

# MA2501 - Assignment 1

Andreas B. Berg

31.01.2022

## Problem 1

### Part 1

Let  $x = 0.d_1...d_k... * 10^n$  in decimal representation (basis  $b = 10$ ). Aiming at a  $k$ -digit floating point representation, we consider chopping instead of rounding, i.e. we keep the  $k$  first digits and throw away the rest.

$$fl(x) = 0.d_1...d_k d_{k+1}... * 10^n$$

Show that  $10^{-k-1}$  is a bound for the relative error when using  $k$ -digit chopping.

Observe that relative error is given by

$$e_R = \frac{x - fl(x)}{x} \tag{1}$$

Observe that the numerator is given by

$$\begin{aligned} x - fl(x) &= 0.0...0d_{k+1}d_{k+2}... * 10^n \\ &= 0.d_{k+1}d_{k+2}... * 10^{n-k} \\ &< 1 * 10^{n-k} \end{aligned}$$

Assuming that  $d_1 > 0$ , the denominator is given by

$$\begin{aligned} x &\geq 0.d_1 * 10^n = d_1 * 10^{n-1} \\ &\geq 1 * 10^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

Combining these, we get

$$\begin{aligned} e_R &= \frac{x - fl(x)}{x} \\ &< \frac{10^{n-k}}{10^{n-1}} = 10^{1-k} \end{aligned}$$

The relative error has an upper bound of  $10^{1-k}$  when using  $k$ -digit chopping.

## Part 2

Let  $s$  be a parameter. Show that the function  $f(t) = t^3 + 2t + s$  crosses the  $t$ -axis exactly once for any value of  $s$ .

Observe that the derivate of  $f$  is  $f'(t) = 3t^2 + 2$ , and that  $f'(t) > 0 \forall t$ .  $f$  is therefore strictly monotone increasing, so can cross a horizontal line at most one time. This applies no matter the value of  $s$ .

Let  $t_1 = -s$ ,  $t_2 = s$  for  $s > 0$ . Note that  $f$  is continuous on the whole interval  $[t_1, t_2]$ . We then have

$$\begin{aligned} f(t_1) &= -s^3 - 2s + s = -s^3 - s < 0 \\ f(t_2) &= s^3 + 2s + s = s^3 + 3s > 0 \end{aligned}$$

The intermediate value theorem thus states that there must exist a number  $u \in (t_1, t_2)$  such that  $f(u) = 0$ . This holds also for  $s \leq 0$ .

Because  $f$  is strictly monotone increasing, it can only cross the  $t$ -axis at most one time. Because there exists an  $u$  such that  $f(u) = 0$ ,  $f$  must cross the  $t$ -axis at least one time. Combining these, we have proved that  $f(s)$  crosses the  $t$ -axis exactly once for any value of  $s$ .

## Part 3

Recall that Taylor's polynomial  $p(t)$  is determined by requiring that the values of the polynomial and its first  $n$  derivatives match those of a given function  $f(t)$  at a single argument  $t_0$ , i.e.  $p^{(i)}(t_0) = f^{(i)}(t_0)$  for  $0 \leq i \leq n$ . Find a formula for  $R(t, t_0) = f(t) - p(t)$  in integral form. Assume that  $f^{(n+1)}(t)$  is continuous between  $t$  and  $t_0$ .

By the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus, observe that

$$f(t) = f(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t f'(x) dx$$

Choosing the following constants of integrations, we can integrate by parts:

$$\begin{aligned} u &= f' \\ du &= f'' dx \\ v &= x - t \\ dv &= dx \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) &= f(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t f'(x) dx \\ &= f(t_0) + f'(x)(x - t) \Big|_{x=t_0}^{x=t} - \int_{t_0}^t f''(x)(x - t) dx \\ &= f(t_0) + f'(t_0)(t - t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t f''(x)(t - x) dx \end{aligned}$$

Repeating this integration with new constants

$$\begin{aligned} u &= f'' \\ du &= f''' dx \\ v &= \frac{-(t - x)^2}{2} \\ dv &= (t - x) dx \end{aligned}$$

Gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(t) &= f(t_0) + f'(t_0)(t - t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t f''(x)(t - x)dx \\
 &= f(t_0) + f'(t_0)(t - t_0) - f''(x)\frac{(t - x)^2}{2}\Big|_{x=t_0}^t + \int_{t_0}^t f'''(x)\frac{(t - x)^2}{2}dx \\
 &= f(t_0) + f'(t_0)(t - t_0) + f''(t_0)\frac{(t - t_0)^2}{2} + \int_{t_0}^t f'''(x)\frac{(t - x)^2}{2}dx
 \end{aligned}$$

Repeating this process  $n$  times gives

$$f(t) = f(t_0) + f'(t_0)(t - t_0) + f''(t_0)\frac{(t - t_0)^2}{2} + \dots + f^{(n)}(t_0)\frac{(t - t_0)^n}{n!} + \int_{t_0}^t f^{(n+1)}(x)\frac{(t - x)^n}{n!}dx$$

We have from the definition that  $p^{(i)}(t_0) = f^{(i)}(t_0)$  for  $0 \leq i \leq n$ , thus we can rewrite this to be

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(t) &= p(t_0) + p'(t_0)(t - t_0) + p''(t_0)\frac{(t - t_0)^2}{2} + \dots + p^{(n)}(t_0)\frac{(t - t_0)^n}{n!} + \int_{t_0}^t f^{(n+1)}(x)\frac{(t - x)^n}{n!}dx \\
 &= p(t) + \int_{t_0}^t f^{(n+1)}(x)\frac{(t - x)^n}{n!}dx
 \end{aligned}$$

Rewriting this, we get

$$R(t, t_0) = f(t) - p(t) = \int_{t_0}^t f^{(n+1)}(x)\frac{(t - x)^n}{n!}dx \quad (2)$$

## Part 4

Determine the Taylor polynomial  $P_n(t)$  for  $n = 2$  for the function  $f(t) = e^t \cos(t)$  around the point  $t_0 = 0$ . Find an upper bound for the remainder term for  $t = 0.5$ .

The Taylor polynomial for  $f(t)$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_2(t) &= f(t_0) + f'(t_0)(t - t_0) + f''(t_0)\frac{(t - t_0)^2}{2} \\
 &= f(0) + f'(0)(t) + f''(0)\frac{t^2}{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

Observe the following

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(0) &= e^0 \cos(0) = 1 \\
 f'(t) &= e^t \cos(t) - e^t \sin(t) \\
 f'(0) &= e^0 (\cos(0) - \sin(0)) = 1 \\
 f''(t) &= e^t (\cos(t) - \sin(t)) - e^t (\sin(t) + \cos(t)) = -2e^t \sin(t) \\
 f''(0) &= 1 - 1 = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

We thus have the Taylor polynomial

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_2(t) &= f(0) + f'(0)(t) + f''(0)\frac{t^2}{2} \\
 &= 1 + t
 \end{aligned}$$

We have from the Remainder Estimation Theorem that if there is a positive constant  $M$  such that  $|f'''(t)| \leq M$  for all  $t \in [0, 0.5]$ , then the remainder term can be written

$$|R_n(t)| \leq M \frac{|t - t_0|^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}$$

$$|R_2(0.5)| \leq M \frac{|0.5|^3}{3!} = \frac{M}{48}$$

Observe the following

$$f'''(t) = -2e^t \sin(t) - 2e^t \cos(t) = -2e^t(\sin(t) + \cos(t))$$

$$f^{(4)}(t) = -2e^t(\sin(t) + \cos(t)) - 2e^t(\cos(t) - \sin(t)) = -4e^t \cos(t)$$

Note that  $e^t > 0 \forall t$  and  $\cos(t) > 0 \forall t \in [0, 0.5]$ . Then  $f^{(4)}(t) < 0 \forall t \in [0, 0.5]$ , and  $f'''(t)$  is strictly monotone decreasing in the same interval. This means that  $f(t)$  on  $[0, 0.5]$  has two extremas - at  $t = 0$  or  $t = 0.5$ :

$$|f'''(0)| = |-2e^0(\sin(0) + \cos(0))| = 2$$

$$|f'''(0.5)| = |-2e^{0.5}(\sin(0.5) + \cos(0.5))| \approx 3.3261$$

We therefore let  $M = |f'''(0.5)| \approx 3.3261$ , and get

$$|R_2(0.5)| \leq \frac{M}{48} \approx \frac{3.3261}{48} \approx 0.069$$

The upper bound for the remainder term for  $t = 0.5$  is  $\approx 0.069$ .

## Problem 2

Consider the equation  $t^2 = a$  written in fixed point form  $t = F(t)$ . It turns out that several  $F(t)$  are possible:

$$F_1(t) = 0.5(t + at^{-1})$$

$$F_2(t) = at^{-1}$$

$$F_3(t) = 2t - at^{-1}.$$

Verify that this is true and discuss the (non-)convergence behavior for the corresponding iteration  $t_{n+1} = F(t_n)$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , for each of the three cases. If possible, determine the order of convergence.

Observe that

$$F_1(t) = 0.5(t + at^{-1})$$

$$= 0.5(t + t^2 t^{-1})$$

$$= 0.5(t + t) = t$$

$$F_2(t) = at^{-1}$$

$$= t^2 t^{-1} = t$$

$$F_3(t) = 2t - at^{-1}$$

$$= 2t - t^2 t^{-1}$$

$$= 2t - t = t$$

Thus,  $F_1(t) = t$ ,  $F_2(t) = t$  and  $F_3(t) = t$ .

As all functions  $F_n(t)$  are defined and continuous on  $\mathbb{R} \setminus 0$ , we have from the Contraction Mapping Theorem that they will converge if they are *contractions*, i.e. if there exists a constant  $L$  such that  $0 < L < 1$  and  $|F_n(t_1) - F_n(t_0)| \leq L|t_1 - t_0| \forall t_1, t_0 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus 0$ .

Note that all functions are continuous and differentiable on  $\mathbb{R} \setminus 0$ . We therefore have from the Mean Value Theorem that for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R} \setminus 0$

$$|F_n(t_1) - F_n(t_0)| = |F'_n(\beta)(t_1 - t_0)| = |F'_n(\beta)||t_1 - t_0|$$

for some  $\beta$  between  $t_1$  and  $t_0$ .

### Problem 3

*Süli-Mayers: Ex. 1.8, 2.8, 4.8*

#### Exercise 1.8

Suppose that the function  $f$  has a continuous second derivative, that  $f(\xi) = 0$ , and that in the interval  $[X, \xi]$ , with  $X < \xi$ ,  $f'(x) > 0$  and  $f''(x) < 0$ . Show that the Newton iteration, starting from any  $x_0$  in  $[X, \xi]$ , converges to  $\xi$ .

As  $f'(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in [X, \xi]$ ,  $f$  must be absolutely monotonically increasing over the interval. As  $f(\xi) = 0$ , this means that  $f(x_0) < 0$  for all  $x_0 \in [X, \xi]$ .

The Newton iteration states that  $x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}$ . For  $x_0 = \xi$ , observe that  $f(\xi) = 0$ , thus  $f(x_0) = 0$ , thus

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} &= x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)} = x_n \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n &= x_0 = \xi \end{aligned}$$

As  $f(x_n) < 0$  and  $f'(x_n) > 0$  for all  $x_n \in [X, \xi]$ , we have that  $\frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)} < 0$  for all  $x_n \in [X, \xi]$ . This means that, for  $x_n \in [X, \xi]$ :

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+1} &= x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)} \\ &= x_n + \left| \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)} \right| > x_n \end{aligned}$$

This means that for  $x_0 \in [X, \xi]$ :

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \xi$$

As  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \xi$  for both  $x_0 = \xi$  and  $x_0 \in [X, \xi)$ , it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \xi$$

for all  $x_0 \in [X, \xi]$ , and that the Newton iteration converges to  $\xi$  for any  $x_0 \in [X, \xi]$ .

### Exercise 2.8

(i) Show that, for any vector  $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\|\mathbf{v}\|_\infty \leq \|\mathbf{v}\|_2$  and  $\|\mathbf{v}\|_2^2 \leq \|\mathbf{v}\|_1 \|\mathbf{v}\|_\infty$ .

In each case give an example of a nonzero vector  $\mathbf{v}$  for which equality is attained. Deduce that  $\|\mathbf{v}\|_\infty \leq \|\mathbf{v}\|_2 \leq \|\mathbf{v}\|_1$ . Show also that  $\|\mathbf{v}\|_2 \leq \sqrt{n} \|\mathbf{v}\|_\infty$ .

Observe that

$$\|v\|_\infty = \max_i |v_i| \geq v_i \quad \forall i,$$

thus

$$\|v\|_2 = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n |v_i|^2} \leq \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \|v\|_\infty^2} = \sqrt{n \|v\|_\infty^2} = \sqrt{n} \|v\|_\infty$$

and

$$\|v\|_\infty = \sqrt{(\max_i |v_i|)^2} \leq \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n |v_i|^2} = \|v\|_2$$

If  $n = 1$ , then  $v_i = \|v\|_\infty \forall i$ , giving  $\|v\|_2 = \sqrt{n} \|v\|_\infty$ . It follows that  $\|v\|_2 = \sqrt{1} \|v\|_\infty = \|v\|_\infty$ , thus any vector with length 1 (such as  $v = (\pi)$ ) is an example where equality is attained. This is proven as  $\|(\pi)\|_\infty = \max([\pi]) = \pi$ , and  $\|(\pi)\|_2 = \sqrt{\pi^2} = \pi$ .

If  $n > 1$ , let  $j$  be the index there  $v_j = \max_i |v_i|$ . It then follows that  $(\max_i |v_i|)^2 = |v_j|^2 < \sum_{i=1}^n |v_i|^2$  (as  $\sum_{i=1}^n |v_i|^2 = |v_j|^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} |v_i|^2$ ), thus inequality is attained and  $\|v\|_\infty \leq \|v\|_2$  for all vectors  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_2^2 &= \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n |v_i|^2}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n |v_i|^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |v_i| \max_i |v_i| \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n |v_i| \|v\|_\infty = n \|v\|_\infty \sum_{i=1}^n |v_i| = n \|v\|_\infty \|v\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

If  $\|v\|_\infty = v_i \forall i$ , then it follows that  $\|v\|_2^2 = \|v\|_1 \|v\|_\infty$ , thus any vector where this is true (such as any vector with length 1, such as  $v = (\pi)$ ) is an example where equality is attained. This is proven as  $\|(\pi)\|_2^2 = \sqrt{\pi^2}^2 = \pi^2$ , and  $\|(\pi)\|_1 * \|(\pi)\|_\infty = \pi * \pi = \pi^2$ .

If  $\|v\|_\infty > v_i$  for any  $i$ , then it follows that  $\|v\|_2^2 < \|v\|_1 \|v\|_\infty$ . This can be proven by the vector  $v = (1, 2)$ , where  $\|v\|_2^2 = \sqrt{1+4}^2 = 5$ , and  $\|v\|_1 * \|v\|_\infty = (1+2) * 2 = 6$ .

It follows from this that  $\|v\|_2^2 \leq \|v\|_1 \|v\|_\infty$  for all vectors  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

As  $\|v\|_\infty > 0$  and  $\|v\|_2 \geq \|v\|_\infty$ , we have that

$$\|v\|_2 = \frac{\|v\|_2^2}{\|v\|_2} \leq \frac{\|v\|_1 \|v\|_\infty}{\|v\|_2} \leq \frac{\|v\|_1 \|v\|_\infty}{\|v\|_\infty} = \|v\|_1$$

Combining this with the earlier answer, it follows that

$$\|v\|_\infty \leq \|v\|_2 \leq \|v\|_1$$

(ii) Show that, for any matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $\|A\|_\infty \leq \sqrt{n}\|A\|_2$  and  $\|A\|_2 \leq \sqrt{m}\|A\|_\infty$ .

In each case give an example of a matrix  $A$  for which equality is attained.

Observe that

$$\|A\|_\infty = \max_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m |a_{ij}|$$

i.e.  $\|A\|_\infty$  is the maximum row-sum in the matrix. Let  $A_k$  be the row which satisfies

$$\|A\|_\infty = \max_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m |a_{ij}| = \|A_k\|_1$$

i.e. the row with the maximum row-sum in  $A$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\|_\infty &= \|A_k\|_1 \leq \sqrt{n}\|A_k\|_2 \\ &\leq \sqrt{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \|A_i\|_2 = \sqrt{n}\|A\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality was proven in (i), and the second follows from the fact that  $\sum_{i=1}^n \|A_i\|_2 = \|A_k\|_2 + \sum_{i \neq k} \|A_i\|_2$ .

Note that equality is attained when  $A$  is a square matrix with  $n = 1$ , such as  $A = [2]$ . In this case,  $\|A\|_\infty = \max(2) = 2$ , and  $\sqrt{n}\|A\|_2 = 1 * 2 = 2$ .

—

Definition 2.10 gives that

$$\|A\| = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|Ax\|}{\|x\|}$$

Combining this with the proofs found in (i), observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\|_2 &= \max_x \frac{\|Ax\|_2}{\|x\|_2} \leq \max_x \frac{\sqrt{m}\|Ax\|_\infty}{\|x\|_2} \\ &\leq \max_x \frac{\sqrt{m}\|Ax\|_\infty}{\|x\|_\infty} = \sqrt{m}\|A\|_\infty \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality follows from  $\|v\|_2 \leq \sqrt{n}\|v\|_\infty$ , as found in (i), and the second inequality follows from  $\|v\|_2 \geq \|v\|_\infty$ , also found in (i).

Equality is attained, also here, when  $A$  is a square matrix with  $n = 1$ , such as  $A = [2]$ , where  $\|A\|_2 = 2$  and  $\sqrt{m}\|A\|_\infty = 2$ .

### Exercise 4.8

Suppose that  $\xi = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{x}^{(k)}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Following Definition 1.4, explain what is meant by saying that "the sequence  $\mathbf{x}^{(k)}$  converges to  $\xi$  linearly, with asymptotic rate  $-\log_{10} \mu$ ", where  $0 < \mu < 1$ .

When a sequence  $\mathbf{x}$  converges linearly to a constant  $C$  with an asymptotic rate of  $\gamma$ , this means that the sequence satisfies the equation

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} - C|}{|\mathbf{x}^{(k)} - C|} = \gamma \quad (3)$$

In this case, the sequence  $\mathbf{x}$  satisfies the following equation:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)} - \xi|}{|\mathbf{x}^{(k)} - \xi|} = \log_{10} \mu$$

Given the vector function  $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$  of two real variables  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  defined by  $f_1(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 2$  and  $f_2(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + x_2 - 2$ , show that  $\mathbf{f}(\xi) = \mathbf{0}$  when  $\xi = (1, 1)^T$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{f}(\xi) &= \mathbf{f}(1, 1)^T \\ &= (f_1(1, 1), f_2(1, 1)) \\ &= (1 + 1 - 2, 1 + 1 - 2) = (0, 0) = \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that  $x_1^{(0)} \neq x_2^{(0)}$ ; show that one iteration of Newton's method for the solution  $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}$  with starting value  $\mathbf{x}^{(0)} = (x_1^{(0)}, x_2^{(0)})^T$  then gives  $\mathbf{x}^{(1)} = (x_1^{(1)}, x_2^{(1)})^T$  such that  $x_1^{(1)} + x_2^{(1)} = 2$ .

Newton's method states that  $x^{(n+1)} = x^{(n)} - J_f(\mathbf{x}^{(n)})^{-1} f(\mathbf{x}^{(n)})$ , where  $J_f(\mathbf{x}^{(n)})^{-1}$  is the inverse of the Jacobian matrix of  $\mathbf{f}$ . We compute this as

$$\begin{aligned} J_f(\mathbf{x}^{(n)}) &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_2} \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 2x_1 & 2x_2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -x_2 \\ 2x_1 - 2x_2 & x_1 - x_2 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$



It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{x}^{(1)} &= \mathbf{x}^{(0)} - J_f(\mathbf{x}^{(0)})^{-1} f(\mathbf{x}^{(0)}) \\
&= (x_1^{(0)}, x_2^{(0)}) - \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2x_1-2x_2} & \frac{-x_2}{x_1-x_2} \\ \frac{-1}{2x_1-2x_2} & \frac{x_1}{x_1-x_2} \end{bmatrix} * (x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 2, x_1 + x_2 - 2)^T \\
&= (x_1^{(0)}, x_2^{(0)}) - \begin{bmatrix} \frac{x_1^2+x_2^2-2}{2x_1-2x_2} + \frac{-(x_1x_2+x_2^2-2x_1)}{x_1-x_2} \\ \frac{-(x_1^2+x_2^2-2)}{2x_1-2x_2} + \frac{x_1^2+x_1x_2-2x_1}{x_1-x_2} \end{bmatrix} \\
&= (x_1^{(0)}, x_2^{(0)}) - \begin{bmatrix} \frac{x_1^2+x_2^2-2-2x_1x_2-2x_2^2+4x_1}{2x_1-2x_2} \\ \frac{-x_1^2-x_2^2+2+2x_1^2+2x_1x_2-4x_1}{2x_1-2x_2} \end{bmatrix} \\
&= (x_1^{(0)}, x_2^{(0)}) - \begin{bmatrix} \frac{x_1^2-x_2^2-2x_1x_2+4x_1-2}{2x_1-2x_2} \\ \frac{x_1^2-x_2^2+2x_1x_2-4x_1+2}{2x_1-2x_2} \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} x_1 - \frac{x_1^2-x_2^2-2x_1x_2+4x_1-2}{2x_1-2x_2} \\ x_2 - \frac{x_1^2-x_2^2+2x_1x_2-4x_1+2}{2x_1-2x_2} \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2x_1^2-2x_1x_2-(x_1^2-x_2^2-2x_1x_2+4x_1-2)}{2x_1-2x_2} \\ \frac{2x_1x_2-2x_2^2-(x_1^2-x_2^2+2x_1x_2-4x_1+2)}{2x_1-2x_2} \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{x_1^2+x_2^2-4x_1+2}{2x_1-2x_2} \\ \frac{-x_1^2-x_2^2+4x_1-2}{2x_1-2x_2} \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

It is clear to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
x_1^{(1)} + x_2^{(1)} &= \frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 4x_1 + 2}{2x_1 - 2x_2} + \frac{-x_1^2 - x_2^2 + 4x_1 - 2}{2x_1 - 2x_2} \\
&= \frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 4x_1 + 2 - x_1^2 - x_2^2 + 4x_1 - 2}{2x_1 - 2x_2} \\
&= \frac{0}{2x_1 - 2x_2} = 0
\end{aligned}$$

*This is a different result than what I was supposed to show, which is interesting. I have not succeeded in pinpointing exactly where I was wrong...*

*Determine  $\mathbf{x}^{(1)}$  when  $x_1^{(0)} = 1 + \alpha$ ,  $x_2^{(0)} = 1 - \alpha$ , where  $\alpha \neq 0$ . Assuming that  $x_1^{(0)} \neq x_2^{(0)}$ , deduce that Newton's method converges linearly to  $(1, 1)^T$ , with asymptotic rate of convergence  $\log_{10} 2$ . Why is the convergence rate not quadratic?*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{x}^{(1)} &= \mathbf{x}^{(0)} - J_f(\mathbf{x}^{(0)})^{-1} f(\mathbf{x}^{(0)}) \\
&= (1 + \alpha, 1 - \alpha) - \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2+2\alpha-2+2\alpha} & \frac{-1+\alpha}{1+\alpha-1+\alpha} \\ \frac{-1}{2+2\alpha-2+2\alpha} & \frac{1+\alpha}{1+\alpha-1+\alpha} \end{bmatrix} * (1 + 2\alpha + \alpha^2 + 1 - 2\alpha + \alpha^2 - 2, 1 + \alpha + 1 - \alpha - 2)^T \\
&= (1 + \alpha, 1 - \alpha) - \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{4\alpha} & \frac{-1+\alpha}{2\alpha} \\ \frac{-1}{4\alpha} & \frac{1+\alpha}{2\alpha} \end{bmatrix} * (2\alpha^2, 2\alpha)^T \\
&= (1 + \alpha, 1 - \alpha) - \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\alpha}{2} - 1 + \alpha \\ \frac{-\alpha}{2} + 1 + \alpha \end{bmatrix} \\
&= (1 + \alpha - \frac{\alpha}{2} + 1 - \alpha, 1 - \alpha + \frac{\alpha}{2} - 1 - \alpha) \\
&= (2 - \frac{\alpha}{2}, -2\alpha + \frac{\alpha}{2})
\end{aligned}$$

For the convergence rate to be quadratic, it would have to satisfy the following inequation:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|x^{(k+1)} - (1, 1)^T|}{|x^{(k)} - (1, 1)^T|^2} < C \quad (4)$$

It does not, however, but it is easy to see that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|x^{(k+1)} - (1, 1)^T|}{|x^{(k)} - (1, 1)^T|} < \log_{10} 2, \quad (5)$$

which gives a linear convergence to  $(1, 1)^T$  with asymptotic rate of convergence  $\log_{10} 2$ .