1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

June 2023
Boris Ivanov

Document data:

Author: Boris Ivanov

Student number: 2969300

E-mail: 357544@student.fontys.nl

Date: 03 June 2023

Place: Eindhoven, the Netherlands

Company Data:

Name: ALTEN Nederland

Address: Hurksestraat 45, 5652 AH Eindhoven

Company supervisor: Michael van der Velden

Telephone: 0402563080

E-mail: Michael.van.der.velden@alten.nl

University Data:

Name: Fontys University of Applied Science

Address: Nexus Building (ER, De Rondom 1, 5612 AP Eindhoven)

School supervisor: Michal Mikołajczyk

Telephone: 0618592672

E-mail: m.mikolajczyk@fontys.nl

Approved and signed by the company supervisor:

Date:

Signature:

1 Foreword

2 <mark>[PLACEHOLDER]</mark>

3 This is an internship report on 'Designing an Autonomous Robot-Player for Connect-4'. This project has
4 been realized at ALTEN by Boris Ivanov on behalf of educational program Electrical & Electronic
5 Engineering at Fontys University of Applied Sciences in Eindhoven. The project and this report were
6 realized in the period of February 2023 – June 2023.

7 I was guided by my mentor Michael van der Velden.

8 <mark>[Continue]</mark>

9 Table of Contents

1 Summary

2 [written at the end]

3 List of abbreviations

4 [continually updated]

ACRONYM	DESCRIPTION
IT	Information Technology

5

6 List of figures & tables

7 [continually updated]

23 [continually updated]

I.   Introduction

[written at a later stage]

1 II. About the Company

2

3 ALTEN is a global technology consulting and engineering firm. They provide research projects for
4 technical and information systems divisions in the industrial, telecommunications, and service sectors.
5 Their focus is on the conception and research for the technical divisions. Additionally, ALTEN provides
6 networks and telecom architectures, as well as the development of IT systems for the information
7 departments [1]. As far as industries that rely on ALTEN for their business include, but are not limited
8 to, telecommunications, computer systems, networking, multimedia, energy & life sciences, finance,
9 defence, aviation, and information systems [2].

FIGURE 1: ORGANOGRAM

10 1. Company background information

11

12 Established in France in 1988, ALTEN is a global engineering and technology consulting firm with
13 locations in 30 nations. ALTEN had 54,100 employees and earned 3.78 billion euros in revenue in 2022.
14 45% of the group's business is conducted in the French market [1].
15 Within the Netherlands, their expertise is in the following categories: ALTEN IT, Technical Software
16 and Mechatronics, with the "Connect-4" project falling within the Mechatronics department.
17 Technical software focuses on embedded systems, simulation & modelling, monitoring & control, and
18 business critical systems. This includes anything from banking systems to traffic light control [3].
19 ALTEN provides end-to-end software engineering solutions, including software design, development,
20 testing, integration, and maintenance, to its clients across industries.
21 Mechatronics supports its clients by developing and improving its products with the latest
22 improvements in technology. ALTEN's mechatronics services include designing and prototyping
23 complex systems, simulation and modelling, control systems development, system integration, and
24 testing and validation. The company has a team of experienced engineers who work closely with clients
25 to understand their requirements and develop custom solutions that meet their needs [4].
26 This project is part of ALTEN's in-house projects, which are often used to develop new skills for
27 consultants or the ones of interns. Since ALTEN wants to demonstrate their competence in the field of
28 motion systems it wanted to create a demonstrator around this. The Connect-4 (Four Up, 4-in-a-row)
29 robot was developed for demos at trade fairs and open days at universities. The robot game is meant to
30 demonstrate the knowledge of the consultants at ALTEN, and it is therefore developed with industrial
31 components.

III₁ Project description and assignment

In this chapter, insight is provided in the form of a comprehensive overview of the project's background, problem description, assignment, project scope, and boundary conditions. The chapter delves into the project's approach, including the development phases and the application of the V-model verification method. Additionally, it discusses any unforeseen issues that arose during the project, offering understanding into the challenges faced and their impact on the overall project execution.

1. Project background information

My graduation internship for Fontys Hogescholen will be conducted at ALTEN, with my task being to realize as many as possible software blocks and verify the whole system to the best of my abilities. This all would be done to a Connect-4 robot player, shown Figure 3 and Figure 4, which has had its software architecture previously designed but not verified. There are some existing demonstration codes that showcase some functions of the robot. They are to be discussed in chapter 2. Investigating the microcontroller and the existing software.

The game itself is fairly simple to play. There is a seven-by-six rack board, with slots at each side for the two players to enter their tokens. A red one for the robot player and a yellow one for the human player. The first player to connect four tokens in a row in any direction wins. The Figure **3**: The Connect-4 Robot and **Figure** 4 explain what each part of the robot is and where the operator/developer might find

FIGURE 2: THE CONNECT-4 ROBOT

them.

The whole process, of playing the game, should be completely autonomous. After the player token has been placed in the idle robot, it can decide its next move based on a difficulty setting. To be able to play

the game, the 4-in-a-row robot is equipped with numerous parts that help it achieve its task. The big ones are the two motors for movement in the X and Z direction, together with their encoders and home/end switches. Additionally, it has two servos, one to rotate the end-effector and another to open the board for resetting the game state. Also, the robot has an RGB (colour) sensor and a flipper to be able to sort and distribute the tokens to the correct sides. The robot's end-effector is equipped with a vacuum pump, vacuum sensor, and valve to be able to pick up tokens. Finally, the machine can detect

FIGURE 3: UNDERSIDE OF THE CONNECT–4 ROBOT

when and where a token is dropped, through a series of Infra-Red (IR) sensors on the entrance of the board.

The project has existed for several years, and several major changes have occurred during its existence. The one that concerns the current state, is the change of micro-controller used in the system. Before, the system used a single-core processor, but with constant improvements in functionality and new additions, the system started to become slower and unresponsive. Therefore, it was decided that a new processor will be put into the system. The dual-core STM32H755 is more powerful than its predecessor and fits with the newer requirements. The initial idea was that one core would be responsible for the real-time processing, while the other core would be the "primary" core and it will delegate tasks and take care of the higher-level logic like the game decisions, displaying results and more.
Another major change is the new PCB made to accommodate the new controller, together with rewiring the system, and another set of demo software projects on specific sections of the system.

2. Problem description

With the newly added dual-core processor the system had to undergo a major restructure of its software architecture and its PCB design. These two tasks were undertaken by previous interns. However, the software architecture wasn't realized or verified due to time constraints. Several "demos" were made to showcase some parts of the architecture working together. However, neither demonstration code has been extensively verified or documented. More information about the

1 demonstration projects will be included in Chapter IV.

2 The above-mentioned software projects have different functionality. One is the initialization-homing

3 procedure, another one is low-level code about different peripherals on the STM32, and finally the

4 communication and rudimentary data exchange between the two cores. Additionally, a legacy code of

5 the old system exists.

6 None of the projects adhere to the newly created software architecture, therefore, all the software so far

7 has to be reviewed and assessed if it is suitable for the new system.

8 I would like to mention that during the internship, there were some unforeseen challenges related to

9 the latest software project. Its delivery delayed since the code wasn't uploaded on the intranet of the

10 company. Additionally, after analysing the code, it was determined that it didn't function as described.

11 This led to focusing longer on documenting and optimizing the available software and devoting more

12 time to develop a thorough test plan. Additionally, during normal operation and debugging of the robot,

13 some elements from the control signal circuitry for the Vacuum Pump and the Flipper/Solenoid

14 burned, because the MOSFETs in the circuit was placed in the wrong direction. However, this occurred

15 at a later stage of the internship, and the software for the module existed and was tested before.

16 ## 3. Assignment

17

18 The assignment is to realize and verify the existing software architecture of the Connect-4 robot player,

19 based on both the older system, and the newer Cortex-M7 core (as discussed in IV. Research). The

20 primary objective is to validate the functionality of the designed architecture by implementing it and

21 thus, bringing the robot to an operational level. This entails writing additional code to support the

22 newly designed software modules and designing high-level logic for different system sub-modules.

23 Further, this involve creating libraries for sensors and communication, for the operations of different

24 peripherals, implementing low-level data manipulation to program different devices, and investigating

25 testing techniques which validate the system.

FIGURE 4: OVERVIEW OF THE ROBOT PLAYER

26 What can be seen in Figure 4 is the overview of the system, with the different controlling methods

27 required by each peripheral. Blue signifies that the module needs work to be brought to a functional

level. Red means that this is not implemented on the system or will not be worked upon during this project.

The objects in the blue-dashed blocks are the different sub-modules of the system. Each inner block of the sub-modules describes the hardware used to achieve the task, coloured in darker yellow. For example, the servo is a device that facilitates the rotation of the end-effector, and is part of the coin picker module, the signal that controls the servo is called PWM and it comes from the MCU.

The ultimate goal is to bring the Connect-4 robot player to an operational level by integrating and validating the various elements, paving the way for potential advancements and a seamless human-machine interface.

4. Project scope

The project is concerned with the re-evaluation (and if needed redesign) and implementation of the previously designed software architecture. The dual-core communication is worked out, but the rest of the modules have to be implemented. The programming language will be C.

TABLE 1: PROJECT BOUNDARIES

Project boundaries	Within Scope ?
Implement software modules *[1]*	Yes
Redesign software modules *[1]*	Yes
Research ethernet communication	Yes
Implementing ethernet communication	No
Redesign hardware/mechanics	No
Changes to the gameplay	No

[1] -- For further clarification look at Table 5: Modules origins and their initial conditions

5. Boundary condition

Boundary conditions are essential to ensure that a project is completed within the specified limits and to prevent any unwanted consequences. In the context of the Connect-4 robot player project, there are several boundary conditions that should be considered. These include hardware limitations, time constraints, and more.
The project must be completed within the allotted timeframe, and deadlines for each stage of the project must be established to ensure timely completion. The nature of merging different software project at different points of completion is usually time-consuming.
The hardware limitations of the robot player must be considered during the design and implementation of the software. As mentioned, there are some faulty components, like some transistors, the vacuum

system, and not all of the systems intended for the robot are implemented, like end-switches for example.

6. Project approach:

i.   Development phases

The project will follow the normal V-model development procedure. However, since the project has been under development for quite some time, a big part of the verification phase is complete. The system and the architecture of the said system have been designed, together with parts of the different lower-levelled modules and their software implementations.

A part of this project will be the validation of the already made design choices and system/sub-systems (refer to Chapter VI) , through different means of testing (unit, module, integration) and a varied assortment of techniques (black-box, white-box, happy-path, worst-case) and through the designing of newer modules that are to be integrated into the system.

Additionally, to ensure continuity of the projects and their future development and support, it will be necessary to fully document the code used from previous projects (where the code itself is completely undocumented), to make sure that future developers are not hindered. And as such, big portions of the work on that will be included in the appendix with the code itself.

ii.   Verification method (V-model)

The V-model is a development model that emphasizes the importance of testing and verification throughout the development process. It is suitable for the Connect-4 project because it involves a complex system with multiple components that must be integrated and tested thoroughly.

FIGURE 5: THE V-MODEL

IV₁ Research

The research needed for completion of this project is varied and layered. Several topics needed investigating, to ensure a thorough evaluation of the robot and at the end, to make it operational. The topics are as follows: baseline research of the STM32 controllers and how they operate, familiarization with the existing software project and new architecture, how to test software on embedded systems. The following pages briefly introduce the system architecture that was designed when the project was handed over, then followed by the baseline research of the STM32H microcontroller and later the projects and the discoveries about them. Followed by the necessary knowledge to improve the main gameplay logic and the validation of systems research.

1. A look at the Connect-4 Robot Player through its software architecture

The previous designer chose to describe the system by several levels. Each of them obstructing more and more the low-level controls of the peripherals and the registers of the microcontroller, until level 1 is reached, which would be considered the highest level of logic in the system. In total there are 3 layers to the software architecture, each of them describing the different modules needed to make the system functional. Level 1 has the highest abstraction, level 3 the lowest. By designing and implementing from the lowest level, a clear path to completion is presented. Furthermore, by building up the lower-levelled blocks and testing them, the stability of the system can be verified better, and debugging can be done more easily when building up the more complex blocks.

The first level of the architecture describes that the core Cortex-M7 (referred to as CM7) will take care of the game handling logic, like the next-move decision, delegating tasks to the other core, and the bulk of the additions for the future will be done on this core. It will be the primary core of the system, while Cortex-M4 (referred to as CM4) will be the secondary core of the system. It will take care of the real-time processing and it will act upon tasks given from Cortex-M7. The core will drive the motors, separate, and pick the tokens and more. All of these functions are to be expanded upon by the following levels of the architecture and through the additions of more software blocks.

FIGURE 6: LEVEL 1 OF THE SOFTWARE ARCHITECTURE

FIGURE 7: LEVEL 2 OF THE SOFTWARE ARCHITECTURE FOR BOTH CORES

The second level is as deep as it goes for Cortex-M7, since the rest of the functionality is out of scope for this project and is for future upgrades. However this doesn't mean that there's no work to be done on this level. The game controller is the main finite state machine of the Connect-4 robot player, and together with the task generator, they are responsible for the whole gameplay loop. A part of the task for this assignment is to imporve that state machine.

For Cortex-M4 the second layer describes another set of controllers, the hardware of the robot. It is apparent that another level would be needed to explain the full functionality of these blocks. However, on this level it can be clear how the game operates. There are modules for picking up the tokens, bringing them from a place to place, a module to separates user and robot tokens to their respective places, a token detector, a board opening module and the main controller of this layer, the task manager.

22 Layer 3 is the last one from the software architecture. The blocks there describe the lowest level
23 components that make up the system. For example, the blocks Motor X and Motor Z, together with PID
24 X and PID Z, or block controlling the vacuum pump or the variety of sensors present in the system. As
25 mentioned before, the description of this architeture is the work of a previous assignment and further
26 detail is saved due to brevety [5]. However, a part of this current assignment is to evaluate how good
27 the architecture will be in practice.

2. Investigating the microcontroller and the existing software

30 In parallel with the software architecture research, the Nucleo-144 board containing STM32H745/55
31 was investigated. Necessary in order to grasp the basic principles behind its operation and how the
32 boards are set-up for development.
33 STM has a proprietary IDE (STM32CubeIDE) with which the board could be programmed and is what's
34 been used by the previous designers of the system. It has the unique feature of being able to configure
35 all the features that the microcontroller has to offer, and auto-
36 generates code for the initialization of the device. This is a newer
37 addition to the CubeIDE, and such a configuration file does not exist
38 for the legacy code of the Connect-4 system.

FIGURE 8: USER CODE MARKING

39 Because parts of the code are auto-generated great attention needs to be paid to several matters.
40 First and most important is to only write code in the designated places within the project files, which

13

wasn't the case for all of the demo projects received. When the developers' input is required to program a feature that should exist in the auto-generated sections of code, that section where it should be placed at is marked as " USER CODE BEIGN/END".

Secondly, the generated code and the programming of the microcontroller is made easier through the STM provided library, HAL (Hardware Abstraction Layer). It provides a simple, generic set of APIs (application programming interfaces) to interact with higher level logic, while abstracting the low-level complexities of hardware [6]

A good starting point to understand how the system works on a low-level, is to look into the devices it uses to function and by referring the dual-core architecture. In **Error! Reference source not found.** some of them could be noticed, like the PWM and I2C.

The full list of peripherals in use and their functions are as follows: [to copy acronyms to top]

- GPIO, General Purpose Input/Output Pins, which controls the external devices.
- NVIC, Nested Vectored Interrupt Controller, one for each core, to take care of interrupts.
- RCC, Reset and Clock Control, to set the internal clocks.
- ADC, Analog to Digital Converter, to transform the vacuum sensor data.
- TIM, Timers, multiples of which control the PWMs, for the motors and servos, and the encoders.
- ETH, Ethernet connection for future upgrades.
- I2C, the I2C communication protocol, which facilitates the connection with some sensors.
- UART, the Universal Asynchronous Receiver/Transmitter protocol, which facilitate communication with the Raspberry Pi and the Operator of the system.

Through the inspection of the software projects the necessary peripheral devices could be identified and compared. On the intranet of ALTEN, three projects could be found initially:

- One is called the "*Legacy Project*", the initial working version from the old STM32F.
- Of the other two found, one is called "*Connect-4 Demo*", which has code for the Task Generator based on the Figure 9: Send-Event Instruction notification mechanismHSEM (Hardware Semaphores) and the basic FSM on the game logic described in the software architecture design.
- The other one is called *"Dual-Core Communication Demo",* which has code that describes the data exchange between the two cores, through the use of HSEM, and a shared buffer.
- The 4th project was received from the person responsible for the last internship project after the start of this internship, and it is called the *"Initialization Demo"*. It is based on the "Connect-4 Demo" project, but doesn't include all its functionalities, and only boots up the system.

Due to lack of documentation, most of the logic of the projects was hard to follow, but since success had been achieved with the demo projects, it was important to learn from them and deem appropriate what is available for use and what not. It's important to note, that only the Initialization Demo was able to

1 run on the current machine due to hardware configurations, meaning that the old codes had limited

2 capabilities to be tested/debugged on the current hardware.

3 ## 3. Gameplay Logic Improvement

4

5 Based on a recommendation from a previous report of the system, which stated that the main logic of

6 the system needs improvement, research into what that meant was done. Contact was established with

7 the person who had worked on it since he is a consultant at ALTEN. The following information was

8 collected: The state machine was basic and needed expansion and improvement, to set-up a new

9 project that adheres to the file structure dictated by the architecture.

10 To understand how the state machine could be improved, first the topics of synchronization and

11 hardware semaphores have to be explained. Synchronization is a key component of the state machines

12 of the robot, since there are two cores that operate in parallel. The cores will have to communicate with

13 each other and exchange some data. In the following paragraphs the questions of how one core knows

14 that the other has written the data to memory and how does it know when to look in the memory will

15 be answered.

16 A hardware semaphore is a synchronization primitive [8], used in projects with multiple cores to

17 synchronize processes together. In general, it is used to control access to a common resource to the

18 cores. And there are several types, however for this implementation, the only focus will be on binary

19 semaphores. That is, a semaphore that has only two states. Locked or unlocked. When a semaphore is

20 locked, if another process wants to access the same resource currently occupied by the semaphore, it

21 has to wait for it to finish and unlock the resource before it can access it.

22 From the previous work done on this topic, a location in SRAM4 memory was found that is available for

23 the hardware semaphores. That is, a special place in memory where the data could be exchanged

24 between the two cores in a safe and atomic manner [9]. This would be where the data for which

25 column to play at, or at which column the user has dropped the token, would have to be stored.

26 Additionally, in the "Connect-4 Demo" it is shown how the HSEMs are used like notifications, which in

essence establish a way for the two cores to know what the other one is doing. Applicable in this case since it is desired for one core to be considered a Primary-core and the other one Secondary-Core.

FIGURE 9: SEND-EVENT INSTRUCTION NOTIFICATION MECHANISM [7]

34 Through the notifications in the cores: states could be advanced and synchronized to each other;

35 operations could be performed on time. As such, one core will send notifications with commands, while

36 the other will send notification with status updates. One such notification "task" exists as an example in

37 one of the projects. The rest have to still be designed.

38 To sum up the improvements, with the notification method, the Cortex-M4 will know when to look into

39 the memory to read off the location where it has to play its next move. Also, through locking of the

HSEM, it is ensured that only one core has access to any peripheral at any given time. And also, to expand the current tasks and give a clear meaning to their functions. Add tasks which describe the system in a fuller extent and finally to implement the design of the FSM.

4. Validating the current system

Another part of the assignment is to validate the current system, create a test plan for current and future use. In order to achieve this, several principles from software testing have to be used. For example, unit tests had to be created, as well as functionality tests of each block from the software architecture. Furthermore, a happy-path test was also drafted and finally acceptance tests, to judge if the system does what it is supposed to according to the client's needs.

Unit tests are tests that are designed to find defects and verifies the functionality of the software [10]. They are concerned with the smallest possible block that the system uses to run. In this case they are the STM32H peripherals like timers, UART and I2C, ADC etc. . One evaluates for correct initialization of the separate modules and then for their core functionalities. In the case of timers that would be if they correctly count on each clock cycle if they generate interrupts if needed and if multiple timers work correctly as expected. Each module has its own unique features, for the full test plan, one can refer to H. TRD, Test Report Document.

Following the unit tests, are the functionality tests. They are the ones that assess the functionality of the higher-level blocks from the software architecture and judge if they are in accordance with the functional requirements of the system [11]. In the case of the Connect-4 robot player, the tests are conducted to see if the modules themselves work separately as expected regarding the requirements each of them has towards the bigger workings of the entire system.

Finally, a happy-path test should be conducted to check if the expected gameplay loop is behaving as, it should according to the user requirements. Such a test is intended to simulate how the end-user will use the machine. The purpose isn't to break the functionality of the machine, but to see if the machine works as intended by the design [12].

V₁ Specification

2

3 To ensure the success of the project and to meet the client's expectations, a set of user requirements

4 and specifications has been developed. These requirements are defined according to the MoSCoW

5 principle, which classifies them into four priority levels: Must, Should, Could and Will. This approach

6 helps steer the development process and ensures that the most important requirements are met, while

7 allowing flexibility for additional enhancements and features as time permits.

8 TABLE 2: USER REQUIREMENTS

ID	Requirement	Explanation	Priority
UR.1	The user shall be notified when the game ends.	The system should provide a clear indication to the user when the game has ended, either because one player has won, or because the game has ended in a draw.	Could
UR.2	The robot must detect a cheating player and respond by resetting the game.	A cheating player is someone who plays out of their turn, or someone who inserts two coins or more at once in one or several columns.	Must
UR.3	A Board Support Package (BSP) must be made of the operating system with which the necessary hardware components of the robot can be controlled.	BSP must be developed for the operating system, which will allow the necessary hardware components of the robot to be controlled. This will ensure that the system is able to operate reliably and consistently.	Must
UR.4	The insertion of a game token in an arbitrary column shall be detected by the photodiodes and IR sensors.	The system should be able to detect the insertion of a game token in any column using photodiodes and IR sensors. This will ensure that the robot is able to accurately detect the user's moves and respond accordingly.	Must
UR.5	The system is able empty the playfield, separate the tokens by colour and prepare itself for the next game.	The system should be able to automatically empty the playfield at the end of each game, separate the tokens by colour, and prepare itself for the next game. This could involve moving the tokens to a sorting base, as specified in the following sub-requirements.	Must
UR5.1	After a game, the tokens must move to the sorting base, by emptying the game board column by column.	In order to avoid obstruction during clearing the board game and make the token checking principle easier.	Must
UR5.2	From the sorting base, the yellow and red tokens shall be sorted and returned to their belonging base – on the user side.	The tokens must be sorted by colour at the sorting base and returned to their belonging base on the user side. This will ensure that the system is ready for the next game.	Must
UR5.3	A flipper will shoot the human (yellow) tokens back to their base.	This sub-requirement specifies that a flipper must be used to shoot the yellow tokens back to their base. This will ensure that the system is fully automated, and the user does not need to manually retrieve the tokens.	Must
UR.6	The robot head should be controlled to the desired X and Z position within 1.5mm accuracy	This requirement specifies that the robot head must be able to move to the desired X and Z position with a high degree of accuracy.	Should
UR.7	The robot end effector should suck up tokens by actuating the pressure air pump.	Research needs to be done on the sucking power w.r.t. the tokens.	Should

UR.8	The robot end effector must release the token at a given position to insert the token into board.	The robot must be capable of precise positioning and releasing of the token to ensure it goes into the correct slot.	Must
UR.9	The algorithm running on the Raspberry Pi could be integrated on the new STM32H7 dual core.	This means that the software running on the robot could be optimized for performance and power efficiency using the new hardware. The integration of the algorithm on a new platform may require modifications to the code and additional testing to ensure proper functioning.	Could
UR.10	Research the feasibility and capabilities of ethernet connectivity for future upgrades.	The system in the future will have need of higher speed of communications and bigger data flow, in order to keep track of high scores and any other data.	Could

1

2

VI₁   System Design

The following chapter provides a detailed exploration of the system's design, focusing on two crucial elements: the design of the first level of the architecture, which involves the creation of a finite state machine to handle the intricacies of the game, and the outline of Level 2 and Level 3, encompassing the fundamental building blocks that form the backbone of the machine.

1. The Design of Level 1: The finite state machine

One of the derivative tasks of improving the system was to improve the main gameplay logic of the Connect-4 robot. The one developed from the architecture was only to show the initial idea of how it might look like in the end. The software modules that facilitate this are the ***Game Controller*** and ***Task Generator*** from Cortex-M7, and the ***Task Manager*** from Cortex-M4.

The initial idea of the redesign was to make the finite-state machines match as much as possible and add more states and clear definitions of what should happen in each state and why. Done so, that the synchronization can be represented and understood better by future developers and to be more accurate to the systems inner working. Additionally, when more functionalities are added to certain stages of the game (game-end, cheat detected), it will make those additions easier to implement and debug. These new states are the ***cheat*** and ***clean-up*** state. Look at Table 3 and Table 4 for further elaboration for the definition of all states in both cores.

The new states, require new tasks to be designed as well. Tasks and the aforementioned Figure 9: Send-Event Instruction notification mechanism are one and the same when looking at the code, just at different abstraction levels. The semaphores, which are the directive through which the tasks are done, are used to signal to each core when it should transition states.
Because CM-7 should be regarded as the primary core, the secondary should only execute whatever is needed only when the primary requests it. Through the notification system, we can identify what HSEM has been activated, and trigger a specific state change tied to the HSEM. Through this action, the CM-4 is now in the correct state to execute whatever CM-7 needs doing. Another semaphore will be activated, this time from CM-4 when it is done with its current action, in order to notify CM-7 that it is done with the work. Then CM-7 can transition states and decide what needs to happen next and repeat this whole cycle until a winner emerges.

More detailed information on the states can be found in the Table 3 and Table 4. And the design of the FSM, can be seen on Figure 15: The Main FSM of each core and explained in The Design of Level 1: The finite state machine.

1

TABLE 3: THE PURPOSE OF EACH STATE, ITS TRIGGERS AND OUTPUTS FOR CORTEX-M7

Cortex-M7 States	Purpose of state	Input HSEM	Output HSEM
Initialize	To initialize CM7 and send an initialization signal to CM4	CM4_DONE	CM4_INIT
Start Game	Set game variables, request difficulty (when/if implemented), inform user that game is ready	None	None
User Move	Sends signal to CM4 which will legitimize any user input token. Future: Sends column in which user plays to RaspPi	CM4_DONE	USER_TURN
Idle	Checks for win conditions before advancing to next state	None	None
Robot Move	Sends signal to CM4 in which column robot should play Future: Request move from RaspPi	CM4_DONE	ROBOT_TURN
Cheat Detected	State to take care of necessary actions for this special case. Notify user.	CHEATER	None
Game End	State to take care of necessary actions for this special case. Notify user.	CM4_DONE	GAME_END
Clean-up	Prepares the game board for next player	CHEATER	None

2

3   TABLE 4: THE PURPOSE OF EACH STATE, ITS TRIGGERS AND OUTPUTS FOR CORTEX-M4

Cortex-M4 States	Purpose of state	Input HSEM	Output HSEM
Initialize	Initializes all necessary signals for operation of all sub-modules	None	CM4_DONE
Idle	A state of rest for the peripherals. Waiting for a task from CM7	CM4_INIT ROBOT_TURN USER_TURN GAME_END CLEAN_UP	
User Move	Waits for a token drop, and records it to memory	None	CM4_DONE
Robot Move	Moves to a location read from memory	None	CM4_DONE
Cheat Detected	A state existing to simplify the graph and understating of the concept that a cheat could be detected from any state. For this purpose, it is a floating state that is entered immediately upon a cheat detection.	None	CHEATER
Game End	Resets the game.	None	CM4_DONE
Clean-up	Executes the appropriate actions to clean up the game board.	None	CM4_DONE

4

2. The Outline of Level 2 and Level 3: The building blocks

6   In addition to the FSM improvements, a lot of software modules need to be adapted from the old

7   software projects to perform desirably in the new architecture. To be able to describe the initial

8   situation in more detail, a table was created to keep the structure concise. A list of all modules that

9   build up the machine is made, it shows if the module already exists and needs a redesign, and where it

10 originates from or if the module is yet to be designed. This information was gathered by meticulously

11 debugging and researching the various project that are named.

12

1   TABLE 5: MODULES ORIGINS AND THEIR INITIAL CONDITIONS

Software Modules	To be improved	To be designed	Details
Motor X	✓		Basic structure in „*Initialization Demo*", however it wasn't functioning at all.
Motor Z	✓		Basic structure in „*Initialization Demo*", however it wasn't functioning at all.
PID X	✓		Exists in the „*Legacy Code*", due to time constrains explain in the introduction it was omitted in this design.
PID Z	✓		Exists in the „*Legacy Code*", due to time constrains explain in the introduction it was omitted in this design.
Motor Master	✓		When the building blocks were fixed, the simple functions of the block worked.
Encoder	✓		Tested and working as expected.
Home-Switch	✓		Tested and working as expected.
Motor Driver	✓		Existing settings on their proprietary software however, some of them had to be fine-tuned.
Colour Sensor		✓	Design the sensor.
Proxy Sensor		✓	Design the sensor. However, no physical connection exists on the machine.
Solenoid	✓		Tested and working as expected.
Token Separator Master	✓		The higher logic needs to be adapted.
Servo Controller		✓	Calculations of timers existed, however the software had to be designed.
Vacuum Pump	✓		Software exists from „*Initialization Demo*", however there's mechanical issues with air leaks and not being able to hold enough pressure to hold the tokens.
Token Picker Master		✓	The higher logic needs to be designed.
User Detector		✓	The logic needs to be designed.
Board Opener		✓	The logic needs to be designed.
Init-CM4		✓	The logic needs to be designed.
Init-CM7		✓	The logic needs to be designed.
Task Manager	✓		Rudimentary version exists in „*Connect-4 Demo*", expansion of functionality needed.
Game Controller	✓		Rudimentary version exists in „*Connect-4 Demo*", expansion of functionality needed.
Task Generator	✓		Rudimentary version exists in „*Connect-4 Demo*", expansion of functionality needed.
UART controller	✓		Rudimentary version exists in „*Connect-4 Demo*", expansion of functionality needed.
Game end unit		✓	The logic needs to be designed.

2

3

VII. Detailed Design and Realization

This chapter delves into the software modules of the system implemented within the Connect-4 project. This chapter focuses on two significant aspects: the optimization of existing modules and the detailed design of new modules.

Additionally, the modules marked in Table 5: Modules origins and their initial conditions are divided into two groups. Ones that had some basic structure before this project assignment, and ones that need to be designed completely.

1. Optimization of the existing modules

The modules in the "*To be improved*" category had to be optimized, as mentioned before, to function as necessary and document the code itself to make sure that further development is not hindered by undocumented code. A coding standard was also introduced in the face of BSD/Allman [13], for the sake of readability and maintainability. The optimization wasn't only introducing a coding standard and fixing the mistakes in the code, but also introducing defines for values for even more modularity, breaking down the functions into smaller ones so that only simple steps are executed per call. Making the debugging process easier and brings up the reusability of certain modules and operations.

The section will start off with looking at the movements of the machine. Both motor blocks had the correct PWM settings in their respective timers and a semi-functioning structure however, a lot of the values used were wrong and through testing they had to be adjusted, alongside the logic of the block itself. The optimization included changing the lower-level functions to ones that make more logical sense and show the flow of the code more accurately, document the code thoroughly, unify the variables since a lot of them were different data types, and readjust the threshold values to make the motors move and additionally map out all of the possible movements that could be done by the robot at any point of operation. Except, some of the Z-axis movement since the pump doesn't function and accurate guesses cannot be made about precise positions.

The motor master block also received expansions, mostly communication based, so that it now gives status updates to the machine operator on its actions. This makes for easier debugging when playing around with the speed of the motor or when something crashes, to know exactly when and where. Additionally, this information could be used at a later stage when a screen is added to the machine.

The motor controller, is concerned with the specific positions that the machine will have to traverse to. They have also been mapped out, however due to the fact that there's no end-switch, the robot crashes if it reaches the end of the X axis, and the end is one of the positions needed to be reached to be able to grab tokens from the cleaning dump location. A simple push towards the home-location solves the issue for now, but an end-switch would be a far better solution. Additionally, the crash could be solved when the PID controllers are implemented in the motor control loop.

The software issues, however, weren't leading in solving the problems of the whole movement module of the robot. There was a lot necessary troubleshooting on the motor drivers, encoders, and the backplane's connectors, since initially the programming of them was wrong and the signals received

were very off. Some internal settings of the motors had to be changed, like the addition of a larger current offset on the X-axis motor.

Following that insight into the movement of the robot, a dive into the sensors of the system will be made. The user detector system, and the RBG sensor, and the proximity sensor, use the I2C protocol to communicate with the microcontroller. There's a written example in the *Connect-4 Demo* that shows how the proximity sensor uses the I2C to get the value from the sensor. Unfortunately, this is the only sensor that isn't physically connected to the robot through the backplane PCB. However, the example could be used to work out how the communication works and afterwards to get the needed data. The user detector module increments a value in memory that stores the number of tokens in each column. And various function is implemented to show in which column the token was dropped if there's multiple insertions at a time. This section of code is adapted from the *"Legacy Code"*. It is important to mention that it 4 out of the 8 inputs of the I/O expander facilitating the light-gate are swapped, which results in the detection of token from column 2 to appear on the input of column 1 and vice versa. The same is the case for column 3 and 4. This is fixed in the software, but important to note. The main complication of the module was programming the sensor correctly with its initial settings. And beforehand, fixing some issues in the I2C library from older projects. Instructions were mostly taken from the datasheet of the PCA9554 device.

2. The detailed design of the new modules

The other category is the modules that needed to be designed from the ground up. The modules that reside on level 3 from the architecture are mostly the basic functionality of the respective devices. Most of the design here is done based on recommendations from the datasheets of each device. The calculations for the servo control come from Parallax [14]. For the RGB sensor the design is also based on the datasheet [15] and information from the *"Legacy Code"*.

The board opener is part of the cleaning task. A servo motor controls the position of a piece that opens the bottom side of the board. The existing code was not functional and had to be redesigned. The servo positioning calculation had to be re-mapped, alongside the positions for each column. Due to the physical construction of the opener piece, the opening is not linear, and through trial-and-error all the positions were found.

The token picker master is mainly concerned with the end-effector of the robot which has another servo in it, and it also facilitates the "grabbing" function of the robot, however because the issues of the vacuum pump are rooted in its hardware, the fix for that is out of scope for this assignment. The positions of its own servo are mapped out, and a structure is set-up for when the VAC is fixed.

The initialization modules of each core are pretty self-explanatory. They need to facilitate the initiation of all needed control signals, variables, structures, handles, peripheral devices, and sensors that will be needed in the smooth running of the respective core. They also need to initialize any intermediate functions that are also required in the same processes.

The token colour separator module has to make decisions based on the colour and proximity sensors. Even though, currently only the colour sensor works out of the whole module, the logic is described in figure X, which could be implemented and improved at a later stage. During testing of the machine during the later stages of the project, due to a misplacement of a few MOSFETs and the long running of the machine, the control signal circuitry burned and control over the vacuum valve and the flipper/solenoid is unavailable. The colour sensor itself is a combination of the old projects and some redesigning to fit with the new architecture. First the sensor has to be programmed with initial settings like powering on and the value of its integration time. After that, the reading of the colours happens from the registers on the device. The data from them is transformed according to information from the datasheet [15]. This is then used to determine if the colour of the token is red or yellow. Upon detection a user message is sent.

In conclusion, all modules up until level 1 of the software architecture, meaning levels 2 and 3, are complete and functional up to a point where they can bring the robot to an operational level. Level 1 is the logic of the main FSM described.

FIGURE 10: TOKEN SEPARATOR CONTROLLER

1   The state machine for both cores have been designed according to the tables in the previous chapters.

2   And the design could be seen in figure X.

FIGURE 11: THE MAIN FSM OF EACH CORE

3   Firstly, the task generator will be examined. The new addition is that it has more tasks in the form of

4   other HSEMs added to its software.

5   The UART controller required more work. It had to be fixed, since the output was jumbled characters.

6   The settings of the UART itself were fine, however the function that sent the message had several

7   issues. Several new functions were created to cater to the needs of different types of messages that need

8   to be sent. Namely ones that include data and its volume. Then they were included throughout the

9   example codes written about the locations stored in the motor master code file. It is the intention for

10   such message to exist after each important action that the machine makes. This is helpful for two

11   reasons, firstly it helps to debug while programming some features, to know exactly where the mistake

12   occurs, and secondly it gives more information about the operation and intention of the machine to the

13   operator, which at a later stage could be conveyed to the user via the future development of an HMI.

In the following section some of the issues that were found during the project and their solutions will be presented.

During the initial setting up of the project, while examining the .ioc files of the different projects, an option to migrate the project to the newer version of STM32CubeIDE appeared or to continue with the old settings of the project. Initially, the project was migrated, however that created several problems, the root of which was the RCC and the power configuration supply source. When the project was migrated, these settings changed to ones that were undesired for the system, and when tried to program the core like that, the system entered what is known as deadlock state [16]. Then a manual hardware reset has to be performed on the board. For that purpose, two pins were soldered and can be seen on Figure 4 at place 11. More detailed information about unlocking the core can be gained from reading the user manual of the Nucleo Board.

VIII₁   Verification and validation

2

ID	Requirement	Explanation	Priority	Done
UR.1	The user shall be notified when the game ends.	The system should provide a clear indication to the user when the game has ended, either because one player has won, or because the game has ended in a draw.	Could	
UR.2	The robot must detect a cheating player and respond by resetting the game.	A cheating player is someone who plays out of their turn, or someone who inserts two coins or more at once in one or several columns.	Must	
UR.3	A Board Support Package (BSP) must be made of the operating system with which the necessary hardware components of the robot can be controlled.	BSP must be developed for the operating system, which will allow the necessary hardware components of the robot to be controlled. This will ensure that the system is able to operate reliably and consistently.	Must	🟩
UR.4	The insertion of a game token in an arbitrary column shall be detected by the photodiodes and IR sensors.	The system should be able to detect the insertion of a game token in any column using photodiodes and IR sensors. This will ensure that the robot is able to accurately detect the user's moves and respond accordingly.	Must	
UR.5	The system is able empty the playfield, separate the tokens by colour and prepare itself for the next game.	The system should be able to automatically empty the playfield at the end of each game, separate the tokens by colour, and prepare itself for the next game. This could involve moving the tokens to a sorting base, as specified in the following sub-requirements.	Must	
UR5.1	After a game, the tokens must move to the sorting base, by emptying the game board column by column.	In order to avoid obstruction during clearing the board game and make the token checking principle easier.	Must	🟩
UR5.2	From the sorting base, the yellow and red tokens shall be sorted and returned to their belonging base – on the user side.	The tokens must be sorted by colour at the sorting base and returned to their belonging base on the user side. This will ensure that the system is ready for the next game.	Must	🟩
UR5.3	A flipper will shoot the human (yellow) tokens back to their base.	This sub-requirement specifies that a flipper must be used to shoot the yellow tokens back to their base. This will ensure that the system is fully automated, and the user does not need to manually retrieve the tokens.	Must	🟩
UR.6	The robot head should be controlled to the desired X and Z position within 1.5mm accuracy	This requirement specifies that the robot head must be able to move to the desired X and Z position with a high degree of accuracy.	Should	🟩
UR.7	The robot end effector should suck up tokens by actuating the pressure air pump.	Research needs to be done on the sucking power w.r.t. the tokens.	Should	🟥
UR.8	The robot end effector must release the token at a given position to insert the token into board.	The robot must be capable of precise positioning and releasing of the token to ensure it goes into the correct slot.	Must	
UR.9	The algorithm running on the Raspberry Pi could be integrated on the new STM32H7 dual core.	This means that the software running on the robot could be optimized for performance and power efficiency using the new hardware. The integration of the algorithm on a new platform may require modifications to the code and additional testing to ensure proper functioning.	Could	🟥

| **UR.10** | Research the feasibility and capabilities of ethernet connectivity for future upgrades. | The system in the future will have need of higher speed of communications and bigger data flow, in order to keep track of high scores and any other data. | Could | |

1

2 [explanation]

3

IX₁   Conclusions

2   *The reader should be able to understand this chapter even when he, immediately after he has read the*

3   *introduction and chapters, has skipped all intermediate: make sure you connect the content within the*

4   *conclusion chapter!*

5   *The reader who has read the whole report, should encounter no new information in this last chapter,*

6   *indeed: he must be able to predict what it says! In this chapter the results are compared with the initial*

7   *assignment (requirements/specifications) 15*

8   *and conclusions are drawn. Do not draw conclusions that are not underpinned with previous mentioned*

9   *results. Conclusions coming out of the blue are not acceptable!*

10   *Recommendations (could be a separate chapter) tell the reader what should be improved or still has to*

11   *be done in order to complete the assignment.*

12   *This last chapter has no figures or lists. The maximum length is one page.*

13

X₂ Recommendations

[to write full paragraphs later]

- End switches
- Vacuum air-leak, cable attachment on end-effector
- Proximity sensor
- Cable holder on Z axis
- Cable management
- PID implementation in both motors
- Connector types on the backplane
- Error detection
- Physically extend the X axis to make it not stop in the end.
- MOSFET for valve/flipper/pump burned due to previous hardware misplacement.

Evaluation

This is not a chapter, and therefore has no number and no sections. Just like the foreword or preface the evaluation is a personal part of the report and you can write this component also in the 'I' form. You reflect on the experiences you have had during the project. You oversee the whole journey, and you discuss what you've learned. You describe what you've found and what you remember as your most "teachable or valuable moments" i.e.: when did the error(s) or problem(s) occur and why; especially how you've solved the problems and again emphasize that!

This is not the place to settle outstanding accounts. But suppose there was a profound reorganization at your department, where many people are transferred or dismissed, then of course this has influenced your work, and then you need to mention this. But do this carefully, without offending somebody.

Finally, it is advised to take some time to look back at and evaluate your study. First compare your graduation time, subjects, needed skills, needed knowledge, etc. to that what you have learned at Fontys Engineering. Which subjects, courses, practicals, and projects were helpful or even indispensable. Also, you could advice how to change the curriculum of Fontys Engineering from every possible viewpoint. Adding or deleting subjects and/or courses, change practical's, change the way of teaching, you name it. This will help Fontys Engineering to keep the curriculum updated and, in that way, Fontys Engineering is able to educate the engineer of the future!

To be clear: this part is not often written in (business) reports. But some universities do want this part to show your competences and your (positive) critical view on your education. Fontys Electrical Engineering is happy with this separate chapter as a learning experience for the study.

1 Bibliography

2

[1] "ALTEN - 2022 report," 2022-11-02.

[2] "Alten - Services," ALTEN, [Online]. Available: https://www.alten.com/services/. [Accessed 03 2023].

[3] ALTEN, "Technical Software," ALTEN, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://www.alten.nl/en/technical-software/.

[4] ALTEN, "Mechatronics," ALTEN, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://www.alten.nl/en/mechatronics/.

[5] P. Faatz, "Software Architecture Document," https://redmine.alten.nl/projects/in-a-row/repository/192/revisions/758/show/51.%20Software%20Engineering/1.%20Repo/trunk/1.%20Design/Dual-core%20low%20level%20design, 2022.

[6] ST, "Description of STM32H7 HAL and low-layer drivers | Introduction," [Online]. Available: https://www.st.com/resource/en/user_manual/um2217-description-of-stm32h7-hal-and-lowlayer-drivers-stmicroelectronics.pdf.

[7] STMicroelectronics, "AN5617," in *EXTI controller and send-event instruction*.

[8] OpenCSF, "Computer Systems Fundamentals," [Online]. Available: https://w3.cs.jmu.edu/kirkpams/OpenCSF/Books/csf/html/SynchOverview.html.

[9] STMicroelectronics, "AN5617," in *2.2 Working techniques*.

[10 R. B. E. v. V. Dorothy Graham, "2.2.1 Component Testing," in *Foundations of software testing -*
] *ISTQB Certifications*.

[11 R. B. E. v. V. Dorothy Graham, "2.3.1 Functional testing," in *Foundations of software testing -*
] *ISTQB Cerfification*.

[12 TestLodge. [Online]. Available: https://blog.testlodge.com/what-is-happy-path-testing/.
]

[13 catb, "The on-line hacker Jargon File. 4.4.7.," [Online]. Available:
] http://www.catb.org/jargon/html/I/indent-style.html.

[14 PARALLAX, "Parallax Standard Servo (#900-00005)," 2022.
]

[15 TAOS, "TCS3472 COLOR LIGHT-TO-DIGITAL CONVERTER WITH IR FILTER," 2012.
]

[16 STMicroelectronics, "UM2408 - 6.4.8".
]

1

2

3

1 # Attachments

2 **A. Original assignment**

3 **B. Project plan**

4 **C. Originality Declaration**

5 **E. SRD, System Requirements Document (optional)**

6 **F. SDD, System Design Document (optional)**

7

8

9 **H. TRD, Test Report Document**

10 # Functionality Tests

11 The tests in this document are grouped by the level in accordance with the software architecture

12 designed for the Connect-4 robot. The tests for Low-Level (peripherals of STM32H) unit and

13 component testing were omitted from this plan due to time limitations. However, a basic draft was

14 created for future reference.

Test Cases	Test Conditions	Done
Level 2 – Cortex-M4		
Initialization	1. Verify that the initialization sets up the system to be ready for operation, including configuring the peripherals, initializing the modules.	1.
Task Manager	1. Test the initialization and configuration of the module. 1.1. Test if the module can read the tasks from memory and trigger the state transition. 2. Verify that the task manager can detect, report, and recover from errors.	1. 1.1 2.

Motor Controller	1. Test the initialization and configuration of the module and both motors.
	  1.1. Verify that the module is set up to receive signals from the encoders, and home/end switches.
	  1.2. Test if the module moves the motors in both X and Z directions.
	  1.3. Test if the PWM signal controls the motors effectively
	  1.4. Test if the module can read the position from the encoders.
	  1.5. Test that the home/end-switches send the correct interrupt and stop the motor.
	2. Verify that the Motor Controller module can detect, report, and recover from errors.
		1.
		
		1.1
		
		1.2
		
		1.3
		
		1.4
		1.5
		
		2.

Token colour separator controller	1. Test the initialization and configuration of the module. 1.1. Test the module is correctly set up to control the RGB and proximity sensor and the flipper.	1. 1.1

	1.2. Test if the module detects the colour of tokens (red and yellow). 1.3. Test if the module detects the proximity of the token. 1.4. Test the activation of the flipper. **2.** Verify that the Token Colour Separator Controller module can detect, report, and recover from errors.	1.2 1.3 1.4 2.
Token picker controller	**1.** Test the initialization and configuration of the controller. 1.1. Test if the controller can move the end-effector servo, read the vacuum sensor, and control the vacuum valve. 1.2. Test if the vacuum pump generates enough pressure to pick up a token and transport it. 1.3. Test if the positions of all different pick-up/drop-off points are correct. **2.** Verify that the module can detect, report, and recover from errors.	1. 1.1 1.2 1.3 2. 3.
User Detector	**1.** Test the initialization and configuration of the module. 1.1. Verify that the module can read data from the light-gate circuit. **2.** Verify that the module can detect, report, and recover from errors.	1. 1.1 2.
Board Opener	**1.** Test the initialization and configuration of the module. 1.1. Test that the servo motors can open the board column by column. 1.2. Test that the Task Manager can send commands for opening and closing the board. **2.** Verify that the Board Opener module can detect, report, and recover from any errors.	1. 1.1 1.2 2.
Level 2 – Cortex-M7		
Initialization	**1.** Verify that the initialization sets up the system to be ready for operation, including configuring the peripherals, initializing the modules.	1.
Game controller	**1.** Verify that the Game Controller module is correctly set up to manage the overall game logic and flow. 1.1. Test the module's ability to keep and update the game state, including the board state and player turns. 1.2. Test the module's ability to detect win, loss, or draw conditions. 1.3. Test the state transitions of the controller. **2.** Verify that the Game Controller can detect, report, and recover from any errors.	1. 1.1 1.2 1.3 2.
CM4 Task Generator	**1.** Test the initialization and configuration of the module. 1.1. Test the CM4 Task Generator's ability to create tasks based on the game state and requests from	1. 1.1

	other modules.		1.2
	1.2. Verify that the CM4 Task Generator module receives correct game state updates and next-move decisions from the Game Controller module.		2.
	2. Verify that the CM4 Task Generator module can detect, report, and recover from any errors		
Game end block	**1.** Test the initialization and configuration of the module.		1.
	1.1. Test if the module is able to and handle a win/lose/draw condition for either the human player or the robot player.		1.1
	2. Verify that the module can detect, report, and recover from any errors.		2.
UART controller	**1.** Test the initialization and configuration of the UART Controller module.		1.
	1.1. Test the UART's ability to transmit/receive data to and from external blocks.		1.1
	1.2. Test the debug environment created through UART *		1.2
	2. Verify that the UART controller can detect, report, and recover from any errors.		2.

*If created and discussed that it is reasonable to do so.

System Timing

It is important for future improvements of the system and separate modules to know the timing of specific operations and action. It would be wise for them to be divided into the same structure as the one set up in the above tests and the architecture. This would be more useful for the Cortex-M4 at the moment, and therefore I have compiled a brief list of timings that would be useful to know.

- Record how long picking the tokens takes.
- Record how long releasing the tokens takes.
- Record how fast a token dropping is recognized.
- Test reaction to multiple tokens insertion in the same column (cheat move).
- Test reaction to multiple tokens insertion in multiple columns (cheat move).
- Test reaction to when a token is inserted in the wrong player state (cheat move).
- Test the time needed to run the length of the axis of the X and Z motors **pre-PID** controller implementation (current system).
- Test the time needed to run the length of the axis of the X and Z motors **post-PID** controller implementation.
- Record how long it takes to clear the full board.

Happy-Path Test

This is a full test of the system that involves the whole gameplay loop as one would normally (without cheat moves) expect it to run.

This includes verifying that the robot moves the tokens accurately, the sensor detect the correct positions when tokens are inserted and the correct colour when cleaning the board, the game logic

(FSM) functions properly and transitions correctly based on the current state, and the communication between the two cores.

[Add tests from intro]

Acceptance Test

Made against initial requirements.