

Generating and teleporting entanglement for quantum networks

Adrian Udovičić
Supervisor: Assoc. prof. dr. Rainer Kaltenbaek

University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics

23.05.2024, Ljubljana, Slovenia

Contents

1. Motivation

2. Theory

2.1 SPDC

- Phase Matching
- Detectors
- Efficiency

2.2 Entanglement swapping

3. Present state

3.1 Implementations

3.2 Phase Matching Temperature

4. Outlook

Introduction

Motivation

- ▶ Bright source of entanglement
- ▶ Training in quantum technologies in Slovenia
- ▶ Quantum Network for Slovenia
- ▶ Testbed for industrialized version

Theory

1. SPDC
2. Entanglement swapping

- ▶ Spontaneous Parametric Downconversion

- Spontaneous Parametric Downconversion




Figure: Illustration of SPDC

- #### ► Spontaneous Parametric Downconversion

$$\omega_p = \omega_s + \omega_i$$




Figure: Illustration of SPDC

- #### ► Non-degenerate

Theory

State of the Art

Table: Comparison of different sources

Who	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]
Type	0	II	II	II	0
Pairs (s mW nm)	$2.5 \cdot 10^6$	$87.5 \cdot 10^3$	$273 \cdot 10^3$	$5 \cdot 10^3$	$278 \cdot 10^3$
Bandwidth/nm	106	0.3	0.3	1	2.3

Theory

Different Designs

Figure

SPDC

Phase Matching, Quasi Phase Matching, Bandwidth

- #### ► Phase Matching, Quasi Phase Matching





Figure: Illustration of Phase Matching and Quasi Phase Matching.

- ▶ Bandwidth
 - ▶ Brightness

Type-II vs Type-0

Bandwidth

Figure: Wavelength bandwidth of a) Type-2 crystal with a polling period of 9,12 μm
Type-0 crystals with polling periods of b) 19,25 μm




(a)

Type-II vs Type-0

Bandwidth

Figure: Wavelength bandwidth of a) Type-2 crystal with a polling period of 9,12 μm
Type-0 crystals with polling periods of b) 19,25 μm



SPDC

Type-2 vs Type-0

Table: Brightness comparison

$Hz/mW/nm$

FMF		IJS
Type-II	Type-0	Type-II
$7,8 \times 10^6$	$2,6 \times 10^7$	$0,05 \times 10^6$

Detectors

Dependence of detector dead-time and efficiency

Most important Dead-time dependency

Fiorentino Expected efficiency Might not be important

Entanglement swapping

- ▶ FMF/IJS
- ▶ Quantum Repeaters
 - 1. Quantum Memory - wrong wl for now, have to figure out

Present state

Building a linear test setup

- ▶ Focusing parameters


$$\xi = \frac{L}{kw^2} \quad (1)$$

- ▶ Heraldng

Present state

Phase Matching Temperature

Figure: Temperature scans of Type-0 crystals with different polling periods, a) misaligned 19,25 μm , b) 19,25 μm , c) 19,45 μm , d) 19,65 μm




(a)


Present state

Phase Matching Temperature


Figure: Temperature scans of Type-0 crystals with different polling periods, a) misaligned 19,25 μm , b) 19,25 μm , c) 19,45 μm , d) 19,65 μm




(a)



(b)




(c)



(d)


Present state

Building a Sagnac Interferometer



Present state

Building a Sagnac Interferometer



Photograph of the current state of the Sagnac Interferometer.

Outlook

- ▶ SiQUID
- ▶ Entanglement swapping between FMF and IJS
- ▶ Building quantum internet
- ▶ Free space link to reactor

Conclusion

Testing, calculating various properties of the system,
limitations,

Thank you

References

- [Online]. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.22331/q-2022-09-29-822>
- [Online]. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature09175>
- “A wavelength-tunable fiber-coupled source of narrowband entangled photons.” [Online]. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1364/OE.15.015377>
- [Online]. Available:
<https://opg.optica.org/abstract.cfm?URI=QELS-2006-JTuH5>
- [Online]. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1364/OE.20.009640>