

# A Forward-Looking Example for Identification Comment on Backus, Chernov, and Zin (2013)

Tao Zha

15 October 2013

# Forward-looking model: a simultaneous-equation approach

- ▶ Backus, Chernov, and Zin (BCZ, 2013) is an inspiring and thought-proving paper that repays careful study.
- ▶ Consider the following simple forward-looking Phillips new-Keynesian model:

$$\pi_t = E_t \pi_{t+1} + \kappa x_t + u_{st}, \quad (1)$$

$$x_t = E_t x_{t+1} - \tau(R_t - E_t \pi_{t+1}) + u_{dt}, \quad (2)$$

$$R_t = \phi_\pi \pi_t + u_{Rt}. \quad (3)$$

- ▶ For analytical tractability and illustration, assume that  $u_{st}$ ,  $u_{dt}$ , and  $u_{Rt}$  are uncorrelated and i.i.d. that their distribution is Gaussian with mean zero and the variances  $\sigma_s^2$ ,  $\sigma_d^2$ , and  $\sigma_R^2$ .
- ▶ Cochrane (2006) shows that when the unique equilibrium exists, if one regresses  $R_t$  on  $\pi_t$ , the estimate of  $\phi_\pi$  will not depend on the true value of  $\phi_\pi$ , which generates the data.
- ▶ In other words,  $\phi_\pi$  is not identified by the OLS method.

# Forward-looking model: a simultaneous-equation approach

- ▶ In this model, since all three variables are simultaneously determined, there is no “policy instrument” for an instrument-variable (IV) estimation of the policy reaction equation (3), just as there is no “right-hand-side variable” shifter (see Sections 2.3 and 5.3 in BCZ).
- ▶ As in the simultaneous-equation VAR literature, however, the policy parameter can still be locally identified using identifiable orthogonal shocks as “instrumental” shifters.
- ▶ For a unique equilibrium or an MSV equilibrium, we shall now show that all the other parameters in the model (including  $\phi_\pi$  in the Taylor rule) are locally identified.

# Compact form

- Denoting

$$y_t = [\pi_t \quad x_t \quad R_t]'$$

- We can write the equations (1)-(3) in the matrix form

$$y_t' \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_s^{-1} & 0 & -\phi_\pi \sigma_R^{-1} \\ -\kappa \sigma_s^{-1} & \sigma_d^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \tau \sigma_d^{-1} & \sigma_R^{-1} \end{bmatrix} = E_t y_{t+1}' \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_s^{-1} & \tau \sigma_d^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_d^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{st} \\ \epsilon_{dt} \\ \epsilon_{Rt} \end{bmatrix},$$

# MSV equilibrium

- The MSV equilibrium is

$$y'_t = \frac{1}{1 + \kappa\tau\phi_\pi} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_s & -\tau\phi_\pi\sigma_d & \phi_\pi\sigma_R \\ \kappa\sigma_s & \sigma_d & \kappa\phi_\pi\sigma_R \\ -\kappa\tau\sigma_s & -\tau\sigma_d & \sigma_R \end{bmatrix} \epsilon_t,$$

where

$$\epsilon_t = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{st} \\ \epsilon_{dt} \\ \epsilon_{Rt} \end{bmatrix}.$$

# SVAR form

- ▶ To see how the rest of the parameters are locally identified, we rewrite the equilibrium in the following simultaneous-equation SVAR form:

$$y_t' A_0 = \epsilon_t,$$

where

$$A_0 = \begin{bmatrix} a_{0,11} & 0 & a_{0,13} \\ a_{0,21} & a_{0,22} & 0 \\ 0 & a_{0,32} & a_{0,33} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} a_{0,11} &= \sigma_s^{-1}, \quad a_{0,13} = -\phi\pi\sigma_R^{-1}, \quad a_{0,21} = -\kappa\sigma_s^{-1}, \\ a_{0,22} &= \sigma_d^{-1}, \quad a_{0,32} = \tau\sigma_d^{-1}, \quad a_{0,33} = \sigma_R^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

# Necessary condition

Any two different points of the vector of DSGE parameters ( $\sigma_s$ ,  $\sigma_d$ ,  $\sigma_R$ ,  $\phi_\pi$ ,  $\kappa$ , and  $\tau$ ) imply two different points of the vector of SVAR parameters ( $a_{0,11}$ ,  $a_{0,13}$ ,  $a_{0,21}$ ,  $a_{0,22}$ ,  $a_{0,32}$ , and  $a_{0,33}$ ). Thus, a necessary condition for identification is satisfied.

# Local identification

- ▶ The structural parameters are **locally identified** (although not globally identified) (see Rubio-Ramirez, Waggoner, and Zha 2010, ReStud).
- ▶ To see how it is true, consider the following parameter point consistent with Lubik and Schorfheide (2004, AER):

$$\phi_{\pi} = 2.0, \kappa = 0.58, \tau = 0.54, \sigma_d = 1.0, \sigma_s = 2.0, \sigma_R = 0.2.$$

- ▶ We can obtain another distinct point in the parameter space that generates the same data dynamics:

$$\phi_{\pi} = 2.50, \kappa = 0.90, \tau = 0.56, \sigma_d = 1.02, \sigma_s = 2.49, \sigma_R = 0.2.$$

- ▶ Because the values of the Phillips slope parameter  $\kappa$  are different for these two parameter points, the inference about the frequency firms change their prices is very different.
- ▶ For each point, it can be verified that all the parameters are **locally identified**.