

Ryan Posey

Jillian Moore

ENGL 102

May 14th, 2025

The Larger Scale of the Climate Issue

There are many views on global warming. Deniers, broadly, fit into two categories: those that say it isn't real and those that say it isn't human-caused. Many believers want to blame others and look at celebrities and the rich as the source of the problem. According to a YouTube video by Our Changing Climate titled "How the Rich REALLY Cause Climate Change," Taylor Swift is a big contributor to the climate problem. Then, it goes on to blame the real villains, construction materials executives, whose crimes are much greater than Swifts, according to the video. Even though celebrities and the rich may be big contributors to the problem, the global issue of climate change is larger than any celebrity and we should focus on the climate issue at a larger scale.

According to Our Changing Climate, a YouTube channel, in August of 2022 there was a record-breaking heatwave. During this, people on Twitter were posting hate comments about Taylor Swift and her contribution to the climate issue. These negative contributions being discussed were from her private jet's emissions of CO₂. Around this time a research organization made a report on celebrities and their carbon footprint due to flights. This report showed Taylor Swift as the number one contributor. This report however used flight logs that showed the planes total usage, including other people borrowing her jet. Her plane was estimated to have emitted

Commented [JM1]: And seems more fitting since you're not shifting focus.

over 8,000 metric tons of CO₂ in only 7 months (Our). The second half of the video makes an abrupt switch to talking about corporations and factories.

Why would a video placing the lion's share of the blame on others start off by discussing Swift? To get clicks, good for both money and exposure to their real message. All major YouTube channels get paid by YouTube for their videos' views (YouTube). Other than the obvious purpose of gaining views by starting the video essay with a discussion about Taylor Swift, a topic that would attract viewers that both love and hate her, this video offers a very questionable defense on Taylor Swift's behalf. This defense states "Taylor Swift isn't the worst person on the planet regarding climate change." While this sort of deflection offers a defense, it does not address the hypocrisy of Taylor Swift's jet usage.

Of course, a bad defense for Taylor Swift is not proof that she is in the wrong. The estimated amount of over 8,000 metric tons of CO₂ from 7 months of flying may be over 800 US citizens worth for that timespan (US EPA), but Taylor Swift's *Eras Tour* sold over 4 million tickets in 2022 (AP News). If, instead of touring, Swift stayed in one place and everyone that went to the concerts had to drive, 1000 kilometers on average would be a low estimate. Even this low figure would make over 888,000 metric tons of CO₂ (US EPA). This is over 100 times worse. Taylor Swift is just doing her job, and that job is to entertain millions of people a year.

According to *Our Changing Climate*, there was also an Oxfam report discussing the correlation between household income and carbon emissions. This study showed that, worldwide, the richest 1% has double the carbon emissions than the poorest 50%. Also, according to *Our Changing Climate*, this is not what we should be worried about. Instead, we should be worried about the companies that sell products who's manufacturing emits large

Commented [JM2]: This is a summary of the video. If you're going to summarize material offered by another writer, you should cite it and give credit. It's okay if you want to put yourself in conversation with what they are saying, but you need to indicate that with phrasing and citations.

Commented [JM3]: I'm not sure it is obvious. Can you slow down and discuss this more.

Commented [JM4]: Which defense? I think I see where you're going but it's a bit hard to get there.

Commented [JM5]: Okay, I'm starting to see where you are going a bit better now. I think the main point in your revision will be making your thoughts clear to others. I can see that you're thinking about the material, but you don't make your thoughts very visible to others. This is a matter of practicing how you phrase sentences and what information you offer at which point. Consider what others need to know in order to follow your essay. Then, layout your ideas and your paragraphs in a way that helps you achieve that goal of letting other people see how you're thinking about the material.

amounts of carbon (Our). This argument doesn't make much sense. If those companies stopped selling those products, consumers would just buy from other companies. A real solution must change consumer behavior. One way to do this is for the government to step in and raise taxes on CO₂ emitting products and resources, however the US is already doing this (Peter G. Peterson Foundation). Another way to reduce carbon emissions is the people use less carbon emitting resources globally. This means everyone doing their part to help decrease global carbon emissions which can include carpooling more.

Per person, the one percent consume more, but overall the rest far exceed them. You must look at the climate issue at a national or even a global scale if you are to understand this issue. Just looking at the average of the two groups, the rich are to blame, but changing their ways will not fix this problem. The only way to make a lasting difference is to change the ways of the many, not the few.

Commented [JM6]: See if you can combine these two paragraphs with some that occur earlier in the essay. A paragraph should present one complete idea and keep going until the idea is established and you're ready to move onto another component.

Works Cited

- AP News. *Taylor Swift's Eras Tour is the first tour to gross over \$1 billion, Pollstar says* | *AP News*. 8 December 2023. 15 March 2025.
- How the Rich REALLY Cause Climate Change*. Dir. Our Changing Climate. 2022. YouTube.
- Our World in Data. *Carbon footprint of travel per kilometer; 2022*. 2022. 2 March 2025.
- Peter G. Peterson Foundation. *How Do We Tax Energy in the United States? How Does It Compare to Other Countries?* 13 September 2021. 13 March 2025.
- US EPA. *Sources of Greenhouse Gas Emissions* | *US EPA*. 2022. 15 March 2025.
- YouTube. *How YouTube Creators Earn Money - How YouTube Works*. n.d. 15 March 2025.