```
t_1 \in \text{UserTable} \\ (t_1, t_2 :: c_2) \in \text{OwnerModel} \lor (t_1, -, t_2, -) \in \text{MemberModel} \\ (t_2, t_3 :: c_3) \in (\text{OOR} \cup \text{ONR}) \\ \hline (t_1, t_3 :: c_3) \in \text{HierarchyModel} \\ \\ (t_1, t_2 :: c_2) \in \text{HierarchyModel} \\ \\ (t_2, t_3 :: c_3) \in (\text{OOR} \cup \text{ONR}) \\ \hline (t_1, t_2 :: c_3) \in \text{HierarchyModel} \\ \hline (t_1, t_2 :: c_3) \in \text{HierarchyModel} \\ \hline (t_1, t_2 :: c_3) \in \text{HierarchyModel} \\ \hline (t_2, t_3 :: c_3) \in \text{HierarchyModel} \\ \hline (t_3, t_3 :: c_3 ::
```

Figure 13: Rules for hierarchical model inference.

```
(t_1, t_3 :: c_3) \in \mathbf{HierarchyModel} \quad l^C : \langle -, t_3, -, - \rangle
                                  (t_1, t_3 :: c_3, C) \in \mathbf{SafeC}
                                                                                                           [НІ-СНЕСК]
                                         l^C \in \mathbf{SafeOp}
                            (t_1, t_3 :: c_3) \in \mathbf{HierarchyModel}
     (t_1, t_2 :: c_2) \in HierarchyModel \quad (t_2, t_3 :: c_3) \in (OOR \cup ONR)
                                    (t_2::c_p,t_3::c_3)\in C
                                                                                                           [HI-CHECK-RECURSIVE]
                                   (t_1, t_2 :: c_2, C) \in \mathbf{SafeC}
                                   (t_1, t_3 :: c_3, C) \in \mathbf{SafeC}
                            (t_1,t_3::c_3)\in \mathbf{HierarchyModel}
        (t_1, t_2 :: c_2) \in \mathbf{OwnerModel} \quad (t_2, t_3 :: c_3) \in (\mathbf{OOR} \cup \mathbf{ONR})
                                    (t_2::c_p,t_3::c_3)\in\mathcal{C}
                                                                                                           [HI-CHECK-OWNER]
                                    (t_1::c_p,t_2::c_2)\in C
                                  (t_1, t_3 :: c_3, C) \in \mathbf{SafeC}
                            (t_1, t_3 :: c_3) \in \mathbf{HierarchyModel}
(t_1,t_4::c_4,t_2,t_4'::c_4')\in \mathbf{MemberModel} \quad (t_2,t_3::c_3)\in (\mathbf{OOR}\cup \mathbf{ONR})
                                    (t_2 :: c_p, t_3 :: c_3) \in C
                                                                                                           [HI-CHECK-MEMBER]
                   (t_1 :: c_p, t_4 :: c_4) \in C \quad (t_2 :: c_p, t'_4 :: c'_4) \in C
                                  (t_1, t_3 :: c_3, C) \in \mathbf{SafeC}
```

Figure 14: Rules for missing hierarchical check.

A INFERRING AND CHECKING RULES FOR HIERARCHICAL MODELS

Figure 13 presents the rules for inferring hierarchical models. According to its definition (Section 3), we initially use [HI-MODEL-INIT] to build hierarchical models based on existing ownership or membership models. The model between table user and table notice (Figure 2) is such a case. Next, hierarchical models are transitively deduced via 1:1 and 1:n relationship, as demonstrated by [HI-MODEL-TRAN].

Figure 14 illustrates the principle of detecting missing hierarchical checks. The set **SafeC** is a set of triples like $(t_1, t_3 :: c_3, C)$, indicating that C properly checks the hierarchical model between t_1 and $t_3 :: c_3$. Rule [HI-CHECK] is intuitive, reducing the safety check of sensitive operation l^C to verifying the existence of $(t_1, t_3 :: c_3, C) \in$ **SafeC**. The three remaining rules "inductively" verify a given hierarchical model:

- Basis: Rule [HI-CHECK-OWNER] and [HI-CHECK-MEMBER] handle a root hierarchical model based on ownership and membership models, respectively.
- Induction: Rule [HI-CHECK-RECURSIVE] validates the hierarchical model layer by layer. Interestingly, this rule operates in the opposite direction of [HI-MODEL-TRAN].