

**g004.sas: Separate mixed models for rsfMRI and comparative**  
**1. rsfMRI**

**The NL MIXED Procedure**

Specifications	
Data Set	WORK.A
Dependent Variable	y1d
Distribution for Dependent Variable	Binomial
Random Effects	u
Distribution for Random Effects	Normal
Subject Variable	id
Optimization Technique	Dual Quasi-Newton
Integration Method	Adaptive Gaussian Quadrature

Dimensions	
Observations Used	25
Observations Not Used	0
Total Observations	25
Subjects	25
Max Obs per Subject	1
Parameters	2
Quadrature Points	25

Initial Parameters		
	Negative Log	
beta	log_sigma	Likelihood
2.1	0.33	46.5471807

Iteration History					
Iteration	Calls	Negative Log Likelihood	Difference	Maximum Gradient	Slope
1	5	46.3972952	0.149885	0.99921	-10.7322
2	8	46.3397697	0.057525	0.11979	-6.36809
3	10	46.3392704	0.000499	0.007571	-0.00106
4	12	46.3392688	1.649E-6	0.000069	-3.31E-6
5	14	46.3392688	8.79E-10	0.000011	-1.54E-9

NOTE: GCONV convergence criterion satisfied.

**g004.sas: Separate mixed models for rsfMRI and comparative**  
**1. rsfMRI**

**The NLMIXED Procedure**

<i>Fit Statistics</i>	
-2 Log Likelihood	92.7
AIC (smaller is better)	96.7
AICC (smaller is better)	97.2
BIC (smaller is better)	99.1

<i>Parameter Estimates</i>								
<i>Parameter</i>	<i>Estimate</i>	<i>Standard Error</i>	<i>DF</i>	<i>t Value</i>	<i>Pr &gt;  t </i>	<i>95% Confidence Limits</i>		<i>Gradient</i>
<i>beta</i>	2.3741	0.4890	24	4.85	<.0001	1.3648	3.3834	-8.17E-6
<i>log_sigma</i>	0.4625	0.2667	24	1.73	0.0957	-0.08791	1.0129	-0.00001

<i>Covariance Matrix of Parameter Estimates</i>		
	<i>beta</i>	<i>log_sigma</i>
<i>beta</i>	0.2391	0.07167
<i>log_sigma</i>	0.07167	0.07112

<i>Correlation Matrix of Parameter Estimates</i>		
	<i>beta</i>	<i>log_sigma</i>
<i>beta</i>	1.0000	0.5495
<i>log_sigma</i>	0.5495	1.0000

**g004.sas: Separate mixed models for rsfMRI and comparative**  
**1. rsfMRI**

<i>Obs</i>	<i>id</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>p1raw</i>	<i>p1</i>	<i>p1l</i>	<i>p1u</i>
1	Anzellotti 2010	1	1.00000	0.92796	0.34818	0.99679
2	Song 2006	2	1.00000	0.93665	0.41690	0.99674
3	Wang 2007	2	1.00000	0.93665	0.41690	0.99674
4	Tavares 2017	3	1.00000	0.94297	0.47123	0.99675
5	Weaver 2013	4	0.75000	0.82892	0.36427	0.97617
6	Gnanadas 2017	6	0.83333	0.86658	0.46774	0.97959
7	Morgan 2003	6	1.00000	0.95500	0.58313	0.99690
8	Stufflebeam 2011	6	0.83333	0.86658	0.46774	0.97959
9	Zhao 2019	6	1.00000	0.95500	0.58313	0.99690
10	vanHoudt 2015	7	1.00000	0.95772	0.60976	0.99696
11	Jann 2008	8	1.00000	0.96007	0.63289	0.99703
12	Kang 2003	8	1.00000	0.96007	0.63289	0.99703
13	Hunyadi 2014	10	0.70000	0.75048	0.42928	0.92323
14	Yang 2015	11	1.00000	0.96549	0.68722	0.99720
15	Hunyadi 2015b	12	1.00000	0.96692	0.70163	0.99726
16	Hunyadi 2015a	18	0.61111	0.64979	0.40951	0.83233
17	Su 2015	21	1.00000	0.97537	0.78675	0.99765
18	Barron 2014	23	1.00000	0.97660	0.79905	0.99772
19	Lee 2014	29	0.79310	0.80608	0.62055	0.91354
20	Reyes 2016	34	0.91176	0.91216	0.76228	0.97112
21	Boerwinkle 2017	36	0.94444	0.94024	0.80411	0.98369
22	Chen 2017	42	0.76190	0.77276	0.61810	0.87723
23	Bettus 2010	44	0.59091	0.60834	0.45295	0.74448
24	Khoo 2019	49	0.48980	0.50873	0.36596	0.65008
25	Boerwinkle 2019	64	0.39063	0.40765	0.28984	0.53713

**g004.sas: Separate mixed models for rsfMRI and comparative**  
**2. Comparative**

**The NLMIXED Procedure**

Specifications	
Data Set	WORK.A
Dependent Variable	yd1
Distribution for Dependent Variable	Binomial
Random Effects	u
Distribution for Random Effects	Normal
Subject Variable	id
Optimization Technique	Dual Quasi-Newton
Integration Method	Adaptive Gaussian Quadrature

Dimensions	
Observations Used	25
Observations Not Used	0
Total Observations	25
Subjects	25
Max Obs per Subject	1
Parameters	2
Quadrature Points	25

Initial Parameters		
	Negative Log	
beta	log_sigma	Likelihood
2.1	0.33	48.4687264

Iteration History					
Iteration	Calls	Negative Log Likelihood	Difference	Maximum Gradient	Slope
1	5	48.3458543	0.122872	1.13705	-9.72288
2	8	48.2771755	0.068679	0.12540	-7.76176
3	10	48.2763505	0.000825	0.010710	-0.00175
4	12	48.2763433	7.163E-6	0.000445	-0.00001
5	14	48.2763433	6.354E-9	1.161E-6	-1.27E-8

NOTE: GCONV convergence criterion satisfied.

**g004.sas: Separate mixed models for rsfMRI and comparative**  
**2. Comparative**

**The NLMIXED Procedure**

<i>Fit Statistics</i>	
-2 Log Likelihood	96.6
AIC (smaller is better)	100.6
AICC (smaller is better)	101.1
BIC (smaller is better)	103.0

<i>Parameter Estimates</i>								
<i>Parameter</i>	<i>Estimate</i>	<i>Standard Error</i>	<i>DF</i>	<i>t Value</i>	<i>Pr &gt;  t </i>	<i>95% Confidence Limits</i>		<i>Gradient</i>
<i>beta</i>	1.8878	0.3625	24	5.21	<.0001	1.1395	2.6360	1.135E-6
<i>log_sigma</i>	0.1986	0.2448	24	0.81	0.4253	-0.3068	0.7039	-1.16E-6

<i>Covariance Matrix of Parameter Estimates</i>		
	<i>beta</i>	<i>log_sigma</i>
<i>beta</i>	0.1314	0.04238
<i>log_sigma</i>	0.04238	0.05995

<i>Correlation Matrix of Parameter Estimates</i>		
	<i>beta</i>	<i>log_sigma</i>
<i>beta</i>	1.0000	0.4774
<i>log_sigma</i>	0.4774	1.0000

**g004.sas: Separate mixed models for rsfMRI and comparative**  
**2. Comparative**

<i>Obs</i>	<i>id</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>p2raw</i>	<i>p2</i>	<i>p2l</i>	<i>p2u</i>
1	Anzellotti 2010	1	1.00000	0.88660	0.40054	0.98919
2	Song 2006	2	1.00000	0.89915	0.45920	0.98943
3	Wang 2007	2	1.00000	0.89915	0.45920	0.98943
4	Tavares 2017	3	1.00000	0.90854	0.50567	0.98974
5	Weaver 2013	4	1.00000	0.91591	0.54359	0.99006
6	Gnanadas 2017	6	0.83333	0.85026	0.49613	0.97037
7	Morgan 2003	6	1.00000	0.92691	0.60219	0.99067
8	Stufflebeam 2011	6	1.00000	0.92691	0.60219	0.99067
9	Zhao 2019	6	1.00000	0.92691	0.60219	0.99067
10	vanHoudt 2015	7	1.00000	0.93116	0.62543	0.99096
11	Jann 2008	8	1.00000	0.93483	0.64573	0.99122
12	Kang 2003	8	0.87500	0.87222	0.55921	0.97350
13	Hunyadi 2014	10	0.70000	0.75224	0.44967	0.91858
14	Yang 2015	11	0.81818	0.83462	0.55218	0.95382
15	Hunyadi 2015b	12	0.75000	0.78365	0.50512	0.92782
16	Hunyadi 2015a	18	0.61111	0.65729	0.42286	0.83390
17	Su 2015	21	1.00000	0.95929	0.78442	0.99349
18	Barron 2014	23	0.91304	0.90301	0.72093	0.97106
19	Lee 2014	29	0.89655	0.89149	0.72839	0.96179
20	Reyes 2016	34	0.91176	0.90461	0.75906	0.96616
21	Boerwinkle 2017	36	0.80556	0.81332	0.65375	0.90953
22	Chen 2017	42	0.85714	0.85850	0.71910	0.93498
23	Bettus 2010	44	0.50000	0.52718	0.37684	0.67275
24	Khoo 2019	49	0.46939	0.49553	0.35461	0.63716
25	Boerwinkle 2019	64	0.35938	0.38416	0.26918	0.51373

**g004.sas: Separate mixed models for rsfMRI and comparative  
Both**

Obs	id	n	p1raw	p1	p1l	p1u	p2raw	p2	p2l	p2u
1	Anzellotti 2010	1	1.00000	0.92796	0.34818	0.99679	1.00000	0.88660	0.40054	0.98919
2	Song 2006	2	1.00000	0.93665	0.41690	0.99674	1.00000	0.89915	0.45920	0.98943
3	Wang 2007	2	1.00000	0.93665	0.41690	0.99674	1.00000	0.89915	0.45920	0.98943
4	Tavares 2017	3	1.00000	0.94297	0.47123	0.99675	1.00000	0.90854	0.50567	0.98974
5	Weaver 2013	4	0.75000	0.82892	0.36427	0.97617	1.00000	0.91591	0.54359	0.99006
6	Gnanadas 2017	6	0.83333	0.86658	0.46774	0.97959	0.83333	0.85026	0.49613	0.97037
7	Morgan 2003	6	1.00000	0.95500	0.58313	0.99690	1.00000	0.92691	0.60219	0.99067
8	Stufflebeam 2011	6	0.83333	0.86658	0.46774	0.97959	1.00000	0.92691	0.60219	0.99067
9	Zhao 2019	6	1.00000	0.95500	0.58313	0.99690	1.00000	0.92691	0.60219	0.99067
10	vanHoudt 2015	7	1.00000	0.95772	0.60976	0.99696	1.00000	0.93116	0.62543	0.99096
11	Jann 2008	8	1.00000	0.96007	0.63289	0.99703	1.00000	0.93483	0.64573	0.99122
12	Kang 2003	8	1.00000	0.96007	0.63289	0.99703	0.87500	0.87222	0.55921	0.97350
13	Hunyadi 2014	10	0.70000	0.75048	0.42928	0.92323	0.70000	0.75224	0.44967	0.91858
14	Yang 2015	11	1.00000	0.96549	0.68722	0.99720	0.81818	0.83462	0.55218	0.95382
15	Hunyadi 2015b	12	1.00000	0.96692	0.70163	0.99726	0.75000	0.78365	0.50512	0.92782
16	Hunyadi 2015a	18	0.61111	0.64979	0.40951	0.83233	0.61111	0.65729	0.42286	0.83390
17	Su 2015	21	1.00000	0.97537	0.78675	0.99765	1.00000	0.95929	0.78442	0.99349
18	Barron 2014	23	1.00000	0.97660	0.79905	0.99772	0.91304	0.90301	0.72093	0.97106
19	Lee 2014	29	0.79310	0.80608	0.62055	0.91354	0.89655	0.89149	0.72839	0.96179
20	Reyes 2016	34	0.91176	0.91216	0.76228	0.97112	0.91176	0.90461	0.75906	0.96616
21	Boerwinkle 2017	36	0.94444	0.94024	0.80411	0.98369	0.80556	0.81332	0.65375	0.90953
22	Chen 2017	42	0.76190	0.77276	0.61810	0.87723	0.85714	0.85850	0.71910	0.93498
23	Bettus 2010	44	0.59091	0.60834	0.45295	0.74448	0.50000	0.52718	0.37684	0.67275
24	Khoo 2019	49	0.48980	0.50873	0.36596	0.65008	0.46939	0.49553	0.35461	0.63716
25	Boerwinkle 2019	64	0.39063	0.40765	0.28984	0.53713	0.35938	0.38416	0.26918	0.51373