### Optimizing compiler

Andrew Lelechenko 1@dxdy.ru

#kievfprog, Kiev, 18.03.2017

JFP 19 (1): 27–45, 2009. © 2008 Cambridge University Press doi:10.1017/S0956796808007016 First published online 1 October 2008 Printed in the United Kingdom

# Commercial uses: Going functional on exotic trades

#### SIMON FRANKAU

Barclays Capital, 5 The North Colonnade, London E14 4BB, UK (e-mail: Simon.Frankau@barclayscapital.com)

#### DIOMIDIS SPINELLIS

Athens University of Economics and Business, Patision 76, GR 104 34, Athens, Greece (e-mail: dds@aueb.gr)

#### NICK NASSUPHIS and CHRISTOPH BURGARD

Barclays Capital, 5 The North Colonnade, London E14 4BB, UK (e-mail: {Nick.Nassuphis,Christoph.Burgard}@barclayscapital.com)

### Papers and slides

- Simon Frankau, Diomidis Spinellis, Nick Nassuphis, Christoph Burgard. Commercial Uses: Going Functional on Exotic Trades. J. Funct. Program. 19, 1, 27–45.
- Tim Williams. Exotic Tools for Exotic Trades @ CodeMesh 2013.
- Tim Williams, Peter Marks. Structural Typing for Structured Products @ Haskell Exchange 2014.

### Why not Haskell?

- Haskell is an amazing language for writing your own compiler.
- A rich ecosystem of libraries dedicated to compiler-related tasks.
- A really powerful type system that can eliminate large classes of errors at compile time.
- Many excellent educational resources for compiler writers:
  - Stephen Diehl. Write You a Haskell.
  - Andres Löh, Conor McBride, Wouter Swierstra. A Tutorial Implementation of a Dependently Typed Lambda Calculus.
- Compilers, written in Haskell: GHC, Elm, Purescript, Idris, Agda...

### SIMPL — Simple IMPerative Language

- Scalar and vector Double-valued variables: a, b[i], c[i][j].
- Vector length is statically known beforehand.
- Arithmetic operators, comparisons and ternary operator.
- Only for-loops without preliminary break.
- Basically, its computational power is equivalent to Excel sheet.
- Powerful enough for physical/financial Monte-Carlo simulations with calculations repeated over random inputs and step-by-step time.

Why not Fortran?

### Example: *n*-body problem, youtube.com/embed/qIVe\_xEv6zQ

- m[i] is the mass of i-th object (is known in compile time),
- x[i] and y[i] are its coordinates,
- vx[i] and vy[i] are its velocities by X- and Y-axis,
- ax[i] and ay[i] are its accelerations.

```
dt = 0.001; G = 6.67e-11
foreach i in 1..n
  ax[i] = 0
  ay[i] = 0
 foreach j in 1..n
    d[i][j] = (x[i] - x[j]) ^ 2 + (y[i] - y[j]) ^ 2
    ax[i] = ax[i] - G * m[j] * (x[i]-x[j]) / d[i][j] ^ 3/2
    av[i] = av[i] - G * m[i] * (v[i]-v[i]) / d[i][i] ^ 3/2
foreach i in 1..n
  vx[i] = vx[i] + ax[i] * dt
  vy[i] = vy[i] + ay[i] * dt
  x[i] = x[i] + vx[i] * dt
  y[i] = y[i] + vy[i] * dt
```

#### Abstract syntax tree: types

```
Loop counters and variables are annotated with sizes on type level.
data Counter (range :: Nat) = Counter String
data Reference (arity :: [Nat]) where
  V :: String -> Reference xs
  (:!) :: Reference (x : xs) -> Counter x -> Reference xs
data Expr a where
  Ref :: Reference '[] -> Expr Double
  Num :: Double -> Expr Double
  (:+) :: Expr Double -> Expr Double -> Expr Double
  (:*) :: Expr Double -> Expr Double -> Expr Double
  (:^) :: Expr Double -> Expr Double -> Expr Double
  (:<) :: Expr Double -> Expr Double -> Expr Bool
  If :: Expr Bool -> Expr a -> Expr a -> Expr a
data Stmt where
  (:=) :: Reference '[] -> Expr Double -> Stmt
```

Andrew Lelechenko Optimizing compiler

For :: Counter x -> [Stmt] -> Stmt

#### Abstract syntax tree: utils

```
instance Num (Expr Double) where
  (+) = (:+)
  (*) = (:*)
  negate x = x :* (-1)
  abs x = If (x :< 0) (negate x) x
  signum x = If (x :< 0) (-1) (If (0 :< x) 1 0)
  fromInteger = Num . fromInteger
(&&) :: Expr Bool -> Expr Bool -> Expr Bool
a \&\& b = If a b a
(||) :: Expr Bool -> Expr Bool -> Expr Bool
a \mid \mid b = If a a b
```

#### Code example

```
Return the sum of squares of [c, c+1...].
[ For i
  [a!i := Ref c
  c := Ref c + 1
. For i
  [ b!i := Ref (a!i) : 2 ]
. ret := 0
. For i
  [ ret := Ref ret + Ref (b!i) ] ]
where a = "a"; b = "b"; c = "c"; ret = "ret"; i = "i"
Optimized:
[ret := 0]
 For i
  ret := Ref ret + Ref c : 2
  , c := Ref c + 1 ]
where c = "c"; ret = "ret"; i = "i"
```

### Compiler's architecture

Lexer/Parser	$\rightarrow$	Abstract Syntax Tree	$\rightarrow$	Code Generator
parsec,	$\rightarrow$	optimizations	$\rightarrow$	ASM, C-, LLVM,
alex / happy	$\rightarrow$		$\rightarrow$	C, JavaScript



### Marginalia: lexer and parser

#### Semantically:

- Lexer reads a list of tokens.
- Parser transforms tokens into syntax tree.

#### Grammars:

- Lexer reads a regular grammar (regexp without backtracking).
- Parser reads a context-free grammar (can parse nested brackets).
- parsec can read even a context-sensitive grammar.

#### Static advantages:

- Lexer generates a very efficient finite automaton.
- Parser checks grammar for ambiguities.

#### Gentle optimizations

We are interested in optimizations of SIMPL such that

- no auxiliary statements or variables are introduced,
- expressions are replaced with equivalent in runtime ones.

#### We cannot:

• dismantle expressions into three-address code:

$$x = y * 3 + 2 \implies t = y * 3; x = t + 2.$$

- dismantle loops into blocks, labels and gotos;
- use Single Static Assignment or Continuation Passing Style.

#### We are free to

- rewrite expressions;
- eliminate dead statements;
- shuffle statements both top-down and inside-out;
- detect high-level patterns such as maps and folds.

#### Local optimizations

Despite SIMPL is an imperative language with global side effects, its expressions are pure and has no effects.

- Constant folding:
  - $2 : * 3 \Longrightarrow 6.$
- Short circuiting:
  - If (0 :< 1) a b  $\Longrightarrow$  b.
- Algebraic simplifications:
  - $x :+ 0 \Longrightarrow x, x :* 0 \Longrightarrow 0.$
- Reassociation and redistribution:
  - $(x :+ 2) :+ 3 \Longrightarrow x :+ (2 :+ 3) \Longrightarrow x :+ 5,$  $(x :+ 3) :* 2 \Longrightarrow x :* 2 :+ 3 :* 2 \Longrightarrow x :* 2 :+ 6.$

### Dead code: a time to keep, and a time to cast away

- Detect statements, which can be entirely removed.
- The analysis goes *backwards* in terms of control flow, from the return statement up to the start.
- Transform as you go: if left-hand side reference is not live set, remove the statement at once. Otherwise remove LHS reference from live set and add RHS references.

```
-- Live set

a = 1 -- {}

b = a + 2 -- {a}, remove

c = a + 3 -- {a}

d = b * 2 -- {c}, remove

ret = c -- {c}

-- {ret}
```

### Dead code: loops

- Loops change control flow and are executed one or more times.
- Perform a dummy backward pass without striping of statements to collect circular references.
- Then unite obtained live set with the live set at the end of loop and perform actual pass.

```
-- {}
a = 0
for i
                         a = 0
                                   -- {}. remove
 b[i] = a 	 -- \{a\} / \{a\} 	 for i
 a = b[i] + 1 -- \{b\} / \{b\}  b[i] = a -- \{\} / \{\}, remove
             -- {b} / {a,b} a = b[i] + 1 -- {} / {}, remove
end
    -- {b} end
                              -- {} / {}
r = 0
for i
                              -- {}
                        r = 0
 r = r + b[i] -- \{r,b\} / \{r,b\}
                                 -- {r}
          -- {r} / {r.b}
end
```

#### Inlining: a time to rend, and a time to sew

- Substitute RHS expression instead of LHS reference.
- The analysis goes forward in terms of control flow.
- Transform as you go: use the result of inlining for further substitutions.

#### Use cases:

- Always inline, when RHS is a constant or a reference.
- Always inline live variables, which are consumed only once.

 Inline if it gives way to non-growing expression after local optimizations.

### Inlining: example

### Loop fusion: a time to break down and a time to build up

- Detect maps and folds in imperative code. Loops, which effect is invariant to permutation of iterations' order, are map. Other loops are fold.
- Fuse using map/map and map/fold rules.

### Loop fusion: map/fold rule

```
for i
 y[i] = x[i] * 2
ret = 0
for i
  ret = ret + y[i] * 3
Shuffle blocks:
ret = 0
for i
y[i] = x[i] * 2
for i
  ret = ret + y[i] * 3
              foldr f x . map g = foldr (f . g) x
ret = 0
for i
  y[i] = x[i] * 2
  ret = ret + y[i] * 3
```

### Loop fission - 1

```
ret = 0
for i
  y[i] = x[i] * 2
  ret = ret * 2 + y[i]
for i
  z[i] = y[i] * 3
Distribute first loop:
ret. = 0
for i y[i] = x[i] * 2
for i ret = ret * 2 + y[i]
for i z[i] = y[i] * 3
Shuffle blocks:
ret = 0
for i y[i] = x[i] * 2
for i z[i] = y[i] * 3
for i ret = ret * 2 + y[i]
```

### Loop fission - 2

```
ret = 0
for i y[i] = x[i] * 2
for i z[i] = y[i] * 3
for i ret = ret * 2 + y[i]
Apply map/map rule:
ret = 0
for i
  y[i] = x[i] * 2
  z[i] = y[i] * 3
for i
  ret = ret * 2 + y[i]
Apply map/fold rule:
ret = 0
for i
  y[i] = x[i] * 2
  z[i] = y[i] * 3
```

## Thank you!