CSC 345 Report for Project: 02

Full Name: Robert Bjorklund,

Sam Blamo

Section: 01

0. Project Discussion Note

Sam: As we were working on this project, Bob and I talked greatly about the implementation of the project over the phone, and in person several times. However, most of the actual coding of this project was done separately, at different times. We were able to smoothly build on top of each other's code, with the help of Git and GitHub. A log of our commits was included in the zip file.

1. Sudoku Solution Validator

1.1. Source Code

```
# sinclude system to be a sinclude sunstsh. By a sinclude sunstsh. By a sinclude system to be a sinclu
```

```
for (int j = 0; j < 9; j++) {
    if (c[j] != i+1) {
        sprintf(ptr, "%s", "NO");
}</pre>
          waitpid(pid1, NULL, 0);
waitpid(pid2, NULL, 0);
waitpid(pid3, NULL, 0);
          solved = ptr;
main(int argc, char **argv){
FILE *fp = fopen("input.txt","r");
time_t st = clock();
time_t msPerS = CLOCKS_PER_SEC/100000;
time_time_time_textend=_textended;
int option = atoi(argy[1]);
for (int i = 0; i < 9; i++) {
    fscanf(fp, "%d %d %d %d %d %d %d %d %d %d",&s[i][0],&s[i][1],&s[i][2],&s[i][3],&s[i][4],&s[i][5],&s[i][6],&s[i][7],&s[i][8]);
    printf("%d %d %d %d %d %d %d %d %d %d\n",s[i][0],s[i][1],s[i][2],s[i][3],s[i][4],s[i][5],s[i][6],s[i][7],s[i][8]);</pre>
if(option == 1){ You, 3 days ago * Organized Branch _
// for(int att = 0; att < 10; att++){
    pthread_t threads[3];
    gridCoord* sqPos = malloc(9 * sizeof(gridCoord));</pre>
                       gradcoord* sqPos = malloc(9 * size
int index = 0;
for(int i = 0; i < 3; i++){
    for(int j = 0; j <= 6; j+=3){
        sqPos[index].x = i * 3;
        sqPos[index].y = 4</pre>
                                           sqPos[index].y = j;
index++;
                      pthread_create(&threads[1], NULL, checkCols, NULL);
pthread_create(&threads[2], NULL, checkSquares, sqPos);
                      pthread_join(threads[0], NULL);
pthread_join(threads[1], NULL);
pthread_join(threads[2], NULL);
if(option == 2){
   // for(int att = 0; att < 10; att++){
     pthread_t threads[27];
     gridCoord* spos = malloc(9 * sizeof(gridCoord));
   int index = 0;</pre>
                     for(int i = 0; i < 3; i++){
   for(int j = 0; j <= 6; j+=3){
      sqPos[index].x = i * 3;
      sqPos[index].y = j;
   index++;</pre>
                     int params[9] = {0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8};
for(int i = 0; i < 9; i++) {
    pthread_create(&threads[i], NULL, checkSingleRow, &params[i]);
    pthread_create(&threads[i+9], NULL, checkSingleCol, &params[i]);
    pthread_create(&threads[i+18], NULL, checkSingleSquare, &sqPos[i]);</pre>
                       for(int i = 0; i < 27; i++) {
    pthread_join(threads[i], NULL);</pre>
```

```
if(option == 3){
    // for(int att = 0; att < 10; att++){
    multiProcessCheck();
    // }

// time_t ft = clock() - st;
    // printf("SOLUTION: %s (%ld ns)\n",solved, ft/msPerS);

//

// time_t ft = clock() - st;
    // printf("SOLUTION: %s (%ld ns)\n",solved, ft/msPerS);

//

// time_t ft = clock() - st;
    printf("SOLUTION: %s (%ld ns)\n",solved, ft/msPerS);

// printf("SOLUTION
```

1.2. Output

```
phoenix@thephoenix:~/Documents/sudoku$ ./main 3
 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1
 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1 2
 4 5 6 7 8 9 1 2 3
 5 6 7 8 9 1 2 3 4
 6 7 8 9 1 2 3 4 5
 7 8 9 1 2 3 4 5 6
 8 9 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
 9 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
 SOLUTION: NO (29 ns)
phoenix@thephoenix:~/Documents/sudoku$ ./main 2
 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
 4 5 6 7 8 9 1 2 3
 7 8 9 1 2 3 4 5 6
 8 9 7 2 3 1 5 6 4
 5 6 4 8 9 7 2 3 1
 2 3 1 5 6 4 8 9 7
 3 1 2 6 4 5 9 7 8
 9 7 8 3 1 2 6 4 5
 6 4 5 9 7 8 3 1 2
 SOLUTION: YES (170 ns)
```

2. Breakdown of Sudoku Solution Validator Implementation

2.1. int main(int argc, char** argv)

The main function's primary responsibility is interpreting the *option* parameter given by the user and calling the other functions from within the code.

Option 1 uses the strategy of making three separate threads to validate the sudoku within input.txt; One thread to check every row, one to check every column, and one to check every square.

Option 2 uses 27 separate threads to check each column, row, and square for the digits 1-9 respectively. The 27 threads are stored within a thread array named *threads*.

Option 3 uses the multi-process approach, having three children processes to check the given input's rows, columns, and squares to validate whether it's solved.

It is also important to note that when the program begins execution, the time is recorded in the *st* variable until the given option finishes executing, and we return the time taken to execute along with whether or not the sudoku puzzle given was a valid solution.

2.2. void* doWork(void* param)

This thread function is called in the (option == 1) if-statement block in main(). The param argument passed is NULL.

This function's responsibility is to check every row within input.txt to validate that each row only contains one occurrence of a value within the range of 1-9. If there is more than one occurrence of a specific value at any row, the global variable *solved* will be set to *NO*.

2.3. void* doWork1(void* param)

This thread function is called in the (option == 1) if-statement block in main(). The param argument passed is NULL.

This function's responsibility is to check every column within input.txt to validate that each column only contains one occurrence of a value within the range of 1-9. If there is more than one occurrence of a specific value at any column, the global variable *solved* will be set to *NO*.

2.4. void* doWork2(void* param)

This thread function is called within the (option == 1) if-statement block in main(). The param argument passed is the memory address to an array of user-defined structs gridCoord. A gridCoord struct has attributes int x and int y which are used to properly loop through non-overlapping squares in the given sudoku input.

This function's responsibility is to check every non-overlapping 3x3 square within input.txt to validate that each square only contains one occurrence of a value within the range of 1-9. If there is more than one occurrence of a specific value at any square, the global variable *solved* will be set to *NO*.

2.5. void* doWork3(void* param)

This thread function is called in the (option == 2) if-statement block in main(). The param argument passed is the memory address of the corresponding row index in the params array initialized in the (option == 2) if-statement block.

This function's responsibility is to check the row that corresponds with the index passed in the function's parameter and validate that the row only contains one occurrence of a value within the range of 1-9. If there is more than one occurrence of a specific value in the given row, the global variable *solved* will be set to *NO*.

2.6. void* doWork4(void* param)

This thread function is called in the (option == 2) if-statement block in main(). The param argument passed is the memory address of the corresponding column index in the params array initialized in the (option == 2) if-statement block.

This function's responsibility is to check the column that corresponds with the index passed in the function's parameter and validate that the column only contains one occurrence of a value within the range of 1-9. If there is more than one occurrence of a specific value in the given column, the global variable *solved* will be set to *NO*.

2.7. void* doWork5(void* param)

This thread function is called in the (option == 2) if-statement block in main(). The param argument passed is the memory address to an element within an array of user-defined structs gridCoord. A gridCoord struct has attributes int x and int y which are used to properly loop through non-overlapping squares in the given sudoku input.

This function's responsibility is to check the square that corresponds with the index passed in the function's parameter and validate that the square only contains one occurrence of a value within the range of 1-9. If there is more than one occurrence of a specific value in the given square, the global variable *solved* will be set to *NO*.

2.8. void doOption3()

This function is called in the (option == 3) if-statement block in main(). Its responsibility is to create three child processes and use each child process to validate if the rows, columns, and squares are solved respectively. If the given sudoku input is not a valid solution, the parent will change the global variable solved to reflect that.

3. Breakdown of Statistical Experimentation.

3.1. Preface

Since we were tasked to provide an analytical conclusion if there was any statistical difference between any of the methods, we used the *t-tes*t to determine whether our hypothesis was correct.

	negual Variances	t-Test: Two-Sample Assuming Ur	ults:	method 1 res	39 42	d 2 results: method 312 272	81
	icquai variances	t rest. two-sample Assuming on	uits.	method 1 res			65
method 2 result	method 1 results:		58.16	Mean	37	327	66
274.5	58.16	Mean	1.116247	Standard Error	35	317	61
1464.98326	62.30040816	Variance	60	Median	38	325	59
50	50	Observations	60	Mode	42	218	56
	0	Hypothesized Mean Difference		Standard Deviation	38	251	62
	53	df	62.30041	Sample Variance	38	275	57
	-39.15818378	t Stat	0.371706	Kurtosis	45	308	64
	4.23126E-41	P(T<=t) one-tail	-0.08324	Skewness	37	210	59
	1.674116237	t Critical one-tail	39	Range	34	248	53
	8.46E-41	P(T<=t) two-tail	42	Minimum	35	284	62
	2.005745995	t Critical two-tail	81	Maximum	37	280	70
	2,000,740,330	Conticui two tan	2908	Sum	36	310	63
			50	Count	35	244	53
			30	Count	37	259	60
	t-Test: Two-Sample Assuming Unequal Variances		method 2 results:		44	278	48
	lequal variances	t-lest: Iwo-sample Assuming Or	uits:	method 2 res	44	251	68
	method 2 results:		274.58		40	301	59
				Mean	45		
38.3	274.58 1464.983265	Mean Variance	5.412917	Standard Error	39	292	64 61
8.036326531			269.5	Median		280	
	50	Observations	257	Mode	39 39	313	48
	0 50	Hypothesized Mean Difference df	38.2751 1464.983	Standard Deviation	43	268 301	46 49
				Sample Variance Kurtosis	43	271	50
	43.51716892 1.03031E-41	t Stat	2.042952 0.767066	Skewness	39	233	61
		P(T<=t) one-tail	221		37	257	60
	1.675905025 2.06061E-41	t Critical one-tail P(T<=t) two-tail	182	Range Minimum	38	278	57
	2.008559112	t Critical two-tail	403	Maximum	36	261	47
	2.006333112	t Critical two-tall			36		44
			13729	Sum		250	
			50	Count	38	252	66
					34	249	60
	t-Test: Two-Sample Assuming Unequal Variances		ults:	method 3 res	37	242	63
					35	237	61
	method 1 results:		38.38	Mean	36	257	61
38.3	58.16	Mean	0.400907	Standard Error	39	182	44
50	62.30040816	Variance	38	Median	38	241	42
	50	Observations	39	Mode	37	293	45
	0	Hypothesized Mean Difference		Standard Deviation	37	260	48
	61	df	8.036327	Sample Variance	35	254	50
	16.67708676	t Stat	0.155985	Kurtosis	37	266	60
	1.05829E-24	P(T<=t) one-tail	0.800024	Skewness	41	294	60
	1.670219484	t Critical one-tail	11	Range	39	365	60
	2.11657E-24	P(T<=t) two-tail	34	Minimum	39	403	59
	1.999623585	t Critical two-tail	45	Maximum	39	331	58
			1919	Sum	39	258	68
			50	Count	40	257	63
					38	275	68
					38	289	59

3.2. Results

3.2.1. Method 1 vs Method 2

Null hypothesis: There is no statistically significant difference between the means of Method 1 and Method 2.

Alternative Hypothesis: There is a statistically significant difference between the means of Method 1 and Method 2.

Assumptions:

- Observations are independent? Yes.
- Number of samples is greater than 30 in each group? Yes.

Conclusion: After performing a t-test between the two sample groups, method 1 and method 2, a p-value that was closely estimated to 0 was returned (8.46e-41). This concludes that we have enough evidence to prove that there is a statistically significant difference between the means of Method 1 and Method 2.

3.2.2. Method 3 vs Method 2

Null hypothesis: There is no statistically significant difference between the means of Method 3 and Method 2.

Alternative Hypothesis: There is a statistically significant difference between the means of Method 3 and Method 2.

Assumptions:

- Observations are independent? **Yes.**
- Number of samples is greater than 30 in each group? Yes.

Conclusion: After performing a t-test between the two sample groups, method 3 and method 2, a p-value that was closely estimated to 0 was returned (2.06061e-41). This concludes that we have enough evidence to prove that there is a statistically significant difference between the means of Method 3 and Method 2.

3.2.3. Method 3 vs Method 1

Null hypothesis: There is no statistically significant difference between the means of Method 3 and Method 1.

Alternative Hypothesis: There is a statistically significant difference between the means of Method 3 and Method 1.

Assumptions:

- Observations are independent? Yes.
- Number of samples is greater than 30 in each group? Yes.

Conclusion: After performing a t-test between the two sample groups, method 3 and method 1, a p-value that was closely estimated to 0 was returned (2.11657e-24). This concludes that we have enough evidence to prove that there is a statistically significant difference between the means of Method 3 and Method 1.