CSC-361: Hashing and Hash Tables

Please work in a pair. Only one group members needs to submit; however, make sure all names are listed.

- 1. Indicate true / false for each statement.
 - (a) It is not possible for a chaining hash table to function as one linked list.
 - (b) Consider a chaining hash table T of size 2n, but currently it only contains n items. Under the assumption that the hash function for T uniformly distributes items, then the probability of inserting the next item without a collision is 0.5.
 - (c) The search operation in a cuckoo hash table may require 3 hash operations.
- 2. Suppose that the keys A through G with the hash values given below, are inserted in some order into an initially empty table of size 7 using a linear (sequential) probing table (with no resizing).

key A B C D E F G hash
$$(M=7)$$
 2 0 0 4 4 4 2

Which of the following orders could not possibly result from inserting these keys?

(a) E F G A C B D

(e) C B A G D F E

- (b) C E B G F D A
- (f) C G B A D E F
- (c) C B G A F E D

(g) F G B D A C E

(d) B D F A C E G

- (h) G E C A D B F
- 3. Let *H* be a hashtable of integers where collision is resolved using dual-array Cuckoo hashing as shown below. Assume *H* defines the first hash function hash₁(key) = key % 9 as well as second hash function hash₂(key) = key % 5. Show the resulting hashtable after inserting the values {15 7 10 0 6 1} in sequence. (6 points)

For the result of inserting into the hashtable. (Will be graded.)

 $hash_1(key) = key \% 9$

index	H[index]
0	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	

\mathtt{hash}_2 ((kev)) =	key	%	5

index	H[index]
0	
1	
2	
3	
4	

- 4. Consider a hashtable of length m with linear probing used to resolve collisions. What is the worst case number of collisions that may occur adding m elements to the table? Explain this worst case scenario and show all work required to derive your expression.
- 5. Explain why we cannot use binary search to search for elements in a chain in a chaining hash table.