

Insert a fitting title for the historical investigation, propably just the research question

Neville Chamberlain, the appeasement policy, and the Munich Agreement

Jonathan Bittner

Internal Assessment

IBDP SL History
Gymnasium Bäumlhof
Switzerland

17th February 2023
987 Words (8. January 23)

Contents

1	Identification and evaluation of sources	2
1.1	The Neville Chamberlain Diary Letters	2
1.2	Common Sitting, European Situation: Prime Ministers Statement	2
2	Investigation	3
2.1	Thesis Statement	3
2.2	Pacifism	3
2.3	Britain's Public Opinion	3
2.4	Military	4
2.5	Conclusion	4
3	Bibliography	5

1 Identification and evaluation of sources

Introductory text, w/ research question, state what type of source sources A and B are

This investigation will analyze the pursuit of the appeasement policy by Neville Chamberlain in September and October 1938. Following research question will be explored: *RESEARCH QUESTION*. The sources to be evaluated are both primary sources, with N. Chamberlain being the originator.

1.1 The Neville Chamberlain Diary Letters

The first source that will be evaluated is the collection of the diary letters of Neville Chamberlain, written in late 1938. They are addressed to his sisters Hilda and Ida Chamberlain. The letters are valuable because they share insight into Neville Chamberlain's thoughts, better than letters to members of parliament would. The letters have mostly been written in 10 Downing Street, London, and in Chequers, Buckinghamshire. N. Chamberlain wrote the letters to keep in touch, perhaps sometimes persuade and share his thoughts with his sisters. The letters further gain in value, as he comments not only on personal but also on political matters: he shares his 'Plan Z', possible criticism of the British government should war break out, and his hope for resolution of the conflict. A lightly limiting factor of this source are that the letters have been edited by Robert C. Self and published posthumously. This should not have a profound impact on the value, as R. C. Self has worked closely with other historians and also James Lloyd, Neville Chamberlain's grandson [1]. A further limit is the nature of the source: It is a letter, which can be restricting with what detail N. Chamberlain could have expressed his thoughts and feelings.

1.2 Common Sitting, European Situation: Prime Ministers Statement

The second source to be analyzed is a transcript of Neville Chamberlain's speech to the Members of the House of Commons on September 28th, 1938. The transcript is relevant to this investigation because Neville Chamberlain presented his public view on the Sudeten crisis as a Prime Minister, not his personal view. The speech was held one day before the European powers got together for the Munich Conference, further adding to its value: N. Chamberlain did not present the events in Europe and his views in retrospect – after the Munich Conference –, which could have changed his views on the situation. N. Chamberlain's speech was made to inform the Members of the House of Commons, not necessarily to convince the Members of his actions, because he summarizes the recent events and only draws little attention on his own actions. He also briefly mentions his next move, being his flight to Munich and the get together with other European leaders. There are several limitations concerning this source. Firstly, the source is a transcript; it leaves room for interpretation in N. Chamberlain's speech. Secondly, it is also possible that the contents of the transcript deviate from the original speech. Thirdly, it could also have been altered on purpose, changing N. Chamberlain's message – although this seems rather unlikely, as the transcript has been published by the UK Parliament. Lastly, N.

Chamberlain's thoughts have been filtered to fit into this speech. To counterbalance this, Source A should reflect his personal thoughts better than Source B does. [2]

2 Investigation

2.1 Thesis Statement

2.2 Pacifism

N. Chamberlain's motivation to prevent war is evident in the letters to his sisters: He showed dedication to find a peaceful solution to the Sudetencrisis. He stated in a letter written on September 11th, 1938 that the Daily Mail had declared that the United Kingdom had issued an ultimatum to Germany. N. Chamberlain called this action "most gratuitously mischievous of all", taking a clear stance not only to the action of the Daily Mail but also against a possible ultimatum.

Furthermore, N. Chamberlain expressed in a letter written eight days later, that he did not care whether the Sudetenland was in the German Reich or not. This not only shows his will to find a settlement to which both Czechoslovakia and Germany could agree, but also shows that he did not want to risk a war for the Sudetens.

Another demonstration of his pacifist conviction is, that he not only let Lord Runciman, and later Sir Horace Wilson, handle this conflict; N. Chamberlain had planned to surprise Hitler with the offer to meet him when things looked darkest and the crisis seemed to reach its climax. This was without consent of Monsieur Daladier – the French Prime Minister – and also a surprise to the Members of the House of Commons [3]. He tried an unconventional method, in a moment when things looked as if nothing could be done, to prevent war.

He stayed with his peaceful solution even when other politicians (Winston Churchill and Tomáš Masaryk) carried out a conspiracy against him [1], which he wrote on October 9th, 1938. This is a testament to his belief in finding a conflict-free and sustainable solution for the Sudetencrisis.

2.3 Britain's Public Opinion

N. Chamberlain was also motivated by the desire to protect Britain's interests and respect the public's opinion against war. This is especially clear in his speech to the House of Commons on September 28th, 1938: With the statement that "this country, which does not readily resort to war, would not have followed us if we had tried to lead it into war to prevent a minority from obtaining autonomy, or even from choosing to pass under some other Government." [2] he explains that charging into war with Germany would have not been supported by the British public. He also stated that Great Britain "does not readily resort to war". This is not fact is not tied to the public's opinion but more with a country's political orientation, beliefs and strategy, which in Great Britain's case was not pro-war. Britain's population did not see why

2.4 Military

2.5 Conclusion

3 Bibliography

- [1] N. Chamberlain and R.C. Self. *The Neville Chamberlain Diary Letters: The Downing Street years, 1934-1940*. The Neville Chamberlain Diary Letters. Ashgate Pub., 2000. ISBN: 978-0-7546-5266-3. URL: <https://books.google.ch/books?id=aKoKAQAAMAAJ>.
- [2] *Prime Minister's Statement*. Accessed: 2022-12-24. 1938. URL: https://api.parliament.uk/historic-hansard/commons/1938/sep/28/prime-ministers-statement#S5CV0339P0_19380928_HOC_13.
- [3] Hermann Raschhofer. *Völkerbund und Münchener Abkommen : die Staatengesellschaft von 1938*. ger. Berichte und Studien der Hanns-Seidel-Stiftung 9. München: G. Olzog, 1976. ISBN: 3789298034.