There was an investigation (<u>DF-81</u>) regarding the solutions offered by AWS to store credentials and secrets, namely <u>AWS Secrets Manager</u> and <u>AWS Systems Manager</u> - <u>Parameter Store</u>.

Both solutions seem fairly similar, just to point out the main advantages for both of them:

- Very good flexibility on defining access permissions via IAM and encryption via KMS.
- Possibility to rotate secrets using AWS Lambda.
- Fair structured way of keeping the secrets grouped for each environment, project.
- Programmatic retrieval of secrets using the same PythonSDK Boto 3.
- AWS CloudFormation support for both solutions.

AWS Secrets Manager

AWS Systems Manager - Parameter Store

- Even though advertised to automatically rotate secrets, it mainly does automatic rotation just for AWS RDS, other secrets will have to use a custom AWS Lambda.
- History for changes made to each key/value pair not really straight forward.
- COST: \$0.40 per secret per month Per 10,000 API calls. (More details on costs here) With this investigation we had to start the default 30-day Trial Period also.
- More structured way of keeping the credentials. A "secret" is an entity which contains multiple key-value pairs.
- Cross Account Access if there is a need to share secrets with other AWS Accounts.
- <u>Security compliance</u> (ISO, PCI, etc.)

- No native automatic secret rotation, only using custom AWS Lambda/Cloudwatch Event.
- Secrets manager was released in <u>Apr. 2018</u> (and it *seems* to be an attempt to monetize parameter store by offering somehow the same features, wrapped a bit differently and **may probably** make Parameter Store **obsolete someday** even though <u>features</u> are still being added)
- Should be **free of charge** for *standard* secrets which suit our use cases, *advanced* secrets have a cost though (More details on costs <u>here</u>).
- Secrets are stored in a path-like structure:/ PROJECT/ENVIRONMENT/VARIABLE1

To fetch the credentials stored in Secrets Manager / SSM Parameter Store we attempted to use a different approach, right from Kubernetes instead of the standard python sdk, but setting it up

didn't seem to be as straight forward as we expected. More on this matter:

- https://uk.godaddy.com/engineering/2019/04/16/kubernetes-external-secrets/
- https://github.com/godaddy/kubernetes-external-secrets
- https://github.com/aws-samples/aws-workshop-for-kubernetes/tree/master/04-path-security-and-networking/401-configmaps-and-secrets#secrets-using-aws-secrets-manager

NOTE! While this investigation was ongoing, **GitLab** had a new feature released: a scope was added to the variables defined under Settings \rightarrow CI/CD \rightarrow Variables.

The main advantage of this approach is that one can simply add a wildcard prefix (like the production in the screenshot above) for a variable, making it only available for the environment matching that specific prefix.

We tested this approach, it works and adds no additional costs.

The main **downsides** at a first glance are:

- Difficult (not sure if it's even possible for our current plan) to enforce permissions similar to the AWS IAM/KMS, to make sure variables don't end up on a different environment than the one intended. It's fairly easy to have a typo in one of this wildcards and the variable will go a different environment.
- No easy way to have a history for changes made to each variable (Makes the previous bullet point even worse).
- All the variables are stored in the same place, in the repository settings (Settings → CI/CD → Variables) and are sorted by key as default i.e. if there are 3 environment for a repository (staging, production, feature environment) and there is a DATABASE_URL variable defined for each of them, this variable will show up in the list three times, one next to another, the difference being the *scope prefix* and the *value* (which is by default hidden, so only a ***** string are visible), making it even more prone to typing errors.
- No easy way to rotate secrets, change encryption keys.
- Not sure if it's a reliable, tested, ready for production feature. It just appeared in the GitLab interface, in a place where it used to be an error (the minus icon you can see now on the right side of the Scope column in the screenshot above, used to be misaligned and was under the column header Scope, clicking it simply deletes the variable, no other confirmation required).