OntoFlow: Easy Ontology Development Workflows for Non-technical Domain Experts

Gordian Dziwis*
Lisa Wenige*
dziwis@infai.org
wenige@infai.org
Institute for Applied Informatics
Leipzig, Saxony, Germany

ABSTRACT

For many years, the development of widely applicable and high quality ontologies has been an ongoing research topic. Among the many challenges, the most prominent ones faced by researchers are the lack of

CCS CONCEPTS

• Computer systems organization → Embedded systems; *Redundancy*; Robotics; • Networks → Network reliability.

KEYWORDS

ontologies, workflows, IDE, quality assurance

ACM Reference Format:

Gordian Dziwis and Lisa Wenige. 2021. OntoFlow: Easy Ontology Development Workflows for Non-technical Domain Experts. In *Proceedings of K-Cap* '21: The Eleventh International Conference on Knowledge Capture (K-Cap '21). ACM, New York, NY, USA, 1 page.

1 INTRODUCTION

2 RELATED WORK

Continous integration principles of software engineering [2]

CI should be applicable to dataset-specific operations. First attempts have been undertaken. [1, 3-8]

The same holds true for ontology development. Typically, CI mechanisms are instance data collections the result of operations such as crawling, linking, or data transformation. These processes are usually executed automatically and, aside from the effort of creating specifications and linking steps, are little interrupted by user interactions and manual intervention. If people work with appropriate software tools in this context, they are usually Data Scientists or Software Engineers.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

K-Cap '21, December 02–03, 2021, Virtual Conference © 2021 Association for Computing Machinery. ACM ISBN 978-x-xxxx-xxxx-x/YY/MM...\$15.00

REFERENCES

- Sandro Cirulli. 2015. Continuous integration for xml and rdf data. XML LONDON (2015), 52–60.
- Martin Fowler and Matthew Foemmel. 2006. Continuous integration. Thought-Works) http://www. thoughtworks. com/Continuous Integration. pdf 122, 14 (2006), 1–7.
- [3] Jakub Klímek, Petr Skoda, and Martin Necaský. 2016. Requirements on Linked Data Consumption Platform.. In LDOW@ WWW.
- [4] Jan Kucera, Dusan Chlapek, Jakub Klímek, and Martin Necaský. 2015. Methodologies and Best Practices for Open Data Publication.. In DATESO. 52–64.
- [5] Roy Meissner and Kurt Junghanns. 2016. Using devOps principles to continuously monitor RDF data quality. In Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Semantic Systems. 189–192.
- [6] Julián Andrés Rojas Meléndez, Brecht Van de Vyvere, Arne Gevaert, Ruben Taelman, Pieter Colpaert, and Ruben Verborgh. 2018. A preliminary open data publishing strategy for live data in flanders. In Companion Proceedings of the The Web Conference 2018. 1847–1853.
- [7] Dumitru Roman, Marin Dimitrov, Nikolay Nikolov, Antoine Putlier, Dina Sukhobok, Brian Elvesæter, Arne Berre, Xianglin Ye, Alex Simov, and Yavor Petkov. 2016. Datagraft: Simplifying open data publishing. In European Semantic Web Conference. Springer, 101–106.
- [8] Claus Stadler, Lisa Wenige, Michael Martin, Sebastian Tramp, and Kurt Junghanns. 2019. RDF-based Deployment Pipelining for Efficient Dataset Release Management.. In SEMANTICS Posters&Demos.