

Smart Contract Audit Report

Bonus Cloud Token (BxC)

Audit Result: Passed

Version Description

Reviser	Revisions	Revision Date	Version	Reviewer
Wang Hailong	Create document	13-9-2018	V1.0	Yang Wenyu

Document Information

Name	Version	Document ID	Classification Level
Bonus Cloud Token Smart Contract Audit Report	V1.0	0x53a94403	classified

Copyright Notice

The text descriptions, document formats, illustrations, photographs, methods, processes and other contents in this document, unless otherwise specified, the copyright is owned by Cheetah Mobile Security and protected by relevant property rights and copyright laws.

No fragment of this document can be copied or quoted by any individual or institution without the written authorization of Cheetah Mobile Security.

Table of Contents

1.1 Audit Background	5
1.2 Audit Result	5
1.3 Basic Information	
II、 Contract Code Vulnerability Analysis	6
2.1 Contract Code Vulnerability Levels	6
2.2 Contract Code Vulnerability Distribution	<i>7</i>
2.3 Contract Code Audit Items and Results	
III、 Audit Details	8
3.1 Arithmetic Safety Audit	
3.1.1 Integer Overflow Audit	8
3.1.2 Integer Underflow Audit	8
3.1.3 Operation Precision Audit	9
3.2 Competitive Competition Audit	9
3.2.1 Reentrancy Audit	9
3.2.2 Transaction Ordering Dependence Audit	10
3.3 Access Control Audit	10
3.3.1 Privilege Vulnerability Audit	10
3.3.2 Overprivileged Audit	11
3.4 Security Design Audit	
3.4.1 Security Module Usage	11
3.4.2 Compiler Version Security Aud	12
3.4.3 Hard Coded Address Security Audit	12
3.4.4 Sensitive Functions Audit	12
3.4.5 Function Return Value Audit	12
3.5 Denial of Service Audit	

۱n	nen	dix I · Contract Code Audit Details	10
	3.7	Design Logic Audit	14
	3.6	Gas Optimization Audit	.1.

I、 Review

1.1 Audit Background

Cheetah Mobile Security team conducted smart contract audit for Bonus Cloud

Token (BxC) on Sep. 13, 2018. This report presents the audit details and results.

(Disclaimer: Cheetah Mobile Security only issues the report based on the vulnerabilities existed before the issuance of the report, and bears corresponding responsibility. As for the facts that occur or exist after the issuance of the report, whose impacts on the project cannot be determined, Cheetah Mobile Security is not responsible for the consequence. The security audit analysis and other contents of this report are based only on the documents and information provided by the information provider to Cheetah Mobile Security as of the date of issuance of the report. The information provider is obliged to ensure that there is not missing, falsified, deletion or concealment of the information provided. If present, Cheetah Mobile Security shall not be liable for any loss or adverse effect caused by this situation.)

1.2 Audit Result

Audit Result	Auditor	Reviewer
Passed	Wang Hailong	Yang Wenyu

1.3	Basic Information
•	Contract name:
	BonusCloudToken
•	Contract address:
	-
•	Etherscan URL:
	-
•	Contract Source Code URL:
lou	https://github.com/BonusCloud/bonuscloud-contracts/blob/master/BonusCdToken.sol
•	Contract type:
	Token contract, token name: Bonus Cloud Token (BxC)
•	On-chain Date:
II,	Contract Code Vulnerability Analysis
2.1	Contract Code Vulnerability Levels

High Risk	Medium Risk	Low Risk
gs.c		2011 11.511

The number of contract vulnerabilities is counted by level as follows:



0	0	O

2.2 Contract Code Vulnerability Distribution

Not found

2.3 Contract Code Audit Items and Results

(Other unknown vulnerabilities are not included in the scope of responsibility of this audit)

Audit Method	Audit Class	Audit Subclass	Audit Result
		Integer Overflow	Passed
	Arithmetic Safety	Integer Underflow	Passed
		Operation Precision	Passed
Offensive/	Competitive	Reentrancy	Passed
Defensive	Competition	Transaction Ordering Dependence	Passed
Audit	Access Control	Privilege Vulnerability	Passed
	Access Control	Overprivileged Audit	Passed
	Consider Design	Security Module Usage	Passed
	Security Design	Compiler Version Security	Passed

	Hard Coded Address Security	Passed
	Sensitive Functions (fallback/call/tx.origin) Security	Passed
	Function Return Value	Passed
Denial of Service	-	Passed
Gas Optimazation	-	Passed
Design Logic	-	Passed

III、 Audit Details

3.1 Arithmetic Safety Audit

The arithmetic security audit is divided into three parts: integer overflow audit, integer underflow audit and operation precision audit.

3.1.1 Integer Overflow Audit [Passed]

Solidity can handle 256 bits of data at most. When the maximum number increases, it will overflow. If the integer overflow occurs in the transfer logic, it will make the amount of transfer funds miscalculated, resulting in serious capital risk.

Audit Result: The code meets the specification.

Security Recommendation: No

3.1.2 Integer Underflow Audit [Passed]

Solidity can handle 256 bits of data at most. When the minimum number decreases,

it will underflow. If the integer underflow occurs in the transfer logic, it will make the

amount of transfer funds miscalculated and lead to serious capital risk.

Audit Result: The code meets the specification.

Security Recommendation: No

Operation Precision Audit [Passed] 3.1.3

Solidity performs type coercion in the process of multiplication and division. If the

precision risk is included in the operation of capital variable, it will lead to user

transfer logic error and capital loss.

Audit Result: The code meets the specification.

Security Recommendation: No

3.2 Competitive Competition Audit

The competitive competition audit is divided into two parts: reentrancy audit and

transaction ordering dependence audit. With competitive vulnerabilities, an

attacker can modify the output of a program by adjusting the execution process of

transactions with a certain probability.

Reentrancy Audit [Passed] 3.2.1



Reentrancy occurs when external contract calls are allowed to make new calls to the calling contract before the initial execution is complete. For a function, this means that the contract state may change in the middle of its execution as a result of a call to an untrusted contract or the use of a low level function with an external address.

Audit Result: The code meets the specification.

Security Recommendation: No

3.2.2 Transaction Ordering Dependence Audit [Passed]

Since miners always get rewarded via gas fees for running code on behalf of externally owned addresses (EOA), users can specify higher fees to have their transactions mined more quickly. Since the Ethereum blockchain is public, everyone can see the contents of others' pending transactions. This means if a given user is revealing the solution to a puzzle or other valuable secret, a malicious user can steal the solution and copy their transaction with higher fees to preempt the original solution. If developers of smart contracts are not careful, this situation can lead to practical and devastating front-running attacks.

Audit Result: The code meets the specification.

Security Recommendation: No

3.3 Access Control Audit

Access control audit is divided into two parts: privilege vulnerability audit and overprivileged audit.

3.3.1 Privilege Vulnerability Audit [Passed]

Smart contracts with privilege vulnerability, attackers can weigh their own accounts

to gain higher execution privileges.

Audit Result: The code meets the specification.

Security Recommendation: No

Overprivileged Audit [Passed] 3.3.2

Overprivileged auditing focuses on whether there are special user privileges in audit

contracts, such as allowing a user to unlimitly mine tokens.

Audit Result: The code meets the specification.

Security Recommendation: No

3.4 Security Design Audit

Security design audit is divided into five parts: security module usage, compiler

version security, hard-coded address security, sensitive function usage security and

function return value security.

Security Module Usage [Passed] 3.4.1

The security module usage audit whether the smart contract uses the SafeMath

library function provided by OpenZepplin to avoid overflow vulnerabilities; if it does

not, whether the transfer amount is strictly checked during the execution.

Audit Result: The code meets the specification.

Security Recommendation: No

Compiler Version Security Audit [Passed] 3.4.2

Compiler version security focuses on whether the smart contract explicitly indicates

the compiler version and whether the compiler version used is too low to throw an

exception.

Audit Result: The code meets the specification.

Security Recommendation: No

Hard Coded Address Security Audit [Passed] 3-4-3

Hard-coded address security audit static addressed in the smart contract to check

whether there is an exception to the external contract, thus affecting the execution

of this contract.

Audit Result: The code meets the specification.

Security Recommendation: No

Sensitive Functions Audit [Passed] 3.4.4

Sensitive functions audit checks whether the smart contract uses the

unrecommandation functions such as fallback, call and tx.origin.

Audit Result: The code meets the specification.

Security Recommendation: No

Function Return Value Audit (Passed) 3.4.5

Function return value audit mainly analyzes whether the function correctly throws

an exception, correctly returns to the state of the transaction.

Audit Result: The code meets the specification.

Security Recommendation: No

3.5 Denial of Service Audit [Passed]

Denial of service attack sometimes can make the smart contract offline forever by

maliciously behaving when being the recipient of a transaction, artificially increasing

the gas necessary to compute a function, abusing access controls to access private

components of smart contracts, taking advantage of mixups and negligence and so

on.

Audit Result: The code meets the specification.

Security Recommendation: No

3.6 Gas Optimization Audit [Passed]

If the computation of a function in a smart contract is too complex, such as the

batch transfer to a variable-length array through a loop, it is very easy to cause the

gas fee beyond the block's gas Limit resulting in transaction execution failure.

Audit Result: The code meets the specification.

Security Recommendation: NO

3.7 Design Logic Audit [Passed]

In addition to vulnerabilities, there are logic problems in the process of code implementation, resulting in abnormal execution results.

Audit Result: The code meets the specification.

Security Recommendation: No

Appendix I: Contract Code Audit Details

```
1 pragma solidity ^0.4.24; //Cheetah Mobile Security//Specifying the
compiled version conforming to the security coding specification
 3 /**
 4 * @dev Library that helps prevent integer overflows and underflows,
 5 * inspired by https://github.com/OpenZeppelin/zeppelin-solidity
 7 library SafeMath { //Cheetah Mobile Security//realize SafeMath
library of Zeppelin, to avoid overflow attack
      function add(uint256 a, uint256 b) internal pure returns (uint256)
 9
         uint256 c = a + b;
10
         require(c >= a);
11
12
          return c;
13
14
      function sub(uint256 a, uint256 b) internal pure returns (uint256)
15
16
         require(b <= a);
17
          uint256 c = a - b;
18
19
          return c;
20
21
```



```
22
      function mul(uint256 a, uint256 b) internal pure returns (uint256)
        if (a == 0) {
23
24
           return 0;
25
     uint256 c = a * b;
26
27
       require(c / a == b);
28
29
         return c;
30
31
32
      function div(uint256 a, uint256 b) internal pure returns (uint256)
33
        require(b > 0);
34
       uint256 c = a / b;
35
36
        return c;
37 }
38 }
39
40 /**
41 * @title HasOwner
42 *
43 * @dev Allows for exclusive access to certain functionality.
44 */
45 contract HasOwner {
```

```
46
      // Current owner.
47
      address public owner;
48
      // Conditionally the new owner.
49
50
      address public newOwner;
51
52
    /**
53
      * @dev The constructor.
54
55
      * @param owner The address of the owner.
56
      */
     constructor(address owner) internal { //Cheetah Mobile
Security// Use contract constructors correctly to avoid permission
leakage
58
        owner = owner;
59
     }
60
61
      * @dev Access control modifier that allows only the current owner
to call the function.
     */
63
64
     modifier onlyOwner {
      require(msg.sender == owner);
65
66
         _;
67
     }
68
```

```
/**
69
70
      * @dev The event is fired when the current owner is changed.
71
72
       * @param oldOwner The address of the previous owner.
73
       * @param newOwner The address of the new owner.
74
      event OwnershipTransfer(address indexed oldOwner, address
75
indexed newOwner);
76
77 /**
     * @dev Transfering the ownership is a two-step process, as we
78
prepare
79
     * for the transfer by setting `newOwner` and requiring `newOwner`
to accept
      * the transfer. This prevents accidental lock-out if something
80
goes wrong
81
      * when passing the `newOwner` address.
82
      * @param newOwner The address of the proposed new owner.
83
84
85
      function transferOwnership(address newOwner) public onlyOwner {
86
        newOwner = _newOwner;
87
88
89
```

```
* @dev The `newOwner` finishes the ownership transfer process by
accepting the
91
      * ownership.
92
      */
       function acceptOwnership() public {
93
94
       require(msg.sender == newOwner);
95
96
         emit OwnershipTransfer(owner, newOwner);
97
98
        owner = newOwner;
99
100 }
101
102 /**
103 * @dev The standard ERC20 Token interface.
104 */
105 contract ERC20TokenInterface {
106 uint256 public total Supply; /* shorthand for public function and
a property */
     event Transfer (address indexed from, address indexed to, uint256
_value);
      event Approval (address indexed owner, address indexed spender,
uint256 value);
     function balanceOf(address owner) public constant returns
(uint256 balance);
```

```
110
       function transfer(address to, uint256 value) public returns
(bool success);
       function transferFrom(address from, address to, uint256 value)
public returns (bool success);
       function approve(address spender, uint256 value) public returns
112
(bool success);
     function allowance(address owner, address spender) public
constant returns (uint256 remaining);
114 }
115
116 /**
117 * @title ERC20Token
118 *
119 * @dev Implements the operations declared in the
`ERC20TokenInterface`.
120 */
121 contract ERC20Token is ERC20TokenInterface {
122
     using SafeMath for uint256;
123
124
    // Token account balances.
125
      mapping (address => uint256) balances;
126
127
      // Delegated number of tokens to transfer.
128
      mapping (address => mapping (address => uint256)) allowed;
129
130
       /**
```

```
131
        * @dev Checks the balance of a certain address.
132
133
      * @param account The address which's balance will be checked.
134
135
      * @return Returns the balance of the `account` address.
136
      function balanceOf(address account) public constant returns
137
(uint256 balance) {
138
       return balances[ account];
139
     }
140
    /**
141
142
      * @dev Transfers tokens from one address to another.
143
144
     * Oparam to The target address to which the `value` number of
tokens will be sent.
145
      * @param value The number of tokens to send.
146
147
      * @return Whether the transfer was successful or not.
148
     function transfer(address to, uint256 value) public returns
149
(bool success) {
      require( to != address(0));  //Cheetah Mobile
Security//Check the transfer address to avoid the loss of capital caused
by misoperation.
```

```
151
          require( value <= balances[msg.sender]); //Cheetah Mobile</pre>
Security//Check incoming parameters to avoid overflow vulnerabilities.
152
          require( value > 0); //Cheetah Mobile Security//Check
incoming parameters to avoid overflow vulnerabilities.
153
154
          balances[msg.sender] = balances[msg.sender].sub( value);
//Cheetah Mobile Security//Transfers first increase and then decrease,
conforming to safety coding standard.
155
          balances[ to] = balances[ to].add( value); //Cheetah
Mobile Security/using safemath function to avoid overflow
vulnerabilities.
156
157
          emit Transfer(msg.sender, to, value);
158
159
          return true; //Cheetah Mobile Security//catch any exception
during transfer, to avoid "fake transfer" vulnerabilities.
160
161
162
      /**
        * @dev Send ` value` tokens to ` to` from ` from` if ` from` has
163
approved the process.
164
        * @param from The address of the sender.
165
166
        * @param to The address of the recipient.
167
        * @param value The number of tokens to be transferred.
168
```

```
169
        * @return Whether the transfer was successful or not.
170
171
       function transferFrom(address from, address to, uint256 value)
public returns (bool success) {
          require( value <= balances[ from]); //Cheetah Mobile</pre>
172
Security//Check incoming parameters to avoid overflow vulnerabilities.
          require( value <= allowed[ from][msg.sender]); //Cheetah</pre>
173
Mobile Security//Check incoming parameters to avoid overflow
vulnerabilities.
174
          require( value > 0); //Cheetah Mobile Security//Check
incoming parameters to avoid overflow vulnerabilities.
          require( to != address(0)); //Cheetah Mobile
Security//Check the transfer address to avoid the loss of capital caused
by misoperation.
176
          balances[ from] = balances[ from].sub( value); //Cheetah
177
Mobile Security//Transfers first increase and then decrease, conforming
to safety coding standard.
          balances[ to] = balances[ to].add( value); //Cheetah Mobile
178
Security/using safemath function to avoid overflow vulnerabilities.
179
          allowed[ from][msg.sender] =
allowed[ from][msg.sender].sub( value); //Cheetah Mobile
Security/using safemath function to avoid overflow vulnerabilities.
180
181
          emit Transfer( from, to, value);
182
```

```
183
          return true; //Cheetah Mobile Security//catch any exception
during transfer, to avoid "fake transfer" vulnerabilities
184
185
186
      /**
187
      * @dev Allows another contract to spend some tokens on your behalf.
188
189
        * @param spender The address of the account which will be approved
for transfer of tokens.
190
        * @param value The number of tokens to be approved for transfer.
191
192
       * @return Whether the approval was successful or not.
193
194
       function approve (address spender, uint256 value) public returns
(bool success) {
          allowed[msg.sender][ spender] = value;
195
196
197
          emit Approval(msg.sender, _spender, _value);
198
199
          return true;
200
      }
201
202
       /**
203
       * @dev Increase the amount of tokens that an owner allowed to
a spender.
```

```
204
        * approve should be called when allowed[ spender] == 0. To
increment
205
       * allowed value is better to use this function to avoid 2 calls
(and wait until
206
       * the first transaction is mined)
207
        * From MonolithDAO Token.sol
208
209
       * @param spender The address which will spend the funds.
    * @param addedValue The amount of tokens to increase the
210
allowance by.
211
       */
212
       function increaseApproval(address spender, uint256 addedValue)
public returns (bool) {
213
               allowed[msg.sender][ spender] =
(allowed[msg.sender][ spender].add( addedValue)); //Cheetah Mobile
Security/using safemath function to avoid overflow vulnerabilities.
214
215
               emit Approval (msg.sender, spender,
allowed[msg.sender][ spender]);
216
217
              return true;
218
219
220
      /**
221
      * @dev Decrease the amount of tokens that an owner allowed to
a spender.
```

```
222
        * approve should be called when allowed[ spender] == 0. To
decrement
223
      * allowed value is better to use this function to avoid 2 calls
(and wait until
224
       * the first transaction is mined)
225
       * From MonolithDAO Token.sol
226
227
      * @param spender The address which will spend the funds.
228 * Oparam subtractedValue The amount of tokens to decrease the
allowance by.
229
       */
230
       function decreaseApproval(address spender, uint256
subtractedValue) public returns (bool) {
231
              uint256 oldValue = allowed[msg.sender][ spender];
               if ( subtractedValue >= oldValue) {
232
233
                      allowed[msg.sender][ spender] = 0;
234
               } else {
235
                      allowed[msg.sender] [ spender] =
oldValue.sub( subtractedValue); //Cheetah Mobile Security/using
safemath function to avoid overflow vulnerabilities.
236
237
238
               emit Approval (msg.sender, spender,
allowed[msg.sender][ spender]);
239
              return true;
240
       }
```

```
241
242
     * @dev Shows the number of tokens approved by `owner` that are
allowed to be transferred by `spender`.
244
245
     * @param owner The account which allowed the transfer.
246
      * Oparam spender The account which will spend the tokens.
247
248
    * @return The number of tokens to be transferred.
249
250
      function allowance(address owner, address spender) public
constant returns (uint256 remaining) {
251
        return allowed[ owner][ spender];
252
      }
253
254
      /**
255
      * Don't accept ETH
256
257
     function () public payable {
258
        revert();
259
     }
260 }
261
262 /**
263 * @title Freezable
264 * @dev This trait allows to freeze the transactions in a Token
```

```
265 */
266 contract Freezable is HasOwner {
267
    bool public frozen = false;
268
269
     /**
     * @dev Modifier makes methods callable only when the contract is
270
not frozen.
271
272
    modifier requireNotFrozen() {
273
        require(!frozen);
274
         _;
275
276
277
     /**
278
      * @dev Allows the owner to "freeze" the contract.
279
280
     function freeze() onlyOwner public {
281
       frozen = true;
282
283
     /**
284
285
      * @dev Allows the owner to "unfreeze" the contract.
286
287
      function unfreeze() onlyOwner public {
        frozen = false;
288
289
```

```
Cheetah Mobile Security 📱 🗷 🗷 🗷 🔻
```

```
290 }
291
292 /**
293 * @title FreezableERC20Token
294 *
295 * @dev Extends ERC20Token and adds ability to freeze all transfers
of tokens.
296 */
297 contract FreezableERC20Token is ERC20Token, Freezable {
298 /**
299
     * @dev Overrides the original ERC20Token implementation by adding
whenNotFrozen modifier.
300
301 * Oparam to The target address to which the `value` number of
tokens will be sent.
302
      * @param value The number of tokens to send.
303
* @return Whether the transfer was successful or not.
305
306
     function transfer(address to, uint value) public
requireNotFrozen returns (bool success) {
307
        return super.transfer(_to, _value);
308
      }
309
310
```

```
* @dev Send ` value` tokens to ` to` from ` from` if ` from` has
311
approved the process.
312
        * @param from The address of the sender.
313
314
        * @param to The address of the recipient.
315
        * @param value The number of tokens to be transferred.
316
317
        * @return Whether the transfer was successful or not.
318
319
       function transferFrom(address from, address to, uint value)
public requireNotFrozen returns (bool success) {
320
          return super.transferFrom( from, to, value);
321
      }
322
323
    /**
324
       * @dev Allows another contract to spend some tokens on your behalf.
325
326
        * @param spender The address of the account which will be approved
for transfer of tokens.
        * Oparam value The number of tokens to be approved for transfer.
327
328
329
        * @return Whether the approval was successful or not.
330
331
       function approve (address spender, uint value) public
requireNotFrozen returns (bool success) {
332
          return super.approve( spender, value);
```

```
Cheetah Mobile Security 📱 🗷 🗷 🖜
```

```
333
334
335
      function increaseApproval(address spender, uint256 addedValue)
public requireNotFrozen returns (bool) {
336
          return super.increaseApproval( spender, addedValue);
337
      }
338
      function decreaseApproval(address _spender, uint256
339
subtractedValue) public requireNotFrozen returns (bool) {
340
          return super.decreaseApproval( spender, subtractedValue);
341
      }
342 }
343
344 /**
345 * @title BonusCloudTokenConfig
346 *
347 * @dev The static configuration for the Bonus Cloud Token.
348 */
349 contract BonusCloudTokenConfig {
350 // The name of the token.
351
     string constant NAME = "Bonus Cloud Token";
352
353
    // The symbol of the token.
354
     string constant SYMBOL = "BxC";
355
      // The number of decimals for the token.
356
```

```
357
      uint8 constant DECIMALS = 18;
358
359
      // Decimal factor for multiplication purposes.
     uint256 constant DECIMALS FACTOR = 10 ** uint(DECIMALS);
360
361
362 // TotalSupply
     uint256 constant TOTAL SUPPLY = 7000000000 * DECIMALS FACTOR;
363
364 }
365
366 /**
367 * @title Bonus Cloud Token
368 *
369 * @dev A standard token implementation of the ERC20 token standard
with added
370 * HasOwner trait and initialized using the configuration
constants.
371 */
372 contract BonusCloudToken is BonusCloudTokenConfig, HasOwner,
FreezableERC20Token {
373 // The name of the token.
374 string public name;
375
376 // The symbol for the token.
377
     string public symbol;
378
      // The decimals of the token.
379
```

```
380
       uint8 public decimals;
381
382
      /**
      * @dev The constructor.
383
384
385
386
     constructor() public HasOwner(msg.sender) { //Cheetah Mobile
Security// Use contract constructors correctly to avoid permission
leakage
387
          name = NAME;
388
          symbol = SYMBOL;
389
          decimals = DECIMALS;
390
          totalSupply = TOTAL_SUPPLY;
391
          balances[owner] = TOTAL_SUPPLY;
392
      }
393 }
```



audit@cmcm.com