

Propositional Logic. Week 4 - Exercise Sheet

1. Give a formal proof of $p \wedge r$ from $(q \wedge r) \wedge q$ and $p \wedge p$.
2. Show the validity of the following sequents:
 - (a) $p \wedge q, r \vdash p \wedge (r \vee r')$;
 - (b) $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \vdash p \wedge q \rightarrow r$;
 - (c) $p \wedge \neg r \rightarrow q, \neg q, p \vdash r$;
3. Finish the game at <https://profs.info.uaic.ro/~stefan.ciobaca/lnd.html>. Do not cheat. It is considered cheating if you change the JavaScript source code, if someone else solves a level for you or if you prove the derived rules using the derived rules themselves.
4. Prove that the following inference rules are derivable:
 - (a) $\neg\neg i$;
 - (b) LEM (law of excluded middle): $\text{LEM} \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \varphi \vee \neg\varphi}$;
 - (c) PBC (proof by contradiction): $\text{PBC} \frac{\Gamma, \neg\varphi \vdash \perp}{\Gamma \vdash \varphi}$;
 - (d) MT (modus tollens): $\text{MT} \frac{\Gamma \vdash \varphi \rightarrow \varphi' \quad \Gamma \vdash \neg\varphi'}{\Gamma \vdash \neg\varphi}$.
5. Prove the soundness theorem for natural deduction (by induction on the number of sequences in the formal proof).
6. Show that the rule $\neg\neg e$ is derivable using the LEM (i.e. you may use LEM in the derivation, but not $\neg\neg e$).
7. Prove, using the soundness and completeness theorems, that $\varphi_1 \dashv\vdash \varphi_2$ if and only if $\varphi_1 \equiv \varphi_2$.