



# CLRS Notes

MIT 6.046J

Author: Haopeng Li

Date: July 28, 2022



# Contents

<b>Chapter 1 Analysis of Algorithms</b>	<b>1</b>	3.5 Matrix multiplication . . . . .	11
1.1 The problem of sorting . . . . .	1	3.5.1 Standard algorithm . . . . .	11
1.2 Insertion Sort . . . . .	1	3.5.2 Divide-and-conquer algorithm	11
1.3 Running time . . . . .	1	3.6 Strassen's Algorithm . . . . .	12
1.3.1 Kinds of Analysis . . . . .	2	3.6.1 Strassen's idea . . . . .	12
1.3.2 $\Theta$ -Notation . . . . .	2	3.6.2 Strassen's algorithm . . . . .	12
1.3.3 Asymptotic performance . . .	2	3.7 VLSI layout . . . . .	12
1.3.4 Insertion sort analysis . . . .	2	3.8 Conclusion . . . . .	12
1.4 Merge Sort . . . . .	3	<b>Chapter 4 Quicksort</b>	<b>13</b>
1.4.1 Analyzing Merge Sort . . . .	3	4.1 Quicksort . . . . .	13
1.4.2 Recurrence for merge sort . .	3	4.1.1 Divide and conquer . . . . .	13
1.4.3 Recursion tree . . . . .	4	4.1.2 Partitioning subroutine . . . .	13
1.4.4 Conclusions . . . . .	4	4.1.3 Pseudocode for quicksort . . .	14
<b>Chapter 2 Asymptotic Notation &amp; Recur-</b>		4.2 Analysis of quicksort . . . . .	14
<b>rences</b>	<b>5</b>	4.2.1 Worst-case of quicksort . . . .	14
2.1 Asymptotic notation . . . . .	5	4.2.2 Best-case analysis . . . . .	14
2.1.1 $O$ -notation (upper bounds) .	5	4.2.3 More intuition . . . . .	14
2.1.2 $\Omega$ -notation(lower bounds) .	5	4.3 Randomized quicksort . . . . .	15
2.1.3 $\Theta$ -notation(tight bounds) . .	5	4.4 Randomized quicksort analysis . . .	15
2.1.4 $o$ -notation and $\omega$ -notation . .	6	4.5 Quicksort in practice . . . . .	16
2.2 Solving recurrences . . . . .	6	<b>Chapter 5 Sorting Lower Bounds</b>	<b>17</b>
2.2.1 Substitution method . . . . .	6	5.1 How fast can we sort? . . . . .	17
2.2.2 Recursion-tree method . . . .	7	5.2 Decision Tree . . . . .	17
2.2.3 The master method . . . . .	8	5.2.1 Decision-tree Example . . . .	17
<b>Chapter 3 Divide and Conquer</b>	<b>9</b>	5.2.2 Lower bound for decisiontree sorting . . . . .	17
3.1 The divide-and-conquer design paradigm . . . . .	9	5.3 Sorting in linear time . . . . .	18
3.2 Binary search . . . . .	9	5.3.1 Counting sort . . . . .	18
3.3 Powering a number . . . . .	9	5.3.2 Radix sort . . . . .	19
3.4 Fibonacci numbers . . . . .	10	5.4 Colclusions . . . . .	20

# Chapter 1 Analysis of Algorithms

## Introduction

❑ Insertion sort

❑ Merge sort

❑ Asymptotic analysis

❑ Recurrences

### Definition 1.1 (Algorithms)

*The theoretical study of computer-program performance and resource usage.*



**Note** Why study algorithms and performance?

- Algorithms help us to understand scalability.
- Performance often draws the line between what is feasible and what is impossible.
- Algorithmic mathematics provides a language for talking about program behavior.
- Performance is the currency of computing.
- The lessons of program performance generalize to other computing resources.
- Speed is fun!

## 1.1 The problem of sorting

### Problem 1.1(The problem of sorting)

- Input: sequence  $\langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle$  of numbers.
- Output: permutation  $\langle a'_1, a'_2, \dots, a'_n \rangle$  such that  $a'_1 \leq a'_2 \leq \dots \leq a'_n$

## 1.2 Insertion Sort

```
Insertion-Sort(A,n)
  for j <- 2 to n
    do key <- A[j]
      i <- j - 1
      while i > 0 and A[i] > key
        do A[i+1] <- A[i]
          i <- i-1
      A[i+1] = key
```

## 1.3 Running time

- The running time depends on the input: an already sorted sequence is easier to sort.
- Parameterize the running time by the size of the input, since short sequences are easier to sort than long ones.
- Generally, we seek upper bounds on the running time, because everybody likes a guarantee.

### 1.3.1 Kinds of Analysis

#### Definition 1.2 (Worst-Case(usually))

$T(n)$  = maximum time of algorithm on any input of size  $n$ .



#### Definition 1.3 (Average-Case(Sometimes))

- $T(n)$  = expected time of algorithm over all inputs of size  $n$ .
- Need assumption of statistical distribution of inputs.



#### Definition 1.4 (Best-case: (bogus))

Cheat with a slow algorithm that works fast on some input.



**Note** What is insertion sort's worst-case time?

It depends on the speed of our computer:

- relative speed (on the same machine),
- absolute speed (on different machines).



**Note** BIG IDEA:

1. Ignore machine-dependent constants.
2. look at the growth of  $T(n)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$
3. "Asymptotic Analysis"

### 1.3.2 $\Theta$ -Notation

#### Definition 1.5 ( $\Theta$ -Notation)

$\Theta(g(n)) = \{f(n) : \text{there exist positive constants } c_1, c_2, \text{ and } n_0 \text{ such that } 0 \leq c_1g(n) \leq f(n) \leq c_2g(n) \text{ for all } n \geq n_0\}$



**Note** Engineering: Drop low-order terms; Ignore leading constants.

**Example 1.1**

$$3n^3 + 90n^2 - 5n + 6046 = \Theta(n^3)$$

### 1.3.3 Asymptotic performance



**Note** When  $n$  gets large enough, a  $\Theta(n^2)$  algorithm always beats a  $\Theta(n^3)$  algorithm.



**Note**

- We shouldn't ignore asymptotically slower algorithms, however.
- Real-world design situations often call for a careful balancing of engineering objectives.
- Asymptotic analysis is a useful tool to help to structure our thinking.

### 1.3.4 Insertion sort analysis

### Worst case

Input reverse sorted

$$T(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n \Theta(j) = \Theta(n^2)$$

### Average case

All permutations equally likely.

$$T(n) = \sum_{j=2}^n \Theta(j/2) = \Theta(n^2)$$



**Note** *Is insertion sort a fast sorting algorithm?*

- Moderately so, for small  $n$
- Not at all, for large  $n$

## 1.4 Merge Sort

Merge-Sort  $A[1..n]$

1. If  $n = 1$ , done
2. Recursively sort  $A[1 \dots \lceil n/2 \rceil]$  and  $A[\lceil n/2 \rceil + 1 \dots n]$
3. Merge the 2 sorted lists.



**Note** *Key subroutine: MERGE*

### 1.4.1 Analyzing Merge Sort

Time =  $\Theta(n)$  to merge a total of  $n$  elements (linear time).

$T(n)$	MERGE-SORT $A[1 \dots n]$
$\Theta(1)$	1. If $n = 1$ , done.
$2T(n/2)$	2. Recursively sort $A[1 \dots \lceil n/2 \rceil]$ and $A[\lceil n/2 \rceil + 1 \dots n]$ .
$\Theta(n)$	3. "Merge" the 2 sorted lists



**Note** *Sloppiness:  $2T(n/2)$  should be  $T(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor)$ , but it turns out not to matter asymptotically.*

### 1.4.2 Recurrence for merge sort

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} \Theta(1) & \text{if } n = 1 \\ 2T(n/2) + \Theta(n) & \text{if } n > 1 \end{cases}$$



**Note**

- We shall usually omit stating the base case when  $T(n) = \Theta(1)$  for sufficiently small  $n$ , but only when it has no effect on the asymptotic solution to the recurrence.
- CLRS and Lecture 2 provide several ways to find a good upper bound on  $T(n)$ .

### 1.4.3 Recursion tree

**Example 1.2** Solve  $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + cn$ , where  $c > 0$  is constant.

### 1.4.4 Conclusions

- $\Theta(n \lg n)$  grows more slowly than  $\Theta(n^2)$
- Therefore, merge sort asymptotically beats insertion sort in the worst case.
- In practice, merge sort beats insertion sort for  $n > 30$  or so.



# Chapter 2 Asymptotic Notation & Recurrences

## Introduction

- ☐  $O$ -,  $\Omega$ -, and  $\Theta$ - notation
- ☐ Substitution method
- ☐ Iterating the recurrence
- ☐ Recursion tree
- ☐ Master method

## 2.1 Asymptotic notation

### 2.1.1 $O$ -notation (upper bounds)


#### Definition 2.1 ( $O$ -notation (upper bounds))

We write  $f(n) = O(g(n))$  if there exist constants  $c > 0, n_0 > 0$  such that  $0 \leq f(n) \leq cg(n)$  for all  $n \geq n_0$



#### Example 2.1

$$2n^2 = O(n^3) \quad (c = 1, n_0 = 2)$$

 **Note** Notice that in this equation,  $2n^2$  are functions not values and the equal sign is just "one-way" equality. Actually, it can be denoted more precisely:

$$2n^2 \in O(n^3)$$

 **Note** Convention: A set in a formula represents an anonymous function in the set.

#### Example 2.2

$$n^2 + O(n) = O(n^2)$$

means for any  $f(n) \in O(n), n^2 + f(n) = h(n)$  for some  $h(n) \in O(n^2)$

### 2.1.2 $\Omega$ -notation (lower bounds)

$O$ -notation is an upper-bound notation. It makes no sense to say  $f(n)$  is at least  $O(n^2)$ .

#### Definition 2.2 ( $\Omega$ -notation (lower bounds))

$\Omega(g(n)) = \{f(n) : \text{there exist constants } c > 0, n_0 > 0 \text{ such that } 0 \leq cg(n) \leq f(n) \text{ for all } n \geq n_0\}$



#### Example 2.3

$$\sqrt{n} = \Omega(\lg n) \quad (c = 1, n_0 = 16)$$

### 2.1.3 $\Theta$ -notation (tight bounds)

#### Definition 2.3 ( $\Theta$ -notation (tight bounds))

$$\Theta(g(n)) = O(g(n)) \cap \Omega(g(n))$$



**Example 2.4**

$$\frac{1}{2}n^2 - 2n = \Theta(n^2)$$

**2.1.4  $o$ -notation and  $\omega$ -notation**

$O$ -notation and  $\Omega$ -notation are like  $\leq$  and  $\geq$ .  $o$ -notation and  $\omega$ -notation are like  $<$  and  $>$ .

**Definition 2.4 ( $o$ -notation)**

$o(g(n)) = \{f(n) : \text{for any constant } c > 0, \text{ there is a constant } n_0 > 0 \text{ such that } 0 \leq f(n) < cg(n) \text{ for all } n \geq n_0\}$

**Example 2.5**

$$2n^2 = o(n^3) \quad (n_0 = 2/c)$$

**Definition 2.5 ( $\omega$ -notation)**

$\omega(g(n)) = \{f(n) : \text{for any constant } c > 0, \text{ there is a constant } n_0 > 0 \text{ such that } 0 \leq f(n) < cg(n) \text{ for all } n \geq n_0\}$

**Example 2.6**

$$\sqrt{n} = \omega(\lg n) \quad (n_0 = 1 + 1/c)$$

**2.2 Solving recurrences**

The analysis of merge sort from Lecture 1 required us to solve a recurrence. Recurrences are like solving integrals, differential equations, etc. Learn a few tricks.

**2.2.1 Substitution method****Definition 2.6 (Substitution method)**

*The most general method:*

1. Guess the form of the solution.
2. Verify by induction.
3. Solve for constants.

**Example 2.7**

$$T(n) = 4T(n/2) + n$$

- Assume that  $T(1) = \Theta(1)$ .
- Guess  $O(n^3)$ . (Prove  $O$  and  $\Omega$  separately.)
- Assume that  $T(k) \leq ck^3$  for  $k < n$
- Prove  $T(n) \leq cn^3$  by induction.



**Solution**


$$\begin{aligned}
T(n) &= 4T(n/2) + n \\
&\leq 4c(n/2)^3 + n \\
&= (c/2)n^3 + n \\
&= cn^3 - ((c/2)n^3 - n) \leftarrow \text{desired - residual} \\
&\leq cn^3 \leftarrow \text{desired}
\end{aligned}$$

whenever  $(c/2)n^3 - n \geq 0$ , for example, if  $c \geq 2$  and  $n \geq 1$

 **Note** We must also handle the initial conditions, that is, ground the induction with base cases.

1. **Base:**  $T(n) = \Theta(1)$  for all  $n < n_0$ , where  $n_0$  is a suitable constant.
2. For  $1 \leq n < n_0$ , we have " $\Theta(1)$ "  $\leq cn^3$ , if we pick  $c$  big enough.

**This bound is not tight!**

 **Note** A tighter upper bound? We shall prove that  $T(n) = O(n^2)$


Assume that  $T(k) \leq ck^2$  for  $k < n$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
T(n) &= 4T(n/2) + n \\
&\leq 4c(n/2)^2 + n \\
&= cn^2 + n \\
&= O(n^2)
\end{aligned}$$

**Wrong! We must prove the I.H. ( $T(k) \leq ck^2$ )**

$$= cn^2 - (-n)$$

for no choice of  $c > 0$ . Lose!

 **Note** **IDEA:** Strengthen the inductive hypothesis. (Subtract a low-order term.)

Inductive hypothesis:  $T(k) \leq c_1k^2 - c_2k$  for  $k < n$ .

**Solution**

$$\begin{aligned}
T(n) &= 4T(n/2) + n \\
&= 4(c_1(n/2)^2 - c_2(n/2)) + n \\
&= c_1n^2 - 2c_2n + n \\
&= c_1n^2 - c_2n - (c_2n - n) \\
&\leq c_1n^2 - c_2n \text{ if } c_2 \geq 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Pick  $c_1$  big enough to handle the initial conditions.

**2.2.2 Recursion-tree method**

- A recursion tree models the costs(time) of a recursive execution of an algorithm.
- The recursion-tree method can be unreliable, just like any method that uses ellipses (...)
- The recursion-tree method promotes intuition, however.
- The recursion tree method is good for generating guesses for the substitution method.

**Example 2.8** Solve

$$T(n) = T(n/4) + T(n/2) + n^2$$

### 2.2.3 The master method

The master method applies to recurrences of the form

$$T(n) = aT(n/b) + f(n)$$

where  $a \geq 1, b > 1$  and  $f$  is asymptotically positive.

#### Theorem 2.1 (Three common cases)

Compare  $f(n)$  with  $n^{\log_b a}$  :

1.  $f(n) = O(n^{\log_b a - \varepsilon})$  for some constant  $\varepsilon > 0$ 
  - $f(n)$  grows polynomially slower than  $n^{\log_b a}$  (by an  $n^\varepsilon$  factor).

**Solution:**

$$T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a})$$

2.  $f(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a} \lg^k n)$  for some constant  $k \geq 0$ 
  - $f(n)$  and  $n^{\log_b a}$  grow at similar rates.

**Solution:**

$$T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b a} \lg^{k+1} n)$$

3.  $f(n) = \Omega(n^{\log_b a + \varepsilon})$  for some constant  $\varepsilon > 0$ 
  - $f(n)$  grows polynomially faster than  $n^{\log_b a}$  (by an  $n^\varepsilon$  factor), and  $f(n)$  satisfies the regularity condition that  $af(n/b) \leq cf(n)$  for some constant  $c < 1$

**Solution:**

$$T(n) = \Theta(f(n))$$



#### Example 2.9

$$T(n) = 4T(n/2) + n^3$$

#### Solution

$$a = 4, b = 2 \Rightarrow n^{\log_b a} = n^2; f(n) = n^3$$

**CASE 3:**  $f(n) = \Omega(n^{2+\varepsilon})$  for  $\varepsilon = 1$  and  $4(n/2)^3 \leq cn^3$  (reg. cond.) for  $c = 1/2$ .

$$\therefore T(n) = \Theta(n^3)$$

#### Example 2.10

$$T(n) = 4T(n/2) + n^2/\lg n$$

#### Solution

$$a = 4, b = 2 \Rightarrow n^{\log_b a} = n^2; f(n) = n^2/\lg n$$

**Master method does not apply.** In particular, for every constant  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have  $n^\varepsilon = \omega(\lg n)$ .

#### 2.2.3.1 Idea of master theorem

## Chapter 3 Divide and Conquer

### Introduction

- ☐ Binary search
- ☐ Powering a number
- ☐ Fibonacci numbers
- ☐ Matrix multiplication
- ☐ Strassen's algorithm
- ☐ VLSI tree layout

### 3.1 The divide-and-conquer design paradigm

1. Divide the problem (instance) into subproblems.
2. Conquer the subproblems by solving them recursively.
3. Combine subproblem solutions.



**Note** Merge Sort

1. Divide: Trivial.
2. Conquer: Recursively sort 2 subarrays.
3. Combine: Linear-time merge.

$$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + \Theta(n)$$

Using Master theorem :

Merge sort:  $a = 2, b = 2 \Rightarrow n^{\log_b a} = n^{\log_2 2} = n \Rightarrow \text{CASE 2 } (k = 0) \Rightarrow T(n) = \Theta(n \lg n)$ .

### 3.2 Binary search

Find an element in a sorted array:

1. Divide: Check middle element
2. Conquer: Recursively search 1 subarray.
3. Combine: Trivial.

$$T(n) = 1T(n/2) + \Theta(1)$$

$$n^{\log_b a} = n^{\log_2 1} = n^0 = 1 \Rightarrow \text{CASE 2 } (k = 0)$$

$$\Rightarrow T(n) = \Theta(\lg n)$$

### 3.3 Powering a number

**Problem 3.1** Compute  $a^n$ , where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Solution**

**Naive algorithm:**  $\Theta(n)$

**Divide-and-conquer algorithm:**


$$a^n = \begin{cases} a^{n/2} \cdot a^{n/2} & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ a^{(n-1)/2} \cdot a^{(n-1)/2} \cdot a & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

$$T(n) = T(n/2) + \Theta(1) \Rightarrow T(n) = \Theta(\lg n)$$

## 3.4 Fibonacci numbers

**Recursive definition:**

$$F_n = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ F_{n-1} + F_{n-2} & \text{if } n \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

 **Note** *Naive recursive algorithm:*  $\Omega(\phi^n)$  (exponential time), where  $\phi = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$  is the golden ratio.

 **Note**

- **Bottom-up:**

- Compute  $F_0, F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n$  in order, forming each number by summing the two previous.
- Running time:  $\Theta(n)$ .

- **Naive recursive squaring:**


$F_n = \phi^n / \sqrt{5}$  rounded to the nearest integer.

- Recursive squaring:  $\Theta(\lg n)$  time.
- This method is unreliable, since floating-point arithmetic is prone to round-off errors.

### Theorem 3.1 (Recursive squaring)

$$\begin{bmatrix} F_{n+1} & F_n \\ F_n & F_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^n$$



 **Note** *Algorithm: Recursive squaring.*

$$\text{Time} = \Theta(\lg n)$$

### Proof

- Base ( $n = 1$ ):

$$\begin{bmatrix} F_2 & F_1 \\ F_1 & F_0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^1$$

- Inductive step ( $n \geq 2$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} F_{n+1} & F_n \\ F_n & F_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} F_n & F_{n-1} \\ F_{n-1} & F_{n-2} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{n-1} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^n \end{aligned}$$

### 3.5 Matrix multiplication

#### Definition 3.1 (Matrix multiplication)

Input:  $A = [a_{ij}], B = [b_{ij}]$ .  
 Output:  $C = [c_{ij}] = A \cdot B$ .  
 $\left. \vphantom{\begin{matrix} \text{Input:} \\ \text{Output:} \end{matrix}} \right\} i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} & \cdots & c_{1n} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} & \cdots & c_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{n1} & c_{n2} & \cdots & c_{nn} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & \cdots & b_{1n} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & \cdots & b_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_{n1} & b_{n2} & \cdots & b_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$c_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} \cdot b_{kj}$$



#### 3.5.1 Standard algorithm

```
for i <- 1 to n
  do for j <- 1 to n
    do  $c_{ij} \leftarrow 0$ 
      for k <- 1 to n
        do  $c_{ij} \leftarrow c_{ij} + a_{ik} \cdot b_{kj}$ 
```

Running time =  $\Theta(n^3)$

#### 3.5.2 Divide-and-conquer algorithm



**Note**  $n \times n$  matrix =  $2 \times 2$  matrix of  $(n/2) \times (n/2)$  submatrices:

$$\begin{bmatrix} r & s \\ t & u \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} e & f \\ g & h \end{bmatrix}$$

$$C = A \cdot B$$

$$\left. \begin{matrix} r = ae + bg \\ s = af + bh \\ t = ce + dg \\ u = cf + dh \end{matrix} \right\} \quad 8 \text{ mults of } (n/2) \times (n/2) \text{ submatrices}$$

$$T(n) = 8T(n/2) + \Theta(n^2)$$

$$n^{\log_b a} = n^{\log_2 8} = n^3 \Rightarrow \text{CASE 1} \Rightarrow T(n) = \Theta(n^3)$$

**No better than the ordinary algorithm.**

## 3.6 Strassen's Algorithm

### 3.6.1 Strassen's idea

Multiply  $2 \times 2$  matrices with only 7 recursive mults.

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_1 &= a \cdot (f - h) & r &= P_5 + P_4 - P_2 + P_6 \\
 P_2 &= (a + b) \cdot h & s &= P_1 + P_2 \\
 P_3 &= (c + d) \cdot e & t &= P_3 + P_4 \\
 P_4 &= d \cdot (g - e) & u &= P_5 + P_1 - P_3 - P_7 \\
 P_5 &= (a + d) \cdot (e + h) \\
 P_6 &= (b - d) \cdot (g + h) \\
 P_7 &= (a - c) \cdot (e + f)
 \end{aligned}$$



**Note** 7 mults, 18 adds/subs. *No reliance on commutativity of mult!*

### 3.6.2 Strassen's algorithm

1. Divide: Partition  $A$  and  $B$  into  $(n/2) \times (n/2)$  submatrices. Form terms to be multiplied using  $+$  and  $-$ .
2. Conquer: Perform 7 multiplications of  $(n/2) \times (n/2)$  submatrices recursively.
3. Combine: Form  $C$  using  $+$  and  $-$  on  $(n/2) \times (n/2)$  submatrices.

$$T(n) = 7T(n/2) + \Theta(n^2)$$

$$n^{\log_b a} = n^{\log_2 7} \approx n^{2.81} \Rightarrow \text{CASE 1} \Rightarrow T(n) = \Theta(n^{\lg 7})$$



**Note** The number 2.81 may not seem much smaller than 3, but because the difference is in the exponent, the impact on running time is significant. In fact, Strassen's algorithm beats the ordinary algorithm on today's machines for  $n \geq 32$  or so.



**Note** Best to date (of theoretical interest only):  $\Theta(n^{2.376\dots})$

## 3.7 VLSI layout

**Problem 3.2** Embed a complete binary tree with  $n$  leaves in a grid using minimal area.

## 3.8 Conclusion

- Divide and conquer is just one of several powerful techniques for algorithm design.
- Divide-and-conquer algorithms can be analyzed using recurrences and the master method (so practice this math).
- The divide-and-conquer strategy often leads to efficient algorithms.

# Chapter 4 Quicksort

## Introduction

- ☐ Divide and conquer
- ☐ Partitioning
- ☐ Worst-case analysis
- ☐ Intuition
- ☐ Randomized quicksort
- ☐ Analysis

## 4.1 Quicksort



### Note

- Proposed by C.A.R.Hoare in 1962.
- Divide-and-conquer algorithm.
- Sorts “in place” (like insertion sort, but not like merge sort).
- Very practical (with tuning).

### 4.1.1 Divide and conquer

Quicksort an  $n$ —element array:

1. **Divide:** Partition the array into two subarrays around a **pivot**  $x$  such that elements in lower subarray  $\leq x \leq$  elements in upper subarray.
2. **Conquer:** Recursively sort the two subarrays.
3. **Combine:** Trivial.

**Key:** Linear-time Partitioning subroutine.

### 4.1.2 Partitioning subroutine

```
PARTITION(A,p,q) //A[p..q]
  x <- A[p]      //pivot = A[p]
  i <- p
  for J <- p + 1 to q
    do if A[j] ≤ x
      then i <- i + 1
          exchange A[i] <-> A[j]
  exchange A[p] <-> A[i]
  return i
```



**Note** Running time =  $O(n)$  for  $n$  elements.

**Example 4.1** Example of partitioning



### 4.1.3 Pseudocode for quicksort

```

QUICKSORT(A,p,r)
  if p < r
    then q ← PARTITION(A,p,r)
        QUICKSORT(A,p,q-1)
        QUICKSORT(A,q+1,r)

Initial call: QUICKSORT(A, 1, n)

```

## 4.2 Analysis of quicksort

1. Assume all input elements are distinct.
2. In practice, there are better partitioning algorithms for when duplicate input elements may exist.
3. Let  $T(n)$  = worst-case running time on an array of  $n$  elements.

### 4.2.1 Worst-case of quicksort

- Input sorted or reverse sorted.
- Partition around min or max element.
- One side of partition always has no elements.

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(n) &= T(0) + T(n-1) + \Theta(n) \\
 &= \Theta(1) + T(n-1) + \Theta(n) \\
 &= T(n-1) + \Theta(n) \\
 &= \Theta(n^2) \quad (\text{arithmetic series})
 \end{aligned}$$

#### 4.2.1.1 Worst-case recursion tree

### 4.2.2 Best-case analysis

If we're lucky, PARTITION splits the array evenly:

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(n) &= 2T(n/2) + \Theta(n) \\
 &= \Theta(n \lg n) \quad (\text{same as merge sort})
 \end{aligned}$$

What if the split is always  $\frac{1}{10} : \frac{9}{10}$ ?

$$T(n) = T\left(\frac{1}{10}n\right) + T\left(\frac{9}{10}n\right) + \Theta(n)$$

What is the solution to this recurrence?

$$cn \log_{10} n \leq T(n) \leq cn \log_{10/9} n + O(n)$$

### 4.2.3 More intuition

Suppose we alternate lucky, unlucky, lucky, unlucky, lucky, ....

$$\begin{aligned}
 L(n) &= 2U(n/2) + \Theta(n) && \text{lucky} \\
 U(n) &= L(n-1) + \Theta(n) && \text{unlucky}
 \end{aligned}$$

Solving:

$$\begin{aligned}
 L(n) &= 2(L(n/2 - 1) + \Theta(n/2)) + \Theta(n) \\
 &= 2L(n/2 - 1) + \Theta(n) \\
 &= \Theta(n \lg n) \text{ Lucky!}
 \end{aligned}$$

How can we make sure we are usually lucky?

### 4.3 Randomized quicksort



**Note IDEA:** Partition around a random element.

- Running time is independent of the input order.
- No assumptions need to be made about the input distribution.
- No specific input elicits the worst-case behavior.
- The worst case is determined only by the output of a random-number generator.

### 4.4 Randomized quicksort analysis

Let  $T(n)$  = the random variable for the running time of randomized quicksort on an input of size  $n$ , assuming random numbers are independent.

For  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$ , define the indicator random variable

$$X_k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if PARTITION generates a } k : n - k - 1 \text{ split,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$E[X_k] = \Pr\{X_k = 1\} = 1/n$ , since all splits are equally likely, assuming elements are distinct.

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(n) &= \begin{cases} T(0) + T(n - 1) + \Theta(n) & \text{if } 0 : n - 1 \text{ split} \\ T(1) + T(n - 2) + \Theta(n) & \text{if } 1 : n - 2 \text{ split} \\ \vdots \\ T(n - 1) + T(0) + \Theta(n) & \text{if } n - 1 : 0 \text{ split} \end{cases} \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} X_k (T(k) + T(n - k - 1) + \Theta(n))
 \end{aligned}$$

#### Calculating expectation

$$\begin{aligned}
 E[T(n)] &= E \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} X_k (T(k) + T(n - k - 1) + \Theta(n)) \right] \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} E[X_k (T(k) + T(n - k - 1) + \Theta(n))] \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} E[X_k] \cdot E[T(k) + T(n - k - 1) + \Theta(n)]
 \end{aligned}$$



**Note** Independence of  $X_k$  from other random choices.

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} E[T(k)] + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} E[T(n-k-1)] + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \Theta(n) \\
&= \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} E[T(k)] + \Theta(n) \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Summations have} \\ \text{identical terms.} \end{array}
\end{aligned}$$

(The  $k = 0, 1$  terms can be absorbed in the  $\Theta(n)$ .)

**Proof**  $E[T(n)] \leq an \lg n$  for constant  $a > 0$ . Choose  $a$  large enough so that  $an \lg n$  dominates  $E[T(n)]$  for sufficiently small  $n \geq 2$ .

Use fact:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=2}^{n-1} k \lg k &\leq \frac{1}{2} n^2 \lg n - \frac{1}{8} n^2 \\
E[T(n)] &\leq \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} a k \lg k + \Theta(n) \\
&\leq \frac{2a}{n} \left( \frac{1}{2} n^2 \lg n - \frac{1}{8} n^2 \right) + \Theta(n) \\
&= an \lg n - \left( \frac{an}{4} - \Theta(n) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Express as **desired – residual**.

if  $a$  is chosen large enough so that  $an/4$  dominates the  $\Theta(n)$ .

## 4.5 Quicksort in practice

- Quicksort is a great general-purpose sorting algorithm.
- Quicksort is typically over twice as fast as merge sort.
- Quicksort can benefit substantially from code tuning.
- Quicksort behaves well even with caching and virtual memory.

# Chapter 5 Sorting Lower Bounds

## Introduction

❑ Decision trees

❑ Counting sort

❑ Radix sort

❑ Appendix: Punched cards

## 5.1 How fast can we sort?

All the sorting algorithms we have seen so far are **comparison sorts** :only use comparisons to determine the relative order of elements.

- E.g. insertion sort, merge sort, quicksort, heapsort.

The best worst-case running time that we've seen for comparison sorting is  $O(n \lg n)$ .

**Is  $O(n \lg n)$  the best we can do?**

**Decision trees** can help us answer this question.

## 5.2 Decision Tree

### 5.2.1 Decision-tree Example

#### Definition 5.1 (Decision-tree model)

A decision tree can model the execution of any comparison sort:

- One tree for each input size  $n$ .
- View the algorithm as splitting whenever it compares two elements.
- The tree contains the comparisons along all possible instruction traces.
- The running time of the algorithm = the length of the path taken.
- Worst-case running time = height of tree.



### 5.2.2 Lower bound for decisiontree sorting

#### Theorem 5.1

Any decision tree that can sort  $n$  elements must have height  $\Omega(n \lg n)$ .



**Proof** The tree must contain  $\geq n!$  leaves, since there are  $n!$  possible permutations. A height-  $h$  binary tree has  $\leq 2^h$  leaves. Thus,  $n! \leq 2^h$ .

$$\begin{aligned} h &\geq \lg(n!) && (\lg \text{ is mono. increasing}) \\ &\geq \lg((n/e)^n) && (\text{Stirling's formula}) \\ &= n \lg n - n \lg e \\ &= \Omega(n \lg n) \end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 5.1**

Heapsort and merge sort are *asymptotically optimal comparison sorting algorithms*.



## 5.3 Sorting in linear time

### 5.3.1 Counting sort

No comparisons between elements.

- Input:  $A[1 \dots n]$ , where  $A[j] \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ .
- Output:  $B[1.. n]$ , sorted.
- Auxiliary storage:  $C[1 \dots k]$ .

```

for i ← 1 to k
  do C[i] ← 0
for j ← 1 to n
  do C[A[j]] ← C[A[j]] + 1 // C[i] = |{key = i}|
for i ← 2 to k
  do C[i] ← C[i] + C[i-1]
for j ← n downto 1
  do B[C[A[j]]] ← A[j]
  C[A[j]] ← C[A[j]] - 1

```



**Note Analysis**

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Theta(k) & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for } i \leftarrow 1 \text{ to } k \\ \text{do } C[i] \leftarrow 0 \end{array} \right. \\
 \Theta(n) & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for } j \leftarrow 1 \text{ to } n \\ \text{do } C[A[j]] \leftarrow C[A[j]] + 1 \end{array} \right. \\
 \Theta(k) & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for } i \leftarrow 2 \text{ to } k \\ \text{do } C[i] \leftarrow C[i] + C[i-1] \end{array} \right. \\
 \Theta(n) & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for } j \leftarrow n \text{ downto } 1 \\ \text{do } B[C[A[j]]] \leftarrow A[j] \\ C[A[j]] \leftarrow C[A[j]] - 1 \end{array} \right.
 \end{aligned}$$

#### 5.3.1.1 Running time

If  $k = O(n)$ , then counting sort takes  $\Theta(n)$  time.

- But, sorting takes  $\Omega(n \lg n)$  time!
- Where's the fallacy?

#### Solution

- Comparison sorting takes  $\Omega(n \lg n)$  time.
- Counting sort is not a comparison sort.
- In fact, not a single comparison between elements occurs!

**Definition 5.2 (Stable sorting)**

Counting sort is a **stable sort**: it preserves the input order among equal elements.



**Exercise 5.1** What other sorts have this property?

**5.3.2 Radix sort****Note**

- *Origin: Herman Hollerith's card-sorting machine for the 1890 U.S. Census.*
- *Digit-by-digit sort.*
- *Hollerith's original (bad) idea: sort on most-significant digit first.*
- *Good idea: Sort on least-significant digit first with auxiliary stable sort.*

**5.3.2.1 Operation of radix sort****5.3.2.2 Correctness of radix sort**

Induction on digit position

- Assume that the numbers are sorted by their low-order  $t - 1$  digits.
- Sort on digit  $t$ 
  - Two numbers that differ in digit  $t$  are correctly sorted.
  - Two numbers equal in digit  $t$  are put in the same order as the input  $\Rightarrow$  correct order.

**5.3.2.3 Analysis of radix sort**

- Assume counting sort is the auxiliary stable sort.
- Sort  $n$  computer words of  $b$  bits each.
- Each word can be viewed as having  $b/r$  base- $2^r$  digits.

**Example 5.1** 32-bit word  $r = 8 \Rightarrow b/r = 4$  passes of counting sort on base- $2^8$  digits; or  $r = 16 \Rightarrow b/r = 2$  passes of counting sort on base-216 digits.

**How many passes should we make?**

**Note Recall:** Counting sort takes  $\Theta(n + k)$  time to sort  $n$  numbers in the range from 0 to  $k - 1$ .

If each  $b$ -bit word is broken into  $r$ -bit pieces, each pass of counting sort takes  $\Theta(n + 2^r)$  time. Since there are  $b/r$  passes, we have

$$T(n, b) = \Theta\left(\frac{b}{r}(n + 2^r)\right).$$

Choose  $r$  to minimize  $T(n, b)$  :

- Increasing  $r$  means fewer passes, but as  $r \gg \lg n$ , the time grows exponentially. Minimize  $T(n, b)$  by differentiating and setting to 0. Or, just observe that we don't want  $2^r \gg n$ , and there's no harm asymptotically in choosing  $r$  as large as possible subject to this constraint. Choosing  $r = \lg n$  implies  $T(n, b) = \Theta(bn / \lg n)$ .
- For numbers in the range from 0 to  $n^d - 1$ , we have  $b = d \lg n \Rightarrow$  radix sort runs in  $\Theta(dn)$  time.

## 5.4 Colclusions

In practice, radix sort is fast for large inputs, as well as simple to code and maintain.

### Example 5.2

1. At most 3 passes when sorting  $\geq 2000$  numbers.
2. Merge sort and quicksort do at least  $\lceil \lg 2000 \rceil = 11$  passes.



**Note Downside:** *Unlike quicksort, radix sort displays little locality of reference, and thus a well-tuned quicksort fares better on modern processors, which feature steep memory hierarchies.*