

Masking SIDH: where do we stand?

Tako Boris Fouotsa, Tomoki Moriya and Christophe Petit

Isogeny days 2022

September 22, KU Leuven

The higher genus torsion point attacks by CD-MM-R 2022 require:

1. the torsion points information;
2. the degree of the secret isogeny.

In this work (ongoing), we investigate whether masking the torsion points information or the degree of the secret isogeny in SIDH prevents the CD-MM-R attack. More precisely,

- we suggest two countermeasure candidates: Masked-degree SIDH (MD-SIDH) and Masked torsion points SIDH (M-SIDH);
- we propose a security analysis of both schemes and mention further analysis which is being done.

Table of contents

CD-MM-R attack

Masked-degree SIDH

Masked torsion points SIDH

Analysis of Masked-degree

Analysis of M-SIDH

Conclusion

CD-MM-R attack

CD-MM-R 2022: SIDH is broken in polynomial time.

CD-MM-R attack (1/2)

CD-MM-R 2022: SIDH is broken in polynomial time.

Important algorithm (CD attack):

Input: $\kappa: E_0 \rightarrow E_1$ of degree 3^b

Output: $\exists \phi'_B$ s.t. $\phi_B = \phi'_B \circ \kappa \Rightarrow \text{TRUE}$

1. Set $c = 2^{e_A - a} - 3^{e_B - b}$
2. Compute $\gamma: E_1 \rightarrow C$ of degree c
3. Compute $P_c = \gamma(\kappa(2^a P_A))$ and $Q_c = \gamma(\kappa(2^a Q_A))$
4. Compute $D := (C \times E_B) / \langle (P_c, 2^a \phi_B(P_A)), (Q_c, 2^a \phi_B(Q_A)) \rangle$
5. D : product \Rightarrow output TRUE

Important information for attacking SIDH:

- Degree of the secret isogenies
- Image points of P, Q

Important information for attacking SIDH:

- Degree of the secret isogenies
- Image points of P, Q

→ Hide the degree of secret isogenies (Masked-degree SIDH)

Important information for attacking SIDH:

- Degree of the secret isogenies
- Image points of P, Q

→ Hide the degree of secret isogenies (Masked-degree SIDH)

→ Hide image points (Masked torsion points SIDH)

Masked-degree SIDH

Main idea for Masked-degree SIDH

- Set $p = \ell_1^{a_1} \dots \ell_t^{a_t} q_1^{b_1} \dots q_t^{b_t} f - 1$
 $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_t, q_1, \dots, q_t$ are distinct small primes

$$A := \prod_{i=1}^t \ell_i^{a_i} \text{ and } B := \prod_{i=1}^t q_i^{b_i}$$

Alice computes $\prod_{i=1}^t \ell_i^{a'_i}$ -isogenies ($a'_i \in \{0, \dots, a_i\}$)

$$\#\{\text{degree for Alice}\} = \prod_{i=1}^t (a_i + 1)$$

- The Weil pairing leaks $\prod_{i=1}^t \ell_i^{a'_i} \pmod{B}$

$$e_B(\phi_A(P_B), \phi_A(Q_B)) = e_B(P_B, Q_B)^{\deg \phi_A}$$

→ Randomize the image points by $\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}/B\mathbb{Z})^\times$.

Masked-degree SIDH (public key generation)

E_0 : a supersingular elliptic curve $/\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$

P_A, Q_A : generators of $E_0[A]$

P_B, Q_B : generators of $E_0[B]$

Public key (Alice):

1. Take

$$(\alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_t) \in \{0, 1, \dots, a_1\}^t, \quad \alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}/B\mathbb{Z})^\times, \quad k_A \in \mathbb{Z}/A\mathbb{Z}.$$

Set $A' = \prod_{i=1}^t \ell_i^{\alpha'_i}$.

2. Let $R_A = [\frac{A}{A'}](P_A + k_A Q_A)$
3. Compute $\text{pk}_A = (E_A := E_0/\langle R_A \rangle, [\alpha]\phi_A(P_B), [\alpha]\phi_A(Q_B))$. Set $\text{sk}_A = (A', k_A)$

MD-SIDH: key exchange and key recovery problem

Bob proceeds similarly to generate his secret/public key pair, and the key exchange continues like in a normal SIDH.

Problem

$A = \ell_1^{a_1} \cdots \ell_t^{a_t}$ and let $B = q_1^{b_1} \cdots q_t^{b_t}$, $p = ABf - 1$, $A \approx B$. Set $E_0[B] = \langle P, Q \rangle$. Let $A' = \ell_1^{a'_1} \cdots \ell_t^{a'_t}$ be a uniformly random divisor of A and let α be a uniformly random element of $\mathbb{Z}/B\mathbb{Z}^\times$. Let $\phi: E_0 \rightarrow E$ be a uniformly random isogeny of degree A' . Given $E_0, P, Q, E_A, P' = [\alpha]\phi(P), Q' = [\alpha]\phi(Q)$, compute ϕ .

Masked torsion points SIDH

Main idea for Masked torsion points SIDH

- Set $p = ABf - 1$, $A = \ell_1 \cdots \ell_t$ and $B = q_1 \cdots q_t$ are smooth square free coprimes integers

Alice computes A -isogeny ϕ_A (fixed degree)

- Alice samples $\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}/B\mathbb{Z})^\times$ computes $[\alpha]\phi_A(P_B), [\alpha]\phi_A(Q_B)$

The Weil pairing leaks $\alpha^2 \pmod{B}$

→ Number of solutions of $x^2 \equiv \alpha^2 \pmod{B}$ is 2^t

Set $t = \lambda$.

Masked torsion points SIDH (public key generation)

E_0 : a supersingular elliptic curve $/\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$

P_A, Q_A : generators of $E_0[A]$

P_B, Q_B : generators of $E_0[B]$

Public key (Alice):

1. Take

$$\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}/B\mathbb{Z})^\times, \quad k_A \in \mathbb{Z}/A\mathbb{Z}.$$

2. Let $R_A = P_A + k_A Q_A$

3. Compute $\text{pk}_A = (E_A := E_0/\langle R_A \rangle, [\alpha]\phi_A(P_B), [\alpha]\phi_A(Q_B))$, set $\text{sk}_A = k_A$.

M-SIDH: key exchange and key recovery problem

Bob proceeds similarly to generate his secret/public key pair, and the key exchange continues like in a normal SIDH.

Problem

$A = \ell_1 \cdots \ell_t$ and let $B = q_1 \cdots q_t$, $p = ABf - 1$, $A \approx B$. Set $E_0[B] = \langle P, Q \rangle$. Let α be a uniformly random element of $\mathbb{Z}/B\mathbb{Z}^\times$. Let $\phi: E_0 \rightarrow E$ be a uniformly random isogeny of degree A . Given $E_0, P, Q, E_A, P' = [\alpha]\phi(P), Q' = [\alpha]\phi(Q)$, compute ϕ .

Analysis of Masked-degree

Recall: $A = \ell_1^{a_1} \cdots \ell_t^{a_t}$, $B = q_1^{b_1} \cdots q_t^{b_t}$, $E_0[B] = \langle P, Q \rangle$,

$A' = \ell_1^{a'_1} \cdots \ell_t^{a'_t}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}/B\mathbb{Z}^\times$ $\phi: E_0 \rightarrow E$ of degree A' .

We are given $E_0, P, Q, E_A, P' = [\alpha]\phi(P), Q' = [\alpha]\phi(Q)$ and we want to compute ϕ .

Overview

Recall: $A = \ell_1^{a_1} \dots \ell_t^{a_t}$, $B = q_1^{b_1} \dots q_t^{b_t}$, $E_0[B] = \langle P, Q \rangle$,

$A' = \ell_1^{a'_1} \dots \ell_t^{a'_t}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}/B\mathbb{Z}^\times$ $\phi: E_0 \rightarrow E$ of degree A' .

We are given $E_0, P, Q, E_A, P' = [\alpha]\phi(P), Q' = [\alpha]\phi(Q)$ and we want to compute ϕ .

We show that :

- one can efficiently recover the square free part A'_1 of the secret degree A' .
- When the square free part of the secret degree is known, one can reduce an MD-SIDH instance to an M-SIDH instance.

Recovering the square free part of the degree

$A' = \ell_1^{a'_1} \cdots \ell_t^{a'_t}$ is determined by $\underline{a}' = (a'_1, \dots, a'_t)$. Define $a(\ell_1^{a'_1} \cdots \ell_t^{a'_t}) = (a'_1, \dots, a'_t)$ and $A(\underline{a}') = \ell_1^{a'_1} \cdots \ell_t^{a'_t}$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_i: (\mathbb{Z}/q_i^{b_i}\mathbb{Z})^\times &\longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \\ x &\longmapsto \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \text{ is a quad. residue modulo } q_i^{b_i}; \\ 0 & \text{if not.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Recovering the square free part of the degree

$A' = \ell_1^{a'_1} \cdots \ell_t^{a'_t}$ is determined by $\underline{a}' = (a'_1, \dots, a'_t)$. Define $a(\ell_1^{a'_1} \cdots \ell_t^{a'_t}) = (a'_1, \dots, a'_t)$ and $A(\underline{a}') = \ell_1^{a'_1} \cdots \ell_t^{a'_t}$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_i: (\mathbb{Z}/q_i^{b_i}\mathbb{Z})^\times &\longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \\ x &\longmapsto \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \text{ is a quad. residue modulo } q_i^{b_i}; \\ 0 & \text{if not.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi: (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^t &\longrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^t \\ \underline{a}' &\longmapsto (\chi_1(A(\underline{a}')), \dots, \chi_t(A(\underline{a}'))) \end{aligned}$$

We can evaluate Φ on $\mathbf{a}(A') \bmod 2$: in fact, the Weil pairing leaks $\alpha^2 A' \bmod B$ and $\mathbf{a}(\alpha^2 A') = \mathbf{a}(A') \bmod 2$.

Recovering the square free part of the degree

$A' = \ell_1^{a'_1} \cdots \ell_t^{a'_t}$ is determined by $\underline{a}' = (a'_1, \dots, a'_t)$. Define $a(\ell_1^{a'_1} \cdots \ell_t^{a'_t}) = (a'_1, \dots, a'_t)$ and $A(\underline{a}') = \ell_1^{a'_1} \cdots \ell_t^{a'_t}$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_i: (\mathbb{Z}/q_i^{b_i}\mathbb{Z})^\times &\longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \\ x &\longmapsto \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \text{ is a quad. residue modulo } q_i^{b_i}; \\ 0 & \text{if not.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi: (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^t &\longrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^t \\ \underline{a}' &\longmapsto (\chi_1(A(\underline{a}')), \dots, \chi_t(A(\underline{a}'))) \end{aligned}$$

We can evaluate Φ on $a(A') \bmod 2$: in fact, the Weil pairing leaks $\alpha^2 A' \bmod B$ and $a(\alpha^2 A') = a(A') \bmod 2$.

→ After evaluating Φ on $a(A') \bmod 2$, we only have $\#\ker(\Phi)$ candidates for the square free part A'_1 of A' .

Kovalenko, Levitskaya, Savchuk (1986): T random $t \times t$ -matrix
 T over $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$; then $\Pr [\text{rank}(T) \geq t - 3] \rightarrow 99.4\%$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$

Kovalenko, Levitskaya, Savchuk (1986): *T random $t \times t$ -matrix T over $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$; then $\Pr [\text{rank}(T) \geq t - 3] \rightarrow 99.4\%$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$* In

practice t is small $\lambda/2 \leq t \leq \lambda$; and we are the ones choosing the primes q_i , so the matrix of Φ is not truly random. But, we still expect its rank to be at least $t - 3$ for practical parameters: choosing the ℓ_i 's and the q_i 's in a biased way would make these primes be very large and the size of p becomes impractical.

Kovalenko, Levitskaya, Savchuk (1986): *T random $t \times t$ -matrix T over $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$; then $\Pr [\text{rank}(T) \geq t - 3] \rightarrow 99.4\%$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$* In

practice t is small $\lambda/2 \leq t \leq \lambda$; and we are the ones choosing the primes q_i , so the matrix of Φ is not truly random. But, we still expect its rank to be at least $t - 3$ for practical parameters: choosing the ℓ_i 's and the q_i 's in a biased way would make these primes be very large and the size of p becomes impractical. \rightarrow

Heuristically, we expect to have $\ker \Phi \leq 2^3 = 8$ with high probability. This is the case for the parameters suggested by Moriya 2022.

Reducing MD-SIDH to M-SIDH

Assume that we know A'_1 . Set $A_0 = \max\{n \mid n|A, n^2 A'_1 \leq A\}$. Then $\exists \alpha_0$, divisor of A , $N_A := A_0^2 A'_1 = \alpha_0^2 A' \leq A$.

Reducing MD-SIDH to M-SIDH

Assume that we know A'_1 . Set $A_0 = \max\{n \mid n \mid A, n^2 A'_1 \leq A\}$. Then $\exists \alpha_0$, divisor of A , $N_A := A_0^2 A'_1 = \alpha_0^2 A' \leq A$.

Set $\phi_0 = [\alpha_0] \circ \phi$, then $\deg(\phi_0) = N_A$ is known.

$$\begin{array}{|l} P' = [\alpha]\phi(P) = [(\alpha\alpha_0^{-1}) \cdot \alpha_0]\phi(P) = [\alpha\alpha_0^{-1}]\phi_0(P) \\ Q' = [\alpha]\phi(Q) = [(\alpha\alpha_0^{-1}) \cdot \alpha_0]\phi(Q) = [\alpha\alpha_0^{-1}]\phi_0(Q) \end{array}$$

Reducing MD-SIDH to M-SIDH

Assume that we know A'_1 . Set $A_0 = \max\{n \mid n|A, n^2 A'_1 \leq A\}$. Then $\exists \alpha_0$, divisor of A , $N_A := A_0^2 A'_1 = \alpha_0^2 A' \leq A$.

Set $\phi_0 = [\alpha_0] \circ \phi$, then $\deg(\phi_0) = N_A$ is known.

$$\begin{array}{|l} P' = [\alpha] \phi(P) = [(\alpha \alpha_0^{-1}) \cdot \alpha_0] \phi(P) = [\alpha \alpha_0^{-1}] \phi_0(P) \\ Q' = [\alpha] \phi(Q) = [(\alpha \alpha_0^{-1}) \cdot \alpha_0] \phi(Q) = [\alpha \alpha_0^{-1}] \phi_0(Q) \end{array}$$

Compute $\alpha_1^2 = \alpha_0^2 A' \cdot (\alpha^2 A')^{-1} \bmod B = (\alpha_0 \cdot \alpha^{-1})^2 \bmod B$.

Sampling a random square root α'_1 of $\alpha_1^2 \bmod B$, then $\alpha'_1 = \mu \alpha_1$ where μ is some square root of unity. We compute

$$\begin{array}{|l} [\alpha'_1] P' = [\mu \cdot \alpha_1] P' = [\mu] \phi_0(P) \\ [\alpha'_1] Q' = [\mu \cdot \alpha_1] P' = [\mu] \phi_0(Q) \end{array}$$

- Recovering μ enables the CD-MM-R attack: one can try all the 2^t possible values of μ .
- The parameters suggested by Moriya 2022 ($t = \lambda/2$) are not secure.
- In general, one needs $t = \lambda$; and any attack on M-SIDH is likely to apply to MD-SIDH as well.

Analysis of M-SIDH

Recall: $A = \ell_1 \cdots \ell_t$, $B = q_1 \cdots q_t$, $A \approx B$, $E_0[B] = \langle P, Q \rangle$,
 $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}/B\mathbb{Z}^\times$, $\phi: E_0 \rightarrow E$ of degree A . We are given
 $E_0, P, Q, E_A, P' = [\alpha]\phi(P), Q' = [\alpha]\phi(Q)$ and we want to compute ϕ .

*We show that if E_0 is M -small with M of polynomial size in $\log p$,
then the CD-MM-R can be used to recover the secret isogeny.*

Lollipoping M-SIDH (1/2)

Main input: the latest version of Damien's attack only requires $B^2 > A$ i.e, with B torsion point information, one can attack isogenies of degree up to $A \approx B^2$.

¹which can be efficiently evaluated.

Lollipoping M-SIDH (1/2)

Main input: the latest version of Damien's attack only requires $B^2 > A$ i.e, with B torsion point information, one can attack isogenies of degree up to $A \approx B^2$.

Main idea: Attack $\phi_A \circ \theta \circ \hat{\phi}_A$ instead of ϕ_A , with θ being a non-trivial small endomorphism¹ of E_0 .

Eliminating the scalar α in M-SIDH: one can always assume $\alpha^2 = 1 \bmod B$ (Weil pairing ...)

$$([\alpha]\phi) \circ \theta \circ (\widehat{[\alpha]\phi}) = [\alpha^2] \circ \phi \circ \theta \circ \hat{\phi} = \phi \circ \theta \circ \hat{\phi} =: \tau.$$

¹which can be efficiently evaluated.

Lollipoping M-SIDH (1/2)

Main input: the latest version of Damien's attack only requires $B^2 > A$ i.e, with B torsion point information, one can attack isogenies of degree up to $A \approx B^2$.

Main idea: Attack $\phi_A \circ \theta \circ \hat{\phi}_A$ instead of ϕ_A , with θ being a non-trivial small endomorphism¹ of E_0 .

Eliminating the scalar α in M-SIDH: one can always assume $\alpha^2 = 1 \bmod B$ (Weil pairing ...)

$$([\alpha]\phi) \circ \theta \circ (\widehat{[\alpha]\phi}) = [\alpha^2] \circ \phi \circ \theta \circ \hat{\phi} = \phi \circ \theta \circ \hat{\phi} =: \tau.$$

Magic: No secret scalar appears in τ and $\deg \tau = A^2 \deg \theta$.

¹which can be efficiently evaluated.

- If $A^2 \deg \theta < B^2$, then just run the CD-MM-R attack.

Lollipoping M-SIDH (2/2)

- If $A^2 \deg \theta < B^2$, then just run the CD-MM-R attack.
- If $A^2 \deg \theta > B^2$, then one can
 - guess part ($\approx \sqrt{\deg \theta}$) of the secret isogeny from the end curve, $O(\sqrt{\deg \theta})$ guesses; or
 - guess supplementary ($\approx \sqrt{\deg \theta}$) torsion point information, $O(\sqrt{\deg \theta}^3)$ guesses.

Run the CD-MM-R attack with each guess.

Lollipoping M-SIDH (2/2)

- If $A^2 \deg \theta < B^2$, then just run the CD-MM-R attack.
- If $A^2 \deg \theta > B^2$, then one can
 - guess part ($\approx \sqrt{\deg \theta}$) of the secret isogeny from the end curve, $O(\sqrt{\deg \theta})$ guesses; or
 - guess supplementary ($\approx \sqrt{\deg \theta}$) torsion point information, $O(\sqrt{\deg \theta}^3)$ guesses.

Run the CD-MM-R attack with each guess.

- In general, for an M -small curve with known endomorphism ring, one can recover the secret isogeny in time $O(\sqrt{M})$.
- With the MD-SIDH to M-SIDH reduction, this Lollipop attack extends to MD-SIDH as well.

Conclusion

Conclusion

- We presented two countermeasure ideas for the higher genus torsion point attacks: MD-SIDH and M-SIDH.

Conclusion

- We presented two countermeasure ideas for the higher genus torsion point attacks: MD-SIDH and M-SIDH.
- We provided an advance analysis of both schemes.

Conclusion

- We presented two countermeasure ideas for the higher genus torsion point attacks: MD-SIDH and M-SIDH.
- We provided an advance analysis of both schemes.
 - MD-SIDH was reduced to M-SIDH and suggested parameters (Moriya 2022) are not secure.
 - A Lollipop attack breaks both schemes when the starting curve has small non trivial endomorphisms that can be efficiently evaluated.

Conclusion

- We presented two countermeasure ideas for the higher genus torsion point attacks: MD-SIDH and M-SIDH.
- We provided an advance analysis of both schemes.
 - MD-SIDH was reduced to M-SIDH and suggested parameters (Moriya 2022) are not secure.
 - A Lollipop attack breaks both schemes when the starting curve has small non trivial endomorphisms that can be efficiently evaluated.
- *As a consequence, any instantiation would require a starting curve with unknown endomorphism ring.*

Ongoing: can one run the lollipop attack when the starting curve has unknown endomorphism ring?

Thanks