Model

1 RPCA Problem

For a RPCA problem, given a data matrix $X \in \mathbf{R}^{p \times n}$, and transform it to a related feature matrix $F \in \mathbf{R}^{D \times n}$ find L and S that solve the problem:

$$\min_{L,S} rank(L) + ||S||_0$$

$$s.t.$$
 $F = L + S$

Reformulate it as follows:

$$\min_{L,S} \ \|L\|_* + \|S\|_1$$

$$s.t.$$
 $F = L + S$

For an $m \times n$ matrix M with SVD $US'V^T$:

$$UT_{\epsilon}(S')V^{T} = \arg\min_{X} \ \epsilon ||X||_{*} + \frac{1}{2}||X - M||_{F}^{2}$$

$$T_{\epsilon}(M) = \arg\min_{X} \quad \epsilon ||X||_{1} + \frac{1}{2}||X - M||_{F}^{2}$$

where the $T_{\epsilon}(M)$ is the soft threshold operator:

$$T_{\epsilon}(M) = \begin{cases} M - \epsilon, & M > \epsilon \\ M + \epsilon, & M < -\epsilon \\ 0, & otherwise \end{cases}$$

and S' is from $SVD(M) = US'V^T$. Consider the problem:

$$\min_{X} f(X)$$

s.t.
$$c_j(X) = 0, j = 1, \dots, m$$

By using the Augmented Lagrange Multipliers Method:

1.Initialize Λ , $\mu > 0$, $\rho \geq 0$

(Repeat until convergence:)

2.Compute $X = \arg \min_X L(X)$ where

$$L(X) = f(X) + <\Lambda, C(X) > +\frac{\mu}{2} ||C(X)||_F^2$$

3.Update $\Lambda = \Lambda + \mu C(X)$

4. Update $\mu = \rho \mu$

2 ALM-RPCA

With ALM, RPCA problem is reformulated as:

$$\min_{L,S} \|L\|_* + \lambda \|S\|_1 + \langle Y, F - L - S \rangle + \frac{\mu}{2} \|F - L - S\|_F^2$$

By using the Alternating Direction Method(ADM), we have:

2.1 Updating S with L fixed:

$$\begin{split} & \min_{S} \quad \lambda \|S\|_{1} + < Y, F - L - S > + \frac{\mu}{2} \|F - L - S\|_{F}^{2} \\ & \min_{S} \quad \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \|S\|_{1} + tr(\frac{Y^{T}}{\mu}(F - L - S)) + \frac{1}{2} \|F - L - S\|_{F}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \|\frac{Y}{\mu}\|_{F}^{2} \\ & \min_{S} \quad \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \|S\|_{1} + \frac{1}{2} \|S - (F - L + \frac{Y}{\mu})\|_{F}^{2} \end{split}$$

So here we have the solution:

$$S = T_{\frac{\lambda}{\mu}(F - L + \frac{Y}{\mu})}$$

2.2 Updating L with S fixed:

$$\begin{split} & \min_{L} & \quad \|L\|_* + < Y, F - L - S > + \frac{\mu}{2} \|F - L - S\|_F^2 \\ & \min_{L} & \quad \frac{1}{\mu} \|L\|_* + tr(\frac{Y^T}{\mu} (F - L - S)) + \frac{1}{2} \|F - L - S\|_F^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\frac{Y}{\mu}\|_F^2 \\ & \min_{L} & \quad \frac{1}{\mu} \|L\|_* + \frac{1}{2} \|L - (F - S + \frac{Y}{\mu})\|_F^2 \end{split}$$

So here we have the solution:

$$L = UT_{\frac{1}{\mu}}(S')V^T$$

where S' is from $SVD(F-S+\frac{Y}{\mu})=US'V^T$. And every time we update

$$Y = Y + \mu(F - L - S)$$

$$\mu = \rho \mu$$

until convergence. Typical initialization:

 $1.Y = \frac{sgn(F)}{max(\|F\|_2, \frac{\|F\|_\infty}{\lambda})}$, $\|F\|_2$ is spectral norm, largest singular value of elements of F, and $\|F\|_\infty$ is the largest absolute value of elements of F.

 $2.\mu = 1.25 \|F\|_2.$

 $3.\rho = 1.5.$

 $4.\lambda = 1/\sqrt{max(D,n)}$ for $D \times n$ matrix F.

3 About Our Model

Suppose that we have n samples $X = \{x_i\}_{i=1}^n \in \mathbf{R}^{p \times n}$. We aim to learn a set of binary codes $B = \{b_i\}_{i=1}^n \in \{-1,1\}^{L \times n}$ to well preserve their spatial structure, where the i^{th} column b_i is the L-bits codes for x_i . To take advantage of the label information, we're going to introduce the ground truth label matrix $Y = \{y_i\}_{i=1}^n \in \mathbf{R}^{C \times n}$. And at the same time we want to try a hash model with the idea we got from saliency detection. So the model can first written as:

$$\min_{W,B,L,S} \|Y - W^T B\|_F^2 + \lambda_1 \|B^T B - S^T S\|_F^2 + \lambda_2 \|L\|_* + \lambda_3 \|S\|_1$$

s.t.
$$L + S = F$$
, $B \in \{-1, 1\}^{L \times n}$, $B1_n = 0_L$, $BB^T = nI_L$

The W is of a size $L \times C$, and W^T is of a size $C \times L$. Here we also have $y_i = [w_1^T b_i, \dots, w_C^T b_i]^T$. w_k is the classification vector for class $k(k = 1, \dots, C)$ and $y_i \in \mathbf{R}^{C \times 1}$ is the label vector, of which the maximum item indicates the assigned class of x_i . F is the feature matrix and we want to decompose it as two parts with one low-rank part L and the other one sparse part S. The constraints here are balance constraint and decorrelation constraint.

4 Why Gradient Method Doesn't Work

Here we use ADM with gradient method, and here we don't consider the balance constraint and decorrelation constraint:

4.1 Updating W with others fixed:

Here the model can be written as:

$$\min_{W} \|Y - W^T B\|_F^2$$

It is equivalent to solve the optimization problem:

$$\min_{W} \quad \frac{1}{2}tr[(B^TW-Y^T)^T(B^TW-Y^T)]$$

it is the least squares problem, the solution is:

$$W = (BB^T)^{-1}BY^T$$

4.2 Updating B with others fixed:

In this part, the model:

$$\min_{B} \ H(B) = \|Y - W^{T}B\|_{F}^{2} + \lambda_{1} \|B^{T}B - S^{T}S\|_{F}^{2}$$

So the gradient is:

$$\nabla_B H(B) = WW^T B - WY + 4\lambda_1 B^T (B^T B - S^T S)$$

So the biggest problem is how can I solve B from $\nabla_B H(B) = 0$, it is a cubic equation with the variable a matrix.

5 Introduce Auxiliary Variables

Here I want to rewrite the model as:

$$\min_{W,B,Z,L,S,M,H} \ \|Y - W^T B\|_F^2 + \lambda_1 \|B^T Z - M^T H\|_F^2 + \alpha \|B - Z\|_F^2 + \lambda_2 \|L\|_* + \lambda_3 \|S\|_1$$

s.t.
$$\begin{cases} B \in \{-1, +1\}^{L \times n}, \\ Z \in R^{L \times n}, Z1_n = 0_L, ZZ^T = nI_L \\ F = L + S, S = M, M = H \end{cases}$$

With the constraints, the model can be rewritten as:

$$\min_{W,B,Z,L,S,M,H} \begin{cases} \|Y - W^T B\|_F^2 + \lambda_1 \|B^T Z - M^T H\|_F^2 + \alpha \|B - Z\|_F^2 \\ + \lambda_2 \|L\|_* + \lambda_3 \|S\|_1 + \langle Y_1, F - L - S \rangle + \frac{\mu}{2} \|F - L - S\|_F^2 \\ + \langle Y_2, M - S \rangle + \frac{\mu}{2} \|M - S\|_F^2 + \langle Y_3, M - H \rangle + \frac{\mu}{2} \|M - H\|_F^2 \end{cases}$$

5.1 Updating W with others fixed:

The updating process is:

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{W} & \|Y - W^T B\|_F^2 \\ & \min_{W} & \|B^T W - Y^T\|_F^2 \end{aligned}$$

The solution is

$$W = (BB^T)^{-1}BY^T$$

5.2 Updating B with others fixed:

The updating process is:

$$\min_{B} \|Y - W^{T}B\|_{F}^{2} + \lambda_{1} \|B^{T}Z - M^{T}H\| + \alpha \|B - Z\|_{F}^{2}$$
s.t. $B \in \{-1, +1\}^{L \times n}$

This is equivalent to the optimization problem:

$$\max_{B} tr(B^{T}(WY + \lambda_{1}ZH^{T}M + \alpha Z))$$
s.t. $B \in \{-1, +1\}^{L \times n}$

It has a closed form solution:

$$B = sgn(WY + \lambda_1 ZH^T M + \alpha Z)$$

5.3 Updating Z with others fixed:

The updating process is:

$$\min_{Z} \lambda_{1} \|B^{T}Z - M^{T}H\|_{F}^{2} + \alpha \|B - Z\|_{F}^{2}$$
s.t. $Z \in \mathbf{R}^{L \times n}, Z1_{n} = 0_{L}, ZZ^{T} = nI_{L}$

It can be further reduced to:

$$\max_{Z} tr(Z^{T}(\lambda_{1}BM^{T}H + \alpha B))$$
s.t. $Z \in \mathbf{R}^{L \times n}, Z1_{n} = 0_{L}, ZZ^{T} = nI_{L}$

Here we introduce two variables E and J, let $E = \lambda_1 B M^T H + \alpha B$ and $J = I_N - \frac{1}{N} \mathbf{1}_n \mathbf{1}_n^T$:

$$JE^{T} = U\Sigma V^{T} = \Sigma_{k=1}^{K'} \sigma_{k} u_{k} v_{k}^{T}$$

 $U = [u_{1}, u_{2}, \cdots, u_{K'}] \text{ and } V = [v_{1}, v_{2}, \cdots, v_{K'}]$

Note that $\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \cdots \geq \sigma_{K'} > 0$. Then, by employing a Gram-Schmidt process one can easily construct matrices

$$\bar{U} \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times (L - K')} \quad and \quad \bar{V} \in \mathbf{R}^{L \times (L - K')}$$
s.t.
$$\begin{cases} \bar{U}^T \bar{U} = I_{L - K'}, \ [U \ 1_n]^T \bar{U} = 0_{(K' + 1) \times (L - K')} \\ \bar{V}^T \bar{V} = I_{L - K'}, \ V^T \bar{V} = 0_{K' \times (L - K')} \end{cases}$$

Here

$$EJE^T = \begin{bmatrix} V \ \bar{V} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V \ \bar{V} \end{bmatrix}^T$$

so we get the V, \bar{V} and Σ , and then immediately leads to $U = JE^TV\Sigma^{-1}$. The matrix \bar{U} is set to a random matrix followed by Gram-Schmidt process. It can be seen that Z is uniquely optimal when L=K', which means JE^T is full column rank. (Actually I guess JE^T is full column rank in high probability.) So we have a closed form for Z:

$$Z = \sqrt{N} [V \ \bar{V}] [U \ \bar{U}]^T$$

5.4 Updating L with others fixed:

The process is:

$$\min_{L} \lambda_{2} \|L\|_{*} + \langle Y_{1}, F - L - S \rangle + \frac{\mu}{2} \|F - L - S\|_{F}^{2}$$

$$\min_{L} \frac{\lambda_{2}}{\mu} \|L\|_{*} + \frac{1}{2} \|L - (F - S + \frac{Y_{1}}{\mu})\|_{F}^{2}$$

The solution is:

$$L = UT_{\frac{\lambda_2}{I}}(S')V^T$$

where
$$US'V^T = SVD(F - S + \frac{Y_1}{\mu})$$
.

5.5 Updating S with others fixed:

The process is:

$$\begin{split} & \min_{S} \quad \lambda_{3} \|S\|_{1} + < Y_{1}, F - L - S > + \frac{\mu}{2} \|F - L - S\|_{F}^{2} + < Y_{2}, M - S > + \frac{\mu}{2} \|M - S\|_{F}^{2} \\ & \min_{S} \quad \frac{\lambda_{3}}{\mu} \|S\|_{1} + \frac{1}{2} \|S - (F - L + \frac{Y_{1}}{\mu})\|_{F}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \|S - (M + \frac{Y_{1}}{\mu})\|_{F}^{2} \end{split}$$

So the solution is:

$$S = T_{\frac{\lambda_3}{\mu}}(F - L + M + 2\frac{Y_1}{\mu})$$

5.6 Updating M with others fixed:

The process is:

$$\begin{split} & \min_{M} \quad \lambda_{1} \| H^{T}M - Z^{T}B \|_{F}^{2} + < Y_{2}, M - S > + \frac{\mu}{2} \| M - S \|_{F}^{2} + < Y_{3}, M - H > + \frac{\mu}{2} \| M - H \|_{F}^{2} \\ & \min_{M} \quad \lambda_{1} \| H^{T}M - Z^{T}B \|_{F}^{2} + \frac{\mu}{2} (\| M - S + \frac{Y_{2}}{\mu} \|_{F}^{2} + \| M - H + \frac{Y_{3}}{\mu} \|_{F}^{2}) \end{split}$$

The solution is (Steps refer to Z-stage):

$$M = \sqrt{N} [V' \ \bar{V'}] [U' \ \bar{U'}]^T$$

5.7 Updating H with others fixed:

The process:

$$\begin{split} & \min_{H} \quad \lambda_{1} \| H^{T}M - Z^{T}B \|_{F}^{2} + < Y_{3}, M - H > + \frac{\mu}{2} \| M - H \|_{F}^{2} \\ & \min_{H} \quad \lambda_{1} \| H^{T}M - Z^{T}B \|_{F}^{2} + \frac{\mu}{2} \| M - H + \frac{Y_{3}}{\mu} \|_{F}^{2} \end{split}$$

Next steps refer to Z-stage.

$$H = \sqrt{N} [V'' \ \bar{V''}] [U'' \ \bar{U''}]^T$$

6 If We Need the Relation Between X and B Matrix

7 How to Construct F Matrix