Logic(s) for computer science - Week 11 Normal forms for First Order Logic Tutorial

- 1. Prove that the following equivalences hold:
 - (a) $P(e,x) \stackrel{S}{\equiv} P(e,f(x,x))$ where S is the Σ -structure $S = (\mathbb{N},\{<\},\{+,s,0\}).$
 - (b) $\neg \forall x. \varphi \equiv \exists x. \neg \varphi$;
 - (c) $\neg \exists x. \varphi \equiv \forall x. \neg \varphi$
 - (d) $(\forall x.\varphi_1) \land \varphi_2 \equiv \forall x.(\varphi_1 \land \varphi_2)$, if $x \notin free(\varphi_2)$;
 - (e) $(\forall x.\varphi_1) \lor \varphi_2 \equiv \forall x.(\varphi_1 \lor \varphi_2)$, if $x \notin free(\varphi_2)$;
 - (f) $(\exists x.\varphi_1) \land \varphi_2 \equiv \exists x.(\varphi_1 \land \varphi_2)$, if $x \notin free(\varphi_2)$;
 - (g) $(\exists x.\varphi_1) \lor \varphi_2 \equiv \exists x.(\varphi_1 \lor \varphi_2)$, if $x \not\in free(\varphi_2)$;
 - (h) $\forall x.(P(x) \land Q(x)) \equiv (\forall x.P(x)) \land (\forall x.Q(x))$
 - (i) $\forall x.(P(x) \lor Q(x)) \not\equiv (\forall x.P(x)) \lor (\forall x.Q(x))$
 - (j) $\exists x.(P(x) \land Q(x)) \not\equiv (\exists x.P(x)) \land (\exists x.Q(x))$
 - (k) $\exists x.(P(x) \lor Q(x)) \equiv (\exists x.P(x)) \lor (\exists x.Q(x))$
- 2. Let consider the substitution $\sigma: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{T}$ such that $\sigma(x) = i(y)$, $\sigma(y) = f(x,z)$ and $\sigma(z) = x$ for $z \in \mathcal{X} \setminus \{x,y\}$. Apply the substitution σ to the following formulae:
 - (a) $\varphi = (\forall x. P(x, y)) \rightarrow P(i(y), x)$
 - (b) $\varphi = P(x, y) \land \exists y. Q(y) \rightarrow \forall x. P(x, y)$
- 3. Compute one prenex normal form (PNF) for each of the following formulae:

(a)
$$\varphi = (\forall x. \neg (P(x, x) \land \neg \exists y. P(x, y))) \land P(x, x).$$

Solution

$$\varphi = \left(\forall x. \neg \left(P(x, x) \land \neg \exists y. P(x, y) \right) \right) \land P(x, x)$$

$$\stackrel{R.L.}{\equiv} \left(\forall z. \neg \left(P(z, z) \land \neg \exists y. P(z, y) \right) \right) \land P(x, x)$$

$$\stackrel{1}{\equiv} \forall z. \left(\neg \left(P(z, z) \land \neg \exists y. P(z, y) \right) \land P(x, x) \right)$$

$$\stackrel{6}{\equiv} \forall z. \left(\neg \left(P(z, z) \land \forall y. \neg P(z, y) \right) \land P(x, x) \right)$$

$$\stackrel{1}{\equiv} \forall z. \left(\neg \left(\forall y. \left(P(z, z) \land \neg P(z, y) \right) \right) \land P(x, x) \right)$$

$$\stackrel{5}{\equiv} \forall z. \left(\left(\exists y. \neg \left(P(z, z) \land \neg P(z, y) \right) \right) \land P(x, x) \right)$$

$$\stackrel{3}{\equiv} \forall z. \exists y. \left(\neg \left(P(z, z) \land \neg P(z, y) \right) \land P(x, x) \right).$$

- (b) $\forall x.(P(x,y) \land \exists x P(x,x))$
- (c) $(\exists z.P(x,y)) \lor P(z,z)$;
- (d) $(\exists z.P(x,z)) \wedge (\forall x.P(x,z));$
- (e) $(\exists z. P(x, z)) \rightarrow P(x, x)$.
- (f) $\neg(\exists x.P(x,y) \lor \forall z.P(z,z)) \land \exists y.P(x,y)$
- (g) $\forall x. \exists y. P(x,y) \rightarrow \neg \exists x. \neg \exists y. P(x,y)$
- 4. We will use the proover Z3 available at https://rise4fun.com/z3 for the signature $\Sigma = (\{<, \leq, >, \geq, =\}, \{+, *, 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \ldots\})$ and Σ -structure $S = (\mathbb{Z}, \{<, \leq, >, \geq, =, +, *, 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \ldots\})$. We will verify if some formulae are satisfiable in S, and in the case they are satisfiable we will find an assignment that make them true.

We recall that the formula φ is satisfiable in a structure S if there is an S-assignment α with the property that $S, \alpha \models \varphi$.

For instance, the formula

$$> (x, +(y, 2)) \land = (x, +(*(2, z), 10)) \land \le (+(z, y), 100)$$

or, using the infixed notation

$$x>y+2 \land x=2*z+10 \land z+y \leq 100$$

is satisfiable in the structure S from above, and an assignment that makes the formula true is $\alpha : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{Z}$, defined by $\alpha(x) = 10, \alpha(y) = 0, \alpha(z) = 0$. In order to test the satisfiability of the above formula, we use the code:

```
(declare-const x Int) ;; we declare the free variables
(declare-const y Int)
(declare-const z Int)
```

```
(assert (and (> x (+ y 2)) ;; we include the formula that we wish (= x (+ (* 2 z) 10)) ;; to test if it is true (<= (+ z y) 1000)) ;; using the syntax of Z3
```

(check-sat) ;; check if the formula is satisfiable

Because toe formula is satisfiable in S, we can use the following code in order to obtain an assignment in which the formula is true:

(get-model) ;; prints an assignment that makes the formula true

Model the following sentences as first order logic formula over the signature Σ defined above and use Z3 te determine if they are or not satisfiable.

- (a) x is grater then 100, y is less then 42, and the product $x \times y$ is less then 10. Free variables: x, y.
- (b) x is an even number grater then 11. Free variables: x. Hint: we can express "x is enen" by $\exists x'. (=(x,*(2,x')))$. In Z3, we write (exists ((xp Int)) (= x (* 2 xp))) corresponding to the formula $\exists x'. (=(x,*(2,x')))$.
- (c) x is odd, y is even and x + y is greater then 42. Free variables: x, y.
- (d) x is odd, y is even and x + y is even. Free variables: x, y.
- (e) x * y is odd, x + y is even, x > 10 and y < 0. Free variables: x, y.
- (f) Fhe sum of any two even numbers is an even number. Free variables: none
- (g) Use Z3 to explain the following game: think about a number, add 4 to it, multiply the result by 2, substract 6 from the result, divide by 2 and in the end substract the number you thought about; the result is always 1, for any number you start with.

Fast guide for Z3:

```
\begin{array}{lll} \text{Math} & \text{Z3} \\ x \times y & (*\texttt{xy}) \\ x + y & (+\texttt{xy}) \\ \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2 & (\texttt{and} \ \varphi_1 \ \varphi_2) \\ \varphi_1 \rightarrow \varphi_2 & (=> \varphi_1 \ \varphi_2) \\ \exists x. \varphi & (\texttt{exists} \ ((\texttt{x} \ \texttt{Int})) \ \varphi) \end{array}
```