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**Introduction**

Hidden Markov Models (HMMs) are statistical tools used to model systems with hidden states and observable outcomes. These models are built on the principle of a Markov process, where the future state depends only on the current state. They are widely applied in various domains, including natural language processing, image recognition, and bioinformatics, with particular emphasis on modeling biological sequences such as DNA, RNA, and protein sequences (Jamali et al., 2016). This review aims to examine the applications of HMMs in drug target prediction, focusing on how these models are used to analyze protein sequences, identify potential druggable regions, predict drug-protein interactions, and explore the integration of HMMs with other computational techniques like deep learning.

**Method**

To synthesize the current state of research on the application of HMMs in drug target prediction, a comprehensive literature search was conducted by using databases such as PubMed, Google Scholar, and IEEE Xplore. The search terms included "Hidden Markov Models", "drug target prediction", "drug-protein interaction", "deep learning in drug discovery" and so on.

**Results**

*HMMs in Drug Target Prediction*

HMMs have been successfully applied to drug target prediction by modeling protein sequences and identifying functional regions within these sequences that could serve as potential drug targets (Hauser et al., 2016). For example, HMMs are particularly adept at detecting conserved motifs or domains in proteins, such as kinase domains, receptor binding sites, and other biologically relevant regions that are often the focus of drug design.

Studies have shown that HMM-based models can predict drug-target interactions with significant accuracy (Emdadi et al., 2016). By using protein sequence data as input, these models can identify regions that are likely to interact with small molecules or other proteins, offering insights into potential therapeutic targets. For instance, HMMs have been used to model the functional domains of proteins like G-protein coupled receptors and enzymes such as kinases, which are common targets for drug development.

*Combination of HMMs and Deep Learning*

Recent research has explored combining HMMs with deep learning techniques like CNNs, RNNs, and GNNs to enhance the modeling of sequential data, particularly in biological contexts (Jamali et al., 2016). While HMMs are effective for sequential analysis, they struggle with capturing complex, non-linear relationships inherent in biological data. Deep learning models, on the other hand, excel at extracting higher-level features from large datasets, which can uncover intricate patterns in protein sequences, structures, and molecular interactions.

By integrating deep learning with HMMs, researchers can improve drug-target interaction predictions. For example, deep learning can model the chemical and structural properties of small molecules, which can then be used with HMMs to predict drug-target interactions more accurately, especially when multi-modal data are involved. This hybrid approach has demonstrated significant improvements in predictive performance.

**Discussion**

*Strengths of HMMs in Drug Target Prediction*

HMMs are effective for predicting drug targets from sequential data like protein sequences, capturing conserved motifs and structural domains. They also model time-dependent processes, such as protein folding, which are important for drug-receptor interactions. When combined with deep learning, HMMs benefit from automated feature extraction while maintaining sequential dependencies.

*Limitations and Challenges*

HMMs face challenges with incomplete or low-quality data, and a lack of annotated protein and drug-interaction information. Deep learning, though powerful, lacks interpretability, while HMMs may not capture all biological complexity. Additionally, drug responses are dynamic, making it hard to develop universal models.
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