R Demo on Prevalence

Elizabeth Mostofsky* Felipe Riveroll Aguirre[†] October 26, 2012

1 Part I

- 1. Objectives
 - (a) Calculate the prevalence of smoking in the Framingham Data Set and interpret the results
 - (b) Restrict an analysis to non-missing data
 - (c) Create a 2 way table to examine changes in self-reported smoking status between visit 1 and visit 2
- 2. Calculate the proportion of people at each visit that report current smoking (NA+) and the proportion of people at each visit that report current smoking among those with data on smoking status at that visit (NA-). In this data set, current smoking status us coded as "0 = not current smoker, 1= current smoker"
 - (a) Install the required package Foreign to read the dataset
 - > install.packages("foreign", dependencies = TRUE)
 - (b) Load the library Foreign
 - > library("foreign")
 - (c) Load and attach the dataset in a dataframe named data.

- (d) Install and load the package epicalc
 - > install.packages("epicalc", dependencies = TRUE)
 - > library("epicalc")

^{*}STATA tutorial

 $^{^{\}dagger}\mathrm{R}\ \mathrm{Version}\ \mathtt{friveroll@gmail.com}$

(e) Use tab1 from epicalc to get one-way tabulation to get the frequency table for cursmoke 1,2 and 3.

No 2253 50.8 Yes 2181 49.2 Total 4434 100.0

> tab1(cursmoke2, graph=F, cum.percent = any(is.na(cursmoke2)))

cursmoke2 :

| | Frequency | %(NA+) | cum.%(NA+) | %(NA-) | cum.%(NA-) |
|-------|-----------|--------|------------|--------|------------|
| No | 2203 | 49.7 | 49.7 | 56.1 | 56.1 |
| Yes | 1727 | 38.9 | 88.6 | 43.9 | 100.0 |
| NAs | 504 | 11.4 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 4434 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

> tab1(cursmoke3, graph=F, cum.percent = any(is.na(cursmoke3)))

cursmoke3 :

| Cur biion | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|--------|------------|--------|------------|
| | Frequency | %(NA+) | cum.%(NA+) | %(NA-) | cum.%(NA-) |
| No | 2142 | 48.3 | 48.3 | 65.6 | 65.6 |
| Yes | 1121 | 25.3 | 73.6 | 34.4 | 100.0 |
| NAs | 1171 | 26.4 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| Total | 4434 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| | | | | | |

NA+ proportion of people with missing data

NA- proportion of people among those with data

- 3. Calculate the proportion of people at each visit that report current smoking among those with data on smoking status at all 3 visits.
 - (a) We can create a dataframe excluding those with missing data (NA's)
 - > cursmokenotmiss <- na.exclude(data.frame(cursmoke1, cursmoke2, cursmoke3))</pre>
 - (b) Use ${\tt tab1}$ to get the proportions from the new dataframe cursmokenotmiss
 - > tab1(cursmokenotmiss\$cursmoke1, graph=F)

cursmokenotmiss\$cursmoke1 :

| | Frequency | Percent | Cum. | percent |
|-------|-----------|---------|------|---------|
| No | 1681 | 52.4 | | 52.4 |
| Yes | 1525 | 47.6 | | 100.0 |
| Total | 3206 | 100.0 | | 100.0 |

> tab1(cursmokenotmiss\$cursmoke2, graph=F)

cursmokenotmiss\$cursmoke2 :

| | Frequency | Percent | Cum. | percent |
|-------|-----------|---------|------|---------|
| No | 1812 | 56.5 | | 56.5 |
| Yes | 1394 | 43.5 | | 100.0 |
| Total | 3206 | 100.0 | | 100.0 |

> tab1(cursmokenotmiss\$cursmoke3, graph=F)

cursmokenotmiss\$cursmoke3 :

| | Frequency | Percent | Cum. | percent |
|-------|-----------|---------|------|---------|
| No | 2109 | 65.8 | | 65.8 |
| Yes | 1097 | 34.2 | | 100.0 |
| Total | 3206 | 100.0 | | 100.0 |

- 4. What could explain the declining prevalence of smoking?
 - (a) Over time, the prevalence of smoking is declining in the population
 - (b) Current smokers have a shorter life
 - (c) Several smokers choose not to participate in the 2nd and 3rd visits
- 5. Calculate the change in smoking prevalence between the 1st and 2nd visit.
 - (a) Install and load the package gmodels
 - > install.packages("gmodels", dependencies = TRUE)
 - > library("gmodels")

- (b) Use the command with to generate a 2 way frequency table with CrossTable from package gmodels, including missing values.
 - > with(data, CrossTable(cursmoke1,
 - + cursmoke2,
 - missing.include=TRUE,
 - format="SPSS"))

Cell Contents

|------|
| Count |
| Chi-square contribution |
| Row Percent |
| Column Percent |
| Total Percent |

Total Observations in Table: 4434

| I | cursmoke2 | | | |
|--------------|-----------|---------|---------|-----------|
| cursmoke1 | No | Yes | l NA I | Row Total |
| | | | | |
| No | 1898 | 131 | 224 | 2253 l |
| I | 541.582 | 635.078 | 4.022 | 1 |
| I | 84.243% | 5.814% | 9.942% | 50.812% |
| I | 86.155% | 7.585% | 44.444% | 1 |
| I | 42.806% | 2.954% | 5.052% | 1 |
| | I | | | |
| Yes | 305 l | 1596 | 280 | 2181 |
| I | 559.461 | 656.043 | 4.154 | 1 |
| I | 13.984% | 73.177% | 12.838% | 49.188% |
| I | 13.845% | 92.415% | 55.556% | 1 |
| I | 6.879% | 35.995% | 6.315% | 1 |
| | | | | |
| Column Total | 2203 | 1727 | 504 | 4434 |
| I | 49.684% | 38.949% | 11.367% | 1 |
| | I | | | |

>

- 6. Calculate the change in smoking prevalence between the 1st and 2 nd visit among those with data on smoking status at both visits.
 - > with(data, CrossTable(cursmoke1,
 - t cursmoke2,
 - + format="SPSS"))

Cell Contents

| I | Count |
|---|-------------------------|
| ١ | Chi-square contribution |
| ١ | Row Percent |
| I | Column Percent |
| I | Total Percent |
| ١ | |

Total Observations in Table: 3930

| cursmoke1 | cursmoke2 No | Yes | Row Total |
|--------------|-------------------|---------|-----------|
| | | | |
| No | 1898 | 131 | 2029 |
| | 508.670 | 648.871 | |
| | 93.544% | 6.456% | 51.628% |
| | 86.155% | 7.585% | |
| | 48.295% | 3.333% | l 1 |
| | | | |
| Yes | 305 | 1596 | 1901 |
| | 542.920 | 692.561 | |
| | 16.044% | 83.956% | 48.372% |
| | 13.845% | 92.415% | |
| | 7.761% | 40.611% | l I |
| | | | |
| Column Total | 2203 | 1727 | 3930 |
| | 56.056% | 43.944% | l I |
| | | | |

7. Conclusions

- (a) Smoking prevalence declined over time
 - i. Smokers are quitting
 - ii. Smokers have a shorter life
 - iii. Smokers are less likely to participate
- (b) R can be used to
 - i. Restrict an analysis to non-missing data
 - ii. Create a 2 way table to cross-classify two nominal variables

2 Part II

- 1. Objectives
 - (a) Create an ordinal variable from continuous data
 - (b) Calculate the prevalence of CHD for different levels of smoking at visit 1
- 2. Calculate the prevalence of coronary heart disease (CHD) at visit 1 by categories of cigarettes per day

"PREVCHD is defined as pre-existing angina pectoris, myocardial infarction (hospitalized, silent or unrecognized), or coronary insufficiency (unstable angina) 0 = Free of disease, 1 = Prevalent disease"

- (a) Create 4 categories of cigarette packs per day (0, 1-20, 21-40, \geq 41). Since the values reflect, a particular ordering, it is a nordinal variable.
 - > data\$packs1 <- NA # initialize packs1</pre>
 - > data\$packs1 [data\$cigpday1==0] <- 0</pre>
 - > data\$packs1 [data\$cigpday1>=1 & data\$cigpday1 <= 20] <- 1</pre>
 - > data\$packs1 [data\$cigpday1>=21 & data\$cigpday1 <= 40] <- 2</pre>
 - > data\$packs1 [data\$cigpday1>=41 & !is.na(data\$cigpday1)] <- 3</pre>

(b) Use CrossTable to get a 2 way table from packs1 and prevchd1 $\,$

> with(data, CrossTable(packs1, prevchd1, format="SPSS"))

Cell Contents

| - | |
|---|-------------------------|
| 1 | Count |
| | Chi-square contribution |
| | Row Percent |
| | Column Percent |
| | Total Percent |
| - | |

Total Observations in Table: 4402

| packs1 | prevchd1 | Yes | Row Total |
|--------------|--|--|------------------------|
| 0 | | 108 | 2253 |
| | 0.049 95.206% 50.938% | 1.073 4.794% 56.545% | 51.181% |
| | 48.728% | 2.453% | |
| 1 | 1606 | 65 | 1671 |
| | 0.035 96.110% 38.138% 36.483% | 0.777 3.890% 34.031% 1.477% | 37.960% |
| | | | |
| 2 | 383 0.014 | 15 0.298 | 398 |
| | 96.231% 9.095% 8.701% | 3.769% 7.853% 0.341% | 9.041% |
| 3 | 77 | 3 | 80 |
| | 0.003 96.250% 1.829% 1.749% | 0.064 3.750% 1.571% 0.068% | 1.817% |
| Column Total | 4211 95.661% | 191 4.339% | 4402 |

- 3. What could explain the higher prevalence of CHD among non-smokers compared to those who smoke 1 or more cigarettes per day?
 - (a) High incidence, Long duration
 - (b) Cross-sectional data is susceptible to reverse causation
 - (c) Other common suspects
 - i. Bias
 - ii. Confounding
 - iii. Chance

4. Conclusions

- (a) R can be used to create an ordinal variable based on continuous data.
- (b) CHD prevalence was lower among people with higher levels of smoking.
- (c) Prevalence is a function of incidence and duration.
- (d) In addition to a causal effect of exposure on disease risk, there are several alternative explanations for observing an association between two factors of interest.