

## MATH 3110 HOMEWORK #4

KIRILL CHERNYSHOV

**Problem 5.1.4** Suppose  $\frac{a_n}{b_n} \rightarrow L, b_n \neq 0 \forall n$  and  $b_n \rightarrow 0$ . Show that  $a_n \rightarrow 0$ .

*Proof.*  $a_n = \frac{a_n}{b_n} \cdot b_n$ . Therefore,  $\lim a_n = \lim \left( \frac{a_n}{b_n} \cdot b_n \right) = \lim \frac{a_n}{b_n} \cdot \lim b_n = L \cdot 0 = 0$ , by the limit product rule.  $\square$

### Problem 5.3.4

(i)

*Proof.* Since  $b_n$  is convergent, it must also be bounded above. Since  $a_n \leq b_n \forall n$ ,  $a_n$  must also be bounded above. By a theorem from earlier in the course, since  $a_n$  is also increasing, it must converge.

Since both  $a_n$  and  $b_n$  are convergent sequences,  $a_n \leq b_n \forall n$  implies that  $\lim a_n \leq \lim b_n$ , by the limit location theorem (part (15c)).  $\square$

(ii) A simple counterexample is the pair of sequences  $a_n = \frac{1}{n^2}$  and  $b_n = \frac{1}{n}$ , for  $n \geq 2$ . Since  $n \geq 2 \implies n^2 > n$ ,  $a_n < b_n \forall n$ . But,  $\lim a_n = \lim b_n = 0$ , so the statement  $\lim a_n < \lim b_n$  is false.

### Problem 5.4.1

(a) (b) Implied by part (c)

(c)

*Proof.* Suppose there are  $K$  colourings, and denote the different subsequences as  $a_k$ , and their terms as  $a_{k_m}$  for  $0 < k \leq K, m \geq 1$ . Suppose all of these subsequences converge to  $L$ , that is,  $\forall k, \forall \epsilon > 0$  there exists  $M_k$  such that  $|a_{k_m} - L| < \epsilon \forall m \geq M_k$ .

Let  $N = \max(\{M_i | 0 < i \leq K\})$ . Then, one version of the above statement applies to all of the subsequences:  $\forall k, \forall \epsilon > 0, |a_{k_m} - L| < \epsilon \forall m \geq N$ .

Since every term of  $\{a_n\}$  is coloured in some way, we know that for any  $a_n$  there exist  $k, m$  such that  $a_n = a_{k_m}$ . Therefore, for any  $\epsilon > 0, |a_n - L| = |a_{k_m} - L| < \epsilon \forall m \geq N$ , that is,  $\{a_n\}$  converges to  $L$ .  $\square$

### Problem 5.4.2

Suppose  $s(n)$  is the sum of the prime factors of  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , and define the sequence  $a_n = \frac{s(n)}{n}$ . Show that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n$  does not exist.

*Proof.* There are infinitely many numbers that are greater than the sum of their prime factors. An example is  $10 > 5 + 2$ . To show that there are infinitely many, note that if  $n > s(n)$ ,  $n > 2$  then  $s(2n) = s(n) + 2 < n + 2 < 2n$ . There are also infinitely many prime numbers (*Elements*, Euclid, c. 300BC), and if  $n$  is prime, then  $n = s(n)$ . Define two subsequences of  $\{a_n\}$  as follows:  $\{b_k\}$  as the members of  $\{a_n\}$  where  $n$  was generated by the above rule, that is,  $b_k = \frac{s(n)}{n}$  where  $n = 10 \cdot 2^k$ ; and  $\{c_p\}$  as  $\frac{s(p)}{p}$  where  $p$  is prime. In the case of  $b_n$ , since  $n > s(n) \forall n$ ,  $\frac{s(n)}{n} < 1 \forall n$ . Consider the ratio between two consecutive terms:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{b_{k+1}}{b_k} &= \frac{s(10 \cdot 2^{k+1})}{10 \cdot 2^{k+1}} \cdot \frac{10 \cdot 2^k}{s(10 \cdot 2^k)} \\ &= \frac{20(k+1)}{10 \cdot 2^{k+1}} \cdot \frac{10 \cdot 2^k}{20k} \\ &= \frac{k+1}{2k} \end{aligned}$$

Since for all  $k \geq 2$ , this ratio is less than 1, this means that for  $k \geq 2$ ,  $\{b_k\}$  is decreasing, and so its limit must be less than one. On the other hand,  $p_n = \frac{s(p)}{p} = 1$ , so  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n = 1$ . Since the two subsequences converge to different limits,  $a_n$  cannot have a limit.  $\square$

### Problem 5-5

Suppose  $a_n \rightarrow L$ , and  $b_n$  lies between  $a_n$  and  $a_{n+1}$  for all  $n$ . Show that  $b_n \rightarrow L$ .

*Proof.* Define two subsequences of  $b_n$ :  $\{b_\alpha\}$ , consisting of terms of  $\{b_n\}$  where  $a_n \leq b_n \leq a_{n+1}$ , and  $\{b_\beta\}$ , consisting of the terms of  $\{b_n\}$  for which  $a_{n+1} \leq b_n \leq a_n$ . Since  $a_n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $a_{n+1} \rightarrow \infty$ , by the Squeeze theorem,  $\{b_\alpha\}$  must converge to  $L$ , and  $\{b_\beta\}$  must also converge to  $L$ . Since all terms of  $\{b_n\}$  are in exactly one of  $\{b_\alpha\}$  or  $\{b_\beta\}$ ,  $\{b_n\}$  converges to  $L$  by the subsequence theorem proven in problem (5.4.1).  $\square$