

Function compositions

We have proven that a multivariate function is continuous iff all of its component functions are continuous. However, some cases can be more complicated. For example, consider $f(x, y) = \sin(x + y)$. We know that $x + y$ and \sin are both continuous functions.

Theorem

Define two continuous functions $F : D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \mathbb{R}^m, G : E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m \mapsto \mathbb{R}^k, F \subseteq E$. Then, $G \circ F : D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \mathbb{R}^k$ is continuous.

Proof. Write $G(\vec{x}) = (g_1(\vec{x}), g_2(\vec{x}) \cdot g_k(\vec{x}))$. It suffices to show that $g_i(F(\vec{x}))$ is continuous for all i .

Fix $\epsilon > 0, \vec{x} \in D$. Let $\vec{y} = F(\vec{x})$. We know that $\exists \delta > 0$ such that if $\|\vec{h}\| < \delta$ then $|g_i(\vec{y} + \vec{h}) - g_i(\vec{y})| < \epsilon$, since we know that g_i is continuous for all i . We also know that F is continuous, so $\exists \eta > 0$ such that if $\|h\| < \eta$ then $\|F(\vec{x} + \vec{h}) - F(\vec{x})\| < \delta$.

This η is what we want: we want to show that if $\|h\| < \eta$ then $|g_i(F(\vec{x} + \vec{h})) - g_i(F(\vec{x}))| < \epsilon$. Let $\vec{j} = F(\vec{x} + \vec{h}) - F(\vec{x})$. We know that $\|\vec{j}\| < \delta$.

$\|g_i(F(\vec{x} + \vec{h})) - g_i(F(\vec{x}))\| = \|g_i(F(\vec{x}) + \vec{j}) - g_i(F(\vec{x}))\| < \epsilon$, since $\|J\| < \delta$. \square

Point-set topology

An *open ball* around $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined as $B_\epsilon(\vec{x}) = \{\vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \|\vec{x} - \vec{y}\| < \epsilon\}$.

A subset $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is *open* iff $\forall \vec{x} \in D \exists \epsilon > 0$ such that $B_\epsilon(\vec{x}) \subseteq D$.

For example: in \mathbb{R}^1 , (a, b) is open.

Proof. If $x \in (a, b)$ then let $\epsilon = \min(x - a, b - x)$. Then, $B_\epsilon(x) = (x - \epsilon, x + \epsilon) \subseteq (a, b)$. \square

Another example: in \mathbb{R}^2 , $D_1 = \{(x, 0) \mid a < x < b\}$ is *not* open.

Proof. $\forall \epsilon > 0$, the point (x, ϵ) is not in D_1 , so $B_\epsilon(x) \not\subseteq D \forall \epsilon > 0$. \square

We also set the following definition: a point $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is on the *boundary* of D iff $\forall \epsilon > 0, B_\epsilon(\vec{x}) \cap D \neq \emptyset$ and $B_\epsilon(\vec{x}) \cup D \neq \emptyset$.

For example, b is the boundary of (a, b) : $B_\epsilon(\vec{x}) = (b - \epsilon, b + \epsilon)$.

Definition: a set is *closed* iff it contains all of its boundary points. For instance, we can take the *closure* of the ball around \vec{x} $B_\epsilon(\vec{x}) = \{\vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \|\vec{x} - \vec{y}\| \leq \epsilon\}$.