Event Description: Q3 2017 Earnings Call

Market Cap: 36,448.08 Current PX: 133.17 YTD Change(\$): +10.75 YTD Change(%): +8.781 Bloomberg Estimates - EPS Current Quarter: 2.622 Current Year: 7.388 Bloomberg Estimates - Sales Current Quarter: 7215.571

Current Year: 28505.667

Q3 2017 Earnings Call

Company Participants

- · Gabriella Nawi
- · Alan D. Schnitzer
- Jay S. Benet
- Brian William MacLean
- · Michael F. Klein

Other Participants

- Kai Pan
- Jay Gelb
- · Randy Binner
- · Ryan J. Tunis
- Amit Kumar
- Elyse B. Greenspan
- Jay A. Cohen
- · Sarah E. DeWitt
- · Meyer Shields
- Brian Meredith

MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION SECTION

Gabriella Nawi

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

Also, in our remarks or responses to questions, we may mention some non-GAAP financial measures

Reconciliations are included in our recent earnings press release, financial supplement, and other materials available in the Investors section on our website, travelers.com

Alan D. Schnitzer

Business Highlights

Net Income

- This morning, we reported third quarter net income of \$293mm or \$1.05 per diluted share and core income of \$253mm or \$0.91 per diluted share
- The fact that we made a considerable profit in one of the costliest hurricane seasons on record demonstrates the earning power of our franchise, which is built on strong foundation of underwriting and investment expertise



Event Description: Q3 2017 Earnings Call

Market Cap: 36,448.08 Current PX: 133.17 YTD Change(\$): +10.75 YTD Change(%): +8.781 Bloomberg Estimates - EPS
Current Quarter: 2.622
Current Year: 7.388
Bloomberg Estimates - Sales
Current Quarter: 7215.571
Current Year: 28505.667

 This is reflected in our manageable catastrophe losses, underlying underwriting gain of \$292mm and after-tax net investment income of \$457mm

Catastrophe Loss

- · Our catastrophe losses were well within what we would expect from the events in the quarter
- · Balancing risk and reward to generate leading results over time is in our DNA
 - While that's evident in our results this quarter, our underwriting discipline would have been even more
 evident if Hurricane Irma had taken the track to Miami and up to East Coast to Florida, which was projected
 just 48 hours before landfall
- Notwithstanding ten years of relatively moderate Atlantic storm activity, our investors should know that we were well positioned for the very real possibility of \$150B industry event
- That's not to say that we'll never have a substantial or even an outsized loss from an event, it's just to say that balancing risk and reward is always front and center for us

Hurricane Katrina and Superstorm Sandy

- Our catastrophe underwriting also incorporates lessons learned over the years from events like Hurricane Katrina and Superstorm Sandy
- Those lessons are reflected in our disciplined approach to terms and conditions, which make outcomes more predictable; risk controlled initiatives which make a difference in risk mitigation, selection and pricing; and proprietary flood underwriting down to the address level

Strategy

- Our experience with the storms this quarter also reaffirms the competitive advantage in our claim model in terms of the value it delivers to our customers and agents and for our shareholders
- Through military-like logistics capabilities and a sophisticated cross-training strategy, we have the capacity to conduct and we did conduct virtually 100% of our claim inspections for Hurricanes Harvey, Irma, and Maria with Travelers employees and that would have been the case even if Irma had taken that East Coast to Florida track, and even in that scenario, our flexible model would have given us capacity to spare

Travelers Claim

- As compared to an independent adjuster, we're confident that a highly trained and committed Travelers Claim
 professional produces a better experience for our customers and a much more efficient outcome for us
- To that point, we were successful this hurricane season in meeting our objective of having the vast majority of our property claims closed within 30 days of the event
- Our response to the storms also included our largest deployment of our drone fleet to date
 - We conducted more than 1,000 inspections with drones, which significantly accelerates the speed and reduces the cost of handling those claims
- · Again, a better outcome for our customers and a more efficient outcome for us
- We own and operate all of our drones and we're on track to have more than 650 drones in regular operation around the country by the middle of next year



Event Description: Q3 2017 Earnings Call

Market Cap: 36,448.08 Current PX: 133.17 YTD Change(\$): +10.75 YTD Change(%): +8.781

Current Quarter: 2.622 Current Year: 7.388 Bloomberg Estimates - Sales Current Quarter: 7215.571 Current Year: 28505.667

Bloomberg Estimates - EPS

Business Insurance

- · Moving beyond catastrophes, we're very pleased with the underlying performance of our businesses
- In Business Insurance, the underlying combined ratio of 96.4% was solid, particularly in light of a high level of non-cat fire related losses
- To a large degree, we believe it was normal period to period volatility

Bond & Specialty

- Bond & Specialty Insurance produced another impressive quarter with an underlying combined ratio of 77.7%
- In Personal Insurance, the underlying results reflect loss trends within expectations, the continued success of
 the pricing and underwriting actions we began implementing in our auto business a year ago and the
 successful performance of our property business
- Notably, our consolidated expense ratio has improved 90BPS YTD
- · The result is maintaining expense discipline while investing strategically
- For example, in Personal Insurance, over the last few years, we've invested in product and marketing
 initiatives, platform improvements and distribution managements, resulting in significant net written premium
 growth

G&A Expense

- In Business Insurance, we're investing in technology and enhancing work flow to be a better partner for our agents and brokers, deliver compelling value for our customers and improve our operating efficiency
- We've made those investments on about flat G&A expense dollars
- We're looking forward to sharing more about these initiatives with you at our Investor Day next month

Net Written Premium Growth

- Turning to the top line, we were very pleased with the success of our marketplace strategies, which resulted in 4% net written premium growth to a record \$6.7B
- Across our commercial businesses, we continue to be successful in achieving historically high retention rates, positive renewal premium change and an increase in new business
- In business units accounting for around two-thirds of our Business Insurance premium, pure rate change in the quarter was higher both y-over-y and sequentially

Personal Auto Business

- In our Personal auto business, our execution was spot on plan and we were again pleased to see continued momentum in our industry-leading homeowners business
- There's been no lack of speculation around the outlook for pricing in the wake of an unprecedented hurricane season, which still had six weeks to go and in the midst of an ongoing unprecedented wildfire season in California



Event Description: Q3 2017 Earnings Call

the market's view of risk

Market Cap: 36,448.08 Current PX: 133.17 YTD Change(\$): +10.75 YTD Change(%): +8.781

Current Quarter: 2.622 Current Year: 7.388 Bloomberg Estimates - Sales Current Quarter: 7215.571

Current Year: 28505.667

Bloomberg Estimates - EPS

Big events impact pricing, when a material amount of industry surplus is eroded and/or when the events change

• It's not hard to make the argument that we've experienced both

U.S

- By some estimates, 10% or more of industry surplus and counting
- The first time more than one Category 4 hurricane has made landfall on the U.S. mainland in one season
- As devastating as it was, a very close call with Irma, and in Q4, forest fires that are burning not in forest but in subdivisions
- And that's not to mention two earthquakes in Mexico and a cyber event impacting more than 140mm U.S. consumers

PCS Cat Event

- And while those events have understandably captured everyone's attention, that's only part of the story
- Interest rates remained at historically low levels
- Loss trend has outpaced rate and exposure for a few years now to a degree that many others in the industry are probably not earning their cost of capital
- And insured losses from PCS cat events in each of the last four quarters have exceeded the ten-year average for that quarter
- I can't predict what the market is going to do, but I will share with you what we're planning to do

Strategy

- As a component of our strategy to manage all the levers available to us to meet our return objectives, for more than a year now, we've been discussing our efforts to improve renewal rate change
- And for more than a year now, we've been making incremental, but steady progress
- The circumstances I've just described strengthen our resolve
 - We'll seek rate thoughtfully and in close coordination with our distribution partners
- And in that regard, we believe our customers are well served by a stable and predictable market that keeps pace with inflation as opposed to the higher pricing swings of the past
- · Two final comments before I pass the microphone to Jay
- First, I want to add my voice in support of the corporate tax reform efforts in Washington
- As a country, addressing the corporate tax rate is important for enabling U.S. businesses to compete more effectively at home and abroad against our foreign counterparts

Tax Rate

• Beyond just the tax rate, we were particularly pleased to see that the Big Six framework for tax reform promotes leveling the playing field between U.S. and foreign companies



Event Description: Q3 2017 Earnings Call

Market Cap: 36,448.08 Current PX: 133.17 YTD Change(\$): +10.75 YTD Change(%): +8.781 Bloomberg Estimates - EPS Current Quarter: 2.622 Current Year: 7.388 Bloomberg Estimates - Sales Current Quarter: 7215.571

Current Year: 28505.667

- In addition to contributing to the country's tax base, it's important in addressing the decades-long streak of U.S.-based insurance companies losing U.S. market share and American jobs to offshore companies
- Case in point, \$2.5B transaction announced just yesterday

Summary

And finally, I'd like to thank our Claim organization for an extraordinary effort over these past few months

They've certainly risen to the occasion

It's an understatement to say that events like Harvey, Irma, and Maria and others that have been in the news are devastating, and our thoughts and prayers are with all those who have been impacted

Events like those serve as reminders that in the end, we sell a promise

We work hard to make sure that our agents and brokers are proud to sell that promise and that at every
opportunity we demonstrate the value of that promise to our customers who buy it

Jay S. Benet

Financial Highlights

Core Income and ROE

- Core income was \$253mm, down from \$701mm in the prior-year quarter
- And core ROE was 4.5%, down from 12.5%
- As in H1, these reductions in core income and core ROE were not driven by fundamental changes in our underlying performance
- · Rather, they were reflective of the high level of cat activity this quarter

Underwriting Results

- Beginning with underwriting results, our underlying underwriting gain remained strong, as evidenced by our 92.8% underlying combined ratio, which was 0.7 points higher than the prior-year quarter
- This slight increase was primarily due to a relatively high level of non-cat fire-related losses in Business Insurance this quarter

Hurricane Harvey

- Catastrophe losses were \$455mm after-tax compared to only \$58mm in the prior-year quarter
- This increase of \$397mm was driven by estimated losses from Hurricane Harvey of \$319mm pre-tax or \$207mm after-tax, Hurricane Irma of \$242mm pre-tax or \$157mm after-tax, and Hurricane Maria of \$82mm pre-tax or \$54mm after-tax

Net Investment Income

Event Description: Q3 2017 Earnings Call

Market Cap: 36,448.08 Current PX: 133.17 YTD Change(\$): +10.75 YTD Change(%): +8.781

Current Quarter: 2.622 Current Year: 7.388 Bloomberg Estimates - Sales Current Quarter: 7215.571 Current Year: 28505.667

Bloomberg Estimates - EPS

- Net favorable prior-year reserve development, which I'll discuss in more detail shortly, was \$10mm after-tax, down from \$27mm in the prior-year quarter
- Net investment income of \$457mm after-tax continued to be strong, although down \$15mm from the prior-year quarter
- Fixed income NII, as anticipated, was lower by \$20mm after-tax due to the continued low interest rate environment
 - While non-fixed income NII increased by \$5mm after-tax due to the strong private equity returns

Fixed Income Portfolio

• Interestingly, when we look at the long-term bonds we hold that are maturing through the end of next year along with growth in the size of our fixed income portfolio and higher short-term interest rates, our outlook for after-tax fixed income NII during the remainder of 2017 and into 2018 is only slightly lower, \$10mm or less quarter over quarter, as compared to the corresponding quarters in 2016 and 2017, an improvement from what we've been experiencing in recent years

Business Insurance

- Consolidated net favorable prior-year reserve development was \$15mm pre-tax compared to \$39mm in the prior-year quarter
- Business Insurance's net favorable reserve development was \$9mm pre-tax compared to \$4mm in the prior-year quarter, including an increase to asbestos reserves of \$225mm pre-tax, the same amount as in the prior-year quarter
- Excluding the asbestos reserve increase, BI's net favorable reserve development was \$234mm pre-tax, primarily
 driven by better than expected loss experience in domestic workers' comp and GL, partially offset by higher than
 expected loss experience in domestic commercial auto

Costs

- As has been the case in recent years, the asbestos reserve increase, which related to a broad number of
 policyholders, was driven by higher estimates for projected settlement and defense costs for mesothelioma claims
 than we had previously assumed
- Notwithstanding these higher cost estimates, the underlying asbestos environment remained essentially unchanged from recent periods as compared to our expectation that the environment would improve

Asbestos Environment

As you may recall, the current asbestos environment, which has continued to result in periodic reserve
strengthening for us and the industry, is still much improved from the high severity environment of 10 to 15 years
ago when risks from pre-packaged bankruptcies, direct actions filed against insurers, and products/non-products
coverage litigation among others led to very expensive settlement activity and a high degree of uncertainty in
terms of the outlook

Center for Disease Control

Date: 2017-10-19

Event Description: Q3 2017 Earnings Call

Market Cap: 36,448.08 Current PX: 133.17 YTD Change(\$): +10.75

YTD Change(%): +8.781

Bloomberg Estimates - EPS Current Quarter: 2.622 Current Year: 7.388 Bloomberg Estimates - Sales

Current Quarter: 7215.571 Current Year: 28505.667

- The asbestos environment in more recent years has been frequency driven, the result of people developing mesothelioma and unfortunately dying soon thereafter
- Interestingly, the most recent data from the Center for Disease Control, which we've included on page 19 of the webcast, shows deaths from mesothelioma in 2015, the most recent data available, was 7.5% lower than in 2014
- And importantly, the age distribution of these deaths has shifted towards higher age groups, possibly due to more people who worked with asbestos prior to the mid to late 1970s, the high usage years, "living into the disease."

Mesothelioma

- What that means is that recent medical advances that have successfully treated a variety of other deadly
 diseases seem to have enabled more people in this highly exposed cohort to live well into their 70s and 80s
 and then develop and die from mesothelioma
- As nature takes its course, this high-risk cohort will get smaller over time
- · And accordingly, we continue to expect the currently high frequency claim environment to improve over time

Bond & Specialty

- Bond & Specialty's net favorable development was \$6mm pre-tax compared to \$46mm in the prior-year quarter, while Personal Insurance had no reserve development compared to \$11mm of unfavorable development in the prior-year quarter
- Excluding A&E, YTD on a combined stat Schedule P basis for all of our subsidiaries, all accident years across all
 product lines in the aggregate developed favorably and other than some modest reserve strengthening for
 commercial auto, all product lines across all accident years in the aggregate developed favorably or had de
 minimis unfavorable development

Operating Cash Flow

- Operating cash flows of over \$1.6B was very strong and we ended the quarter with holding company liquidity of almost \$2B, up from \$1.7B at the beginning of the year
- This higher than normal level of holding company liquidity, provides funding for our \$450mm of senior notes that mature in December

Capital Ratios

- All of our capital ratios were at or better than target levels, net unrealized gains were \$1.5B pre-tax or \$1B after-tax, up from \$1.1B and \$0.7B respectively at the beginning of the year, while book value per share of \$86.73 and adjusted book value per share of \$83.06 increased over 4% and 3% respectively from the beginning of the year
- Despite the high level of cat losses, we returned \$528mm of capital to our shareholders this quarter through dividends of \$200mm and share repurchases of \$328mm, bringing total capital return to shareholders to \$1.68B YTD

Ongoing Capital Management Strategy



Event Description: Q3 2017 Earnings Call

Market Cap: 36,448.08 Current PX: 133.17 YTD Change(\$): +10.75 YTD Change(%): +8.781 Bloomberg Estimates - EPS
Current Quarter: 2.622
Current Year: 7.388
Bloomberg Estimates - Sales
Current Quarter: 7215.571
Current Year: 28505.667

- As we've stated for many years and repeated in this quarter's 10-Q, the timing and actual number of shares we
 will purchase in the future will depend on earnings among a variety of other factors
- So consistent with our ongoing capital management strategy, we're keeping our eyes on the California wildfires, which we expect will be a significant cat for us as we evaluate share repurchase activity for Q4

Brian William MacLean

Operating Highlights

Business Insurance

- Starting with this quarter's results in Business Insurance, segment income of \$105mm and the combined ratio of 109.8% were both impacted by the significant catastrophe events in the quarter
- The underlying combined ratio of 96.4% was 2.3 points higher than Q3 2016 with the underlying loss ratio increasing 3.4 points
- The quarterly loss ratio was impacted by an unusually high number of large non-cat property, primarily fire losses and so the YTD results are better indication of how the business is performing

YTD Basis

- On the YTD basis, the underlying loss ratio is up 1.7 points, 70BPS of which is due to the large loss volatility I just mentioned, with the remaining about a 1 point driven by loss trend in excessive earned pricing
- For the quarter, the underlying expense ratio decreased 1.1 points y-over-y
- As with the loss ratio, there were normal fluctuations impacting the quarterly comparison
- The YTD decline of about a 0.5 point is a better indication of our current run rate with the decline driven by both growing earned premium and slightly lower G&A expense dollars

Net Written Premium

- Net written premiums of \$3.4B for the quarter were up more than a 1-point y-over-y with domestic net written premiums up about 2% driven by strong production results in Middle Market
- International net written premiums were down 3% driven by reinsurance reinstatement premiums related to the cats that occurred in the quarter
- Excluding the impact of reinstatements, international was up 1%

Domestic Production

- Turning to domestic production, we were pleased that retention for the quarter of 85% remained at a historically high level
- Renewal premium change was strong at about 3 points in the quarter with rate change that was up slightly from last quarter and up a 0.5 point from a year ago
- New business of \$434mm was up 6% vs. the prior-year



Date: 2017-10-19

Event Description: Q3 2017 Earnings Call

Market Cap: 36,448.08 Current PX: 133.17 YTD Change(\$): +10.75

YTD Change(%): +8.781

Bloomberg Estimates - EPS Current Quarter: 2.622 Current Year: 7.388

Bloomberg Estimates - Sales Current Quarter: 7215.571 Current Year: 28505.667

Middle Market

- Looking at the individual businesses, I'll begin with Select where production statistics remained strong
- With retention for the quarter of 83%, renewal premium change was nearly 5 points, while new business premiums of \$98mm were up 4% y-over-y
- In Middle Market, our results reflect consistent performance in the marketplace as demonstrated by another quarter of strong retention at 87%
- Renewal premium change of 3 points was down slightly from Q2 due to lower exposure growth, while rate increases of nearly a 1 point were up y-over-y and in line with Q2

Investments

- New business of \$267mm was up 12% vs. the prior-year quarter
- We attribute a large portion of this to great execution in the market by our field organization, particularly as it relates to larger accounts in industry segments that we like
- We're also encouraged by how our investments in technology are enabling our underwriters to be more active in the market
 - We'll talk more about that at our upcoming Investor Day

Marketplace Strategy

- So all in, a quarter that was significantly impacted by the weather and we were pleased with our execution in the marketplace
- Our fundamental marketplace strategy remains unchanged
- · We seek to retain our best performing business while thoughtfully managing the profitability of the book
- Importantly, the market and environmental circumstances that Alan outlined earlier further support our ability to successfully execute on this strategy

Bond & Specialty Insurance

- I'll now turn to Bond & Specialty Insurance where segment income for the quarter was strong at \$136mm though down somewhat from the prior-year quarter due to a lower level of net favorable prior-year reserve development
- The underlying combined ratio improved nearly a 1 point from the prior-year quarter, to under 78%
- As to the top line, net written premiums for the quarter were up 2%, reflecting growth in both our domestic management liability and international businesses, with the international growth driven by strong production in both our Canadian surety and UK management liability businesses

Domestic Management Liability Business

Turning to production in our domestic management liability businesses, we continue to execute our strategy of
growing our profitable portfolio by retaining our best performing accounts and writing new business in return
adequate product segments



Event Description: Q3 2017 Earnings Call

Market Cap: 36,448.08 Current PX: 133.17 YTD Change(\$): +10.75 YTD Change(%): +8.781 Bloomberg Estimates - EPS
Current Quarter: 2.622
Current Year: 7.388
Bloomberg Estimates - Sales
Current Quarter: 7215.571
Current Year: 28505.667

So, we couldn't be more pleased that retention came in at a record high of 89% for the quarter, the fourth consecutive quarter of 88% or better, while new business was up slightly from Q3 last year

Renewal Premium

- Renewal premium change of 3.5 points was up slightly from Q2 due to a modest increase in exposure growth
- So, Bond & Specialty results remain terrific and we continue to feel great about the segment's performance and our market positions

Personal Insurance

- Turning to Personal Insurance, net written premiums for the segment grew 9% in the quarter with more than half of that growth coming from higher pricing
- Despite 8.7 points of catastrophe losses in the quarter, Personal Insurance generated \$77mm of segment income with a third quarter combined ratio of 99.7%
- The underlying combined ratio of 91% improved about 1.5 points y-over-y
- The domestic agency auto combined ratio for the quarter was 106% and included 7.2 points of cat losses, far above our normal third quarter auto cat load expectation of about a 0.5 point

Operating Expenses

- Compared to Q3 2016, the underlying combined ratio is down 2.3 points
- As you can see on page 15 of the webcast, 1.3 points of the decrease is due to continued improvement in the expense ratio, as we leverage a consistent level of operating expenses over a larger premium base
- The remaining 1 point of decrease is primarily due to the fact that Q3 2016 loss ratio included the YTD impact of the bodily injury severity re-estimation

Renewal Premium Change

- As we discussed in previous calls, in response to higher bodily injury loss levels, we are taking actions to improve profitability, most notably by improving the pricing of the book
- As a result, renewal premium change increased from 7.9% in Q2 to 9.5% in Q3, reaching 10.5% for the month
 of September
 - We expect that renewal premium change will remain in double-digits for Q4
- And as we told you previously, by year-end we will have obtained enough rate on a written basis to address
 the elevated bodily injury loss levels
- Retention declined modestly in the quarter as expected, but remained strong by historical standards even as we implement meaningful price increases to the book
 - PIF growth has decelerated but remained positive driven by lower levels of new business
- · Importantly, we remain on track to achieving the goals we laid out for the auto book back in January

Agency Homeowners and Other



Date: 2017-10-19

Event Description: Q3 2017 Earnings Call

Market Cap: 36,448.08 Current PX: 133.17 YTD Change(\$): +10.75

YTD Change(%): +8.781

Bloomberg Estimates - EPS Current Quarter: 2.622 Current Year: 7.388 Bloomberg Estimates - Sales

Current Quarter: 7215.571 Current Year: 28505.667

- · Turning to Agency Homeowners and Other
- The combined ratio of 90.3% for the quarter reflects 12.2 points of cat losses
- The underlying combined ratio of 78.1% was in line with Q3 2016 as net trend was offset by the impact of volume on the expense ratio
- Homeowners net written premiums increased 5% in the quarter, somewhat accelerating the momentum we have experienced in recent quarters

Process and Technology

- As a reminder, we have made a concerted effort to generate growth in this profitable line even as we moderate the growth in auto
- Process and technology improvements have made it easier for agents and brokers to do business with us, and
 we have focused on adding more property oriented distribution partners and increasing our average number of
 policies per customer

Summary

Overall in Personal Insurance, setting aside the significant impact of catastrophe losses in the quarter, both the Agency Auto and homeowners results were in line with our expectations, and both lines continued to make good progress towards the goals we laid out at the end of last year

So stepping back and looking at across the franchise, while the headline for the quarter is obviously the cats, we feel very good about our underlying results

Considering our significant competitive advantages, the investments that we're making to extend them and to develop additional advantages, and our ability to execute, we believe each of our businesses is positioned for continued success

OUESTION AND ANSWER SECTION

<Q - Kai Pan>: My first question is on the outlook for the core combined ratio for the Business Insurance segments. In your 10-Q, you said broadly consistent into 2018. Could you just walk us through the components of it in terms of your core loss ratio deterioration and also potential normalization of non-cat losses as well as the improvements in the expense ratio? And does your forecast include a property and casualty better pricing outlook?

< A - Alan D. Schnitzer>: Kai, good morning. It's Alan. Thanks for the question. Let me start with it. We're going to try not to – in the outlook, try to break these down between the components of loss and expense. We give you a sense as best we can, looking at our crystal ball, which is never perfect and the world is never going to turn out exactly as we thought. But we try to give you as good a sense as we can on the overall profitability by segment.

In terms of the rate in particular, you can see the written rate that we've been getting, and obviously you can see, for example, in BI quarter over quarter. And so that will, of course, earn in and that will be a component of what gets reflected in our outlook, as will the impact of the expense ratio that you see in the nine months. But we're going to try not to break it down between the loss and expense ratio components.

<Q - Kai Pan>: Okay. My follow-up question on the reserve side, there are actually two components to that. One is on asbestos. You've been taking charge about \$200mm a year for many years that we know. And so why don't you take a big charge up front? Or are you considering reinsurance transaction? The commercial auto side was driving that. And is that like – do you take out – increase your current year accident year loss take on the commercial auto line as well? Thanks.

Date: 2017-10-19

Event Description: Q3 2017 Earnings Call

Market Cap: 36,448.08 Current PX: 133.17

YTD Change(\$): +10.75 YTD Change(%): +8.781 Bloomberg Estimates - EPS
Current Quarter: 2.622
Current Year: 7.388
Bloomberg Estimates - Sales
Current Quarter: 7215.571

Current Quarter: 7215.57 Current Year: 28505.667

<A - Alan D. Schnitzer>: Let me start with the auto side, and then I'll ask Jay Benet to comment on the reserves. On the auto, we've been seeing and talking about some severity on the commercial auto side for some time, and we've been addressing it. We view this as a tweak to those reserves in prior-year periods and think about it's \$40B reserve increase on a couple or \$2B or \$3B reserve base – billion, \$2B to \$3B reserve base. So we look at that and we don't overreact to it. It's just the normal process every quarter we go through, and we look at our reserves and look at the trends in the cases we've got out there and true it up. So it's not anything that has to any significant degree impacted our view of loss trends or what's happening in the current year period. Jay, do you want to address the asbestos?

<A - Jay S. Benet>: I think as it relates to the asbestos, like every other reserve, we take our best shot, looking at all the data we have available at points in time to evaluate what the reserve should be. And as part of that, we're making assumptions as to what the future looks like with regard to the level of activity that will take place in terms of meso deaths and also look at what kind of settlement costs or litigation costs we face, and come up with, as I said, our best estimate. And what's been taking place over the last several years, as we said in our description of the environment, is the environment really hasn't changed very much, but the assumptions we've made that possibly would lead to a falloff in the amount of activity just haven't borne out.

So there's nothing about our reserve increase that reflects a dramatically changing environment, and we thought we'd add some color to it this quarter with regard to this page we added to the webcast as it relates to what's been taking place in the various cohorts associated with mesothelioma deaths, and we'll see what happens in the future. But as it relates to making any change in process or whatever, we didn't think that was appropriate.

- <A Alan D. Schnitzer>: And, Kai, as it relates to the reinsurance, you asked that as well, we certainly try to evaluate as best we can from the outside every deal we see announced by others, and we are talking to the same people that are on the other side of those transactions evaluating them for us, so no lack of analysis on our end or looking into it. If we found a deal that we thought really made a difference from an economic perspective, we would certainly be interested in that. We certainly see transactions that provide some limited duration benefit in terms of the GAAP accounting. But when we look at it from an economic basis and you get down to the cash flows, we just haven't seen one yet that makes sense for us.
- <Q Jay Gelb>: I was hoping to touch base on the Business Insurance outlook for commercial insurance pricing. Alan, I believe in your commentary, you talked about seeking thoughtful rate increases in conjunction with your distribution partners, and keeping pricing ahead of loss cost inflation. Given all of the factors that we've seen driving up large losses in the current quarter and into Q4, can you talk a little bit more in terms of the outlook there?
- <A Alan D. Schnitzer>: Yes. We've been saying for some time, Jay, that for over a year now, as we look at our book and we look down the road out at the horizon that we're going to need to improve written rate to meet our return objectives as part of our overall strategy. And we've been doing that for some time, and you can see we've had some incremental but steady success in it. And when we look at the factors, when we look at the weather we had in Q3, when we look at some of the other things going on in the world, that's what's captured everyone's attention. I actually don't think that's the bigger part of the story.

I think the bigger part of the story are the underlying dynamics that are driving the overall margins and profitability in this business. And when we look at that and we look at the accounts that we have, we say it's time to move a little bit. And we're not executing towards a headline number. You take all our accounts – we take all our accounts and we segment them very thoughtfully. And for some time, we've been getting rate in excess of loss trend on the accounts that are most return challenged for us, and we're getting to a point where our need to get rate is extending up the continuum. And that's reflected in the fact that this quarter we got rate on a higher percentage of our accounts than we did last quarter and the quarter before that, et cetera, et cetera, and it's been our intention to lean into that. And the events of this quarter and the overall circumstances that I've described cause us to say we're going to lean into it a little bit more, and we feel a little bit more confident in our ability to achieve it.

<Q - Jay Gelb>: Makes sense, thanks. And then my follow-up is on Personal Auto. The underlying combined ratio for auto was improved to around 99%, and I believe last quarter the company was talking about a longer-term target of 96% to 98% plus some tenure impact. Clearly, you're getting closer there. How soon before you think we can get into

Bloomberg

Date: 2017-10-19

Event Description: Q3 2017 Earnings Call

Market Cap: 36,448.08 Current PX: 133.17 YTD Change(\$): +10.75

YTD Change(%): +8.781

Bloomberg Estimates - EPS Current Quarter: 2.622 Current Year: 7.388 Bloomberg Estimates - Sales Current Quarter: 7215.571

Current Year: 28505.667

the range?

< A - Michael F. Klein>: Hey, Jay. This is Michael Klein from Personal Insurance. Thanks for the question. And first, thanks for noticing that the underlying combined ratio was below 100 and actually improved year on year. That's actually the first time in a while that that's been the case.

Anyhow, Brian gave the main drivers of the q-over-q change. I think to your question, what's the longer-term outlook, we think really there are two or three really key questions there. One is where are we in terms of pricing for the increased bodily injury loss estimates that we recognized in the back half of last year. The second is, is tenure playing out the way we anticipated. And then the third really is at the core of your question, what's our outlook for Personal Auto profitability, and I'll just take those three real quickly in turn.

Brian did mention, we'll have achieved enough rate on a written basis by the end of 2017 to cover the increased level of bodily injury losses. That's on a written basis. So on an earned basis, we remain on track to have done that by the end of '18, which is consistent with that 18 to 24-month timeframe that we laid out at the beginning of the year.

With respect to tenure, just a couple comments. One, it's important to note that the reason we spiked that out initially was that it had an outsized impact on these kind of period-to-period comparisons due to the elevated levels of new business that we were writing. As we've talked about, the impact of tenure from one period to the next, the delta that it drives dissipates as new business levels return to normal and as the portfolio matures, which is what's begun to happen in 2017. So from this point forward, tenure becomes less of an anomaly quarter over quarter and just one of many factors that you need to think about as you look at our results. I think what's most important to take away this quarter as respect to Personal Auto is we have begun to turn the corner on profitability.

Earned rate is exceeding loss trend, and it's combining with underwriting and process changes, growth, and expense ratio reduction to drive that improving underlying profitability that you see. As noted in our outlook, we expect underlying profitability in Agency Auto to improve over the next four quarters relative to the comparable prior periods. I would remind you given we're going into Q4 that fourth quarter is a relatively high combined ratio period from a seasonality perspective. So you've got to sort of factor that into your overall evaluation. But, at the end of the day, we feel good about the progress we're making towards our target combined ratio and that progress will continue through 2018 and into 2019.

- <Q Randy Binner>: I had a question on the cat losses coming in quite a bit lower, particularly with Harvey kind of coming in below the previously guided range. What changed there so dramatically? Did it have to do with the number of total vehicles or your ability to close claims as you commented earlier in the call? Just trying to get a sense of kind of what improved and how settled the claim activity there is on Harvey and the other hurricanes.
- <A Alan D. Schnitzer>: Yeah, Randy, it's Alan. I'll start and then maybe Jay will have something to add, but the only reason that we gave a view on Harvey is because we were speaking at a conference that wasn't FD compliance, and we wanted to talk about it at that conference, and so we made a disclosure about it. That was relatively near to the event, and so there was a relatively higher degree of uncertainty around our losses at that point. And so the range we gave reflected what we thought and all the information and uncertainty we had at the time. And we've had a significant amount of time elapse from then to now. We've had the opportunity to inspect virtually all of our claims and as I said, the vast majority of our property claims are closed. Not that there's no uncertainty left, but we feel much better from a certainty perspective and are confident in the number we put up. So I would really say nothing dramatic changed. It was the passage of time that gave us more insight into the losses.
- **<Q Randy Binner>**: Well, given that comfort, and would buyback be able to resume maybe earlier than would have been the case if there was more demand surge or other aspects making the tail longer on the claims?
- <A Alan D. Schnitzer>: Yeah, in terms of the storms in Q3, we ended Q3 with our balance sheet in very strong shape, so there's nothing from Q3 where we feel like we've got to build back a capital deficit to any degree at all. So Q4 will be business in usual in the sense that we'll look at what our earnings are expected to be and we'll consider buybacks accordingly. As Jay said in his prepared remarks, the wildfires are out there. That's an ongoing event that will be significant for us and so we'll evaluate that and our fourth quarter outlook for earnings as we make decisions about



Date: 2017-10-19

Event Description: Q3 2017 Earnings Call

Market Cap: 36,448.08 Current PX: 133.17 YTD Change(\$): +10.75

YTD Change(%): +8.781

Bloomberg Estimates - EPS
Current Quarter: 2.622
Current Year: 7.388
Bloomberg Estimates - Sales
Current Quarter: 7215.571

Current Year: 28505.667

buybacks.

<Q - Ryan J. Tunis>: I just had a couple I think for Brian. I guess the first one is if you were to just look at accounts where there were Q3 property losses on the Business Insurance side or maybe those that didn't even have losses but were in loss affected geographies. What's been the conversation I guess so far with renewals? What's been the magnitude of rate increases or how those conversations have gone? Thanks.

<A - Brian William MacLean>: And I missed a little bit of the beginning. You said accounts of 3.2 on the – Q3, yeah.

<Q - Ryan J. Tunis>: In Q3, yeah.

< A - Brian William MacLean>: Yeah, so I think some of the stuff is obvious. In the really cat-exposed areas, the conversations are very clear. The perspective on the flood risk, the exposure to the wind, we were reminded once again of what those are, so there's more momentum there.

But I think it's more what Alan said in his opening, the overall environment of what we've been seeing in property. Two or three years ago, we were saying that the line was in very healthy condition and although experiencing some price decreases. The largest price decreases we were seeing in the book a couple of years ago, we thought it was a healthy line and we'd manage it. A couple years later, that compounding has impacted the core profitability, so we're looking at that.

We're also seeing a little bit of inflation running through the book of business and that is in the labor and materials side, a number that wouldn't even be big enough to warrant a comment other than the fact that we're beginning to see the line is already under some pressure and the potential for demand surge out of all the cat activity is making that more dramatic. So a bunch of stuff going on in the line today and we think there's an opportunity to improve the profitability there.

- <**Q Ryan J. Tunis>**: Okay, I guess my follow-up, I was just thinking about just the potential for further favorable or unfavorable developments from these events. I noticed in the Q that the commercial property IBNR looked like it was up about \$300mm quarter over quarter. I guess just as you think about the losses you've reported, where do you see, I guess, the greatest potential for uncertainty from here on out? Thanks.
- <A Jay S. Benet>: Any time you have large cats, you're settling claims quickly, but generally, those claims that you're settling are the more straightforward ones, so when you look at the level of IBNR, there remains a great deal of uncertainty associated with the cat losses, so we've taken our best shot based on all the information that we have available and we can't predict whether that will actually be higher or lower than what this will ultimately come out to be. I think we have a good track record of being fairly good at these estimates, but there is a lot of IBNR at this point in time.
- <Q Amit Kumar>: Two questions if I might. The first question goes back to the discussion on pricing. If I were to take that comment and sort of flip it towards the reinsurance side, how do you expect those discussions with their reinsurers to go? Do you expect to sort of hold the line on pricing based on your outlook or just maybe help us understand that equation a bit better?
- <A Alan D. Schnitzer>: Amit, I don't think we've got a lot of insight to give you on that. Obviously, we'll be thinking about it over the coming weeks and months. And I guess one reason we're not particularly preoccupied by it is, we don't buy all that much reinsurance. We're a gross line underwriter. We like our underwriting and so we don't buy that much. So on a relative basis, we're less impacted by that. I would hope that our thoughtful underwriting, as was demonstrated in these storms, would factor into whatever pricing we negotiate when it comes up, but it's just not something we spend a lot of time wringing our hands over.
- <Q Amit Kumar>: Got it. That's a fair comment. Switching back to the discussion on Business Insurance, if you look at the mix of BI, 50% of the book is comp, international, and GL. Do you think these cat losses give you an ability to enforce some incremental rate action in the non-cat impacted lines or do you think that these somewhat get relegated to the exposed lines at this juncture?

Date: 2017-10-19

Event Description: Q3 2017 Earnings Call

Market Cap: 36,448.08 Current PX: 133.17 YTD Change(\$): +10.75

YTD Change(%): +8.781

Bloomberg Estimates - EPS Current Quarter: 2.622 Current Year: 7.388 Bloomberg Estimates - Sales Current Quarter: 7215.571

Current Year: 28505.667

<A - Alan D. Schnitzer>: Amit, you've got commercial auto on one end of the spectrum. You've got workers comp on the other end of the spectrum, and everything else falls in the middle in terms of where it is, in terms of rate adequacy. But what I would tell you though and one of the reasons why in my prepared remarks I was so deliberate about talking not just about the storms that have captured everyone's attention, but about the other underlying factors in the business is those are real. Things like where interest rates are, things like what weather has done, we've got pricing and loss trend over several years that that impacts all the lines, so our pricing objectives I should say go beyond the property line, really extend across all our lines and all our geographies. So we're not thinking of this as property related or coastal related. We're thinking about it much more broadly.

- <Q Elyse B. Greenspan>: My first question, I'm just trying to get a feel as we think about flood risk here, obviously a big component of the Harvey loss. How much of flood-related risk came through your commercial lines exposure. And as you think about going forward, is there an opportunity both on the commercial line side and then also maybe depending upon what happens with the National Flood Program to potentially write more flood risk both within your commercial and Personal lines businesses?
- < A Alan D. Schnitzer>: Elyse, it's Alan. I'll start and see if Brian's got anything to add, but Harvey was by and large a flooding event for us and as it turns out, our underwriting held up and we feel good about it. We have a pretty good market share in the state, and our losses we think are reflective of the fact that we do have proprietary address-by-address flood underwriting that really stood up to it. And so we feel good about it.

Whether there's incremental opportunity, after every one of these big events, we take a step back, and we think about how we feel about our exposures and how we feel about opportunity, and what the lessons learned are from that event and how we apply those to exposure elsewhere. So we will be going through a post-mortem process in assessing how we feel about our coastal flood exposure. And we may look at that and say, boy, we could take on a little bit more. We may look at it and say we're just right, or we may look at it and say we'd like a little bit less, and we're in that process. But it could be if we find more opportunity there.

On the Personal line side, I think that's a much more complicated question and you get into the NFIP. It's really hard to compete with the government program that prices at the discount that it does and as long as there's that program out there, => pricing at a discount to actuarially sound rates, it's not going to be an opportunity for us or anybody.

- <Q Elyse B. Greenspan>: Okay, and then my second question. I know earlier on the call you guys did mention that the California fires would be a significant loss. How do we think through the losses there and the potential business interruption claims from some of the wineries that are obviously very significantly impacted from this event, any color you can just give there? Thank you.
- < A Alan D. Schnitzer>: Yeah. We're as interested in it as you are. I would say it's early. The event is ongoing and it's hard for us to give a sense right now. So I'm not sure what else to add there.
- **Q Jay A. Cohen>**: Most of my questions have been answered, just one quick follow-up. The elevated fire losses on the Business Insurance segment, can you talk about or quantify what the impact was in the quarter on the loss ratio?
- < A Alan D. Schnitzer>: Yeah. In the quarter, Jay, think around 2 points.
- <Q Jay A. Cohen>: Got it. That's really helpful, Alan. Thank you.
- < A Alan D. Schnitzer>: Maybe a tick higher, but 2 points, 2.5 points something like that.
- <Q Sarah E. DeWitt>: Just following up on the pricing discussion, when you talk about pushing prices to keep pace with loss trends, should we interpret that as Business Insurance renewal rates should move closer to about 2% vs. the 1% right now?
- < A Alan D. Schnitzer>: First, good morning, Sarah. Thanks for the question. I think that's a broader brush than we think about it. I think what you should take away is more than the 1% you see now. We talk about loss trend being 4%, and we'd like to get to a point where we've got rate and the components of exposure that behaves like rate offsetting inflation and maybe even improving from there.



Date: 2017-10-19

Event Description: Q3 2017 Earnings Call

Market Cap: 36,448.08 Current PX: 133.17 YTD Change(\$): +10.75

YTD Change(%): +8.781

Bloomberg Estimates - EPS
Current Quarter: 2.622
Current Year: 7.388
Bloomberg Estimates - Sales
Current Quarter: 7215.571

Current Quarter: 7215.57 Current Year: 28505.667

But the other thing I would tell you is we're intent on doing this in a way that is thoughtful and controlled and in close partnership with our agents and brokers and doing it in a way that isn't disruptive to our customers, and we think that's actually a much better model for them. So this isn't anything we need to achieve tomorrow or next week or all in one fell swoop next quarter. This is going to be a gradual process over time.

- <Q Sarah E. DeWitt>: Sure, great. That's makes sense. And just when you say the loss trend being 4%, is that what you're actually realizing right now or is that what you're booking your reserves out looking at a longer-term trend? I thought maybe more recently you've been coming in lower than that.
- < A Alan D. Schnitzer>: The 4% is a broad number, and it covers our whole Business Insurance premium base. So obviously, there's variation among the products and businesses within that. And the fact that we've had as much PYD as we've had over recent years suggest that it's come in lower than that. We don't in terms of our pricing expect that that's going to continue forever. We expect that over time loss trend is going to return to a longer-term average, and so our longer-term outlook continues to be 4%. But again, that's a very broad observation.
- < Q Meyer Shields>: How does the fact that people are living into the asbestos-related diseases impact your thinking about permanent injuries on the workers compensation side?
- <A Gabriella Nawi>: Say it again, Meyer.
- <**Q Meyer Shields>**: Okay. So on the asbestos side, one of the factors driving the adverse reserve development is the fact that people are living longer, so asbestos-related diseases are manifesting themselves there. There are claims associated with that. I'm wondering if people are living longer, how is that impacting workers compensation reserving?
- <a href="<"><A Brian William MacLean: So this is Brian. I'll take a shot. So obviously, we are factoring in to everything we look at in workers' comp and permanent injuries, lifetime injuries we're looking at the actuarial life expectancies. And given injuries that the workers have, what is their lifespan. And no question that advances in medicine in general have extended that period over time. So I think it's a lot of the same information, but that's been embedded in our numbers forever, really. We've always looked at it that way. So I don't think there's anything fundamentally new there.
- <Q Meyer Shields>: Okay, and then one small question I guess. Within BI there's \$21mm expense for non-insurance G&A. I was wondering if you could talk to what is going on there and what that is.
- < A Brian William MacLean>: What you say we closed the acquisition of Simply Business this quarter. So what you're going to start seeing is the G&A expense is going to be influenced by what's taking place with regard to their expense base. And from the other side of the coin, the other revenue line is where their revenue numbers are getting consolidated. So that will just work its way through our consolidated results going forward.
- <Q Meyer Shields>: Okay. Is this is good run rate, the \$21mm of expenses?
- < A Brian William MacLean>: We acquired them. We closed on the acquisition in August, so it's not quite a full quarter.
- <Q Meyer Shields>: Okay.
- < A Alan D. Schnitzer>: I'm also not sure that on such a small component that we're necessarily going to give a perspective on a run rate expense for a strategic initiative like that. It's all factored into our outlook in margins for the segment.
- < A Brian William MacLean>: There's also cost associated with the acquisition itself that are flowing through that, so I wouldn't look at it at this point as a run rate but you can develop it over time. I think ultimately, what you'll see is if you look at what's taking place with regard to revenues vs. expenses, in total it's not a big delta.
- **Q Brian Meredith>**: First question, I think for Michael. On the Personal auto business, is there a way to kind of a dissect it where you can give us a perspective of how much the improved frequency the industry is seeing that's benefiting you vs. what's happening with 10-year and some of the other underwriting initiatives that's happening?



Date: 2017-10-19

Event Description: Q3 2017 Earnings Call

Market Cap: 36,448.08 Current PX: 133.17 YTD Change(\$): +10.75

YTD Change(%): +8.781

Bloomberg Estimates - EPS Current Quarter: 2.622 Current Year: 7.388 Bloomberg Estimates - Sales

Current Quarter: 7215.571 Current Year: 28505.667

<A - Alan D. Schnitzer>: Sure, Brian. I would say as respect to the industry trend information, we've seen Q2 ISO Fast Track data, taking a look at it. I would make I think the same comment we typically make about the data which is it's paid data. It's an interesting data point, it's certainly not complete.

But as we look at the ISO Fast Track data, we look at our own trend experience, we think those results are relatively consistent with what we're seeing. As I mentioned in the earlier question sort of dissecting the components, not a lot of – and I think I mentioned this. I mean, as you think about all the dimensions underneath the underlying combined ratio, there's not really any significant change in any of them this quarter.

- <Q Brian Meredith>: Okay, great. And then my second question just curious you mentioned the cyber loss obviously with Equifax upfront and there has been some others. Any change in kind of your view of the cyber business here as a result of what's going on? What does the market kind of look like right now for cyber? Is that becoming more an attractive growth area at this point?
- < A Alan D. Schnitzer>: Yes. Stepping back I would say that there's an increasing awareness and consciousness in the mind of the risk manager and whether that's a job description for somebody in a big company or a proprietor in a small business. I think there's a greater sense of the need for the product and we think that's a healthy thing and we think to a degree that we'll be there to help solve that problem.

Having said that, we are extraordinarily mindful that it's an emerging risk and there's a lot about it we know and there's a lot about it we don't know and that gets factored into the way we think about the industries we want to write in, the individual risks we want to write, the lines we put out, the reinsurance programs that we have and so we do think that it will continue to be a growing opportunity and we will continue to be cautious in the way we approach it.

This transcript may not be 100 percent accurate and may contain misspellings and other inaccuracies. This transcript is provided "as is", without express or implied warranties of any kind. Bloomberg retains all rights to this transcript and provides it solely for your personal, non-commercial use. Bloomberg, its suppliers and third-party agents shall have no liability for errors in this transcript or for lost profits, losses, or direct, indirect, incidental, consequential, special or punitive damages in connection with the furnishing, performance or use of such transcript. Neither the information nor any opinion expressed in this transcript constitutes a solicitation of the purchase or sale of securities or commodities. Any opinion expressed in the transcript does not necessarily reflect the views of Bloomberg LP.

© COPYRIGHT 2017, BLOOMBERG LP. All rights reserved. Any reproduction, redistribution or retransmission is expressly prohibited.