## Diffusion/Elliptic Problems

Weak Formulation. Given  $V, F: V \to \mathbb{R}$ functional and  $a: V \times V \to \mathbb{R}$  bilinear form,

find 
$$u \in V$$
:  $a(u, v) = F(v) \quad \forall v \in V$ 

Lax-Milgram Lemma. Assume that:

- 1. V Hilbert space with  $\|\cdot\|_V$  and  $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$
- 2.  $F \in V'$ , i.e.  $|F(v)| \le ||F||_{V'} ||v||_{V}$
- 3. a cont., i.e.  $|a(u, v)| \leq M ||u||_V ||v||_V$
- 4. a coercive, i.e.  $a(v,v) \ge \alpha \|v\|_V^2$

Then:  $\exists ! u$  sol. di WF, and  $||u||_V \leq ||F||_{V'}/\alpha$ 

 ${\bf Galerkin\ Approximation.}\ \ {\bf If\ you\ can\ build}$  $V_h \subset V$  s.t. dim  $V_h = N_h < \infty$  ( $\Rightarrow V_h$  closed subspace), then WF becomes G:

find 
$$u_h \in V_h$$
:  $a(u_h, v_h) = F(v_h) \quad \forall v_h \in V_h$ 

- well-posedness follows from LM
- stability is the continuous dependence from data in LM
- $consistency \equiv Galerkin Orthogonality:$

$$a(u - u_h, v_h) = 0 \quad \forall v_h \in V_h$$

 $\bullet$  if we assume **space saturation** 

$$\inf_{v_h \in V_h} \|v - v_h\|_V = 0 \quad \forall v \in V$$

then  $convergence \equiv \mathbf{C\acute{e}a}\ \mathbf{Lemma}$ :

$$\left\| u - u_h \right\|_V \le \frac{M}{\alpha} \inf_{v_h \in V_h} \left\| u - v_h \right\|_V$$

 $({\rm C\'ea} + {\rm space} \ {\rm saturation} \equiv {\rm convergence})$ 

Last but not least: Problem G is equivalent to the following linear system of equations:

find 
$$\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_h}$$
:  $A\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{F}$ 

where  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{N_h \times N_h}$ ,  $\mathbf{F} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_h}$ .

<u>Proof.</u>  $V_h = \operatorname{span} \{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{N_h}\}$  so

$$u_h(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^{N_h} U_j \phi_j(\mathbf{x}), \quad U_j \in \mathbb{R} \ \forall j$$

thus G becomes: Find  $\{U_j\}_{j=1}^{N_h}$  s.t.

$$\begin{split} a\left(\sum_{j}U_{j}\phi_{j},\phi_{i}\right) &= F(\phi_{i}) \quad \forall \, i=1:N_{h} \\ \sum_{j}U_{j}\,a(\phi_{j},\phi_{i}) &= F(\phi_{i}) \quad \forall \, i=1:N_{h} \\ \sum_{j}A_{ij}\,U_{j} &= F_{i} \quad \forall \, i=1:N_{h} \\ A\mathbf{U} &= \mathbf{F} \end{split}$$

Moral of the story:  $V_h$  must be chosen to ensure the saturation assumption and the computation of the integrals  $A_{ij} = a(\phi_j, \phi_i)$  and  $F_i = F(\phi_i)$ .

The Finite Element Method.