

**Siddhartha Bhattacharjee**  
**Email: [s3bhatta@uwaterloo.ca](mailto:s3bhatta@uwaterloo.ca)**  
**Discord: boodaness**

**Mathematical Physics (1C)**  
**University of Waterloo**



## **Building Quantum Operators Modelling Measurement**

(Probably, that is)

PhysClub Student Seminar, August 2, 2023

## 1 Preliminaries

- Axioms of Quantum Mechanics
- Motivation
- Density Operators

# Axioms of Quantum Mechanics

- ❖ In these slides, we will explicitly make use of the following axioms of quantum mechanics (QM):

## Axioms used

1. The state  $|\Psi\rangle$  of a quantum system  $\mathcal{S}$  can be represented by a vector in a separable Hilbert space  $\mathbb{H}$ .
  2. Observables on  $\mathcal{S}$  can be represented by self-adjoint linear operators  $\mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  on the Hilbert space of states  $\mathbb{H}$  of the system  $\mathcal{S}$ .
- ❖ Notice that we have not mentioned an axiom from the Hilbert space formulation of QM, commonly called the **Born rule**. In one form, it states that the probability that a quantum measurement of an observable  $\hat{A}$  makes a state  $|\Psi\rangle$  collapse to an eigenstate<sup>1</sup>  $|a_k\rangle$  is,

## Born rule

$$\text{pr}(|a_k\rangle) = \langle \Psi | a_k \rangle \langle a_k | \Psi \rangle$$

We are, in fact, going to *derive* the above principle from a simpler assumption!

<sup>1</sup>a notion central to the Copenhagen interpretation of QM

# Motivation

- ❖ The motivation for this study begins by asking why the Born rule involves an expectation value. Before making the observation<sup>2</sup>, let us define the **expectation value** of a self-adjoint operator  $\hat{A} : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ ,

$$E(\hat{A}) := \sum_k \text{pr}(|a_k\rangle) a_k$$

where  $\{a_k\}$  is a normalized eigenbasis for  $\mathbb{H}$ , i.e., any state  $|\Psi\rangle \in \mathbb{H}$  can be written as a unique linear combination (over  $\mathbb{C}$ ) of  $\{a_k\}$  and, for all  $k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{A}|a_k\rangle &= a_k |a_k\rangle \\ \langle a_k | a_l \rangle &= \delta_{kl} := \begin{cases} 1 & k = l \\ 0 & k \neq l \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>2</sup>For simplicity, we assume  $\mathbb{H}$  has a dimension that is either finite or countably infinite.

❖ As a consequence of the above,  $\langle a_k | a_k \rangle = 1$  and we have,

$$\begin{aligned} a_k &= a_k \langle a_k | a_k \rangle \\ &= \langle a_k | a_k | a_k \rangle \\ &= \langle a_k | \hat{A} | a_k \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Plugging this into the definition of the expectation value of  $\hat{A}$ ,

### Expectation values, without Born rule

$$E(\hat{A}) = \sum_k \text{pr}(|a_k\rangle) \langle a_k | \hat{A} | a_k \rangle$$

Using the Born rule,

$$\begin{aligned} E(\hat{A}) &= \sum_k \text{pr}(|a_k\rangle) \langle a_k | \hat{A} | a_k \rangle = \sum_k \langle \Psi | a_k \rangle \langle a_k | \Psi \rangle \langle a_k | \hat{A} | a_k \rangle \\ &= \sum_k \sum_l \langle \Psi | a_k \rangle \langle a_k | \hat{A} | a_l \rangle \langle a_l | \Psi \rangle \\ &= \langle \Psi | \hat{A} | \Psi \rangle \end{aligned}$$

- Therefore, the Born rule simplifies the expression for the expectation value of a quantum operator.

### Expectation values, with Born rule

$$E(\hat{A}) = \langle \Psi | \hat{A} | \Psi \rangle$$

- It follows that the Born rule itself hides the expectation value of a projection operators corresponding to eigenstates:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pr}(|a_k\rangle) &= \langle \Psi | a_k \rangle \langle a_k | \Psi \rangle \\ &= \langle \Psi | a_k \rangle \langle a_k | \Psi \rangle \\ &= E(|a_k\rangle \langle a_k|) \end{aligned}$$

### Born rule, with expectation values

$$\text{pr}(|a_k\rangle) = E(|a_k\rangle \langle a_k|)$$

❖ Equipped with the above ideas, we note,

$$\begin{aligned} E(\hat{I}) &= \langle \Psi | \hat{I} | \Psi \rangle \\ &= \langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle \end{aligned}$$

But,

$$\begin{aligned} E(\hat{I}) &= \sum_k \text{pr}(|a_k\rangle) \langle a_k | \hat{I} | a_k \rangle \\ &= \sum_k \text{pr}(|a_k\rangle) \langle a_k | a_k \rangle \\ &= \sum_k \text{pr}(|a_k\rangle) \\ &:= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have,

## Normalization

$$\langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle = 1$$

- ❖ However, for the purposes of these slides, the above statement is not necessary. We could have, for instance, modified the Born rule without loss or gain of theory as,

### Born rule, with explicit normalization

$$\text{pr}(|a_k\rangle) = \frac{1}{\langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle} \langle \Psi | a_k \rangle \langle a_k | \Psi \rangle$$

Explicit normalization of states then becomes unnecessary as the above rule is invariant under normalization of the form  $|\Psi\rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{\langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle} |\Psi\rangle$ .

- ❖ In general, the idea is that scaling states by *any* complex number should not change physics<sup>3</sup>; this idea will be formalized later on.

---

<sup>3</sup>In *The Principles of Quantum Mechanics*, Paul Dirac gives great attention to this point and how it is related to the idea that eigenstates matter only up to scale as orthogonality of states is a physical distinction and scaling does not disturb orthogonality.



## Pure and Mixed Quantum States

- ❖ Recall that a quantum system has a state  $|\Psi\rangle$  belonging to a [separable] Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . But there is more to a state than this notion, as follows.
- ❖ A quantum state  $|\Psi\rangle$  is **pure** if it is described by a *single* ket, say  $|\Psi_1\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$|\Psi\rangle = |\Psi_1\rangle$$

- ❖ A quantum state  $|\Psi\rangle$  is **mixed** if it is possibly described by *multiple* kets, say  $|\Psi_1\rangle, |\Psi_2\rangle, \dots, |\Psi_N\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ .  
The probability of  $|\Psi\rangle$  being described by a given state  $|\Psi_\alpha\rangle$  can be described by a probability map,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pr} : |\Psi_\alpha\rangle &\rightarrow \text{pr}(|\Psi_\alpha\rangle) \in [0, 1] \\ \sum_{\alpha} \text{pr}(|\Psi_\alpha\rangle) &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

# Density Operators

- ❖ In general, the information contained in the possible state(s) of a quantum system are packed into what is called its **density operator**  $\hat{\rho}$ ,

## Density operators

$$\hat{\rho} := \sum_{\alpha} \text{pr}(|\Psi_{\alpha}\rangle) |\Psi_{\alpha}\rangle \langle \Psi_{\alpha}|$$

For a pure state  $|\Psi\rangle$ , the density operator is simply  $|\Psi\rangle \langle \Psi|$ .

- ❖ For future use, we define the trace of a linear operator,

## Trace of linear operators

$$\text{tr}(\hat{A}) := \sum_k \langle a_k | \hat{A} | a_k \rangle = \sum_k a_k$$

We will soon use these constructions to simplify the expression for the expectation value of a linear operator.

# Properties of Trace

✦ Firstly, trace is a **linear** operation as,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{tr}(c\hat{A}) &:= \sum_k \langle a_k | c\hat{A} | a_k \rangle \\
 &= c \sum_k \langle a_k | \hat{A} | a_k \rangle \\
 &= c \text{tr}(\hat{A}) \\
 \text{tr}(\hat{A} + \hat{B}) &:= \sum_k \langle a_k | (\hat{A} + \hat{B}) | a_k \rangle \\
 &= \sum_k [\langle a_k | \hat{A} | a_k \rangle + \langle a_k | \hat{B} | a_k \rangle] \\
 &= \sum_k \langle a_k | \hat{A} | a_k \rangle + \sum_k \langle a_k | \hat{B} | a_k \rangle \\
 &= \text{tr}(\hat{A}) + \text{tr}(\hat{B})
 \end{aligned}$$

❖ Secondly, trace is a *symmetric* operation,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{tr}(\hat{A}\hat{B}) &:= \sum_m \langle a_m | \hat{A}\hat{B} | a_m \rangle \\
 &= \sum_m \langle a_m | \left( \sum_i \sum_j \langle a_i | \hat{A} | a_j \rangle |a_i\rangle \langle a_j| \right) \left( \sum_k \sum_l \langle a_k | \hat{B} | a_l \rangle |a_k\rangle \langle a_l| \right) |a_m\rangle \\
 &= \sum_m \sum_i \sum_j \sum_k \sum_l \langle a_i | \hat{A} | a_j \rangle \langle a_k | \hat{B} | a_l \rangle \langle a_m | a_i \rangle \langle a_j | a_k \rangle \langle a_l | a_m \rangle \\
 &= \sum_m \sum_{\cancel{i}} \sum_j \sum_{\cancel{k}} \sum_{\cancel{l}} \langle a_{\cancel{i}} | \hat{A} | a_j \rangle \langle a_{\cancel{k}} | \hat{B} | a_{\cancel{l}} \rangle \delta_{m\cancel{i}} \delta_{j\cancel{k}} \delta_{\cancel{l}m} \\
 &= \sum_m \sum_j \langle a_m | \hat{A} | a_j \rangle \langle a_j | \hat{B} | a_m \rangle \\
 &= \sum_m \sum_j \langle a_j | \hat{B} | a_m \rangle \langle a_m | \hat{A} | a_j \rangle \\
 &= \sum_m \sum_j \langle a_m | \hat{B} | a_j \rangle \langle a_j | \hat{A} | a_m \rangle \\
 &= \text{tr}(\hat{B}\hat{A})
 \end{aligned}$$

❖ To summarize,

## Properties of trace

### 1. Linearity

$$\begin{aligned}\text{tr}(c\hat{A}) &= c\text{tr}(\hat{A}) \\ \text{tr}(\hat{A} + \hat{B}) &= \text{tr}(\hat{A}) + \text{tr}(\hat{B})\end{aligned}$$

### 2. Symmetry

$$\text{tr}(\hat{A}\hat{B}) = \text{tr}(\hat{B}\hat{A})$$

❖ As a corollary,

$$\text{tr}\left(\left(\hat{A}_1 \dots \hat{A}_{M-1}\right) \hat{A}_M\right) = \text{tr}\left(\hat{A}_M \left(\hat{A}_1 \dots \hat{A}_{M-1}\right)\right) = \dots$$

## Cyclicity

$$\text{tr}\left(\hat{A}_1 \dots \hat{A}_M\right) = \text{tr}\left(\hat{A}_M \hat{A}_1 \dots \hat{A}_{M-1}\right) = \text{tr}\left(\hat{A}_{M-1} \hat{A}_M \hat{A}_1 \dots \hat{A}_{M-2}\right) = \dots$$

## Expectation Values Using Density Operators

❖ For a pure quantum state  $|\Psi\rangle$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(\hat{A}) &= \langle \Psi | \hat{A} | \Psi \rangle = \left( \sum_k \langle \Psi | a_k \rangle \langle a_k | \right) \hat{A} \left( \sum_l | a_l \rangle \langle a_l | \Psi \rangle \right) \\
 &= \sum_k \sum_l \langle \Psi | a_k \rangle \langle a_l | \Psi \rangle \langle a_k | \hat{A} | a_l \rangle \\
 &= \sum_k \sum_l \langle \Psi | a_k \rangle \langle a_l | \Psi \rangle \langle a_k | a_k \rangle \langle a_k | a_l \rangle \\
 &= \sum_k \sum_l \langle \Psi | a_k \rangle \langle a_l | \Psi \rangle \langle a_k | a_l \rangle a_k \\
 &= \text{tr} \left( \sum_k \sum_l \langle \Psi | a_k \rangle \langle a_l | \Psi \rangle | a_l \rangle \langle a_k | a_k \rangle \right) \\
 &= \text{tr} \left[ \left( \sum_k \langle \Psi | a_k \rangle \langle a_k | \right) \left( \sum_l | a_l \rangle \langle a_l | \Psi \rangle \right) \hat{A} \right] \\
 &= \text{tr} (|\Psi\rangle \langle \Psi| \hat{A})
 \end{aligned}$$

- ❖ Let us redefine the expectation value of a linear operator  $\hat{A}$  taking into account mixed states,

$$E(\hat{A}) := \sum_{\alpha} \text{pr}(|\Psi_{\alpha}\rangle) E_{\alpha}(\hat{A})$$

where  $E_{\alpha}(\hat{A})$  is the previously-defined notion of expectation values, which holds for pure states  $|\Psi\rangle = |\Psi_{\alpha}\rangle$ .

$$\begin{aligned} E(\hat{A}) &= \sum_{\alpha} \text{pr}(|\Psi_{\alpha}\rangle) \text{tr}(|\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi| \hat{A}) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha} \text{tr}(\text{pr}(|\Psi_{\alpha}\rangle) |\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi| \hat{A}) \\ &= \text{tr}\left(\sum_{\alpha} \text{pr}(|\Psi_{\alpha}\rangle) |\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi| \hat{A}\right) \\ &= \text{tr}(\hat{\rho}\hat{A}) \end{aligned}$$

Expectation values, with Born rule, using density operators

$$E(\hat{A}) = \text{tr}(\hat{\rho}\hat{A})$$

❖ This leads to the following corollary,

Born rule, with density operators

$$\text{pr}(|a_k\rangle) = \text{tr}(\hat{\rho}|a_k\rangle\langle a_k|)$$