ICPSR 38313

SETUPS: Voting Behavior: The 2020 Election, United States

Peter L. Francia

East Carolina University

Jonathan S. Morris

East Carolina University

ICPSR Codebook

Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research P.O. Box 1248 Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106 www.icpsr.umich.edu

Terms of Use

The terms of use for this study can be found at: http://www.icpsr.umich.edu/web/ICPSR/studies/38313/terms

Information about Copyrighted Content

Some instruments administered for studies archived with ICPSR may contain in whole or substantially in part contents from copyrighted instruments. Reproductions of the instruments are provided as documentation for the analysis of the data associated with this collection. Restrictions on "fair use" apply to all copyrighted content. More information about the reproduction of copyrighted works by educators and librarians is available from the United States Copyright Office.

NOTICE WARNING CONCERNING COPYRIGHT RESTRICTIONS

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Under certain conditions specified in the law, libraries and archives are authorized to furnish a photocopy or other reproduction. One of these specified conditions is that the photocopy or reproduction is not to be "used for any purpose other than private study, scholarship, or research." If a user makes a request for, or later uses, a photocopy or reproduction for purposes in excess of "fair use," that user may be liable for copyright infringement.

ICPSR PROCESSING NOTES FOR #38313

SETUPS: Voting Behavior: The 2020 Election, United States

1. **Weighting Information:** The data are not weighted. However, the weight variable **WEIGHT** has been included and must be used in any analysis.

2. Additional Information:

- A) These data were drawn from the <u>American National Election (ANES) 2020 Time Series</u> Study [ICPSR 38034]. Please refer to this collection for additional information and details.
- B) For additional information about the SETUPS: Voting Behavior: The 2020 Election, please visit the <u>Voting Behavior: The 2020 Election Web site.</u>

ICPSR 38313

SETUPS: Voting Behavior: The 2020 Election, United States Variable Description and Frequencies

Note: Frequencies displayed for the variables are not weighted. They are purely descriptive and may not be representative of the study population. Please review any sampling or weighting information available with the study.

Summary statistics (minimum, maximum, arithmetic mean, median, mode, and standard deviation) may not be available for every variable in the codebook. Conversely, a listing of frequencies in table format may not be present for every variable in the codebook either. However, all variables in the dataset are present and display sufficient information about each variable. These decisions are made intentionally and are at the discretion of the archive producing this codebook.

CASEID: 2020 Case ID

Based upon 7,453 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Location: 1-6 (width: 6; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

WEIGHT: Full sample post-election weight (ANES: V200010b)

Based upon 7,453 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Mean: 0.999999988417Minimum: 0.0230396374192Maximum: 4.9280630408828

• Standard Deviation: 0.9601866400646

Location: 264-278 (width: 15; decimal: 13)

Variable Type: numeric

A01: Did you vote in the 2020 general election? (ANES: V202109X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	0. Did not vote	1040	14.0 %
1	1. Voted	6407	86.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	6	0.1 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,447 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 7-7 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

A02: For whom did you vote for President in 2020? (ANES: V202110X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Joe Biden	3509	47.1 %
2	2. Donald Trump	2566	34.4 %
3	3. Jo Jorgensen	71	1.0 %
4	4. Howie Hawkins	24	0.3 %
5	5. Other candidate {SPECIFY}	84	1.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	1199	16.1 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 6,254 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 8-8 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

A03: For whom did you vote in the U.S. House elections? (Responses are classified by the party of the candidate.) (ANES: V202111X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Voted for the Democratic candidate	2790	37.4 %
2	2. Voted for the Republican candidate	2262	30.4 %
3	3. Voted for some other candidate	250	3.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	2151	28.9 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 5,302 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 3.00

Location: 9-9 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

A04: For whom did you vote in the U.S. Senate elections? (Responses are classified by the party of the candidate.) (ANES: V202112X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Voted for the Democratic candidate	1529	20.5 %
2	2. Voted for the Republican candidate	1271	17.1 %
3	3. Voted for some other candidate	85	1.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	4568	61.3 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 2,885 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 3.00

Location: 10-10 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

A05: For whom did you vote in the gubernatorial elections? (Responses are classified by the party of the candidate.) (ANES: V202113X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Voted for the Democratic candidate	409	5.5 %
2	2. Voted for the Republican candidate	414	5.6 %
3	3. Voted for some other candidate	24	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	6606	88.6 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 847 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 3.00

Location: 11-11 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

A06: Party identification summary: Generally speaking, do you consider yourself a Democrat, a Republican, an Independent, or what? (ANES: V201231X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Strong Democrat	1796	24.1 %
2	2. Not very strong Democrat	789	10.6 %
3	3. Independent-Democrat	881	11.8 %
4	4. Independent	876	11.8 %
5	5. Independent-Republican	782	10.5 %
6	6. Not very strong Republican	759	10.2 %
7	7. Strong Republican	1545	20.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	25	0.3 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,428 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 7.00

Location: 12-12 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

A07: What is your party of registration? (ANES: V201018)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Democratic party	1690	22.7 %
2	2. Republican party	1193	16.0 %
3	3. None or 'independent'	932	12.5 %
4	4. Other {SPECIFY}	31	0.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	3607	48.4 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 3,846 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 13-13 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

A08: On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward the Democratic Party? (ANES: V201156)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. 0 through 24	2333	31.3 %
2	2. 25 through 49	970	13.0 %
3	3. 50	677	9.1 %
4	4. 51 through 75	1953	26.2 %
5	5. 76 through 100	1406	18.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	114	1.5 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,339 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 5.00

Location: 14-14 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

A09: On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward the Republican Party? (ANES: V201157)

Valu	ue Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. 0 through 24	2496	33.5 %
2	2. 25 through 49	1186	15.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	3. 50	742	10.0 %
4	4. 51 through 75	1500	20.1 %
5	5. 76 through 100	1407	18.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	122	1.6 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,331 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 15-15 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

A10: Ideology: 7pt scale liberal-conservative self-placement (ANES: V201200)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely liberal	335	4.5 %
2	2. Liberal	1122	15.1 %
3	3. Slightly liberal	832	11.2 %
4	4. Moderate; middle of the road	1632	21.9 %
5	5. Slightly conservative	744	10.0 %
6	6. Conservative	1340	18.0 %
7	7. Extremely conservative	379	5.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	1069	14.3 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 6,384 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 7.00

Location: 16-16 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

A11: On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward liberals? (ANES: V202161)

•	/alue	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	1	1. 0 through 24	1509	20.2 %
	2	2. 25 through 49	1171	15.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	3. 50	1353	18.2 %
4	4. 51 through 75	1754	23.5 %
5	5. 76 through 100	1542	20.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	124	1.7 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,329 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 17-17 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

A12: On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward conservatives? (ANES: V202164)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. 0 through 24	1067	14.3 %
2	2. 25 through 49	1411	18.9 %
3	3.50	1416	19.0 %
4	4. 51 through 75	1688	22.6 %
5	5. 76 through 100	1743	23.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	128	1.7 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,325 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 5.00

Location: 18-18 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

A13: Is less or more government better? (ANES: V202255X)

Valu	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Feels strongly less government is better	1645	22.1 %
2	2. Feels somewhat strongly less government is better	1157	15.5 %
3	3. Feels not strongly less government is better	250	3.4 %
4	4. Feels not strongly that there are more things the government should be doing	362	4.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	5. Feels somewhat strongly that there are more things the government should be doing	2135	28.6 %
6	6. Feels strongly that there are more things the government should be doing	1803	24.2 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	101	1.4 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,352 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 6.00

Location: 19-19 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

A14: Did you vote in a presidential primary or caucus? (ANES: V201020)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Yes, voted in primary or caucus	3728	50.0 %
2	2. No, didn't vote in primary or caucus	3711	49.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	14	0.2 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,439 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 2.00

Location: 20-20 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

A15: For whom did you vote for in the presidential primary or caucus? (ANES: V201021)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Joe Biden	1325	17.8 %
2	2. Michael Bloomberg	67	0.9 %
3	3. Pete Buttigieg	105	1.4 %
4	4. Amy Klobuchar	68	0.9 %
5	5. Bernie Sanders	442	5.9 %
6	6. Elizabeth Warren	227	3.0 %
7	7. Another Democrat	55	0.7 %
8	8. Donald Trump	1237	16.6 %
9	9. Another Republican	67	0.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
10	10. Someone else who is not a Republican or a Democrat	100	1.3 %
	Missing Data		
11	11. NA	3760	50.4 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 3,693 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 10.00

Location: 21-22 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 11

A16: Did you vote in the 2016 general election? (ANES: V201102)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Yes, voted	3030	40.7 %
2	2. No, didn't vote	743	10.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	3680	49.4 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 3,773 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 2.00

Location: 23-23 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

A17: For whom did you vote for President in 2016? (ANES: V201103)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Hillary Clinton	2911	39.1 %
2	2. Donald Trump	2466	33.1 %
3	3. Other {SPECIFY}	390	5.2 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	1686	22.6 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 5,767 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 3.00

Location: 24-24 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

A18: For you personally, is voting mainly a duty, mainly a choice, or neither a duty nor a choice? (ANES: V201225X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Very strongly a duty	3049	40.9 %
2	2. Moderately strongly a duty	1023	13.7 %
3	3. A little strongly a duty	196	2.6 %
4	4. Neither a duty nor a choice	714	9.6 %
5	5. A little strongly a choice	302	4.1 %
6	6. Moderately strongly a choice	933	12.5 %
7	7. Very strongly a choice	1220	16.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	16	0.2 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,437 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 7.00

Location: 25-25 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

B01: How often do you pay attention to politics and elections? (ANES: V201005)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Always	1798	24.1 %
2	2. Most of the time	3007	40.3 %
3	3. About half the time	1408	18.9 %
4	4. Some of the time	1176	15.8 %
5	5. Never	63	0.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	1	0.0 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,452 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 26-26 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

B02: How interested are you in following campaigns? (ANES: V201006)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Very much interested	3940	52.9 %
2	2. Somewhat interested	2569	34.5 %
3	3. Not much interested	943	12.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	1	0.0 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,452 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 3.00

Location: 27-27 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

B03: How interested in politics are you? (ANES: V202406)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Very interested	1813	24.3 %
2	2. Somewhat interested	3625	48.6 %
3	3. Not very interested	1390	18.7 %
4	4. Not at all interested	556	7.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	69	0.9 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,384 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 28-28 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

B04: Did you attend online political meetings, rallies, speeches, or fundraisers? (ANES: V202013)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Yes	989	13.3 %
2	2. No	6464	86.7 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,453 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 2.00

Location: 29-29 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

B05: Did you go to any political meetings, rallies, speeches, or dinners? (ANES: V202014)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Yes	407	5.5 %
2	2. No	7044	94.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	2	0.0 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,451 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 2.00

Location: 30-30 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

B06: Did you wear a campaign button or post a sign or bumper sticker? (ANES: V202015)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Yes	1277	17.1 %
2	2. No	6174	82.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	2	0.0 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,451 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 2.00

Location: 31-31 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

B07: Did you do any (other) work for a party or candidate? (ANES: V202016)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Yes	264	3.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	2. No	7189	96.5 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,453 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 2.00

Location: 32-32 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

B08: Did you contribute money to an individual candidate running for public office? (ANES: V202017)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Yes	1475	19.8 %
2	2. No	5978	80.2 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,453 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 2.00

Location: 33-33 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

B09: Did you contribute money to a political party during this election year? (ANES: V202019)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Yes	963	12.9 %
2	2. No	6486	87.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	4	0.1 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,449 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 2.00

Location: 34-34 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

B10: Did you contribute to any other group that supported or opposed candidates? (ANES: V202021)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Yes	460	6.2 %
2	2. No	6990	93.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	3	0.0 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,450 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 2.00

Location: 35-35 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

B11: Did you ever discuss politics with family or friends? (ANES: V202022)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Yes	6814	91.4 %
2	2. No	637	8.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	2	0.0 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,451 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 2.00

Location: 36-36 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

B12: In the past 12 months, how often have you either bought or declined to buy a certain product or service because of the social or political values of the company that provides it? (ANES: V202042)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Never	3150	42.3 %
2	2. Once in a while	2620	35.2 %
3	3. About half the time	561	7.5 %
4	4. Most of the time	682	9.2 %
5	5. All the time	439	5.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	1	0.0 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,452 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 37-37 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

B13: In the past 12 months, have you joined a protest, march, rally, or demonstration? (ANES: V202025)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Have done this in past 12 months	697	9.4 %
2	2. Have not done this in the past 12 months	6753	90.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	3	0.0 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,450 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 2.00

Location: 38-38 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

B14: In the past 12 months, have you signed an internet or paper petition? (ANES: V202026)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Have done this in past 12 months	2122	28.5 %
2	2. Have not done this in the past 12 months	5329	71.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	2	0.0 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,451 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 2.00

Location: 39-39 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

B15: In the past 12 months, have you gotten into a political argument? (ANES: V202024)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Have done this in past 12 months	3938	52.8 %
2	2. Have not done this in the past 12 months	3513	47.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	2	0.0 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,451 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 2.00

Location: 40-40 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

B16: In the past 12 months, have you contacted a member of U.S. Senate or House of Representatives? (ANES: V202030)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Have done this in past 12 months	1255	16.8 %
2	2. Have not done this in the past 12 months	6195	83.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	3	0.0 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,450 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 2.00

Location: 41-41 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

B17: In the past 12 months, have you contacted an elected official on the state/local level? (ANES: V202038)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Yes, have done this in the past 12 months	1305	17.5 %
2	2. No, have not done this	6145	82.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	3	0.0 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,450 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 2.00

Location: 42-42 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

B18: How often do you use Facebook? (ANES: V202542)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Many times every day	1446	19.4 %
2	2. A few times every day	1502	20.2 %
3	3. About once a day	870	11.7 %
4	4. A few times each week	687	9.2 %
5	5. About once a week	323	4.3 %
6	6. Once or twice a month	315	4.2 %
7	7. Less than once a month	450	6.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	1860	25.0 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 5,593 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 7.00

Location: 43-43 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

B19: How often do you post political content on Facebook? (ANES: V202543)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Always	93	1.2 %
2	2. Most of the time	151	2.0 %
3	3. About half of the time	237	3.2 %
4	4. Sometimes	1649	22.1 %
5	5. Never	3462	46.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	1861	25.0 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 5,592 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00

• Maximum: 5.00

Location: 44-44 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

B20: How often do you use Twitter? (ANES: V202544)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Many times every day	251	3.4 %
2	2. A few times every day	249	3.3 %
3	3. About once a day	231	3.1 %
4	4. A few times each week	299	4.0 %
5	5. About once a week	225	3.0 %
6	6. Once or twice a month	295	4.0 %
7	7. Less than once a month	739	9.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	5164	69.3 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 2,289 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 7.00

Location: 45-45 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

B21: How often do you post political content on Twitter? (ANES: V202545)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Always	45	0.6 %
2	2. Most of the time	125	1.7 %
3	3. About half of the time	70	0.9 %
4	4. Sometimes	370	5.0 %
5	5. Never	1678	22.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	5165	69.3 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 2,288 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 46-46 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

B22: How often do you use Reddit? (ANES: V202546)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Many times every day	121	1.6 %
2	2. A few times every day	100	1.3 %
3	3. About once a day	106	1.4 %
4	4. A few times each week	151	2.0 %
5	5. About once a week	133	1.8 %
6	6. Once or twice a month	236	3.2 %
7	7. Less than once a month	395	5.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	6211	83.3 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 1,242 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 7.00

Location: 47-47 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

B23: How often do you post political content on Reddit? (ANES: V202544)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Always	2	0.0 %
2	2. Most of the time	11	0.1 %
3	3. About half of the time	16	0.2 %
4	4. Sometimes	66	0.9 %
5	5. Never	1146	15.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	6212	83.3 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 1,241 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 48-48 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

C01: How closely do you follow politics in the media? (ANES: V202406)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Very closely	1560	20.9 %
2	2. Fairly closely	3405	45.7 %
3	3. Not very closely	1962	26.3 %
4	4. Not at all	454	6.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	72	1.0 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,381 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 49-49 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

C02: How much trust do you have in the news media? (ANES: V201377)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. None	2142	28.7 %
2	2. A little	1649	22.1 %
3	3. A moderate amount	2111	28.3 %
4	4. A lot	1110	14.9 %
5	5. A great deal	424	5.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	17	0.2 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,436 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 50-50 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

C03: From which of the following sources have you heard anything about the presidential campaign: TV programs? (ANES: V201629A)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	0. Not mentioned	1120	15.0 %
1	1. Mentioned	6250	83.9 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
9	9. NA	83	1.1 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,370 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 51-51 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

C04: From which of the following sources have you heard anything about the presidential campaign: Newspapers? (ANES: V201629B)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	0. Not mentioned	4338	58.2 %
1	1. Mentioned	3032	40.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	83	1.1 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,370 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 52-52 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

C05: From which of the following sources have you heard anything about the presidential campaign: Internet sites? (ANES: V201629C)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	0. Not mentioned	2181	29.3 %
1	1. Mentioned	5189	69.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	83	1.1 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,370 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 53-53 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

C06: From which of the following sources have you heard anything about the presidential campaign: Radio news? (ANES: V201629D)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	0. Not mentioned	3550	47.6 %
1	1. Mentioned	3820	51.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	83	1.1 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,370 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 54-54 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

C07: From which of the following sources have you heard anything about the presidential campaign: None? (ANES: V201629E)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	0. Not mentioned	7216	96.8 %
1	1. Mentioned	154	2.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	83	1.1 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,370 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 55-55 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

D01: How well does the phrase 'he would provide strong leadership' describe Biden? (ANES: V201208)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Extremely well	806	10.8 %
2	2. Very well	1516	20.3 %
3	3. Moderately well	1483	19.9 %
4	4. Slightly well	967	13.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	5. Not well at all	2651	35.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	30	0.4 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,423 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 56-56 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

D02: How well does the phrase 'he really cares about people like you' describe Biden? (ANES: V201209)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Extremely well	1308	17.5 %
2	2. Very well	1432	19.2 %
3	3. Moderately well	1317	17.7 %
4	4. Slightly well	1160	15.6 %
5	5. Not well at all	2204	29.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	32	0.4 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,421 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 5.00

Location: 57-57 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

D03: How well does the phrase 'he is knowledgeable' describe Biden? (ANES: V201210)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Extremely well	1022	13.7 %
2	2. Very well	1765	23.7 %
3	3. Moderately well	1546	20.7 %
4	4. Slightly well	1234	16.6 %
5	5. Not well at all	1858	24.9 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
9	9. NA	28	0.4 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,425 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 58-58 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

D04: How well does the phrase 'he is honest' describe Biden? (ANES: V201211)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Extremely well	1014	13.6 %
2	2. Very well	1496	20.1 %
3	3. Moderately well	1472	19.8 %
4	4. Slightly well	1166	15.6 %
5	5. Not well at all	2272	30.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	33	0.4 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,420 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 59-59 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

D05: How well does the phrase 'he would provide strong leadership' describe Trump? (ANES: V201212)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Extremely well	1414	19.0 %
2	2. Very well	1039	13.9 %
3	3. Moderately well	762	10.2 %
4	4. Slightly well	697	9.4 %
5	5. Not well at all	3520	47.2 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	21	0.3 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,432 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 60-60 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

D06: How well does the phrase 'he really cares about people like you' describe Trump? (ANES: V201208)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Extremely well	1088	14.6 %
2	2. Very well	983	13.2 %
3	3. Moderately well	787	10.6 %
4	4. Slightly well	638	8.6 %
5	5. Not well at all	3941	52.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	16	0.2 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,437 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 61-61 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

D07: How well does the phrase 'he is knowledgeable' describe Trump? (ANES: V201213)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Extremely well	922	12.4 %
2	2. Very well	1102	14.8 %
3	3. Moderately well	956	12.8 %
4	4. Slightly well	840	11.3 %
5	5. Not well at all	3613	48.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	20	0.3 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,433 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 62-62 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

D08: How well does the phrase 'he is honest' describe Trump? (ANES: V201214)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Extremely well	600	8.1 %
2	2. Very well	935	12.5 %
3	3. Moderately well	1078	14.5 %
4	4. Slightly well	628	8.4 %
5	5. Not well at all	4191	56.2 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	21	0.3 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,432 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 63-63 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

D09A: Before the election: On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward Joe Biden? (ANES: V201151)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. 0 through 24	2165	29.0 %
2	2. 25 through 49	894	12.0 %
3	3. 50	539	7.2 %
4	4. 51 through 75	1647	22.1 %
5	5. 76 through 100	2013	27.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	194	2.6 %
	-	1	0.0 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,258 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 64-64 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9, .

D09B: After the election: On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward Joe Biden? (ANES: V202143)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. 0 through 24	2037	27.3 %
2	2. 25 through 49	862	11.6 %
3	3. 50	416	5.6 %
4	4. 51 through 75	1531	20.5 %
5	5. 76 through 100	2534	34.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	73	1.0 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,380 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 65-65 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

D10A: Before the election: On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward Donald Trump? (ANES: V201152)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. 0 through 24	3537	47.5 %
2	2. 25 through 49	519	7.0 %
3	3. 50	213	2.9 %
4	4. 51 through 75	924	12.4 %
5	5. 76 through 100	2052	27.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	208	2.8 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,245 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 66-66 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

D10B: After the election: On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward Donald Trump? (ANES: V202144)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. 0 through 24	3724	50.0 %
2	2. 25 through 49	560	7.5 %
3	3. 50	258	3.5 %
4	4. 51 through 75	839	11.3 %
5	5. 76 through 100	1982	26.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	90	1.2 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,363 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 67-67 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

D11A: Before the election: On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward Kamala Harris? (ANES: V201153)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. 0 through 24	2224	29.8 %
2	2. 25 through 49	644	8.6 %
3	3. 50	980	13.1 %
4	4. 51 through 75	1336	17.9 %
5	5. 76 through 100	2011	27.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	257	3.4 %
	-	1	0.0 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,195 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 5.00

Location: 68-68 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9,.

D11B: After the election: On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward Kamala Harris? (ANES: V202156)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. 0 through 24	2337	31.4 %
2	2. 25 through 49	556	7.5 %
3	3. 50	614	8.2 %
4	4. 51 through 75	1200	16.1 %
5	5. 76 through 100	2644	35.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	102	1.4 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,351 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 69-69 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

D12A: Before the election: On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward Mike Pence? (ANES: V201154)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. 0 through 24	2769	37.2 %
2	2. 25 through 49	746	10.0 %
3	3. 50	1043	14.0 %
4	4. 51 through 75	853	11.4 %
5	5. 76 through 100	1840	24.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	201	2.7 %
	-	1	0.0 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,251 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 5.00

Location: 70-70 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9,.

D12B: After the election: On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward Mike Pence? (ANES: V202157)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. 0 through 24	2815	37.8 %
2	2. 25 through 49	790	10.6 %
3	3. 50	835	11.2 %
4	4. 51 through 75	867	11.6 %
5	5. 76 through 100	2059	27.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	87	1.2 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,366 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 71-71 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

D13: On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward Barack Obama? (ANES: V201155)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. 0 through 24	1698	22.8 %
2	2. 25 through 49	667	8.9 %
3	3. 50	474	6.4 %
4	4. 51 through 75	1067	14.3 %
5	5. 76 through 100	3448	46.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	99	1.3 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,354 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 72-72 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

E01: Do you approve or disapprove of the way President Trump is handling his job as president? (ANES: V201129X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Approve strongly	2325	31.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	2. Approve not strongly	675	9.1 %
3	3. Disapprove not strongly	449	6.0 %
4	4. Disapprove strongly	3961	53.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	43	0.6 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,410 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 73-73 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

E02: Do you approve or disapprove of the way President Trump is handling the economy? (ANES: V201132X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Approve strongly	2873	38.5 %
2	2. Approve not strongly	819	11.0 %
3	3. Disapprove not strongly	636	8.5 %
4	4. Disapprove strongly	3073	41.2 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	52	0.7 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,401 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 74-74 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

E03: Do you approve or disapprove of the way President Trump is handling relations with foreign countries? (ANES: V201135X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Approve strongly	2392	32.1 %
2	2. Approve not strongly	686	9.2 %
3	3. Disapprove not strongly	633	8.5 %
4	4. Disapprove strongly	3690	49.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	52	0.7 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,401 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 75-75 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

E04: Do you approve or disapprove of the way President Trump is handling health care? (ANES: V201138X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Approve strongly	1941	26.0 %
2	2. Approve not strongly	1058	14.2 %
3	3. Disapprove not strongly	641	8.6 %
4	4. Disapprove strongly	3743	50.2 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	70	0.9 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,383 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 76-76 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

E05: Do you approve or disapprove of the way President Trump is handling immigration? (ANES: V201141X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Approve strongly	2554	34.3 %
2	2. Approve not strongly	566	7.6 %
3	3. Disapprove not strongly	602	8.1 %
4	4. Disapprove strongly	3687	49.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	44	0.6 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,409 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 77-77 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

E06: Do you approve or disapprove of the way President Trump has handled the coronavirus, or COVID-19, pandemic? (ANES: V201144X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Approve strongly	1869	25.1 %
2	2. Approve not strongly	920	12.3 %
3	3. Disapprove not strongly	461	6.2 %
4	4. Disapprove strongly	4170	56.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	33	0.4 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,420 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 4.00

Location: 78-78 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

E07: Do you approve or disapprove of the way your state's governor has handled the COVID-19 pandemic? (ANES: V201147X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Approve strongly	2938	39.4 %
2	2. Approve not strongly	1671	22.4 %
3	3. Disapprove not strongly	806	10.8 %
4	4. Disapprove strongly	1988	26.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	50	0.7 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,403 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 79-79 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

E08: Do you approve or disapprove of the way your local government has handled the COVID-19 pandemic? (ANES: V201150X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Approve strongly	2981	40.0 %
2	2. Approve not strongly	2372	31.8 %
3	3. Disapprove not strongly	773	10.4 %
4	4. Disapprove strongly	1270	17.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	57	0.8 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,396 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 80-80 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

E09: Do you approve or disapprove of the way the U.S. Congress has been handling its job? (ANES: V201126X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Approve strongly	414	5.6 %
2	2. Approve not strongly	888	11.9 %
3	3. Disapprove not strongly	1417	19.0 %
4	4. Disapprove strongly	4625	62.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	109	1.5 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,344 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 81-81 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

E10: On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward Congress? (ANES: V202167)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. 0 through 24	1244	16.7 %
2	2. 25 through 49	2340	31.4 %
3	3.50	1515	20.3 %
4	4. 51 through 75	1827	24.5 %
5	5. 76 through 100	433	5.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	94	1.3 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,359 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 5.00

Location: 82-82 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

E11: On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward the House Democratic candidate? (ANES: V202145)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. 0 through 24	815	10.9 %
2	2. 25 through 49	590	7.9 %
3	3. 50	2167	29.1 %
4	4. 51 through 75	1437	19.3 %
5	5. 76 through 100	1254	16.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	1190	16.0 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 6,263 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 5.00

Location: 83-83 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

E12: On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward the House Republican candidate? (ANES: V202146)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. 0 through 24	919	12.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	2. 25 through 49	659	8.8 %
3	3. 50	2184	29.3 %
4	4. 51 through 75	1201	16.1 %
5	5. 76 through 100	1031	13.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	1459	19.6 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 5,994 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 84-84 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

E13: On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward the Senate Democratic candidate? (ANES: V202148)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. 0 through 24	722	9.7 %
2	2. 25 through 49	389	5.2 %
3	3. 50	764	10.3 %
4	4. 51 through 75	810	10.9 %
5	5. 76 through 100	826	11.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	3942	52.9 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 3,511 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 85-85 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

E14: On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward the Senate Republican candidate? (ANES: V202149)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. 0 through 24	800	10.7 %
2	2. 25 through 49	418	5.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	3. 50	926	12.4 %
4	4. 51 through 75	734	9.8 %
5	5. 76 through 100	568	7.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	4007	53.8 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 3,446 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 86-86 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

E15: Do you favor one party control of government or split government? (ANES: V201227)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Better when one party controls both	2330	31.3 %
2	2. Better when control is split	3699	49.6 %
3	3. It doesn't matter	1339	18.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	85	1.1 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,368 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 3.00

Location: 87-87 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

E16: On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward U.S. Supreme Court? (ANES: V202165)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. 0 through 24	377	5.1 %
2	2. 25 through 49	1176	15.8 %
3	3. 50	1454	19.5 %
4	4. 51 through 75	2587	34.7 %
5	5. 76 through 100	1777	23.8 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
9	9. NA	82	1.1 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,371 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 88-88 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

F01: How much better or worse off financially do you think you will be than 1 year ago? (ANES: V201502)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Much better off	564	7.6 %
2	2. Somewhat better off	1333	17.9 %
3	3. About the same	3775	50.7 %
4	4. Somewhat worse off	1220	16.4 %
5	5. Much worse off	538	7.2 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	23	0.3 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,430 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 89-89 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

F02: How much better or worse off financially do you think you will be next year? (ANES: V201503)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Much better off	675	9.1 %
2	2. Somewhat better off	1977	26.5 %
3	3. About the same	3883	52.1 %
4	4. Somewhat worse off	667	8.9 %
5	5. Much worse off	202	2.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	49	0.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,404 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 5.00

Location: 90-90 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

F03: How satisfied are you with your life? (ANES: V201651)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely satisfied	1128	15.1 %
2	2. Very satisfied	2802	37.6 %
3	3. Moderately satisfied	2530	33.9 %
4	4. Slightly satisfied	679	9.1 %
5	5. Not satisfied at all	223	3.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	91	1.2 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,362 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 5.00

Location: 91-91 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

F04: Is the current economy good or bad? (ANES: V201324)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Very good	369	5.0 %
2	2. Good	1722	23.1 %
3	3. Neither good nor bad	2014	27.0 %
4	4. Bad	2342	31.4 %
5	5. Very bad	989	13.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	17	0.2 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,436 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 92-92 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

F05: Was the national economy better or worse in the last year? (ANES: V201327X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Gotten much better	656	8.8 %
2	2. Gotten somewhat better	709	9.5 %
3	3. Stayed about the same	1525	20.5 %
4	4. Gotten somewhat worse	1595	21.4 %
5	5. Gotten much worse	2936	39.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	32	0.4 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,421 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 5.00

Location: 93-93 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

F06: Will the economy be better or worse in the next 12 months? (ANES: V201330X

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Get much better	1585	21.3 %
2	2. Get somewhat better	1671	22.4 %
3	3. Stay about the same	2403	32.2 %
4	4. Get somewhat worse	878	11.8 %
5	5. Get much worse	846	11.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	70	0.9 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,383 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 94-94 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

F07: How worried are you about the national economy? (ANES: V201335)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Not at all worried	570	7.6 %
2	2. A little worried	1672	22.4 %
3	3. Moderately worried	2491	33.4 %
4	4. Very worried	1795	24.1 %
5	5. Extremely worried	913	12.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	12	0.2 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,441 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 95-95 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

F08: Was unemployment better or worse in the last year? (ANES: V201333X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Much better	520	7.0 %
2	2. Somewhat better	440	5.9 %
3	3. About the same	1019	13.7 %
4	4. Somewhat worse	1009	13.5 %
5	5. Much worse	4434	59.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	31	0.4 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,422 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 96-96 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

F09: Will there be more or less unemployment in the next year? (ANES: V201334)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. More	2120	28.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	2. About the same	2710	36.4 %
3	3. Less	2584	34.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	39	0.5 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,414 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 3.00

Location: 97-97 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

F10: When it comes to people trying to improve their financial well-being, do you think it is now easier, harder, or the same as it was 20 years ago? (ANES: V202320X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. A great deal easier	565	7.6 %
2	2. A moderate amount easier	517	6.9 %
3	3. A little easier	283	3.8 %
4	4. The same	1502	20.2 %
5	5. A little harder	1117	15.0 %
6	6. A moderate amount harder	1227	16.5 %
7	7. A great deal harder	2172	29.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	70	0.9 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,383 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 7.00

Location: 98-98 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

F11: How much larger is the income gap today? (ANES: V201400X)

٧	alue	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	1	1. Much larger	4323	58.0 %
	2	2. Somewhat larger	1223	16.4 %
	3	3. About the same	1495	20.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	4. Somewhat smaller	275	3.7 %
5	5. Much smaller	84	1.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	53	0.7 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,400 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 99-99 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

F12: Our society should do whatever is necessary to make sure that everyone has an equal opportunity to succeed. (ANES: V202260)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Agree strongly	3931	52.7 %
2	2. Agree somewhat	1982	26.6 %
3	3. Neither agree nor disagree	749	10.0 %
4	4. Disagree somewhat	466	6.3 %
5	5. Disagree strongly	282	3.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	43	0.6 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,410 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 100-100 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

F13: This country would be better off if we worried less about how equal people are. (ANES: V202261)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Agree strongly	841	11.3 %
2	2. Agree somewhat	1405	18.9 %
3	3. Neither agree nor disagree	1318	17.7 %
4	4. Disagree somewhat	1431	19.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	5. Disagree strongly	2400	32.2 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	58	0.8 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,395 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 101-101 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

F14: It is not really that big a problem if some people have more of a chance in life than others. (ANES: V202262)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Agree strongly	323	4.3 %
2	2. Agree somewhat	969	13.0 %
3	3. Neither agree nor disagree	1737	23.3 %
4	4. Disagree somewhat	1906	25.6 %
5	5. Disagree strongly	2468	33.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	50	0.7 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,403 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 102-102 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

F15: If people were treated more equally in this country we would have many fewer problems. (ANES: V202263)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Agree strongly	2620	35.2 %
2	2. Agree somewhat	2368	31.8 %
3	3. Neither agree nor disagree	1202	16.1 %
4	4. Disagree somewhat	771	10.3 %
5	5. Disagree strongly	442	5.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	50	0.7 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,403 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 103-103 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

F16: How important is it to reduce the deficit? (ANES: V202321)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely important	2055	27.6 %
2	2. Very important	2773	37.2 %
3	3. Moderately important	1916	25.7 %
4	4. A little important	456	6.1 %
5	5. Not at all important	178	2.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	75	1.0 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,378 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 104-104 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

F17: Do you favor or oppose a tax on millionaires? (ANES: V202325)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Favor	4797	64.4 %
2	2. Oppose	1197	16.1 %
3	3. Neither favor nor oppose	1394	18.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	65	0.9 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,388 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 3.00

Location: 105-105 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

F18: Do you favor or oppose a federal program giving citizens \$12K/year? (ANES: V202376X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Favor a great deal	833	11.2 %
2	2. Favor a moderate amount	750	10.1 %
3	3. Favor a little	288	3.9 %
4	4. Neither favor nor oppose	1943	26.1 %
5	5. Oppose a little	214	2.9 %
6	6. Oppose a moderate amount	806	10.8 %
7	7. Oppose a great deal	2523	33.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	96	1.3 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,357 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 7.00

Location: 106-106 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

F19: Do you favor or oppose government trying to reduce income inequality? (ANES: V202259X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Favor a great deal	1930	25.9 %
2	2. Favor a moderate amount	1200	16.1 %
3	3. Favor a little	228	3.1 %
4	4. Neither favor nor oppose	1876	25.2 %
5	5. Oppose a little	142	1.9 %
6	6. Oppose a moderate amount	671	9.0 %
7	7. Oppose a great deal	1341	18.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	65	0.9 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,388 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 7.00

Location: 107-107 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

F20: Should the minimum wage be raised, kept the same, or lowered? (ANES: V202377)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Raised	4478	60.1 %
2	2. Kept the same	2283	30.6 %
3	3. Lowered	172	2.3 %
4	4. Eliminated	424	5.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	96	1.3 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,357 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 108-108 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

F21: Do you favor or oppose free trade agreements? (ANES: V202361X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Favor a great deal	1053	14.1 %
2	2. Favor moderately	1887	25.3 %
3	3. Favor a little	447	6.0 %
4	4. Neither favor nor oppose	3362	45.1 %
5	5. Oppose a little	95	1.3 %
6	6. Oppose moderately	287	3.9 %
7	7. Oppose a great deal	224	3.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	98	1.3 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,355 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 7.00

Location: 109-109 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

F22: Has international trade increased, decreased, or neither increased nor decreased the number of jobs available in the United States? (ANES: V202367X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Increased a lot	371	5.0 %
2	2. Increased somewhat	986	13.2 %
3	3. Neither increased nor decreased	3270	43.9 %
4	4. Decreased somewhat	1621	21.7 %
5	5. Increased a lot	1010	13.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	195	2.6 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,258 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 110-110 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

F23: Some people have suggested placing new limits on foreign imports in order to protect American jobs. Others say such limits would raise consumer prices and hurt American exports. Do you favor or oppose placing new limits on imports? (ANES: V202231X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Favor strongly	2073	27.8 %
2	2. Favor not strongly	1946	26.1 %
3	3. Oppose not strongly	2255	30.3 %
4	4. Oppose strongly	965	12.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	214	2.9 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,239 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 111-111 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

F24: On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward labor unions?(ANES: V202162)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. 0 through 24	597	8.0 %
2	2. 25 through 49	1083	14.5 %
3	3. 50	1800	24.2 %
4	4. 51 through 75	2174	29.2 %
5	5. 76 through 100	1669	22.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	130	1.7 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,323 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 5.00

Location: 112-112 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

F25: On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward big business? (ANES: V202163)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. 0 through 24	1050	14.1 %
2	2. 25 through 49	1965	26.4 %
3	3. 50	1665	22.3 %
4	4. 51 through 75	2000	26.8 %
5	5. 76 through 100	664	8.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	109	1.5 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,344 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 5.00

Location: 113-113 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

F26: Which party is better at handling the nation's economy? (ANES: V201239)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Democrats would do a much better job	1350	18.1 %
2	2. Democrats would do a somewhat better job	1257	16.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	3. Not much difference between them	1638	22.0 %
4	4. Republicans would do a somewhat better job	1071	14.4 %
5	5. Republicans would do a much better job	2105	28.2 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	32	0.4 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,421 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 114-114 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

F27: Which party is better at handling taxes? (ANES: V201242)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Democrats would do a much better job	1337	17.9 %
2	2. Democrats would do a somewhat better job	1274	17.1 %
3	3. Not much difference between them	1869	25.1 %
4	4. Republicans would do a somewhat better job	1148	15.4 %
5	5. Republicans would do a much better job	1794	24.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	31	0.4 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,422 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 115-115 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

G01: Are things in the country on the right track? (ANES: V201114)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Right direction	1880	25.2 %
2	2. Wrong track	5534	74.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	39	0.5 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,414 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 2.00

Location: 116-116 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

G02: How hopeful do you feel about how things are going in the country? (ANES: V201115)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Not at all	1827	24.5 %
2	2. A little	1901	25.5 %
3	3. Somewhat	2246	30.1 %
4	4. Very	1066	14.3 %
5	5. Extremely	395	5.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	18	0.2 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,435 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 117-117 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

G03: How afraid do you feel about how things are going in the country? (ANES: V201116)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Not at all	635	8.5 %
2	2. A little	1103	14.8 %
3	3. Somewhat	2083	27.9 %
4	4. Very	1878	25.2 %
5	5. Extremely	1745	23.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	9	0.1 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,444 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 118-118 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

G04: How outraged do you feel about how things are going in the country? (ANES: V201117)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Not at all	573	7.7 %
2	2. A little	865	11.6 %
3	3. Somewhat	1690	22.7 %
4	4. Very	2026	27.2 %
5	5. Extremely	2287	30.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	12	0.2 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,441 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 119-119 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

G05: How angry do you feel about how things are going in the country? (ANES: V201118)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Not at all	470	6.3 %
2	2. A little	902	12.1 %
3	3. Somewhat	1857	24.9 %
4	4. Very	2086	28.0 %
5	5. Extremely	2125	28.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	13	0.2 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,440 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 120-120 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

G06: How happy do you feel about how things are going in the country? (ANES: V201119)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Not at all	3512	47.1 %
2	2. A little	1658	22.2 %
3	3. Somewhat	1697	22.8 %
4	4. Very	453	6.1 %
5	5. Extremely	120	1.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	13	0.2 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,440 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 121-121 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

G07: How worried do you feel about how things are going in the country? (ANES: V201120)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Not at all	352	4.7 %
2	2. A little	857	11.5 %
3	3. Somewhat	1755	23.5 %
4	4. Very	2182	29.3 %
5	5. Extremely	2300	30.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	7	0.1 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,446 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 5.00

Location: 122-122 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

G08: How proud do you feel about how things are going in the country? (ANES: V201121)

,	Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	1	1. Not at all	3571	47.9 %
	2	2. A little	1427	19.1 %
	3	3. Somewhat	1594	21.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	4. Very	612	8.2 %
5	5. Extremely	230	3.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	19	0.3 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,434 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 5.00

Location: 123-123 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

G09: How irritated do you feel about how things are going in the country? (ANES: V201122)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Not at all	266	3.6 %
2	2. A little	684	9.2 %
3	3. Somewhat	1485	19.9 %
4	4. Very	2353	31.6 %
5	5. Extremely	2656	35.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	9	0.1 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,444 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 5.00

Location: 124-124 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

G10: How nervous do you feel about how things are going in the country? (ANES: V201123)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Not at all	490	6.6 %
2	2. A little	954	12.8 %
3	3. Somewhat	1912	25.7 %
4	4. Very	2123	28.5 %
5	5. Extremely	1969	26.4 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
9	9. NA	5	0.1 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,448 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 125-125 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

G11: The U.S. is better or worse than most other countries. (ANES: V202273X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. A lot better	2300	30.9 %
2	2. Somewhat better	1791	24.0 %
3	3. The same	1891	25.4 %
4	4. Somewhat worse	915	12.3 %
5	5. A lot worse	466	6.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	90	1.2 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,363 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 5.00

Location: 126-126 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

H01: Do you favor an increase or decrease in government spending to help pay for health care? (ANES: V202380X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Increase a great deal	1457	19.5 %
2	2. Increase a moderate amount	2018	27.1 %
3	3. Increase a little	530	7.1 %
4	4. No change	2408	32.3 %
5	5. Decrease a little	162	2.2 %
6	6. Decrease a moderate amount	400	5.4 %
7	7. Decrease a great deal	351	4.7 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
9	9. NA	127	1.7 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,326 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 7.00

Location: 127-127 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

H02: Do you approve or disapprove of the Affordable Care Act? (ANES: V202328X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Approve a great deal	2372	31.8 %
2	2. Approve a moderate amount	1069	14.3 %
3	3. Approve a little	196	2.6 %
4	4. Neither approve nor disapprove	1437	19.3 %
5	5. Disapprove a little	133	1.8 %
6	6. Disapprove a moderate amount	719	9.6 %
7	7. Disapprove a great deal	1460	19.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	67	0.9 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,386 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 7.00

Location: 128-128 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

H03: Which party is better at handling health care? (ANES: V201240)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Democrats would do a much better job	2495	33.5 %
2	2. Democrats would do a somewhat better job	1359	18.2 %
3	3. Not much difference between them	1330	17.8 %
4	4. Republicans would do a somewhat better job	940	12.6 %
5	5. Republicans would do a much better job	1305	17.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	24	0.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,429 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 129-129 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

H04: Which party is better at handling COVID-19? (ANES: V201244)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Democrats would do a much better job	2532	34.0 %
2	2. Democrats would do a somewhat better job	1003	13.5 %
3	3. Not much difference between them	1980	26.6 %
4	4. Republicans would do a somewhat better job	669	9.0 %
5	5. Republicans would do a much better job	1239	16.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	30	0.4 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,423 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 5.00

Location: 130-130 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

H05: Do you think the federal government's response to the COVID-19 outbreak earlier this year was too quick, too slow, or about right? (ANES: V201392X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Much too quick	135	1.8 %
2	2. Somewhat too quick	91	1.2 %
3	3. About right	2391	32.1 %
4	4. Somewhat too slow	822	11.0 %
5	5. Much too slow	3980	53.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	34	0.5 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,419 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 131-131 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

H06: Do you think the limits your state placed on public activity because of the COVID-19 pandemic were far too strict, somewhat too strict, about right, not quite strict enough, or not nearly strict enough? (ANES: V201393)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Far too strict	703	9.4 %
2	2. Somewhat too strict	907	12.2 %
3	3. About right	3166	42.5 %
4	4. Not quite strict enough	1593	21.4 %
5	5. Not nearly strict enough	1064	14.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	20	0.3 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,433 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 132-132 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

H07: Do you think your state has moved too quickly, too slowly, or the right speed in relaxing stay at home orders and reopening businesses? (ANES: V201396X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Much too quickly	954	12.8 %
2	2. Somewhat too quickly	918	12.3 %
3	3. About right	3554	47.7 %
4	4. Somewhat too slowly	817	11.0 %
5	5. Much too slowly	1179	15.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	31	0.4 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,422 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 133-133 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

H08: How important should science be for decisions about COVID-19? (ANES: V202310)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Not at all important	118	1.6 %
2	2. A little important	341	4.6 %
3	3. Moderately important	1183	15.9 %
4	4. Very important	1883	25.3 %
5	5. Extremely important	3858	51.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	70	0.9 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,383 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 5.00

Location: 134-134 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

H09: Do the health benefits of vaccinations outweigh the risks? (ANES: V202383X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Health benefits much greater than risks	3924	52.6 %
2	2. Health benefits moderately greater than risks	1290	17.3 %
3	3. Health benefits slightly greater than risks	386	5.2 %
4	4. No difference	1078	14.5 %
5	5. Risks slightly greater than health benefits	162	2.2 %
6	6. Risks moderately greater than health benefits	285	3.8 %
7	7. Risks much greater than health benefits	211	2.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	117	1.6 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,336 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 7.00

Location: 135-135 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

H10: Do you have health insurance? (ANES: V201620)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Yes	6659	89.3 %
2	2. No	720	9.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	74	1.0 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,379 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 2.00

Location: 136-136 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

H11: Are you concerned about losing health insurance? (ANES: V201621)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Not at all concerned	4096	55.0 %
2	2. A little concerned	1264	17.0 %
3	3. Moderately concerned	693	9.3 %
4	4. Very concerned	308	4.1 %
5	5. Extremely concerned	293	3.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	799	10.7 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 6,654 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 137-137 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

H12: Are you concerned about paying for health care? (ANES: V201622)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Not at all concerned	3272	43.9 %
2	2. A little concerned	1921	25.8 %
3	3. Moderately concerned	1142	15.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	4. Very concerned	514	6.9 %
5	5. Extremely concerned	523	7.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	81	1.1 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,372 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 5.00

Location: 138-138 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

H13: Has anyone in your household tested positive for COVID-19? (ANES: V201624)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Someone in my household tested positive	259	3.5 %
2	2. No one tested positive	7133	95.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	61	0.8 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,392 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 2.00

Location: 139-139 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

H14: On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward Dr. Anthony Fauci? (ANES: V202158)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. 0 through 24	768	10.3 %
2	2. 25 through 49	670	9.0 %
3	3. 50	1095	14.7 %
4	4. 51 through 75	1255	16.8 %
5	5. 76 through 100	3509	47.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	156	2.1 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,297 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 140-140 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

H15: On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward scientists? (ANES: V202173)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. 0 through 24	105	1.4 %
2	2. 25 through 49	183	2.5 %
3	3. 50	855	11.5 %
4	4. 51 through 75	1649	22.1 %
5	5. 76 through 100	4580	61.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	81	1.1 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,372 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 141-141 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

H16: Do you favor or oppose requiring vaccines in schools? (ANES: V202331X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Favor a great deal	4163	55.9 %
2	2. Favor a moderate amount	1169	15.7 %
3	3. Favor a little	174	2.3 %
4	4. Neither favor nor oppose	1145	15.4 %
5	5. Oppose a little	107	1.4 %
6	6. Oppose a moderate amount	230	3.1 %
7	7. Oppose a great deal	400	5.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	65	0.9 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,388 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 7.00

Location: 142-142 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

H17: Should the federal government do more or less about opioid drug addiction? (ANES: V202350X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Should be doing a great deal more	3283	44.0 %
2	2. Should be doing a moderate amount more	1573	21.1 %
3	3. Should be doing a little more	234	3.1 %
4	4. Is doing the right amount	1884	25.3 %
5	5. Should be doing a little less	57	0.8 %
6	6. Should be doing a moderate amount less	170	2.3 %
7	7. Should be doing a lot less	130	1.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	122	1.6 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,331 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 7.00

Location: 143-143 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

J01: Should federal spending on Social Security be: (ANES: V201302X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Increased a lot	2561	34.4 %
2	2. Increased a little	1537	20.6 %
3	3. Kept the same	3020	40.5 %
4	4. Decreased a little	131	1.8 %
5	5. Decreased a lot	164	2.2 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	40	0.5 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,413 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 144-144 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

J02: Should federal spending on public schools be: (ANES: V201305X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Increased a lot	3883	52.1 %
2	2. Increased a little	1099	14.7 %
3	3. Kept the same	1958	26.3 %
4	4. Decreased a little	196	2.6 %
5	5. Decreased a lot	292	3.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	25	0.3 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,428 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 145-145 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

J03: Should federal spending on tightening border security be: (ANES: V201308X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Increased a lot	2183	29.3 %
2	2. Increased a little	945	12.7 %
3	3. Kept the same	2516	33.8 %
4	4. Decreased a little	796	10.7 %
5	5. Decreased a lot	969	13.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	44	0.6 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,409 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 146-146 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

J04: Should federal spending on dealing with crime be: (ANES: V201311X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Increased a lot	2606	35.0 %
2	2. Increased a little	1385	18.6 %
3	3. Kept the same	2573	34.5 %
4	4. Decreased a little	465	6.2 %
5	5. Decreased a lot	382	5.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	42	0.6 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,411 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 147-147 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

J05: Should federal spending on welfare programs be: (ANES: V201314X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Increased a lot	1162	15.6 %
2	2. Increased a little	965	12.9 %
3	3. Kept the same	3184	42.7 %
4	4. Decreased a little	907	12.2 %
5	5. Decreased a lot	1179	15.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	56	0.8 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,397 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 5.00

Location: 148-148 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

J06: Should federal spending on building and repairing highways be: (ANES: V201317X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Increased a lot	2977	39.9 %
2	2. Increased a little	1673	22.4 %
3	3. Kept the same	2541	34.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	4. Decreased a little	148	2.0 %
5	5. Decreased a lot	82	1.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	32	0.4 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,421 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 149-149 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

J07: Should federal spending on aid to the poor be: (ANES: V201320X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Increased a lot	2309	31.0 %
2	2. Increased a little	1452	19.5 %
3	3. Kept the same	2896	38.9 %
4	4. Decreased a little	407	5.5 %
5	5. Decreased a lot	345	4.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	44	0.6 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,409 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 5.00

Location: 150-150 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

J08: Should federal spending on protecting the environment be: (ANES: V201323X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Increased a lot	3286	44.1 %
2	2. Increased a little	1194	16.0 %
3	3. Kept the same	2368	31.8 %
4	4. Decreased a little	316	4.2 %
5	5. Decreased a lot	263	3.5 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
9	9. NA	26	0.3 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,427 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 151-151 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

J09: Which party is better at handling the environment? (ANES: V201243)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Democrats would do a much better job	2903	39.0 %
2	2. Democrats would do a somewhat better job	1366	18.3 %
3	3. Not much difference between them	1662	22.3 %
4	4. Republicans would do a somewhat better job	631	8.5 %
5	5. Republicans would do a much better job	857	11.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	34	0.5 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,419 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 5.00

Location: 152-152 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

J10: Do you think the federal government should be doing more about rising temperatures, should be doing less, or is it currently doing the right amount? (ANES: V201401)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Should be doing more	4333	58.1 %
2	2. Should be doing less	661	8.9 %
3	3. Is currently doing the right amount	2373	31.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	86	1.2 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,367 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 3.00

Location: 153-153 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

J11: How much is climate change affecting severe weather/temperatures in the U.S.? (ANES: V202332)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Not at all	693	9.3 %
2	2. A little	1125	15.1 %
3	3. A moderate amount	1542	20.7 %
4	4. A lot	1314	17.6 %
5	5. A great deal	2711	36.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	68	0.9 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,385 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 154-154 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

J12: Do you favor or oppose increased regulation on greenhouse emissions? (ANES: V202336X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Favor a great deal	2762	37.1 %
2	2. Favor a moderate amount	1465	19.7 %
3	3. Favor a little	307	4.1 %
4	4. Neither favor nor oppose	1822	24.4 %
5	5. Oppose a little	103	1.4 %
6	6. Oppose a moderate amount	421	5.6 %
7	7. Oppose a great deal	488	6.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	85	1.1 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,368 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00

• Maximum: 7.00

Location: 155-155 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

K01: The world is always changing and we should adjust our view of moral behavior to those changes. (ANES: V202264)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Agree strongly	1184	15.9 %
2	2. Agree somewhat	2109	28.3 %
3	3. Neither agree nor disagree	1384	18.6 %
4	4. Disagree somewhat	1153	15.5 %
5	5. Disagree strongly	1564	21.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	59	0.8 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,394 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 156-156 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

K02: This country would have fewer problems if there was more emphasis on traditional family values. (ANES: V202265)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Agree strongly	2291	30.7 %
2	2. Agree somewhat	2037	27.3 %
3	3. Neither agree nor disagree	1588	21.3 %
4	4. Disagree somewhat	817	11.0 %
5	5. Disagree strongly	662	8.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	58	0.8 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,395 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 157-157 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

K03: There has been some discussion about abortion during recent years. Which one of the opinions on this page best agrees with your view? (ANES: V201336)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. By law, abortion should never be permitted	772	10.4 %
2	2. The law should permit abortion only in case of rape, incest, or when the woman's life is in danger	1705	22.9 %
3	3. The law should permit abortion other than for rape/incest/danger to woman but only after need is clearly established	998	13.4 %
4	4. By law, a woman should always be able to obtain an abortion as a matter of personal choice	3649	49.0 %
5	5. Other {SPECIFY}	270	3.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	59	0.8 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,394 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 158-158 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

K04: How important is the abortion issue to you personally? (ANES: V201337)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Not at all important	308	4.1 %
2	2. Not too important	936	12.6 %
3	3. Somewhat important	2044	27.4 %
4	4. Very important	2074	27.8 %
5	5. Extremely important	2057	27.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	34	0.5 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,419 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 5.00

Location: 159-159 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

K05: Do you favor or oppose the death penalty? (ANES: V201345X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Favor strongly	2941	39.5 %
2	2. Favor not strongly	1491	20.0 %
3	3. Oppose not strongly	1506	20.2 %
4	4. Oppose strongly	1371	18.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	144	1.9 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,309 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 160-160 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

K06: Do you favor or oppose background checks for gun purchases? (ANES: V202341X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Favor a great deal	5177	69.5 %
2	2. Favor a moderate amount	1156	15.5 %
3	3. Favor a little	184	2.5 %
4	4. Neither favor nor oppose	537	7.2 %
5	5. Oppose a little	43	0.6 %
6	6. Oppose a moderate amount	117	1.6 %
7	7. Oppose a great deal	177	2.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	62	0.8 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,391 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 7.00

Location: 161-161 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

K07: Do you favor or oppose banning 'assault-style' rifles? (ANES: V202344X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Favor a great deal	3272	43.9 %
2	2. Favor a moderate amount	602	8.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	3. Favor a little	116	1.6 %
4	4. Neither favor nor oppose	1355	18.2 %
5	5. Oppose a little	159	2.1 %
6	6. Oppose a moderate amount	519	7.0 %
7	7. Oppose a great deal	1362	18.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	68	0.9 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,385 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 7.00

Location: 162-162 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

K08: Do you favor or oppose mandatory buy back of 'assault-style' rifles? (ANES: V202347X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Favor a great deal	2147	28.8 %
2	2. Favor a moderate amount	972	13.0 %
3	3. Favor a little	233	3.1 %
4	4. Neither favor nor oppose	1822	24.4 %
5	5. Oppose a little	125	1.7 %
6	6. Oppose a moderate amount	500	6.7 %
7	7. Oppose a great deal	1583	21.2 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	71	1.0 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,382 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 7.00

Location: 163-163 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

K09: Which party is better at handling immigration? (ANES: V201241)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Democrats would do a much better job	2163	29.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	2. Democrats would do a somewhat better job	1331	17.9 %
3	3. Not much difference between them	1029	13.8 %
4	4. Republicans would do a somewhat better job	850	11.4 %
5	5. Republicans would do a much better job	2055	27.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	25	0.3 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,428 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 164-164 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

K10: What should U.S. government policy be toward unauthorized immigrants? (ANES: V201417)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Make all unauthorized immigrants felons and send them back to their home country	973	13.1 %
2	2. Have a guest worker program that allows unauthorized immigrants to remain in U.S. to work but only for a limited time	1056	14.2 %
3	3. Allow unauthorized immigrants to remain in U.S. and eventually qualify for citizenship but only if meet requirements	4082	54.8 %
4	4. Allow unauthorized immigrants to remain in U.S. and eventually qualify for citizenship without penalties	1275	17.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	67	0.9 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,386 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 165-165 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

K11: Do you favor or oppose building a wall on the border with Mexico? (ANES: V201426X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Favor a great deal	1903	25.5 %
2	2. Favor a moderate amount	613	8.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	3. Favor a little	166	2.2 %
4	4. Neither favor nor oppose	1293	17.3 %
5	5. Oppose a little	145	1.9 %
6	6. Oppose a moderate amount	644	8.6 %
7	7. Oppose a great deal	2654	35.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	35	0.5 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,418 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 7.00

Location: 166-166 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

K12: Does illegal immigration increase, decrease, or have no effect on the crime rate in the U.S.? (ANES: V202239X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Increase a lot	1322	17.7 %
2	2. Increase a moderate amount	1762	23.6 %
3	3. Increase a little	780	10.5 %
4	4. Have no effect	3300	44.3 %
5	5. Decrease a little	63	0.8 %
6	6. Decrease a moderate amount	93	1.2 %
7	7. Decrease a lot	20	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	113	1.5 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,340 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 7.00

Location: 167-167 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

K13: Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose providing a path to citizenship for unauthorized immigrants who obey the law, pay a fine, and pass security checks? (ANES: V202242X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Favor a great deal	2546	34.2 %
2	2. Favor a moderate amount	2110	28.3 %
3	3. Favor a little	418	5.6 %
4	4. Neither favor nor oppose	1250	16.8 %
5	5. Oppose a little	87	1.2 %
6	6. Oppose a moderate amount	377	5.1 %
7	7. Oppose a great deal	606	8.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	59	0.8 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,394 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 7.00

Location: 168-168 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

K14: Do you favor or oppose returning unauthorized immigrants to their native country? (ANES: V202245X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Favor a great deal	1307	17.5 %
2	2. Favor a moderate amount	868	11.6 %
3	3. Favor a little	245	3.3 %
4	4. Neither favor nor oppose	2150	28.8 %
5	5. Oppose a little	233	3.1 %
6	6. Oppose a moderate amount	1115	15.0 %
7	7. Oppose a great deal	1476	19.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	59	0.8 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,394 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 7.00

Location: 169-169 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

K15: Do you favor or oppose separating the children of detained immigrants? (ANES: V202248X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Favor a great deal	204	2.7 %
2	2. Favor a moderate amount	151	2.0 %
3	3. Favor a little	49	0.7 %
4	4. Neither favor nor oppose	1178	15.8 %
5	5. Oppose a little	137	1.8 %
6	6. Oppose a moderate amount	909	12.2 %
7	7. Oppose a great deal	4760	63.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	65	0.9 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,388 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 7.00

Location: 170-170 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

K16: How likely is it immigration will take away jobs? (ANES: V202233)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Extremely likely	605	8.1 %
2	2. Very likely	1182	15.9 %
3	3. Somewhat likely	2944	39.5 %
4	4. Not at all likely	2662	35.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	60	0.8 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,393 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 171-171 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

K17: Do you favor or oppose allowing refugees to come to the U.S.? (ANES: V202236X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Favor a great deal	1776	23.8 %
2	2. Favor a moderate amount	1775	23.8 %
3	3. Favor a little	366	4.9 %
4	4. Neither favor nor oppose	2439	32.7 %
5	5. Oppose a little	150	2.0 %
6	6. Oppose a moderate amount	453	6.1 %
7	7. Oppose a great deal	442	5.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	52	0.7 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,401 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 7.00

Location: 172-172 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

K18: On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward illegal immigrants? (ANES: V202481)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. 0 through 24	1383	18.6 %
2	2. 25 through 49	1564	21.0 %
3	3. 50	1593	21.4 %
4	4. 51 through 75	1479	19.8 %
5	5. 76 through 100	1078	14.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	356	4.8 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,097 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 173-173 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

L01: Many women interpret innocent remarks or acts as being sexist. Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement? (ANES: V201639)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Agree strongly	489	6.6 %
2	2. Agree somewhat	1833	24.6 %
3	3. Neither agree nor disagree	2694	36.1 %
4	4. Disagree somewhat	1219	16.4 %
5	5. Disagree strongly	1082	14.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	136	1.8 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,317 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 174-174 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

L02: Women seek to gain power by getting control over men. Do you agree strongly, agree somewhat, neither agree nor disagree, disagree somewhat, or disagree strongly with this statement? (ANES: V201640)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Agree strongly	238	3.2 %
2	2. Agree somewhat	892	12.0 %
3	3. Neither agree nor disagree	2613	35.1 %
4	4. Disagree somewhat	1225	16.4 %
5	5. Disagree strongly	2359	31.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	126	1.7 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,327 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 5.00

Location: 175-175 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

L03: Is it better or worse if a man works and a woman takes care of home? (ANES: V202290X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1 Much better	974	13 1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	2. Somewhat better	958	12.9 %
3	3. Slightly better	249	3.3 %
4	4. Makes no difference	4794	64.3 %
5	5. Slightly worse	73	1.0 %
6	6. Somewhat worse	223	3.0 %
7	7. Much worse	98	1.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	84	1.1 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,369 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 7.00

Location: 176-176 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

L04: Attention to sexual harassment has gone too far or not far enough? (ANES: V202387X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Has gone much too far	872	11.7 %
2	2. Has gone a little too far	732	9.8 %
3	3. Has been about right	3126	41.9 %
4	4. Has not gone quite far enough	1208	16.2 %
5	5. Has not gone nearly far enough	1387	18.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	128	1.7 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,325 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 5.00

Location: 177-177 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

L05: Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose requiring employers to offer paid leave to parents of new children? (ANES: V201405X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Favor a great deal	3240	43.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	2. Favor a moderate amount	1742	23.4 %
3	3. Favor a little	290	3.9 %
4	4. Neither favor nor oppose	1559	20.9 %
5	5. Oppose a little	107	1.4 %
6	6. Oppose a moderate amount	281	3.8 %
7	7. Oppose a great deal	203	2.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	31	0.4 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,422 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 7.00

Location: 178-178 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

L06: On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward feminists? (ANES: V202160)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. 0 through 24	767	10.3 %
2	2. 25 through 49	1033	13.9 %
3	3. 50	1728	23.2 %
4	4. 51 through 75	1711	23.0 %
5	5. 76 through 100	2092	28.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	122	1.6 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,331 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 5.00

Location: 179-179 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

M01: Some people think the way people talk needs to change w/ the times to be more sensitive to people from different backgrounds. Others think this has gone too far and many people are too easily offended. Which is closer to your opinion? (ANES V201626)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. The way people talk needs to change a lot	1649	22.1 %
2	2. The way people talk needs to change a little	1844	24.7 %
3	3. People are a little too easily offended	1889	25.3 %
4	4. People are much too easily offended	1943	26.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	128	1.7 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,325 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 180-180 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

M02: Do you favor or oppose preferential hiring/promotion of blacks? (ANES: V202252X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Strongly for preferential hiring/promotion of blacks	1335	17.9 %
2	2. Not strongly for preferential hiring/promotion of blacks	938	12.6 %
3	3. Not strongly against preferential hiring/promotion of blacks	1747	23.4 %
4	4. Strongly against preferential hiring/promotion of blacks	3220	43.2 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	213	2.9 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,240 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 181-181 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

M03: Do you agree or disagree: Blacks should work their way up without special favors. (ANES: V202300)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Agree strongly	1657	22.2 %
2	2. Agree somewhat	1589	21.3 %
3	3. Neither agree nor disagree	1319	17.7 %
4	4. Disagree somewhat	1141	15.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	5. Disagree strongly	1667	22.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	80	1.1 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,373 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 182-182 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

M04: Do you agree or disagree: Past slavery and discrimination make it difficult for blacks. (ANES: V202301)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Agree strongly	1902	25.5 %
2	2. Agree somewhat	2007	26.9 %
3	3. Neither agree nor disagree	977	13.1 %
4	4. Disagree somewhat	1162	15.6 %
5	5. Disagree strongly	1337	17.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	68	0.9 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,385 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 5.00

Location: 183-183 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

M05: Do you agree or disagree: Blacks have gotten less than they deserve. (ANES: V202302)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Agree strongly	1521	20.4 %
2	2. Agree somewhat	1635	21.9 %
3	3. Neither agree nor disagree	1607	21.6 %
4	4. Disagree somewhat	1244	16.7 %
5	5. Disagree strongly	1363	18.3 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
9	9. NA	83	1.1 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,370 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 184-184 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

M06: Do you agree or disagree: If blacks tried harder they'd be as well off as whites. (ANES: V202303)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Agree strongly	771	10.3 %
2	2. Agree somewhat	1381	18.5 %
3	3. Neither agree nor disagree	1504	20.2 %
4	4. Disagree somewhat	1430	19.2 %
5	5. Disagree strongly	2285	30.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	82	1.1 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,371 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 185-185 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

M07: Increasing diversity has made the U.S. a better or worse place to live? (ANES: V202373X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. A lot better	2833	38.0 %
2	2. A little better	1168	15.7 %
3	3. Makes no difference	2820	37.8 %
4	4. A little worse	270	3.6 %
5	5. A lot worse	262	3.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	100	1.3 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,353 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 186-186 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

M08: On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward blacks? (ANES: V202480)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. 0 through 24	87	1.2 %
2	2. 25 through 49	275	3.7 %
3	3. 50	1693	22.7 %
4	4. 51 through 75	1864	25.0 %
5	5. 76 through 100	3184	42.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	350	4.7 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,103 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 187-187 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

M09: On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward Hispanics? (ANES: V202479)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. 0 through 24	82	1.1 %
2	2. 25 through 49	173	2.3 %
3	3. 50	1805	24.2 %
4	4. 51 through 75	1905	25.6 %
5	5. 76 through 100	3149	42.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	339	4.5 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,114 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 5.00

Location: 188-188 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

M10: On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward Asians/Asian-Americans? (ANES: V202477 and V202478)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. 0 through 24	107	1.4 %
2	2. 25 through 49	183	2.5 %
3	3. 50	1943	26.1 %
4	4. 51 through 75	1834	24.6 %
5	5. 76 through 100	3057	41.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	329	4.4 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,124 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 5.00

Location: 189-189 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

M11: On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward whites? (ANES: V202482)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. 0 through 24	128	1.7 %
2	2. 25 through 49	345	4.6 %
3	3. 50	1765	23.7 %
4	4. 51 through 75	1874	25.1 %
5	5. 76 through 100	2976	39.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	365	4.9 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,088 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 190-190 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

M12: On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward Black Lives Matter? (ANES: V202174)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. 0 through 24	1956	26.2 %
2	2. 25 through 49	786	10.5 %
3	3. 50	737	9.9 %
4	4. 51 through 75	1475	19.8 %
5	5. 76 through 100	2394	32.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	105	1.4 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,348 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 191-191 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

M13: On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward the police? (ANES: V202171)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. 0 through 24	427	5.7 %
2	2. 25 through 49	780	10.5 %
3	3. 50	514	6.9 %
4	4. 51 through 75	2150	28.8 %
5	5. 76 through 100	3522	47.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	60	0.8 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,393 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 192-192 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

M14: How often do police officers use more force than necessary? (ANES: V202351)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Never	152	2.0 %
2	2. Rarely	3126	41.9 %
3	3. About half the time	2433	32.6 %
4	4. Most of the time	1184	15.9 %
5	5. All the time	464	6.2 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	94	1.3 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,359 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 193-193 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

M15: What is the best way to deal with urban unrest? (ANES: V201429)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Solve problems of racism and police violence	2402	32.2 %
2	2.	689	9.2 %
3	3.	554	7.4 %
4	4.	910	12.2 %
5	5.	629	8.4 %
6	6.	556	7.5 %
7	7. Use all available force to maintain law and order	1233	16.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	480	6.4 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 6,973 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 7.00

Location: 194-194 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

M16: Have protestors' actions been mostly violent or peaceful? (ANES: V201432X)

Valu	e Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. A lot more violent	2197	29.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	2. A little more violent	208	2.8 %
3	3. Equally violent and peaceful	2303	30.9 %
4	4. A little more peaceful	932	12.5 %
5	5. A lot more peaceful	1775	23.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	38	0.5 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,415 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 195-195 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

M17: On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward Christian fundamentalists? (ANES: V202159)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. 0 through 24	1718	23.1 %
2	2. 25 through 49	1197	16.1 %
3	3. 50	1912	25.7 %
4	4. 51 through 75	1223	16.4 %
5	5. 76 through 100	1148	15.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	255	3.4 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,198 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 196-196 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

M18: On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward Christians? (ANES: V202169)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. 0 through 24	320	4.3 %
2	2. 25 through 49	596	8.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	3. 50	1237	16.6 %
4	4. 51 through 75	1630	21.9 %
5	5. 76 through 100	3566	47.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	104	1.4 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,349 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 197-197 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

M19: On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward Jews? (ANES: V202170)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. 0 through 24	122	1.6 %
2	2. 25 through 49	130	1.7 %
3	3. 50	1964	26.4 %
4	4. 51 through 75	1500	20.1 %
5	5. 76 through 100	3582	48.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	155	2.1 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,298 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 5.00

Location: 198-198 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

M20: On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward Muslims? (ANES: V202168)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. 0 through 24	610	8.2 %
2	2. 25 through 49	747	10.0 %
3	3. 50	2564	34.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	4. 51 through 75	1625	21.8 %
5	5. 76 through 100	1750	23.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	157	2.1 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,296 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 199-199 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

N01: During the past year, would you say that the United States' position in the world has grown weaker, stayed about the same, or has it grown stronger? (ANES: V201346)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Weaker	4128	55.4 %
2	2. Stayed about the same	1698	22.8 %
3	3. Stronger	1604	21.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	23	0.3 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,430 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 3.00

Location: 200-200 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

N02: The country would be better off if we just stayed home. (ANES: V201349X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Agree strongly	824	11.1 %
2	2. Agree somewhat	1010	13.6 %
3	3. Disagree somewhat	2486	33.4 %
4	4. Disagree strongly	3052	40.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	81	1.1 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,372 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 201-201 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

N03: How willing should the United States be to use military force to solve international problems? (ANES: V201350)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Extremely willing	280	3.8 %
2	2. Very willing	797	10.7 %
3	3. Moderately willing	3642	48.9 %
4	4. A little willing	2116	28.4 %
5	5. Not at all willing	570	7.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	48	0.6 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,405 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 202-202 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

N04: How much is China a threat to the United States? (ANES: V202400)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Not at all	337	4.5 %
2	2. A little	866	11.6 %
3	3. A moderate amount	2106	28.3 %
4	4. A lot	1814	24.3 %
5	5. A great deal	2218	29.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	112	1.5 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,341 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 203-203 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

N05: How much is Russia a threat to the United States? (ANES: V202401)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Not at all	324	4.3 %
2	2. A little	1015	13.6 %
3	3. A moderate amount	2220	29.8 %
4	4. A lot	1874	25.1 %
5	5. A great deal	1907	25.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	113	1.5 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,340 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 204-204 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

N06: How much is Mexico a threat to the United States? (ANES: V202402)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Not at all	3493	46.9 %
2	2. A little	2190	29.4 %
3	3. A moderate amount	1263	16.9 %
4	4. A lot	238	3.2 %
5	5. A great deal	152	2.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	117	1.6 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,336 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 205-205 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

N07: How much is Iran a threat to the United States? (ANES: V202403)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Not at all	363	4.9 %
2	2. A little	1088	14.6 %
3	3. A moderate amount	2313	31.0 %
4	4. A lot	1846	24.8 %
5	5. A great deal	1713	23.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	130	1.7 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,323 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 206-206 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

N08: How worried are you about terrorist attacks in the near future? (ANES: V202358)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Extremely worried	491	6.6 %
2	2. Very worried	889	11.9 %
3	3. Moderately worried	2650	35.6 %
4	4. Slightly worried	2339	31.4 %
5	5. Not at all worried	1010	13.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	74	1.0 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,379 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 207-207 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

P01: How often do you trust the government in Washington to do what is right? (ANES: V201233)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Always	80	1.1 %
2	2. Most of the time	1016	13.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	3. About half the time	2313	31.0 %
4	4. Some of the time	3313	44.5 %
5	5. Never	702	9.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	29	0.4 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,424 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 208-208 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

P02: How often can people be trusted? (ANES: V201237)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Always	48	0.6 %
2	2. Most of the time	3511	47.1 %
3	3. About half the time	2020	27.1 %
4	4. Some of the time	1597	21.4 %
5	5. Never	264	3.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	13	0.2 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,440 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 209-209 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

P03: How much trust do you have in election officials? (ANES: V201352)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Not at all	629	8.4 %
2	2. A little	956	12.8 %
3	3. A moderate amount	2255	30.3 %
4	4. A lot	2050	27.5 %
5	5. A great deal	1535	20.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	28	0.4 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,425 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 210-210 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

P04: How often are votes counted fairly? (ANES: V202219)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. All of the time	2125	28.5 %
2	2. Most of the time	3160	42.4 %
3	3. About half of the time	696	9.3 %
4	4. Some of the time	980	13.1 %
5	5. Never	440	5.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	52	0.7 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,401 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 5.00

Location: 211-211 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

P05: In the November 2020 general election, how accurately do you think the votes will be counted? (ANES: V201351)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Not at all accurately	954	12.8 %
2	2. A little accurately	899	12.1 %
3	3. Moderately accurately	2577	34.6 %
4	4. Very accurately	2415	32.4 %
5	5. Completely accurately	559	7.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	49	0.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,404 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 212-212 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

P06: How often are people who are eligible to vote denied the right to vote? (ANES: V201353)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Never	981	13.2 %
2	2. Rarely	2525	33.9 %
3	3. Occasionally	2383	32.0 %
4	4. Fairly often	1064	14.3 %
5	5. Very often	375	5.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	125	1.7 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,328 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 213-213 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

P07: Do you favor or oppose vote by mail? (ANES: V201356X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Favor a great deal	1152	15.5 %
2	2. Favor moderately	690	9.3 %
3	3. Favor a little	112	1.5 %
4	4. Neither favor nor oppose	2741	36.8 %
5	5. Oppose a little	115	1.5 %
6	6. Oppose moderately	645	8.7 %
7	7. Oppose a great deal	1980	26.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	18	0.2 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,435 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 7.00

Location: 214-214 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

P08: Do you favor or oppose requiring ID when voting? (ANES: V201359X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Favor a great deal	3715	49.8 %
2	2. Favor moderately	1018	13.7 %
3	3. Favor a little	246	3.3 %
4	4. Neither favor nor oppose	1395	18.7 %
5	5. Oppose a little	107	1.4 %
6	6. Oppose moderately	425	5.7 %
7	7. Oppose a great deal	526	7.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	21	0.3 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,432 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 7.00

Location: 215-215 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

P09: Do you favor or oppose allowing felons to vote? (ANES: V201362X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Favor a great deal	2260	30.3 %
2	2. Favor moderately	1561	20.9 %
3	3. Favor a little	450	6.0 %
4	4. Neither favor nor oppose	1878	25.2 %
5	5. Oppose a little	125	1.7 %
6	6. Oppose moderately	455	6.1 %
7	7. Oppose a great deal	698	9.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	26	0.3 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,427 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 7.00

Location: 216-216 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

P10: Public officials don't care what people think. (ANES: V202212)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Agree strongly	1882	25.3 %
2	2. Agree somewhat	3065	41.1 %
3	3. Neither agree nor disagree	1066	14.3 %
4	4. Disagree somewhat	1166	15.6 %
5	5. Disagree strongly	237	3.2 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	37	0.5 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,416 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 5.00

Location: 217-217 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

P11: People like me don't have any say about what the government does. (ANES: V202213)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Agree strongly	1614	21.7 %
2	2. Agree somewhat	2774	37.2 %
3	3. Neither agree nor disagree	860	11.5 %
4	4. Disagree somewhat	1690	22.7 %
5	5. Disagree strongly	482	6.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	33	0.4 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,420 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 218-218 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

P12: Is government run by a few big interests or is it run for the benefit of all? (ANES: V201234)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Run by a few big interests	6238	83.7 %
2	2. For the benefit of all the people	1130	15.2 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	85	1.1 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,368 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 2.00

Location: 219-219 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

P13: Do you favor, oppose, or neither favor nor oppose placing limits on political campaign spending? (ANES: V202225)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Favor	5189	69.6 %
2	2. Oppose	304	4.1 %
3	3. Neither favor nor oppose	1918	25.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	42	0.6 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,411 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 3.00

Location: 220-220 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

P14: When organizations spend money on advertising to support candidates for Congress, how much does Congress respond by passing laws to benefit those organizations? (ANES: V202226)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. A great deal	987	13.2 %
2	2. A lot	1799	24.1 %
3	3. A moderate amount	2363	31.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	4. A little	1468	19.7 %
5	5. Not at all	666	8.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	170	2.3 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,283 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 221-221 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

P15: When people give money to the election campaigns of members of Congress, how much does Congress respond by passing laws to benefit people who gave them money? (ANES: V202227)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. A great deal	423	5.7 %
2	2. A lot	883	11.8 %
3	3. A moderate amount	1886	25.3 %
4	4. A little	2074	27.8 %
5	5. Not at all	2018	27.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	169	2.3 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,284 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 222-222 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

P16: How often do members of Congress change their votes on legislation because someone donates money to their campaign? (ANES:V202228)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Never	110	1.5 %
2	2. Rarely	652	8.7 %
3	3. A moderate amount of time	3174	42.6 %
4	4. Very often	2428	32.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	5. All the time	930	12.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	159	2.1 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,294 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 223-223 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

P17: How well does the following statement describe your view? Our political system only works for insiders with money and power. (ANES: V202304)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Not at all well	271	3.6 %
2	2. Not very well	803	10.8 %
3	3. Somewhat well	2820	37.8 %
4	4. Very well	2035	27.3 %
5	5. Extremely well	1428	19.2 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	96	1.3 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,357 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 224-224 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

P18: How well does the following statement describe your view? Because of the rich and powerful, it's difficult for the rest to get ahead. (ANES: V202305)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Not at all well	730	9.8 %
2	2. Not very well	1668	22.4 %
3	3. Somewhat well	2588	34.7 %
4	4. Very well	1304	17.5 %
5	5. Extremely well	1086	14.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	77	1.0 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,376 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 225-225 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

P19: How well does the following statement describe your view? Business and politics are controlled by few powerful people. (ANES: V202311)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Not at all	629	8.4 %
2	2. Not very well	1486	19.9 %
3	3. Somewhat well	2644	35.5 %
4	4. Very well	1591	21.3 %
5	5. Extremely well	1009	13.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	94	1.3 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,359 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 226-226 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

P20: How well does the following statement describe your view? Much of what people hear in schools and media are lies by those in power. (ANES: V202312)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Not at all	1331	17.9 %
2	2. Not very well	1808	24.3 %
3	3. Somewhat well	2215	29.7 %
4	4. Very well	1088	14.6 %
5	5. Extremely well	912	12.2 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
9	9. NA	99	1.3 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,354 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 227-227 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

P21: Does government waste much tax money? (ANES: V201235)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Waste a lot	5021	67.4 %
2	2. Waste some	2236	30.0 %
3	3. Don't waste very much	172	2.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	24	0.3 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,429 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 3.00

Location: 228-228 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

P22: How many in government are corrupt? (ANES: V201236)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. All	238	3.2 %
2	2. Most	2213	29.7 %
3	3. About half	2604	34.9 %
4	4. A few	2270	30.5 %
5	5. None	68	0.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	60	0.8 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,393 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

• Minimum: 1.00

• Maximum: 5.00

Location: 229-229 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

P23: How widespread is corruption among politicians in the United States? (ANES: V202425)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Very widespread	1954	26.2 %
2	2. Quite widespread	3241	43.5 %
3	3. Not very widespread	1933	25.9 %
4	4. Hardly happens at all	195	2.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	130	1.7 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,323 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 4.00

Location: 230-230 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

P24: Elections make government pay attention. (ANES: V201238)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. A good deal	2391	32.1 %
2	2. Some	3816	51.2 %
3	3. Not much	1233	16.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	13	0.2 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,440 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 3.00

Location: 231-231 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

P25: On the whole, are you very satisfied, fairly satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied with the way democracy works in the United States? (ANES: V202440)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Very satisfied	627	8.4 %
2	2. Fairly satisfied	3913	52.5 %
4	4. Not very satisfied	2277	30.6 %
5	5. Not at all satisfied	522	7.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	114	1.5 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,339 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 232-232 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

P26: How often do politics and government seem so complicated that you can't really understand what's going on? (ANES: V202214)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Always	327	4.4 %
2	2. Most of the time	1370	18.4 %
3	3. About half the time	1761	23.6 %
4	4. Some of the time	3067	41.2 %
5	5. Never	893	12.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	35	0.5 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,418 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 233-233 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

P27: Has corruption increased or decreased since Trump became president? (ANES: V201382X)

Va	alue	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	1	Increased a great deal	3104	41.6 %
	2	2. Increased a moderate amount	952	12.8 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	3. Increased a little	177	2.4 %
4	4. Stayed the same	2115	28.4 %
5	5. Decreased a little	250	3.4 %
6	6. Decreased a moderate amount	530	7.1 %
7	7. Decreased a great deal	251	3.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	74	1.0 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,379 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 7.00

Location: 234-234 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

P28: Do you favor or oppose the House impeachment decision? (ANES: V201386X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Favor a great deal	2708	36.3 %
2	2. Favor moderately	561	7.5 %
3	3. Favor a little	119	1.6 %
4	4. Neither favor nor oppose	1060	14.2 %
5	5. Oppose a little	152	2.0 %
6	6. Oppose moderately	450	6.0 %
7	7. Oppose a great deal	2363	31.7 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	40	0.5 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,413 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 7.00

Location: 235-235 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

P29: Do you favor or oppose the Senate acquittal decision? (ANES: V201389X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Favor a great deal	2303	30.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	2. Favor moderately	388	5.2 %
3	3. Favor a little	113	1.5 %
4	4. Neither favor nor oppose	1238	16.6 %
5	5. Oppose a little	98	1.3 %
6	6. Oppose moderately	564	7.6 %
7	7. Oppose a great deal	2710	36.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	39	0.5 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,414 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 7.00

Location: 236-236 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

Q01: Do you think business owners who provide wedding-related services should be allowed to refuse services to same-sex couples if same-sex marriage violates their religious beliefs? (ANES V201408X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Feels very strongly should be allowed to refuse services	1902	25.5 %
2	2. Feels moderately strongly should be allowed to refuse services	1193	16.0 %
3	3. Feels a little strongly should be allowed to refuse services	600	8.1 %
4	4. Feels a little strongly should be required to provide services	413	5.5 %
5	5. Feels moderately strongly should be required to provide services	1166	15.6 %
6	6. Feels very strongly should be required to provide services	2083	27.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	96	1.3 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,357 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 6.00

Location: 237-237 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

Q02: Do you favor or oppose laws to protect gays and lesbians against job discrimination? (ANES: V201414X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Favor strongly	5285	70.9 %
2	2. Favor not strongly	1154	15.5 %
3	3. Oppose not strongly	382	5.1 %
4	4. Oppose strongly	537	7.2 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	95	1.3 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,358 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 238-238 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

Q03: Should gay and lesbian couples be allowed to adopt? (ANES:V201415)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Yes	5914	79.4 %
2	2. No	1431	19.2 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	108	1.4 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,345 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 2.00

Location: 239-239 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

Q04: Should gay and lesbian couples be allowed to legally marry? (ANES: V201416)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Gay and lesbian couples should be allowed to legally marry	5021	67.4 %
2	2. Gay and lesbian couples should be allowed to form civil unions but not legally marry	1374	18.4 %
3	3. There should be no legal recognition of gay or lesbian couples' relationship	958	12.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	100	1.3 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,353 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 3.00

Location: 240-240 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

Q05: On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward gay men and lesbians? (ANES: V202166)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. 0 through 24	535	7.2 %
2	2. 25 through 49	427	5.7 %
3	3. 50	2224	29.8 %
4	4. 51 through 75	1343	18.0 %
5	5. 76 through 100	2797	37.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	127	1.7 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,326 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 241-241 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

Q06: Should transgender people have to use the bathrooms of the gender they were born as, or should they be allowed to use the bathrooms of their identified gender? (ANES: V201411X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Feels very strongly transgender people should use bathroom of birth gender	2143	28.8 %
2	2. Feels moderately strongly transgender people should use bathroom of birth gender	811	10.9 %
3	3. Feels a little strongly transgender people should use bathroom of birth gender	383	5.1 %
4	4. Feels a little strongly transgender people be allowed to use bathroom of identified gender	754	10.1 %
5	5. Feels moderately strongly transgender people be allowed to use bathroom of identified gender	1492	20.0 %
6	6. Feels very strongly transgender people be allowed to use bathroom of identified gender	1601	21.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	269	3.6 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,184 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 6.00

Location: 242-242 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

Q07: Do you favor or oppose transgender people serving in the military? (ANES: V202390X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Favor a great deal	1949	26.2 %
2	2. Favor a moderate amount	1098	14.7 %
3	3. Favor a little	250	3.4 %
4	4. Neither favor nor oppose	2792	37.5 %
5	5. Oppose a little	94	1.3 %
6	6. Oppose a moderate amount	366	4.9 %
7	7. Oppose a great deal	809	10.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	95	1.3 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,358 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 7.00

Location: 243-243 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

Q08: On a scale of 0 to 100 degrees, how warm or cold do you feel toward transgender people? (ANES: V202172)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. 0 through 24	775	10.4 %
2	2. 25 through 49	640	8.6 %
3	3. 50	2402	32.2 %
4	4. 51 through 75	1313	17.6 %
5	5. 76 through 100	2181	29.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	142	1.9 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,311 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 244-244 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

R01: Is religion an important part of your life? (ANES: V201433)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Extremely important	2045	27.4 %
2	2. Very important	1417	19.0 %
3	3. Moderately important	1431	19.2 %
4	4. A little important	957	12.8 %
5	5. Not important at all	1579	21.2 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	24	0.3 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,429 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 245-245 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

R02: Is The Bible the word of God or men? (ANES: V201434)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. The Bible is the actual word of God and is to be taken literally, word for word	1740	23.3 %
2	2. The Bible is the word of God but not everything in it should be taken literally, word for word	3089	41.4 %
3	3. The Bible is a book written by men and is not the word of God	2043	27.4 %
5	Other {SPECIFY}	489	6.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	92	1.2 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,361 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 246-246 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

R03: Do you consider yourself born again? (ANES: V201456)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Yes	2193	29.4 %
2	2. No	3023	40.6 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	2237	30.0 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 5,216 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 2.00

Location: 247-247 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

R04: Do you consider yourself a religious fundamentalist or evangelical? (ANES: V201459)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Fundamentalist	370	5.0 %
2	2. Evangelical	736	9.9 %
3	3. Both	494	6.6 %
4	4. Neither	4836	64.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	1017	13.6 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 6,436 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 248-248 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

R05: What is your present religion? (ANES: V201435)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Protestant	1908	25.6 %
2	2. Roman Catholic	1489	20.0 %
3	3. Orthodox Christian (such as Greek or Russian Orthodox)	132	1.8 %
4	4. Latter-Day Saints (LDS)	105	1.4 %
5	5. Jewish	177	2.4 %
6	6. Muslim	43	0.6 %
7	7. Buddhist	61	0.8 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	8. Hindu	32	0.4 %
9	9. Atheist	305	4.1 %
10	10. Agnostic	421	5.6 %
11	11. Something else	1379	18.5 %
12	12. Nothing in particular	1332	17.9 %
	Missing Data		
13	13. NA	69	0.9 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,384 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 12.00

Location: 249-250 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 13

R06: How often do you attend religious services? (ANES: V201453)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Every week	1131	15.2 %
2	2. Almost every week	832	11.2 %
3	3. Once or twice a month	595	8.0 %
4	4. A few times a year	929	12.5 %
5	5. Never	92	1.2 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	3874	52.0 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 3,579 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 251-251 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

R07: How many guns do you or anyone else living here own? (ANES: V201628)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	0. Zero guns	4470	60.0 %
1	1. One gun	756	10.1 %
2	2. Two guns	546	7.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	3. Three or more guns	1141	15.3 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	540	7.2 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 6,913 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 3.00

Location: 252-252 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

R08: What is your age? (ANES: V201507X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. 18 to 24	353	4.7 %
2	2. 25 to 34	1086	14.6 %
3	3. 35 to 44	1241	16.7 %
4	4. 45 to 54	1079	14.5 %
5	5. 55 to 64	1336	17.9 %
6	6. 65 to 74	1349	18.1 %
7	7. 75 or older	709	9.5 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	300	4.0 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,153 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 7.00

Location: 253-253 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

R09: What is your sex? (ANES: V201600)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Male	3375	45.3 %
2	2. Female	4027	54.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	51	0.7 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,402 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 2.00

Location: 254-254 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

R10: What is race or ethnicity? (ANES: V201549X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. White, non-Hispanic	5420	72.7 %
2	2. Black, non-Hispanic	650	8.7 %
3	3. Hispanic	662	8.9 %
4	4. Asian or Native Hawaiian/other Pacific Islander, non-Hispanic	248	3.3 %
5	5. Native American/Alaska Native or other race, non-Hispanic	155	2.1 %
6	6. Multiple races, non-Hispanic	237	3.2 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	81	1.1 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,372 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 6.00

Location: 255-255 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

R11: What is your level of education? (ANES: V201511X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Less than high school credential	312	4.2 %
2	2. High school credential	1160	15.6 %
3	3. Some post-high school, no bachelor's degree	2514	33.7 %
4	4. Bachelor's degree	1877	25.2 %
5	5. Graduate degree	1474	19.8 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	116	1.6 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,337 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 256-256 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

R12: What is your total household income? (ANES: V202468X)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Less than \$20,000	1129	15.1 %
2	2. \$20,000 to \$34,999	866	11.6 %
3	3. \$35,000 to \$49,999	753	10.1 %
4	4. \$50,000 to \$74,999	1261	16.9 %
5	5. \$75,000 to \$99,999	927	12.4 %
6	6. \$100,000 to \$124,999	795	10.7 %
7	7. \$125,000 or more	1522	20.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	200	2.7 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,253 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 7.00

Location: 257-257 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

R13: What is your marital status? (ANES: V201508)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Married: spouse present	3906	52.4 %
2	2. Married: spouse absent	5	0.1 %
3	3. Widowed	509	6.8 %
4	4. Divorced	1120	15.0 %
5	5. Separated	142	1.9 %
6	6. Never married	1725	23.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	46	0.6 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,407 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 6.00

Location: 258-258 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

R14: What is your domestic partnership status? (ANES: V201509)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Yes, living with a partner	663	8.9 %
2	2. No, not living with a partner	2828	37.9 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	3962	53.2 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 3,491 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 2.00

Location: 259-259 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

R15: What is your sexual orientation? (ANES: V201601)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Heterosexual or straight	6878	92.3 %
2	2. Homosexual or gay (or lesbian)	180	2.4 %
3	3. Bisexual	204	2.7 %
4	4. Something else {SPECIFY}	77	1.0 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	114	1.5 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,339 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 260-260 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

R16: Are you now serving on active duty in the U.S. armed forces or have you previously served on active duty in the U.S. armed forces, or have you never served on active duty in the U.S. armed forces? (ANES: V201516)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Now serving on active duty	66	0.9 %
2	2. Previously served on active duty but not now on active duty	786	10.5 %
3	3. Have never served on active duty	6582	88.3 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
9	9. NA	19	0.3 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,434 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 3.00

Location: 261-261 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

R17: Does anyone in your household belong to a labor union? (ANES: V201544)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Yes	1004	13.5 %
2	2. No	6418	86.1 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	31	0.4 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,422 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 2.00

Location: 262-262 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric (Range of) Missing Values: 9

R18: Do you currently live in a rural or urban area? (ANES: V202355)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1. Rural area	1115	15.0 %
2	2. Small town	1843	24.7 %
3	3. Suburb	2237	30.0 %
4	4. City	2192	29.4 %
	Missing Data		
9	9. NA	66	0.9 %
	Total	7,453	100%

Based upon 7,387 valid cases out of 7,453 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 263-263 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

(Range of) Missing Values: 9