



Planar Stratified Media Green's Functions (Electrostatics)

Half-Space (Single Interface): For a point charge q at height d above a planar interface between permittivities ϵ_1 (above, where the charge resides) and ϵ_2 (below), the potential in the upper region can be written as the superposition of the original charge and an image charge. The image magnitude is

$$q'$$

$= \frac{\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2}{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2} q$, located at the mirror point symmetrically below the plane ①. (Notably, if $\epsilon_2 < \epsilon_1$, then q' has the **same** sign as q , implying a repulsive interaction – charges are repelled from lower-permittivity regions ①.) The potential for $z > 0$ is then:

$$V_{\text{above}}(\rho, z) = \frac{q}{4\pi\epsilon_1} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + (z-d)^2}} + \frac{\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2}{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + (z+d)^2}} \right],$$

in cylindrical coordinates (ρ horizontal distance) ②. This satisfies the interface conditions: continuity of V at $z = 0$ and continuity of normal ϵE_z (no surface charge at the boundary) ③ ②. In the lower region $z < 0$, the field is uniform with no internal image charge; one finds $E_{z<0} = (1 - \nu) E_{\text{orig}}$ with $\nu = \frac{\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1}{\epsilon_2 + \epsilon_1}$ so that E_z is continuous ④ ⑤. Equivalently, the potential in $z < 0$ behaves as if the free charge were effectively scaled by a factor $2\epsilon_1/(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)$ ⑥ (for $\epsilon_1 = 1$ above, $V_{z<0}$ is as if charge $2q/(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)$ were in vacuum). In the extreme cases, (i) $\epsilon_2 \rightarrow \infty$ (conducting lower half-space) gives $q' = -q$ and the familiar image method for a grounded plane ②; (ii) $\epsilon_2 \rightarrow 0$ (infinitely “low” permittivity) gives $q' = +q$ (image of same sign, fully repulsive). The induced bound surface-charge density on the interface is $\sigma_b(\rho) = -\nu \frac{qd}{2\pi(\rho^2 + d^2)^{3/2}}$ ⑦ ⑧, which for a conductor ($\nu = 1$) recovers $\sigma(\rho) = -\frac{qd}{2\pi(\rho^2 + d^2)^{3/2}}$ ⑨ ⑩. The **force** on the point charge due to the interface is $F_z = -\frac{qq'}{16\pi\epsilon_0\epsilon_1 d^2}$ (attractive for $\epsilon_2 > \epsilon_1$, repulsive if $\epsilon_2 < \epsilon_1$). The image formulation above is in fact an *exact* solution for the static half-space problem ⑪ ⑫.

Spectral Integral Representation: The same result can be obtained by a Fourier/Sommerfeld integral. The full-space Green's function in homogeneous medium is $G_0 = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon} \frac{1}{R}$. For the half-space, one finds an azimuthal Fourier-Bessel transform:

$$G(\rho, z, z') = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{2\epsilon_1 k} \left[e^{-k|z-z'|} + R_p(k) e^{-k(z+z')} \right] J_0(k\rho) k dk,$$

with $R_p(k) = (\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2)/(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)$ (static limit of the TM-wave reflection coefficient) ⑥ ②. Evaluating the integral yields the above image expression. The term with $e^{-k(z+z')}$ corresponds to the reflected field from the interface. For multi-layer planar stratifications, one obtains *multiple reflections*: e.g. for a 3-layer (two interfaces) system, the Green's function can be written as a similar Sommerfeld integral but with a *frequency-dependent* reflection coefficient $R_p(k)$ that is a rational function encoding multiple internal reflections. **Barrera, Guzmán & Balaguer (1978)** used the method of images to derive such integrals for a

three-dielectric planar configuration ¹³ ¹⁴. The resulting potential expressions involve piecewise-defined integrals (or infinite image charge series) for each region that satisfy the boundary conditions at both interfaces. In general, **no finite number of discrete image charges** can exactly represent the field in multi-layer dielectric media; instead one obtains either infinite image series or continuous distributions (integrals). For instance, a point charge between two dielectric half-spaces produces an infinite series of images in each layer (analogous to multiple mirror images in a Fabry-Pérot cavity) which sums to the exact Green's function ¹³ ¹⁵. These series/integrals converge rapidly when the source is far from the interfaces, but can converge slowly for near-interface sources or high-contrast interfaces. Various techniques (asymptotic extraction, Ewald summation, numerical steepest-descent integration) are used to accelerate convergence of Sommerfeld integrals ¹⁶ ¹⁷. For quasi-static problems, it is common to partition the Green's function into a "direct" term plus a rapidly decaying reflected field; e.g. one can subtract the $k = 0$ singular contribution and handle it analytically, then integrate the remainder numerically with fewer discretization points ¹⁸ ¹⁹. Approximations via *discrete* image charges are also used: for example, Wait & Spies (1964) proposed complex image charges to approximate the Sommerfeld integral of a dipole field in a conductive half-space ²⁰ (the **complex image method**), achieving high accuracy by fitting a few images to the continuous spectrum. Similar rational approximations exist for static/dc fields in layered media to avoid direct integration. However, these are approximations; the exact solution generally resides in the spectral integral form or an infinite series of images.

Planar Layer Examples: A classical example is a **charge on the interface** (say in medium 1 at $z = 0^+$): it induces a surface charge of magnitude q' on the boundary such that the field in medium 2 is as if a charge $2q/(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)$ were placed at the interface ⁶ ²¹. Another case: a **charge embedded in a dielectric slab** of finite thickness between two different dielectric half-spaces. By multiple reflections, one can sum an infinite geometric series of image charges in each region. If the slab is symmetric (same permittivity above and below), the series simplifies (even and odd image symmetry). If the slab is very thin (small thickness compared to source distance), asymptotic methods can treat the two interfaces as a single effective interface with an effective reflection coefficient $R_{\text{eff}} \approx R_{12} + R_{23}$ etc., accurate to $O(t)$ where t is thickness. If the source is very close to one interface (small gap), the first image dominates and higher-order images (multiple reflections) contribute corrections that can be expanded in powers of the small parameter. Conversely, if the source is far, one can expand the field as the free-space field plus a *dipole* term proportional to $(\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1)/(\epsilon_2 + \epsilon_1)$ (for a distant charge, the interface effect looks like an induced dipole layer).

Key References (Planar Media): The plane interface problem was treated by **Sommerfeld (1909)** for EM fields (the static limit yields the above forms). **Landau & Lifshitz** derive the image charge result for a dielectric half-space in Electrodynamics of Continuous Media (Problem 1 to §8). **Jackson (3rd ed, §4.4)** also covers a point charge above a dielectric half-space (leading to a surface-charge integral solution and the image-charge interpretation). **Ramo, Whinnery & Van Duzer (Fields and Waves, 1965)** give the closed-form solution for the static interface using Fourier transforms ²² ²³. For multiple planar layers, **Barrera et al, Am. J. Phys. 46, 1172 (1978)** gave explicit Fourier-integral forms (corrected by **Serra et al (2015)** for sign errors ²⁴ ²⁵). The general formalism for layered media Green's functions is given in texts like **Chew (1990)** and **Kong (1986)**, using transmission line analogies for the reflection coefficient $R_p(k)$. In static cases, R_p becomes real and non-dispersive (independent of k for Laplace's equation), which is why the integrals often evaluate to simple image expressions – indeed for a purely dielectric discontinuity the integrand R_p is constant, allowing analytic integration to yield the simple $1/\sqrt{\rho^2 + (z + d)^2}$ form. This ceases to be true for frequency-dependent or more complicated stratifications, where $R_p(k)$ is a function of

the spectral parameter and no closed-form point-image exists (necessitating the so-called “Sommerfeld integrals”).

Green's Functions for Spherical Boundaries (Method of Images for Spheres)

Conducting Sphere (Kelvin's Image Charge): A point charge q in an infinite homogeneous medium at distance d from the center of a grounded conducting sphere (radius $a < d$) induces an image charge $q_K = -q a/d$ located along the line from the center through the real charge, at radius $d_K = a^2/d$ from the center ²⁶ ²⁷. This **Kelvin image** produces a potential that exactly cancels V on the conductor surface. The resulting Green's function (Dirichlet, $\phi = 0$ on sphere) is:

$$G_{\text{sphere}}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \left(\frac{1}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} - \frac{a/d}{|\mathbf{r} - (d_K^2/d')\hat{\mathbf{d}}|} \right),$$

for a source at \mathbf{r}' outside the sphere (with $|\mathbf{r}'| > a$). The *induced surface charge density* is found by the image method as well: $\sigma(\theta) = -\epsilon_0 \partial_n \phi|_{r=a} = -\frac{q(a^2-d^2)}{4\pi a (a^2+d^2-2ad \cos \theta)^{3/2}}$ for a point charge on the polar axis ²⁸ ²⁹, and the total induced charge is $-q$ (the conductor shields the external field) ³⁰. Kelvin (1845) discovered this solution ³¹, which was a cornerstone in the development of the method of images. The *reciprocal* problem (charge **inside** a grounded sphere) also yields a single image: if q is at radius $p < a$ inside, then an image $q'_K = -q a/p$ at radius a^2/p outside produces zero potential on the sphere ³² ³³. These classic results are given in Maxwell's treatise and many textbooks (e.g. **Smythe, Static & Dynamic Electricity, 1968**, provides derivations). **Neumann (1883)** already discussed the sphere image problem and its relation to inversion symmetry ³⁴. The method can be generalized to other conductor shapes that are *spherical inversions* of a plane or sphere: e.g. a conducting **spherical shell** with inner/outer radii yields image charges for interior/exterior problems via Kelvin inversion (a charge outside a grounded spherical shell induces one image outside and one inside the shell). Another example: a conducting circular cylinder can be solved by images in 2D (line charges); Kelvin inversion maps it to a line and sphere configuration. These are all special cases where a **finite** set of image multipoles yields an exact solution.

Dielectric Sphere in Homogeneous Medium: For a point charge near a dielectric (non-conducting) sphere, no finite set of simple images exists – instead the solution can be expanded in an infinite series of multipoles (or interpreted as an image *distribution* inside the sphere). Consider a sphere of radius a , permittivity ϵ_2 , in an infinite medium ϵ_1 . Place a charge q at distance d from the center (outside the sphere). The potential outside ($r > d$) can be expanded in spherical harmonics about the sphere: $V_{\text{ext}}(r, \theta) = \frac{q}{4\pi\epsilon_1 r} + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} B_l \frac{a^l}{r^{l+1}} P_l(\cos \theta)$ (with $r_> = \max(r, d)$). Inside the sphere ($r < a$), $V_{\text{int}}(r, \theta) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} A_l r^l P_l(\cos \theta)$. Applying the boundary conditions at $r = a$ (continuity of V and $\epsilon \partial_r V$) leads to the coefficients (for $l \geq 0$):

$$B_l = \frac{q}{4\pi\epsilon_1} \frac{\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1}{\epsilon_2 l + \epsilon_1(l+1)} \left(\frac{a}{d} \right)^{l+1}, \quad A_l = -\frac{q}{4\pi\epsilon_1} \frac{\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1}{\epsilon_2 l + \epsilon_1(l+1)} \frac{l+1}{\epsilon_2} a^{-2l-1} d^l.$$

For example, the **dipole term** $l = 1$ gives $B_1 = \frac{q}{4\pi\epsilon_1} \frac{\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1}{2\epsilon_2 + \epsilon_1} (a^2/d^2)$, corresponding to an induced dipole moment $p = 4\pi\epsilon_1 B_1 a^3 = q \frac{\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1}{2\epsilon_2 + \epsilon_1} a^3/d$ (which matches the known polarizability of a dielectric sphere) ³⁵

³⁶. The infinite series can be **summed in closed form** only in limiting cases (e.g. $\epsilon_2 \rightarrow \infty$ reduces to the Kelvin image solution where only $l = 0$ term survives ³⁷ ³⁸). In general, the *image representation* is an infinite sequence: one can show that the same solution is obtained by replacing the sphere with an infinite set of point charges located on the line through the sphere center and the original charge (a convergent sequence approaching the center). In fact, the classic analysis by **Kirkwood (1934)** interprets the series as an infinite image charge expansion for reaction field calculations. **Norris (1995)** explicitly constructed the image system: a point charge q' at the Kelvin image point $r = d_K = a^2/d$ plus a continuous line distribution of charge along the radius from the center to that image point ³⁹ ⁴⁰. This line-charge density can be chosen so that each term of its multipole expansion reproduces the series coefficients above. (For $\epsilon_2/\epsilon_1 / \infty$, a continuous distribution is needed – a single point image is insufficient ⁴¹.) The image line charge formulation is discussed by Norris and by Lindell (Radio Sci., 27:1–8, 1992) ⁴² ⁴³, who termed it an “electrostatic image theory” for the dielectric sphere. In summary, unlike the conducting sphere, no finite combination of discrete images can satisfy both V and \mathbf{D} continuity for a dielectric sphere ⁴¹ ⁴³. The infinite-series solution (or equivalent image distribution) is the accepted Green’s function. The series converges for field points outside the sphere if $r > d$, and for field points inside if $r < a$. It converges faster when the contrast $|\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1|$ is small (since higher-order multipoles have coefficients $\propto (\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1)$) and when the charge is not too close to the sphere. If the charge approaches the sphere ($d \rightarrow a^+$), the series develops a slow convergence (the terms $\sim (a/d)^{l+1}$ approach 1) and many multipoles are needed – this corresponds to the known strong field enhancement in narrow gaps. In fact, as $d \rightarrow a$, the needed truncation order l_{\max} to achieve a given accuracy grows roughly like $l_{\max} \sim \frac{\ln(1/(1-a/d))}{\ln(\gamma)}$, where γ is a factor related to $\frac{\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1}{\epsilon_2 + \epsilon_1}$. Practically, for $d/a = 1.1$ (10% gap), perhaps tens of terms suffice; for $d/a = 1.01$ (1% gap), hundreds of terms may be required to capture the near-contact singular field. Techniques like the use of spherical harmonics of the second kind (logarithmic potentials) aka “logopoles”** have been developed by Majic & Le Ru (2019) to accelerate convergence in this regime ⁴⁴ ⁴⁵. These functions effectively capture the line-charge singularity inside the sphere, yielding a more rapidly convergent expansion than standard Legendre series.

If the point charge is **inside** a dielectric sphere (radius a , permittivity ϵ_2) embedded in medium ϵ_1 , one similarly expands in harmonics. The roles of interior/exterior solutions swap: inside we have a Coulomb plus reflected series, outside purely multipole series. The coefficients become $A_l = \frac{q}{4\pi\epsilon_2} \frac{\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2}{\epsilon_1(l+1) + \epsilon_2 l} \left(\frac{r'}{a}\right)^l$ for $l \geq 0$, etc. When $\epsilon_1 \rightarrow \infty$ (sphere in a conducting medium), only the $l = 0$ term survives inside (uniform field), consistent with the image-charge result that a dielectric sphere in a conducting host induces a single image outside at $r = a^2/p$ (for a charge at radius $p < a$) ⁴⁶ ⁴⁷. A curious fact noted by **van Siclen (AJP 56, 1142 (1988))** is that if one places the Kelvin image charge *inside* a dielectric sphere (instead of the proper infinite series), the *external* field it produces together with the real external charge is independent of ϵ_2 ⁴⁸ ⁴⁹. In other words, the combination “external charge + Kelvin image” yields the correct outside potential for *any* sphere permittivity (equal to the conducting-sphere solution). Of course, the interior field will be wrong except in the conductor limit – but this trick implies that the effect of finite ϵ_2 is felt only in the *higher multipole* terms (which vanish if $\epsilon_2 \rightarrow \infty$). This was generalized by Redžić et al (2012) to spheroidal cases ⁵⁰. Practically, it suggests using the Kelvin image as a starting approximation for large but finite ϵ_2 , adding corrections for the residual multipoles. Indeed, **Kirkwood (1957)** and **Friedman (1975)** introduced approximate image-charge methods to replace the full series in molecular solvation models ⁵¹ ⁵². Kirkwood’s approximation is essentially truncating at the dipole term (using one image); Friedman proposed a single image located at the Kelvin image point but with magnitude chosen to match the exact dipole moment (improving accuracy for moderate contrasts) ⁵¹ ⁵². These give errors on the order of a few percent for low or moderate permittivity ratios and are widely used in “reaction field” models of solvent

dielectrics ⁵² ⁵³. Modern extensions use *multiple discrete images* (e.g. 3 or 4 image charges per sphere) to fit higher multipole moments, yielding accuracies of 10^{-4} with far fewer terms than the full series ⁵⁴ ⁵⁵. Such methods, combined with FMM (fast multipole method), allow efficient $O(N)$ simulations of many-charge systems with dielectric spheres ⁵⁶.

Coated (Layered) Spheres: A **dielectric-coated conducting sphere** is another geometry amenable to analytic solution. Suppose a conducting core radius a is covered by a concentric dielectric shell (outer radius b , permittivity ϵ_2), embedded in medium ϵ_1 . A point charge in the outside region can be solved by matching boundary conditions at $r = b$ (dielectric interface) and $r = a$ (conductor). One finds an infinite series again: outside $r > b$, $V = \frac{q}{4\pi\epsilon_1 r} + \sum_l B_l (a/r)^{l+1} P_l(\cos\theta)$; in the shell $a < r < b$, $V = \sum(C_l r^l + D_l r^{l-1}) P_l(\cos\theta)$; inside the conductor $r < a$, $V = 0$. The coefficients are determined by applying (i) $V = 0$ at $r = a$ (implying $C_l a^l + D_l a^{l-1} = 0$), and (ii) continuity of V and $\epsilon \partial_r V$ at $r = b$. The algebra leads to formulas for B_l in terms of $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, a, b, d$. These are lengthy, but in special cases they simplify. **Pyati (Radio Sci. 28:1105, 1993)** applied Kelvin inversion to this problem ⁵⁷ ⁵⁸: using the fact that inversion in a sphere of radius \sqrt{ab} maps the coated sphere to a simpler geometry, he obtained an analytic image solution. Essentially, the presence of the coating means the image of a charge is no longer a single charge: one gets a *doublet* of images – an image charge and an image dipole (or higher multipole) located at the Kelvin image point – to satisfy the two conditions at the interface. For example, for a very **thin coating** ($b = a + \delta, \delta \ll a$), one can treat the coating as a perturbation: the image charge is approximately Kelvin's $-qa/d$, plus a small dipole at the same location to account for the finite ϵ_2 . The dipole moment can be solved from a first-order perturbation of the boundary condition: it comes out proportional to $(\epsilon_2 - \infty)$, which for large ϵ_2 is small. Conversely, if the shell permittivity is low, the dipole moment might reinforce the primary image (if $\epsilon_2 < \epsilon_1$, the dipole term adds a repulsive component). In general, **series solutions** for coated spheres are given in treatises like **Stratton's Electromagnetic Theory (1941, §3.25)** and in many antenna-scattering analyses (the static limit of Mie theory). The coefficients often involve the spherical harmonic recursion for three-layer systems. The **effect of the coating** is to modify the effective polarization of the sphere: e.g. the polarizability of a coated metal sphere is $\alpha = 4\pi\epsilon_1 a^3 \frac{\epsilon_2(2\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2)-(\epsilon_1-\epsilon_2)(\frac{a}{b})^3(2\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2)}{\epsilon_2(2\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2)+2(\epsilon_1-\epsilon_2)(\frac{a}{b})^3\epsilon_1}$, which reduces to the earlier $\frac{\epsilon_2-\epsilon_1}{2\epsilon_2+\epsilon_1}$ for $b = a$, and to the perfect conductor value (polarizability = $4\pi\epsilon_1 a^3$) as $\epsilon_2 \rightarrow \infty$. This indicates that a high- ϵ coating can nearly mimic a metal even if the core is just metal (which is already conductor, trivial here) – more interesting is a **dielectric core with a different coating**, which can produce resonant polarization effects if ϵ_2 is lower than ϵ_1 . Generally, however, no *finite* set of simple images exists for coated spheres either; one again deals with infinite series or images of increasing multipole order. Pyati's work shows that Kelvin's inversion can simplify the algebra but still yields an infinite series of image multipoles (just in a perhaps more organized way).

Multiple Spheres and Sphere-Plane Configurations: The method of images can be *iterated* to handle two conducting spheres or a sphere near a conducting plane, but the result is an infinite recursive series of images. For example, a conducting sphere above a conducting infinite plane: the plane induces an image charge (Kelvin's method for a plane says put $-q$ below the plane); but that image below the plane is like a point charge near the sphere (below it), which induces *another* image in the sphere, which then induces another in the plane, and so on *ad infinitum*. Physically, the two objects "mirror" charges back and forth. In fact, using the sphere's Kelvin formula and the plane's mirror formula alternately yields an infinite sequence of image charges of geometrically decreasing magnitude. In the sphere-plane case, these image charges lie on the perpendicular axis through the sphere center, at progressively smaller distances, and the series converges fast if the gap $g = d - a$ is not too small. In the **limit** $g \ll a$ (sphere almost touching the plane), the method-of-images series converges slowly; instead one can use the method of **bispherical**

coordinates. Bispherical coordinates exploit the fact that equipotentials of two-sphere systems are level surfaces of a certain coordinate η ; the Green's function for two conducting spheres (or a sphere and a plane as the plane is a sphere of infinite radius) can be expanded in Legendre functions P_ν of non-integer degree (the so-called toroidal harmonics). This yields an *exact* infinite series for the potential, from which one can extract asymptotic behavior. For small g , the capacitance C of a sphere-plane system behaves as $C \sim 2\pi\epsilon_0 a / \ln(4a/g) + O(1)$ (like a quasi-parallel plate with a logarithmic correction) – this comes from the dominant large- l behavior of the series. The field in the narrow gap is extremely high (formally $E \sim \frac{q}{2\pi\epsilon_0 A_{\text{gap}}}$ on small patch area A_{gap}), so discretization of charge images can become ill-conditioned. Numerical solvers (BEM, etc.) use mesh refinement in the gap and on the sphere's near-face to capture this **boundary-layer field**. Often a **proximity parameter** $\lambda = g/a$ is used: for $\lambda \ll 1$, one can derive a singular asymptotic expansion for the field: e.g. the maximum surface field on the sphere scales like $E_{\max} \sim \frac{1}{\lambda}$ times the field of an isolated sphere. Error estimates for truncating the image series: if we truncate after N image pairs (sphere-plane iterations), the error in potential near the gap is on the order of the next image charge magnitude $\sim (a-d)^{N+1}/d^{N+1}$. For large separation $d \gg a$, only the first image (plane's $-q$) is significant and the error decays as $(a/d)^{2(N+1)}$ – very fast.

For **two finite spheres** (both conducting), the image method likewise gives an infinite double series of point charges inside each sphere. This was studied by *King (1934)* and others; the exact series solution for two-sphere capacitance and force was derived by **Lord Rayleigh (1897)** using bispherical harmonics. There is no simple closed-form formula; one must sum the series or use approximation formulas valid in certain limits (e.g. small g or large g). For **mixed dielectric spheres** influencing each other, one can again set up dual infinite series of multipoles. For instance, two dielectric spheres in vacuum will polarize each other: one can expand each sphere's response in multipoles, with coefficients that depend on the external field applied by the other sphere's multipoles – this leads to a *matrix* equation coupling the two sets of coefficients. Solving that yields a double series (the method is analogous to multiple scattering theory). Only in limiting cases (like one sphere much larger than the other, or extreme permittivity ratios) can one find simplified image approximations. **Fikoris (1977)** and others have looked at two-sphere polarization; generally one must truncate for numerical evaluation.

Sphere near a Dielectric Interface: This hybrid problem (e.g. a dielectric or conducting sphere close to a dielectric half-space) is very challenging analytically. No closed-form Green's function is known. One approach is the **method of images with multipoles**: the presence of the interface can be accounted by an infinite set of image multipoles (located as a mirror distribution of the sphere's charges) plus possibly continuous distributions. For example, a conducting sphere above a dielectric plane might be approximated by combining the sphere-plane image series (assuming the plane is conducting) with corrections for the fact that the plane is actually dielectric. Another approach: use **bispherical coordinates** if the sphere intersects the plane (for a sphere partially embedded in a dielectric, effectively two-sphere coordinates with one sphere of infinite radius). Some special cases have analytic results: e.g. a conducting sphere half-embedded in a dielectric half-space – by symmetry, this can be treated by image charges distributed along a line (like the method of **odd extension** across the interface, yielding an integral equation). In general, however, these mixed problems are solved with numerical methods (BEM or multipole expansions truncated at high order).

Failure of Finite Image Solutions: In summary, apart from the canonical geometries (plane, sphere, infinite cylinder, *maybe* ellipsoid in certain orientations), **finite discrete image systems do not exist** for most conductor-dielectric setups ⁵⁹ ⁶⁰. The method of images “fails” in the sense that one needs either an infinite number of images or an image distribution (line, surface, etc.). A famous example is the dielectric

sphere: *no finite collection* of Coulomb charges can satisfy the boundary conditions ⁴¹. Another example: an *ellipsoidal* conductor requires an infinite series of images lying along a line (the so-called Pellat's solution involves an integral of line charges). Similarly, a **point charge in front of a dielectric slab** cannot be represented by a few images – one gets a continuous charge “image” smeared along the perpendicular through the slab. These impossibility results stem from the uniqueness theorem: the Green's function in such cases has branch-cut singularities (the continuous spectra corresponding to continuum of image charges). A rigorous statement is that the method of images in the form of *finite discrete sources* works iff the boundary is an equipotential of a *conformal inversion of a sphere or plane* (so basically plane, sphere, or special cases like coaxial cylinders in 2D). For arbitrary shapes or layered media, one resorts to infinite series or integrals.

Parameter Scaling & Numerical Considerations: It is crucial to nondimensionalize lengths by a characteristic scale (sphere radius, etc.) and to form contrast parameters that govern the solution. Common dimensionless groups include the **permittivity contrast** $\beta = \frac{\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1}{\epsilon_2 + \epsilon_1}$ (which appeared in many formulas above as image-charge factors), the **normalized separation** $s = d/a$ (distance of a charge or second object in units of sphere radius), and the **gap ratio** g/a . In series expansions, small β can be used as an expansion parameter (for instance, for a weakly polarizable sphere, $B_l \approx \beta q/(4\pi\epsilon_1) (a/d)^{l+1}$). In contrast, $\beta \rightarrow \pm 1$ (huge contrast in either direction) is a singular limit – e.g. $\beta \rightarrow 1$ corresponds to a perfect conductor image. One often expands about those extremes: for a **high- ϵ sphere**, one can write $B_l = B_l^{(\infty)} + \Delta B_l$ where $B_l^{(\infty)}$ is 0 for $l > 0$ and ΔB_l is proportional to $1/\epsilon_2$. This yields a small parameter $1/\epsilon_2$. Likewise, for a **low- ϵ** inclusion, one can treat it as a small perturbation (since $\beta \approx -1$, one might set $\epsilon_2 = \epsilon_1\delta$ with $\delta \ll 1$ and expand). As for geometry, **small gap** $g \ll a$ expansions often use g/a as a parameter: e.g. capacitance of sphere-plane has an asymptotic expansion in powers of $\ln(a/g)$ and g/a . For **far-field** expansions, one uses $a/d \ll 1$: e.g. the potential of a sphere of charge Q at large distance looks like $Q/(4\pi\epsilon_1 r) +$ dipole term + etc., with each successive multipole $\sim (a/d)^l$. Truncating at dipole yields an error $\sim O((a/d)^3)$. Many numerical schemes (method of moments, multipole accelerators) leverage such truncation for distant interactions.

When implementing image-based solutions or series numerically, one must ensure *convergence* and *stability*. For example, the Legendre series for a dielectric sphere can suffer catastrophic cancellation for large l if summed naively; using recurrence relations or log-scaling for the coefficients is recommended. In boundary element collocation, placing collocation points near sharp edges or small gaps is essential: e.g. for a sphere near a plane, a finer mesh (or denser sampling for the method of moments) is needed in the region of closest approach, because induced surface charge density behaves like $\sigma \sim (g/\rho)^{1/2}$ near the contact point (a square-root singularity). Mesh grading proportional to $\sqrt{\text{distance to contact}}$ is often used to capture this. In iterative image constructions, one monitors the magnitude of the last-added image charge: stopping when it falls below some tolerance ensures the error in potential is bounded by that magnitude. For instance, in the two-sphere problem, if the N th image has charge q_N , the error in potential is $O(q_N/q)$; since $q_N/q \sim (a/d)^N$ (for large d) or $\sim (1 - g/a)^N$ (for small gap), one can estimate how many images are required.

Known Results Summary: To conclude this technical compendium, we list some notable special-case solutions and references: (1) A charge above a dielectric half-space – exact potential via one image charge (with magnitude $q' = (\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2)/(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)q$) ¹, first derived by **Sommerfeld** and found in many textbooks (e.g. **Jackson, Eq. 4.19**). (2) Charge outside a grounded conducting sphere – Kelvin's image solution (1845) ²⁶. (3) Charge outside a dielectric sphere – infinite series solution (e.g. **Stratton 1941, p. 147; Kirkwood**

1934, *J. Chem. Phys.*), no finite image; image interpreted as point + line charge³⁹. (4) Charge inside a dielectric sphere – similar series (see Neumann 1883 for early treatment³⁴). (5) Coated sphere with concentric layers – series solution (see Mie theory static limit; Pyati 1993 for inversion approach⁵⁷). (6) Two conducting spheres – bispherical harmonic expansion (see Jeffery 1912, Snow 1952 for capacitance series). (7) Dielectric sphere-plane – no closed form; see Image multipole method by Zhukauskas 1988 (approximate) or numerical BEM. (8) General layered Green's function – spectral integrals (Sommerfeld) as in Chew's "Waves and Fields in Inhomogeneous Media" (1990). (9) Novel solution techniques – e.g. Majic & Le Ru (2019) "logopoles" for fast sphere series⁴⁴⁴⁵; Yaghjian (1980) for complex images in stratified media. These sources provide a wealth of formulas, convergence analysis, and guidance for tackling new configurations that might admit *near-analytic* solutions via clever combinations of known Green's functions and transforms.

1 28 29 30 32 33 59 60 Method of image charges - Wikipedia

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Method_of_image_charges

2 3 6 21 41 43 Dielectric Image Methods: Electrostatics & Potential

<https://studylib.net/doc/18223349/dielectric-image-methods---physics>

4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 dia-edge.dvi

<https://web2.ph.utexas.edu/~vadim/Classes/2017f/dia-edge.pdf>

13 Point charge in a three-dielectric medium with planar interfaces

<https://pubs.aip.org/aapt/ajp/article/46/11/1172/1043468/Point-charge-in-a-three-dielectric-medium-with>

14 15 24 25 [PDF] Point charge in a three-dielectric medium with planar interfaces

<https://www.famaf.unc.edu.ar/~serra/AJP15.pdf>

16 Calculation of Sommerfeld Integrals in Dipole Radiation Problems

<https://www.mdpi.com/2227-7390/12/2/298>

17 19 Full article: Efficient computation of Sommerfeld integral tails

<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/09205071.2015.1129915>

18 20 (PDF) Sommerfeld's Integrals and Hallén's Integral Equation in Data ...

<https://www.researchgate.net/publication/>

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/261477302_Sommerfeld's_Integrals_and_Hallen's_Integral_Equation_in_Data_Analysis_for_Horizontal_Dipole_Antenna_above_Real_Ground

22 23 engineering.purdue.edu

<https://engineering.purdue.edu/wcchew/ece604f19/Lecture%20Notes/Lect32.pdf>

26 27 31 35 36 37 38 46 47 48 49 50 Image charge inclusion in the dielectric sphere revisited

<https://doras.dcu.ie/17553/1/EJP.pdf>

34 42 51 52 53 54 55 56 Image charge approximations of reaction fields in solvents with arbitrary ionic

strength - PMC

<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC2719861/>

39 Dielectric Function for a Material Containing Hyperspherical ... - jstor

<https://www.jstor.org/stable/53305>

40 Princeton PHYS 215 Dielectric Image Method Analysis and Solutions

<https://www.studocu.vn/vn/document/dai-hoc-su-pham-ha-noi/physics/princeton-dielectric-image-method-anh-dien/86961259>

[44](#) [45](#) Schematic of the problem considered in this work. A point charge q is... | Download Scientific Diagram

https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Schematic-of-the-problem-considered-in-this-work-A-point-charge-q-is-located-at-point-E_fig1_321324912

[57](#) [58](#) The Multiple Utility of Kelvin's Inversion

<https://www.mdpi.com/3042-402X/2/3/11>