

Stage 2 Feedback

Team:

Grade: **/100**

Weighted Grade: **/10**

Website		/15
Software Design Page		
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Includes (or links to) updated UML diagram that reflects current state of code		/10
Project Plan Page		
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Updated with changes since Stage 1. Include added or removed features.		/5
GitHub		/15
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Master branch contains evaluation-ready code		/3
<ul style="list-style-type: none">README.md contains information about repository organization and installation/run instructions		/3
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Installation/run instructions assume no prerequisites aside from JDK		/3
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Instructions are sensible and easy to follow		/3
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Issues for completed features have been closed		/3

Prototype		/70
<p>Maximum Marks = 70 – (5 * <i>number of missing features from Stage 2 spec</i>)</p> <p>Implemented features will be tested and a grade out of the above maximum will be assigned based on functionality</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Run smoothly with 1-2 small errors (100% on this section) • Crashes during “exceptional” test case (max 90%) • Runs reasonably well, but with several errors (80%) • Runs, but with many errors (70%) • Crashes during “normal” execution consistently (max 65%) • Cannot run (max of 45% depending on how much implementation was attempted) <p><i>Note that any implementation attempt counts towards the feature being present. It must be clear, however, that the implementation attempt is viable and could result in working code with a bit more effort. (e.g. empty or skeleton classes don't count, but any partial implementation for a feature will be 'on the board' for evaluation).</i></p>		/70