Project details The tasks of this project are as follows: ☐ Gathering data ☐ Assessing data ☐ Cleaning data **Gathering data** The data for this project consist on three different dataset that were obtained as following: ☐ **Twitter archive file:** the twitter_archive_enhanced.csv was provided by Udacity and downloaded manually. ☐ **The tweet image predictions**, i.e., what breed of is present in each tweet according to a neural network. This file (image_predictions.tsv) is hosted on Udacity's servers and was downloaded programmatically using the Requests library and URL information ☐ **Twitter API & JSON:** I've used the file given, I read this file line by line into a pandas dataframe with tweet ID, favorite count, retweet count, followers count, friends count, source, retweeted status and url. Assessing data Once the three tables were obtained I assessed the data as following: ☐ Visually, I used two tools. One was by printing the three entire dataframes separate in Jupyter Notebook and two by checking the csv files in Excel. ☐ Programmatically, by using different methods (e.g. info, value_counts, sample, duplicated, etc). Then I separated the issues encountered in quality issues and tidiness issues.

were wanted. **Cleaning data**

This part of the data wrangling was divided in three parts: Define, code and test the code. These three steps were on each of the issues described in the assess section.

Key points to keep in mind for this process was that original ratings with images

First and very helpful step was to create a copy of the three original dataframes. I wrote the codes to manipulate the copies. If there was an error, I could create a new copy from the original.

Whenever I made a mistake, I could create another copy of the dataframes and continue working on the cleaning part.

There were a couple of cleaning steps that were very challenging. One of them was in the image prediction table. I had to create a 'nested if' inside a function in order to capture the first true prediction of the type of dog. The original table had three predictions and confidence levels. I filtered this into one column for dog type and one column for confidence level.

Other interesting cleaning code was to melt the dog stages in one column instead of four columns as original presented in twitter archive.

One very challenging cleaning step was when I had to correct some numerators that were actual decimals. This issue was brought to my attention after the first Udacity review. Using Excel and visual assessment was not sufficient to verify those decimals. Therefore, I had to run a code in order to check those actual tweets (decimals numerators).

Conclusion

Data wrangling is a core skill that whoever handles data should be familiar with.
I have used Python programming language and some of its packages. There are
several advantages of this tool (as compared to e.g. Excel) that is used by many
data scientists (including the guys at Facebook).
\square For gathering data there are several packages that help scraping data off
the web, that help using APIs to collect data (Tweepy for Twitter) or to
communicate with SQL databases.
\square It is strong in dealing with big data (much better than Excel).
☐ It can deal with a large variety of data (unstructured data like JSON
(Tweets) or also structured data from ERP/SQL databases.
☐ It is easy to document each single step and if needed re-run each single
step. Thus, one can leave a perfect audit trail (perfect for the accountant).
□ One can re-run analysis automatically every period. Thus, we could
actually re-run the dog analysis every month with much less effort now
because I have set it up once.
☐ Handling, assessing, cleaning and visualizing of data is
possible programmatically using code