MITCHELL'S BOOK: Ex. 3.3 DTs

Machine Learning 2024-25 Course Activity

Furno Francesco - francesco.furno@studenti.unipd.it - 2139507

November 19, 2024

True or false: If decision tree D2 is an elaboration of tree D1, then D1 is more-general-than D2. Assume D1 and D2 are decision trees representing arbitrary boolean functions, and that D2 is an elaboration of D1 if ID3 could extend D1 into D2. If true, give a proof; if false, a counterexample.

More-general-than definition

The definition of more-general-than from the book is the following:

Given two hypotheses h_j and h_k , h_j is more-general-than-or-equal-to h_k if and only if any instance that satisfies h_k also satisfies h_i .

We can write it as: $h_j \ge_q h_k$ if and only if

$$\left((h_k(x)=1)\Rightarrow \left(h_i(x)=1\right), \forall x\in X\right)$$

Examples of D1 & D2

Let D1 be a simple boolean decision tree, based on a single feature x. The behavior of the tree is represented by the following function:

$$f_{D1}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = 1\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Now, consider D2, an elaboration of D1: D2 is a decision tree based on two features, x and y. The behavior of the tree is defined by the following function:

$$f_{D2}(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \text{ and } y = 0\\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Truth table

x	y	D1	D2
1	1	1	1
1	0	1	1
0	1	0	1
0	0	0	0

We can observe that:

- D1 makes decisions based on the value of x, ignoring y.
- D2 is more specific because it considers both x and y; for example it splits when x = 1 and y = 0.

We can say that D2 is an *elaboration* of D1 because it extends it: in fact D2 has the same feature x that is part of D1, plus an additional feature y. Therefore, D2 is a more detailed version of D1 and $\forall x \in X$ the property D1 more-general-than D2 holds.

Example of D2'

Now, let's consider D2', an elaboration of D1: D2' is a decision tree based again on two features x and y. The behavior of the tree is represented by the following function:

$$f_{D2'}(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = 1 \text{ and } y = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

In this way, D2' makes a split when the input x = 1 and y = 1.

Truth table

x	y	D1	D2'
1	1	1	1
1	0	1	0
0	1	0	0
0	0	0	0

True or False?

Now, can we say that D1 is more-general-than D2'? No. In fact, we can see that the property of more-general-than-or-equal-to does not hold $\forall x \in X$. For example, with features x = 1 and y = 0, D1 returns True, while D2' returns False; hence, they label the features differently.

This shows that the property *more-general-than* does not always hold when one decision tree is an elaboration of another.