

Pseudo Code Evaluation and UML

Gill, Aikem S.

Given the second component of the assignment to work on. (Interface and Printing)

Akiem was unable to show up for the first meeting time given to the group, even though he confirmed that he would show up. Jasnam gave a valid reason why he was not present since they were friends (That is having to go to the hospital for an unexpected visit.) A second time was determined to meet with the student the next day. I waited for 1 ½ Hours for him to arrive and he did not show up, this time with no valid reason or response. Therefore, I had no in-person meetup with this student. The student did not submit any work at all to me in the long run, which means that Jasnam did not assist Aikem to complete the work without meetup, therefore failing both meeting and code requirements.

Overall work rating: [Incapable - 0] (Did not show up, nor provided pseudo code to me.)

Gill, Jasnam Singh S.

Accepted the first component of the assignment to work on. (I2C connection and sending to database)

Jasnam arrived at the specified meeting time and was ready to start upon arrival. A lot of explaining had to be explained in understanding C with the addition of understanding basics of how pseud coding works. In the end, he was able to generate pseudo code that is understandable and idea giving for converting it into a program that functions. Jasnam however did not assist Aikem in doing his pseudo code for the assignment for my request. Jasnam did his own work fine in the end.

Overall work rating: [Competent – 4] (Showed up and provided competent pseudo code.)

Pseudo Code Evaluation and UML

UML Diagram of Program Referencing Pseudo Work

