connectivity provides a sufficient foundation for specific functional connectivity in neocortical neural microcircuits. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 109, E2885–E2894.
- Hines, M.L., and Carnevale, N.T. (1997). The NEURON simulation environment. *Neural Comput.* 9, 1179–1209.
- Hines, M.L., Markram, H., and Schürmann, F. (2008a). Fully implicit parallel simulation of single neurons. *J. Comput. Neurosci.* 25, 439–448.
- Hines, M.L., Eichner, H., and Schürmann, F. (2008b). Neuron splitting in compute-bound parallel network simulations enables runtime scaling with twice as many processors. *J. Comput. Neurosci.* 25, 203–210.
- Hines, M., Kumar, S., and Schürmann, F. (2011). Comparison of neuronal spike exchange methods on a Blue Gene/P supercomputer. *Front. Comput. Neurosci.* 5, 49.
- Holmgren, C., Harkany, T., Svennenfors, B., and Zilberman, Y. (2003). Pyramidal cell communication within local networks in layer 2/3 of rat neocortex. *J. Physiol.* 551, 139–153.
- Honey, C.J., Kotter, R., Breakspear, M., and Sporns, O. (2007). Network structure of cerebral cortex shapes functional connectivity on multiple time scales. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 104, 10240–10245.
- Horton, J.C., and Adams, D.L. (2005). The cortical column: a structure without a function. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci.* 360, 837–862.
- Hughes, S.W., Cope, D.W., Blethyn, K.L., and Crunelli, V. (2002). Cellular mechanisms of the slow (<1 Hz) oscillation in thalamocortical neurons *in vitro*. *Neuron* 33, 947–958.
- Jones, H.C., and Keep, R.F. (1988). Brain fluid calcium concentration and response to acute hypercalcaemia during development in the rat. *J. Physiol.* 402, 579–593.
- Kapfer, C., Glickfeld, L.L., Atallah, B.V., and Scanziani, M. (2007). Supralinear increase of recurrent inhibition during sparse activity in the somatosensory cortex. *Nat. Neurosci.* 10, 743–753.
- Karagiannis, A., Gallopin, T., Dávid, C., Battaglia, D., Geoffroy, H., Rossier, J., Hillman, E.M.C., Staiger, J.F., and Cauli, B. (2009). Classification of NPY-expressing neocortical interneurons. *J. Neurosci.* 29, 3642–3659.
- Karube, F., Kubota, Y., and Kawaguchi, Y. (2004). Axon branching and synaptic bouton phenotypes in GABAergic nonpyramidal cell subtypes. *J. Neurosci.* 24, 2853–2865.
- Kasper, E.M., Larkman, A.U., Lübke, J., and Blakemore, C. (1994). Pyramidal neurons in layer 5 of the rat visual cortex. II. Development of electrophysiological properties. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 339, 475–494.
- Kasthuri, N., Hayworth, K.J., Berger, D.R., Schalek, R.L., Conchello, J.A., Knowles-Barley, S., Lee, D., Vázquez-Reina, A., Kaynig, V., Jones, T.R., et al. (2015). Saturated Reconstruction of a Volume of Neocortex. *Cell* 162, 648–661.
- Kawaguchi, Y., and Kondo, S. (2002). Parvalbumin, somatostatin and cholecystokinin as chemical markers for specific GABAergic interneuron types in the rat frontal cortex. *J. Neurocytol.* 31, 277–287.
- Kawaguchi, Y., and Kubota, Y. (1993). Correlation of physiological subgroupings of nonpyramidal cells with parvalbumin- and calbindinD28k-immunoreactive neurons in layer V of rat frontal cortex. *J. Neurophysiol.* 70, 387–396.
- Kawaguchi, Y., and Kubota, Y. (1997). GABAergic cell subtypes and their synaptic connections in rat frontal cortex. *Cereb. Cortex* 7, 476–486.
- Kawaguchi, Y., Karube, F., and Kubota, Y. (2006). Dendritic branch typing and spine expression patterns in cortical nonpyramidal cells. *Cereb. Cortex* 16, 696–711.
- Keller, A., and Carlson, G.C. (1999). Neonatal whisker clipping alters intracortical, but not thalamocortical projections, in rat barrel cortex. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 412, 83–94.
- Khazen, G., Hill, S.L., Schürmann, F., and Markram, H. (2012). Combinatorial expression rules of ion channel genes in juvenile rat (*Rattus norvegicus*) neocortical neurons. *PLoS ONE* 7, e34786.
- Khazipov, R., Congar, P., and Ben-Ari, Y. (1995). Hippocampal CA1 lacuno-moleculare interneurons: modulation of monosynaptic GABAergic IPSCs by presynaptic GABAB receptors. *J. Neurophysiol.* 74, 2126–2137.
- Killackey, H.P., Gould, H.J., 3rd, Cusick, C.G., Pons, T.P., and Kaas, J.H. (1983). The relation of corpus callosum connections to architectonic fields and body surface maps in sensorimotor cortex of new and old world monkeys. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 219, 384–419.
- Kisvárday, Z.F., Martin, K.A., Whitteridge, D., and Somogyi, P. (1985). Synaptic connections of intracellularly filled clutch cells: a type of small basket cell in the visual cortex of the cat. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 241, 111–137.
- Klausberger, T., Magill, P.J., Márton, L.F., Roberts, J.D.B., Cobden, P.M., Buzsáki, G., and Somogyi, P. (2003). Brain-state- and cell-type-specific firing of hippocampal interneurons *in vivo*. *Nature* 421, 844–848.
- Le Bé, J.-V., Silberberg, G., Wang, Y., and Markram, H. (2007). Morphological, electrophysiological, and synaptic properties of corticocallosal pyramidal cells in the neonatal rat neocortex. *Cereb. Cortex* 17, 2204–2213.
- Krimer, L.S., Zaitsev, A.V., Czanner, G., Kröner, S., González-Burgos, G., Povysheva, N.V., Iyengar, S., Barrionuevo, G., and Lewis, D.A. (2005). Cluster analysis-based physiological classification and morphological properties of inhibitory neurons in layers 2–3 of monkey dorsolateral prefrontal cortex. *J. Neurophysiol.* 94, 3009–3022.
- Larkman, A.U. (1991a). Dendritic morphology of pyramidal neurones of the visual cortex of the rat: I. Branching patterns. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 306, 307–319.
- Larkman, A.U. (1991b). Dendritic morphology of pyramidal neurones of the visual cortex of the rat: III. Spine distributions. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 306, 332–343.
- Larkum, M.E., Zhu, J.J., and Sakmann, B. (2001). Dendritic mechanisms underlying the coupling of the dendritic with the axonal action potential initiation zone of adult rat layer 5 pyramidal neurons. *J. Physiol.* 533, 447–466.

- Lee, S.-H., and Dan, Y. (2012). Neuromodulation of brain states. *Neuron* 76, 209–222.
- Lefort, S., Tomm, C., Floyd Sarria, J.-C., and Petersen, C.C.H. (2009). The excitatory neuronal network of the C2 barrel column in mouse primary somatosensory cortex. *Neuron* 61, 301–316.
- Leinekugel, X., Khazipov, R., Cannon, R., Hirase, H., Ben-Ari, Y., and Buzsáki, G. (2002). Correlated bursts of activity in the neonatal hippocampus *in vivo*. *Science* 296, 2049–2052.
- Ling, D.S., and Benardo, L.S. (1999). Restrictions on inhibitory circuits contribute to limited recruitment of fast inhibition in rat neocortical pyramidal cells. *J. Neurophysiol.* 82, 1793–1807.
- Livingstone, M.S. (1996). Oscillatory firing and interneuronal correlations in squirrel monkey striate cortex. *J. Neurophysiol.* 75, 2467–2485.
- Lőrincz, M.L., Gunner, D., Bao, Y., Connelly, W.M., Isaac, J.T.R., Hughes, S.W., and Crunelli, V. (2015). A distinct class of slow (~0.2–2 Hz) intrinsically bursting layer 5 pyramidal neurons determines UP/DOWN state dynamics in the neocortex. *J. Neurosci.* 35, 5442–5458.
- Luczak, A., Barthó, P., Marguet, S.L., Buzsáki, G., and Harris, K.D. (2007). Sequential structure of neocortical spontaneous activity *in vivo*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 104, 347–352.
- Maffei, A., Nelson, S.B., and Turrigiano, G.G. (2004). Selective reconfiguration of layer 4 visual cortical circuitry by visual deprivation. *Nat. Neurosci.* 7, 1353–1359.
- Mao, B.-Q., Hamzei-Sichani, F., Aronov, D., Froemke, R.C., and Yuste, R. (2001). Dynamics of spontaneous activity in neocortical slices. *Neuron* 32, 883–898.
- Markram, H. (2008). Fixing the location and dimensions of functional neocortical columns. *HFSP J.* 2, 132–135.
- Markram, H., Lübke, J., Frotscher, M., Roth, A., and Sakmann, B. (1997). Physiology and anatomy of synaptic connections between thick tufted pyramidal neurones in the developing rat neocortex. *J. Physiol.* 500, 409–440.
- Markram, H., Wang, Y., and Tsodyks, M. (1998). Differential signaling via the same axon of neocortical pyramidal neurons. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 95, 5323–5328.
- Markram, H., Toledo-Rodriguez, M., Wang, Y., Gupta, A., Silberberg, G., and Wu, C. (2004). Interneurons of the neocortical inhibitory system. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 5, 793–807.
- Mason, A., Nicoll, A., and Stratford, K. (1991). Synaptic transmission between individual pyramidal neurons of the rat visual cortex *in vitro*. *J. Neurosci.* 11, 72–84.
- Massimini, M., and Amzica, F. (2001). Extracellular calcium fluctuations and intracellular potentials in the cortex during the slow sleep oscillation. *J. Neurophysiol.* 85, 1346–1350.
- Mazurek, M.E., and Shadlen, M.N. (2002). Limits to the temporal fidelity of cortical spike rate signals. *Nat. Neurosci.* 5, 463–471.
- McCormick, D.A., Connors, B.W., Lighthall, J.W., and Prince, D.A. (1985). Comparative electrophysiology of pyramidal and sparsely spiny stellate neurons of the neocortex. *J. Neurophysiol.* 54, 782–806.
- McCormick, D.A., Shu, Y., Hasenstaub, A., Sanchez-Vives, M., Badoual, M., and Bal, T. (2003). Persistent cortical activity: mechanisms of generation and effects on neuronal excitability. *Cereb. Cortex* 13, 1219–1231.
- McGarry, L.M., Packer, A.M., Fino, E., Nikolenko, V., Sippy, T., and Yuste, R. (2010). Quantitative classification of somatostatin-positive neocortical interneurons identifies three interneuron subtypes. *Front. Neural Circuits* 4, 12.
- Mercer, A., West, D.C., Morris, O.T., Kirchhecker, S., Kerkhoff, J.E., and Thomson, A.M. (2005). Excitatory connections made by presynaptic cortico-cortical pyramidal cells in layer 6 of the neocortex. *Cereb. Cortex* 15, 1485–1496.
- Meyer, A.H., Katona, I., Blatow, M., Rozov, A., and Monyer, H. (2002). In vivo labeling of parvalbumin-positive interneurons and analysis of electrical coupling in identified neurons. *J. Neurosci.* 22, 7055–7064.
- Meyer, H.S., Wimmer, V.C., Oberlaender, M., de Kock, C.P.J., Sakmann, B., and Helmstaedter, M. (2010a). Number and laminar distribution of neurons in a thalamocortical projection column of rat vibrissal cortex. *Cereb. Cortex* 20, 2277–2286.
- Meyer, H.S., Wimmer, V.C., Hemberger, M., Bruno, R.M., de Kock, C.P., Frick, A., Sakmann, B., and Helmstaedter, M. (2010b). Cell type-specific thalamic innervation in a column of rat vibrissal cortex. *Cereb. Cortex* 20, 2287–2303.
- Meyer, H.S., Schwarz, D., Wimmer, V.C., Schmitt, A.C., Kerr, J.N.D., Sakmann, B., and Helmstaedter, M. (2011). Inhibitory interneurons in a cortical column form hot zones of inhibition in layers 2 and 5A. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 108, 16807–16812.
- Micheva, K.D., and Smith, S.J. (2007). Array tomography: a new tool for imaging the molecular architecture and ultrastructure of neural circuits. *Neuron* 55, 25–36.
- Micheva, K.D., Busse, B., Weiler, N.C., O'Rourke, N., and Smith, S.J. (2010). Single-synapse analysis of a diverse synapse population: proteomic imaging methods and markers. *Neuron* 68, 639–653.
- Migliore, M., Cannia, C., Lytton, W.W., Markram, H., and Hines, M.L. (2006). Parallel network simulations with NEURON. *J. Comput. Neurosci.* 21, 119–129.
- Mott, D.D., Li, Q., Okazaki, M.M., Turner, D.A., and Lewis, D.V. (1999). GABA_A-receptor-mediated currents in interneurons of the dentate-hilus border. *J. Neurophysiol.* 82, 1438–1450.
- Mountcastle, V.B. (1998). *Perceptual neuroscience: The cerebral cortex* (Harvard University Press).
- Nelson, S. (2002). Cortical microcircuits: diverse or canonical? *Neuron* 36, 19–27.
- Nevin, T., Larkum, M.E., Polsky, A., and Schiller, J. (2007). Properties of basal dendrites of layer 5 pyramidal neurons: a direct patch-clamp recording study. *Nat. Neurosci.* 10, 206–214.
- O'Connor, D.H., Huber, D., and Svoboda, K. (2009). Reverse engineering the mouse brain. *Nature* 461, 923–929.
- Oberlaender, M., de Kock, C.P.J., Bruno, R.M., Ramirez, A., Meyer, H.S., Dercksen, V.J., Helmstaedter, M., and Sakmann, B. (2012). Cell type-specific three-dimensional structure of thalamocortical circuits in a column of rat vibrissal cortex. *Cereb. Cortex* 22, 2375–2391.
- Ohana, O., and Sakmann, B. (1998). Transmitter release modulation in nerve terminals of rat neocortical pyramidal cells by intracellular calcium buffers. *J. Physiol.* 513, 135–148.
- Okun, M., and Lampl, I. (2008). Instantaneous correlation of excitation and inhibition during ongoing and sensory-evoked activities. *Nat. Neurosci.* 11, 535–537.
- Okun, M., Steinmetz, N.A., Cossell, L., Iacaruso, M.F., Ko, H., Barthó, P., Moore, T., Hofer, S.B., Mrsic-Flogel, T.D., Carandini, M., and Harris, K.D. (2015). Diverse coupling of neurons to populations in sensory cortex. *Nature* 521, 511–515.
- Oláh, S., Komlósi, G., Szabadics, J., Varga, C., Tóth, E., Barzó, P., and Tamás, G. (2007). Output of neurogliaform cells to various neuron types in the human and rat cerebral cortex. *Front. Neural Circuits* 1, 4.
- Packer, A.M., and Yuste, R. (2011). Dense, unspecific connectivity of neocortical parvalbumin-positive interneurons: a canonical microcircuit for inhibition? *J. Neurosci.* 31, 13260–13271.
- Perin, R., Berger, T.K., and Markram, H. (2011). A synaptic organizing principle for cortical neuronal groups. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 108, 5419–5424.
- Perrenoud, Q., Rossier, J., Geoffroy, H., Vitalis, T., and Gallopin, T. (2013). Diversity of GABAergic interneurons in layer VIa and VIb of mouse barrel cortex. *Cereb. Cortex* 23, 423–441.
- Peters, A. (1987). *Number of Neurons and Synapses in Primary Visual Cortex. In Cerebral Cortex*, E.G. Jones and A. Peters, eds. (Springer), pp. 267–294.
- Peters, A., and Kaiserman-Abramof, I.R. (1970). The small pyramidal neuron of the rat cerebral cortex. The perikaryon, dendrites and spines. *Am. J. Anat.* 127, 321–355.

- Ramaswamy, S., and Markram, H. (2015). Anatomy and physiology of the thick-tufted layer 5 pyramidal neuron. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 9, 233.
- Ramaswamy, S., Hill, S.L., King, J.G., Schürmann, F., Wang, Y., and Markram, H. (2012). Intrinsic morphological diversity of thick-tufted layer 5 pyramidal neurons ensures robust and invariant properties of *in silico* synaptic connections. *J. Physiol.* 590, 737–752.
- Ramaswamy, S., Courcol, J.-D., Abdellah, M., Adaszewski, S., Antille, N., Arsever, S., Guy Antoine, A.K., Bilgili, A., Brukau, Y., Chalimourda, A., et al. (2015). The neocortical Microcircuit collaboration portal: A resource for rat somatosensory cortex. *Front. Neural Circuits* 9, 44.
- Ramón y Cajal, S. (1909, 1911). *Histologie du Système Nerveux de l'Homme et des Vertébrés*. L. Azoulay, trans. Maloine, Paris.
- Reimann, M.W., Muller, E.B., Ramaswamy, S., and Markram, H. (2015). An algorithm to predict the connectome of neural microcircuits. *Front. Comput. Neurosci.* 9, 28.
- Renart, A., de la Rocha, J., Bartho, P., Hollender, L., Parga, N., Reyes, A., and Harris, K.D. (2010). The asynchronous state in cortical circuits. *Science* 327, 587–590.
- Reyes, A., and Sakmann, B. (1999). Developmental switch in the short-term modification of unitary EPSPs evoked in layer 2/3 and layer 5 pyramidal neurons of rat neocortex. *J. Neurosci.* 19, 3827–3835.
- Reyes, A., Lujan, R., Rozov, A., Burnashev, N., Somogyi, P., and Sakmann, B. (1998). Target-cell-specific facilitation and depression in neocortical circuits. *Nat. Neurosci.* 1, 279–285.
- Reyes-Puerta, V., Sun, J.-J., Kim, S., Kilb, W., and Luhmann, H.J. (2015). Laminar and Columnar Structure of Sensory-Evoked Multineuronal Spike Sequences in Adult Rat Barrel Cortex *In Vivo*. *Cereb. Cortex* 25, 2001–2021.
- Rinaldi, T., Silberberg, G., and Markram, H. (2008). Hyperconnectivity of local neocortical microcircuitry induced by prenatal exposure to valproic acid. *Cereb. Cortex* 18, 763–770.
- Romand, S., Wang, Y., Toledo-Rodriguez, M., and Markram, H. (2011). Morphological development of thick-tufted layer 5 pyramidal cells in the rat somatosensory cortex. *Front. Neuroanat.* 5, 5.
- Rozov, A., Burnashev, N., Sakmann, B., and Neher, E. (2001). Transmitter release modulation by intracellular Ca^{2+} buffers in facilitating and depressing nerve terminals of pyramidal cells in layer 2/3 of the rat neocortex indicates a target cell-specific difference in presynaptic calcium dynamics. *J. Physiol.* 531, 807–826.
- Rudy, B., Fishell, G., Lee, S., and Hjerling-Leffler, J. (2011). Three groups of interneurons account for nearly 100% of neocortical GABAergic neurons. *Dev. Neurobiol.* 71, 45–61.
- Sakata, S., and Harris, K.D. (2009). Laminar structure of spontaneous and sensory-evoked population activity in auditory cortex. *Neuron* 64, 404–418.
- Salinas, E., and Sejnowski, T.J. (2001). Correlated neuronal activity and the flow of neural information. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 2, 539–550.
- Sancesario, G., Pisani, A., D'Angelo, V., Calabresi, P., and Bernardi, G. (1998). Morphological and functional study of dwarf neurons in the rat striatum. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 10, 3575–3583.
- Sanchez-Vives, M.V., and McCormick, D.A. (2000). Cellular and network mechanisms of rhythmic recurrent activity in neocortex. *Nat. Neurosci.* 3, 1027–1034.
- Santana, R., McGarry, L.M., Bielza, C., Larrañaga, P., and Yuste, R. (2013). Classification of neocortical interneurons using affinity propagation. *Front. Neural Circuits* 7, 185.
- Sarid, L., Bruno, R., Sakmann, B., Segev, I., and Feldmeyer, D. (2007). Modeling a layer 4-to-layer 2/3 module of a single column in rat neocortex: interweaving *in vitro* and *in vivo* experimental observations. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 104, 16353–16358.
- Schroeder, C.E., and Foxe, J.J. (2002). The timing and laminar profile of converging inputs to multisensory areas of the macaque neocortex. *Brain Res. Cogn. Brain Res.* 14, 187–198.
- Schürmann, F., Delalandre, F., Kumbhar, P.S., Biddiscombe, J., Gil, M., Tacchella, D., Curioni, A., Metzler, B., Morjan, P., Fenkes, J., et al. (2014). Rebasis I/O for Scientific Computing: Leveraging Storage Class Memory in an IBM BlueGene/Q Supercomputer (Leipzig, Germany: Springer International Publishing Switzerland), pp. 331–347.
- Shu, Y., Hasenstaub, A., and McCormick, D.A. (2003). Turning on and off recurrent balanced cortical activity. *Nature* 423, 288–293.
- Sigalas, C., Rigas, P., Tsakanikas, P., and Skaliora, I. (2015). High-Affinity Nicotinic Receptors Modulate Spontaneous Cortical Up States *In Vitro*. *J. Neurosci.* 35, 11196–11208.
- Silberberg, G. (2008). Polysynaptic subcircuits in the neocortex: spatial and temporal diversity. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 18, 332–337.
- Silberberg, G., and Markram, H. (2007). Disynaptic inhibition between neocortical pyramidal cells mediated by Martinotti cells. *Neuron* 53, 735–746.
- Silberberg, G., Wu, C., and Markram, H. (2004). Synaptic dynamics control the timing of neuronal excitation in the activated neocortical microcircuit. *J. Physiol.* 556, 19–27.
- Silva, L.R., Amitai, Y., and Connors, B.W. (1991). Intrinsic oscillations of neocortex generated by layer 5 pyramidal neurons. *Science* 251, 432–435.
- Silver, R.A., Lubke, J., Sakmann, B., and Feldmeyer, D. (2003). High-probability unquantal transmission at excitatory synapses in barrel cortex. *Science* 302, 1981–1984.
- Simkus, C.R.L., and Stricker, C. (2002). Properties of mEPSCs recorded in layer II neurones of rat barrel cortex. *J. Physiol.* 545, 509–520.
- Singer, W. (1993). Synchronization of cortical activity and its putative role in information processing and learning. *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* 55, 349–374.
- Somogyi, P., Freund, T.F., and Cowey, A. (1982). The axo-axonic interneuron in the cerebral cortex of the rat, cat and monkey. *Neuroscience* 7, 2577–2607.
- Somogyi, P., Tamás, G., Lujan, R., and Buhl, E.H. (1998). Salient features of synaptic organisation in the cerebral cortex. *Brain Res. Brain Res. Rev.* 26, 113–135.
- Sporns, O., and Kötter, R. (2004). Motifs in brain networks. *PLoS Biol.* 2, e369.
- Spruston, N. (2008). Pyramidal neurons: dendritic structure and synaptic integration. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 9, 206–221.
- Stepanyants, A., Martinez, L.M., Ferecskó, A.S., and Kisvárday, Z.F. (2009). The fractions of short- and long-range connections in the visual cortex. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 106, 3555–3560.
- Steriade, M., Nuñez, A., and Amzica, F. (1993). A novel slow (<1 Hz) oscillation of neocortical neurons *in vivo*: depolarizing and hyperpolarizing components. *J. Neurosci.* 13, 3252–3265.
- Svoboda, K., Denk, W., Kleinfeld, D., and Tank, D.W. (1997). *In vivo* dendritic calcium dynamics in neocortical pyramidal neurons. *Nature* 385, 161–165.
- Szabadics, J., Varga, C., Molnár, G., Oláh, S., Barzó, P., and Tamás, G. (2006). Excitatory effect of GABAergic axo-axonic cells in cortical microcircuits. *Science* 311, 233–235.
- Tamás, G., Somogyi, P., and Buhl, E.H. (1998). Differentially interconnected networks of GABAergic interneurons in the visual cortex of the cat. *J. Neurosci.* 18, 4255–4270.
- Tan, A.Y.Y., Chen, Y., Scholl, B., Seidemann, E., and Priebe, N.J. (2014). Sensory stimulation shifts visual cortex from synchronous to asynchronous states. *Nature* 509, 226–229.
- Thomson, A.M., and Bannister, A.P. (1998). Postsynaptic pyramidal target selection by descending layer III pyramidal axons: dual intracellular recordings and biocytin filling in slices of rat neocortex. *Neuroscience* 84, 669–683.
- Thomson, A.M., and Deuchars, J. (1997). Synaptic interactions in neocortical local circuits: dual intracellular recordings *in vitro*. *Cereb. Cortex* 7, 510–522.
- Thomson, A.M., and Lamy, C. (2007). Functional maps of neocortical local circuitry. *Front. Neurosci.* 1, 19–42.
- Thomson, A.M., Deuchars, J., and West, D.C. (1993). Large, deep layer pyramid-pyramid single axon EPSPs in slices of rat motor cortex display paired pulse and frequency-dependent depression, mediated presynaptically

- and self-facilitation, mediated postsynaptically. *J. Neurophysiol.* 70, 2354–2369.
- Thomson, A.M., West, D.C., Hahn, J., and Deuchars, J. (1996). Single axon IPSPs elicited in pyramidal cells by three classes of interneurones in slices of rat neocortex. *J. Physiol.* 496, 81–102.
- Timofeev, I., Grenier, F., Bazhenov, M., Sejnowski, T.J., and Steriade, M. (2000). Origin of slow cortical oscillations in deafferented cortical slabs. *Cereb. Cortex* 10, 1185–1199.
- Toledo-Rodriguez, M., Blumenfeld, B., Wu, C., Luo, J., Attali, B., Goodman, P., and Markram, H. (2004). Correlation maps allow neuronal electrical properties to be predicted from single-cell gene expression profiles in rat neocortex. *Cereb. Cortex* 14, 1310–1327.
- Toledo-Rodriguez, M., Goodman, P., Illic, M., Wu, C., and Markram, H. (2005). Neuropeptide and calcium-binding protein gene expression profiles predict neuronal anatomical type in the juvenile rat. *J. Physiol.* 567, 401–413.
- Tsodyks, M.V., and Markram, H. (1997). The neural code between neocortical pyramidal neurons depends on neurotransmitter release probability. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 94, 719–723.
- van Vreeswijk, C., and Sompolinsky, H. (1996). Chaos in neuronal networks with balanced excitatory and inhibitory activity. *Science* 274, 1724–1726.
- Wang, Z., and McCormick, D.A. (1993). Control of firing mode of corticotectal and corticopontine layer V burst-generating neurons by norepinephrine, acetylcholine, and 1S,3R-ACPD. *J. Neurosci.* 13, 2199–2216.
- Wang, Y., Gupta, A., Toledo-Rodriguez, M., Wu, C.Z., and Markram, H. (2002). Anatomical, physiological, molecular and circuit properties of nest basket cells in the developing somatosensory cortex. *Cereb. Cortex* 12, 395–410.
- Wang, Y., Toledo-Rodriguez, M., Gupta, A., Wu, C., Silberberg, G., Luo, J., and Markram, H. (2004). Anatomical, physiological and molecular properties of Martinotti cells in the somatosensory cortex of the juvenile rat. *J. Physiol.* 561, 65–90.
- Wang, Y., Markram, H., Goodman, P.H., Berger, T.K., Ma, J., and Goldman-Rakic, P.S. (2006). Heterogeneity in the pyramidal network of the medial prefrontal cortex. *Nat. Neurosci.* 9, 534–542.
- West, M.J., and Gundersen, H.J. (1990). Unbiased stereological estimation of the number of neurons in the human hippocampus. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 296, 1–22.
- Westerink, B.H.C., Hofsteede, H.M., Damsma, G., and de Vries, J.B. (1988). The significance of extracellular calcium for the release of dopamine, acetylcholine and amino acids in conscious rats, evaluated by brain microdialysis. *Naunyn Schmiedebergs Arch. Pharmacol.* 337, 373–378.
- Wickersham, I.R., Finke, S., Conzelmann, K.-K., and Callaway, E.M. (2007). Retrograde neuronal tracing with a deletion-mutant rabies virus. *Nat. Methods* 4, 47–49.
- Williams, S.R., and Mitchell, S.J. (2008). Direct measurement of somatic voltage clamp errors in central neurons. *Nat. Neurosci.* 11, 790–798.
- Williams, R.W., and Rakic, P. (1988). Three-dimensional counting: an accurate and direct method to estimate numbers of cells in sectioned material. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 278, 344–352.
- Wilson, N.R., Runyan, C.A., Wang, F.L., and Sur, M. (2012). Division and subtraction by distinct cortical inhibitory networks *in vivo*. *Nature* 488, 343–348.
- Wimmer, V.C., Bruno, R.M., de Kock, C.P.J., Kuner, T., and Sakmann, B. (2010). Dimensions of a projection column and architecture of VPM and POm axons in rat vibrissal cortex. *Cereb. Cortex* 20, 2265–2276.
- Yoshimura, Y., Kimura, F., and Tsumoto, T. (1999). Estimation of single channel conductance underlying synaptic transmission between pyramidal cells in the visual cortex. *Neuroscience* 88, 347–352.
- Yuste, R. (2005). Origin and classification of neocortical interneurons. *Neuron* 48, 524–527.
- Yuste, R., Tank, D.W., and Kleinfeld, D. (1997). Functional study of the rat cortical microcircuitry with voltage-sensitive dye imaging of neocortical slices. *Cereb. Cortex* 7, 546–558.
- Zagha, E., and McCormick, D.A. (2014). Neural control of brain state. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 29, 178–186.
- Zhu, J.J. (2000). Maturation of layer 5 neocortical pyramidal neurons: amplifying salient layer 1 and layer 4 inputs by Ca^{2+} action potentials in adult rat tuft dendrites. *J. Physiol.* 526, 571–587.