

UPPSALA UNIVERSITY



INTRODUCTION TO MACHINE LEARNING, BIG DATA, AND AI

Project Instructions

1 Project Instructions

The last two weeks will be focused on a course project where a group of 2-3 students choose data and create a supervised machine learning predictor for a real-world dataset.

1.1 Project Group and Expected Workload

It is possible to have only one student in a group, although this is not recommended. One student group will, in practice, mean additional work due to the requirements of the project.

The project is expected to take 40h per student in the group. Hence a 3 group project should be the equivalent of a 120h project.

1.2 Data Sets and Methods Recommendations

We recommend that you find a dataset you are interested in using yourself. If you have a hard time finding a dataset to use, there are a lot of available datasets (and problems) at:

- the UCI Machine Learning repository: [\[here\]](#)
- the machine learning competition site Kaggle: [\[here\]](#)

Some data sets should not be used in the project:

- Titanic (R data set)
- mtcars (R data set)

Modeling requirements and recommendations:

- Your project should be a supervised learning project.

1.3 Project Proposal

Students need to turn in a half-page project and data description by the end of block six and get approval for the proposed project. The project proposal must include the following pieces.

- Description of the problem.
- Description of the data.
- A first idea on potential methods and evaluation.

To minimize the project work's total workload, I suggest you see the proposal as a first draft of Section 1 and 2 of the project report (see below). Then the first part of your project report will already be written.

1.4 Project Report

The Project outcome is a report in the ICML paper format that can be found [\[here\]](#). The ICML format is also available in overleaf here: [\[here\]](#)

The paper should consist of *four (4) pages*, excluding references and eventual appendices. The paper should include the following four (4) sections:

1. Introduction (roughly 0.5 pages)
 - Description of the problem.
2. Data and Methods (roughly 1 pages)
 - Description of the data.
 - Description and motivation of the method
 - Description of how the evaluation was conducted
3. Results (roughly 1.5-2 pages)
 - Results of the proposed methods
 - Evaluation of the proposed method
4. Conclusions (roughly 0.5-1 pages)
 - Conclusions from the results. Did the method work as expected?
 - Discussion of problems and potential improvements
 - Discussion of potential ethical issues (in light of the guest lecture).

Additional requirements for the report:

1. All Figures using color should have a color-blind friendly color palette. See [here](#) and [here](#).

1.5 Project Review

Each student will review one other group's project report. The project review should consist of a written report of 1-2 pages that contain the following parts—roughly one to two paragraphs per part.

1. Summary and contributions:
Briefly summarize the project report and its contributions in one paragraph.
2. Strengths:
Describe the strengths of the work. Examples can be a clever use of the method.
An interesting and non-trivial problem. Comparisons of more than one method.
3. Weaknesses:
Describe the weaknesses of the work. Examples can be a lack of reproducibility,
poorly chosen method, or evaluation.

4. Correctness:
Are the claims and methods correct? Is the empirical methodology correct?
5. Clarity:
Is the paper well written? Is it easy to follow or understand the report?
6. Formatting:
Is the paper correctly formatted, and are the required content included in the project report?
7. Ethical considerations:
Does the report raise potential ethical concerns? This includes methods, applications, or data that create or reinforce unfair bias or have a primary purpose of harm or injury. If so, please explain briefly.

Note Reviewing other's work is an essential part of the scientific process. These reviewer guidelines have been in large part been taken from the NeurIPS reviewer guidelines that can be found here: [NeurIPS reviewer guidelines](#)

1.6 Project Presentations

Presentation details:

- Each project needs to be presented in addition to submitting the notebook
- The presentation should be high level, but sufficiently detailed information should be readily available to facilitate answering questions from the audience
- Within each session, about four groups, will be presenting
- For 1-2 person groups, the presentation should be 10 minutes
- For three-person groups, the presentation should be 15 minutes
- Afterwards, questions will be asked first by other students and then by attending teachers.
- Each student will be responsible for (critically) discussing/commenting on one other project report.
- Grading of the presentation will be done by the attending teachers using standardized grading instructions.
- Presenters' ID cards will be checked to ensure the right persons are presenting

Specific presentation recommendations:

- The first slide needs to include the project title and names of the group members.
- The chosen methods(s) should be explained and justified (you are *not* holding this presentation for a hypothetical customer who doesn't care about the details of your methods).

- Big enough font size for text and figure labels should be used to make it easy for the audience to read slides.
- The last slide needs to include to conclusion and names of the group members.