

LOCAL RIGIDITY FOR HYPERBOLIC TORAL AUTOMORPHISMS

BORIS KALININ¹, VICTORIA SADOVSKAYA², AND ZHENQI JENNY WANG³

ABSTRACT. We consider a hyperbolic toral automorphism L and its C^1 -small perturbation f . It is well-known that f is Anosov and topologically conjugate to L , but a conjugacy H is only Hölder continuous in general. We discuss conditions for smoothness of H , such as conjugacy of the periodic data of f and L , coincidence of their Lyapunov exponents, and weaker regularity of H , and we summarize questions, results, and techniques in this area. Then we introduce our new results: if H is weakly differentiable then it is $C^{1+\text{Hölder}}$ and, if L is also weakly irreducible, then H is C^∞ .

1. INTRODUCTION

Hyperbolic automorphisms of tori are the prime examples of hyperbolic dynamical systems. The action of a matrix $L \in SL(d, \mathbb{Z})$ on \mathbb{R}^d induces an automorphism of the torus $\mathbb{T}^d = \mathbb{R}^d / \mathbb{Z}^d$, which we denote by the same letter. An automorphism L is called *hyperbolic* or *Anosov* if the matrix has no eigenvalues on the unit circle. In general, a diffeomorphism f of a compact manifold \mathcal{M} is called Anosov if there exist a continuous Df -invariant splitting $T\mathcal{M} = E^s \oplus E^u$ and constants $K > 0$ and $\theta < 1$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\|Df^n(v)\| \leq K\theta^n\|v\| \text{ for all } v \in E^s, \text{ and } \|Df^{-n}(v)\| \leq K\theta^n\|v\| \text{ for all } v \in E^u.$$

The sub-bundles E^s and E^u are called stable and unstable. They are tangent to the stable and unstable foliations W^s and W^u . A diffeomorphism is *transitive* if there is a point in \mathcal{M} with dense orbit. All known examples of Anosov diffeomorphisms have this property.

One of the key properties of hyperbolic systems is *structural stability*: any diffeomorphism f of \mathcal{M} sufficiently C^1 close to an Anosov diffeomorphism g is also Anosov and is topologically conjugate to g [A67]. The latter means that there exists a homeomorphism H of \mathcal{M} , called a *conjugacy*, such that $g \circ H = H \circ f$. Moreover, H is unique in a C^0 neighborhood of the identity. A conjugacy H is always bi-Hölder, but it is usually not even C^1 , as there are various obstructions to smoothness. The problem of establishing smoothness of the conjugacy H from some weaker assumptions has been extensively studied. It is often referred to as *local rigidity*, in the sense that weak equivalence of f and g implies strong equivalence.

Key words: Hyperbolic toral automorphism, conjugacy, local rigidity, linear cocycle.

¹ Supported in part by Simons Foundation grant 855238.

² Supported in part by NSF grant DMS-1764216.

³ Supported in part by NSF grant DMS-1845416.

We will concentrate on a conjugacy H between a hyperbolic toral automorphism L and its small perturbation f . We have

$$(1.1) \quad L \circ H = H \circ f, \quad \text{that is,} \quad f = H^{-1} \circ L \circ H.$$

Any two such conjugacies differ by an affine automorphism of \mathbb{T}^d commuting with L [Wa70], and in particular have the same regularity.

2. SMOOTHNESS OF THE CONJUGACY

2.1. Necessary conditions for smoothness of H . Hyperbolic systems have abundance of periodic points, and a lot of information about the system is captured by the periodic data. If $f^n(p) = p$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $L^n(H(p)) = H(p)$. If H is a C^1 diffeomorphism, then differentiating the iterated conjugacy equation $f^n = H^{-1} \circ L^n \circ H$ at p yields

$$D_p(f^n) = (D_p H)^{-1} \circ L^n \circ D_p H = C_p^{-1} \circ L^n \circ C_p, \quad \text{where} \quad C_p = D_p H,$$

and so f and L have *conjugate periodic data*. This condition can be easily destroyed by a small perturbation near a fixed or periodic point, and hence H is not C^1 in general.

Let ρ_i be the absolute values of the eigenvalues of L , and let E^i be the sum of generalized eigenspaces corresponding to the eigenvalues with modulus ρ_i . We recall that the Lyapunov exponents of L are $\log \rho_i$, more specifically, for every vector $v \neq 0$ in E^i ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \pm\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|L^n(v)\| = \log \rho_i.$$

If H is a C^1 diffeomorphism, then the Lyapunov exponents of f are the same as for L : for each $x \in \mathbb{T}^d$ and each v with $D_x H(v) \in E^i$, the Lyapunov exponent of v is ρ_i . We note that conjugacy of periodic data implies that the Lyapunov exponents of f at each periodic point p are the same as for L . By periodic approximation [WS10, K11], it follows that the Lyapunov exponents of f for each invariant measure are also the same as for L .

It is natural to ask whether necessary conditions, such as conjugacy of periodic data or equality of Lyapunov exponents for some or all measures, are also sufficient for C^1 regularity of H . In addition, one can consider sufficiency of weaker regularity properties of H such as Lipschitz continuity, weak differentiability, and absolute continuity (in dimension two). Further, one can consider sufficiency of any of these conditions for C^∞ regularity of H .

2.2. Two-dimensional case. Definitive results for Anosov diffeomorphisms of \mathbb{T}^2 were obtained by de la Llave and Moriyón in [dlL87, dlLM88, dlL92]. In this case, conjugacy of the periodic data, or equality of Lyapunov exponents at periodic points, or absolute continuity imply smoothness of H . More precisely, the following holds.

Theorem 2.1. [dlL92] *Let f and g be C^k , $k = 2, 3, \dots, \infty, \omega$, Anosov diffeomorphism of \mathbb{T}^2 topologically conjugate by H . Then H is $C^{k-\epsilon}$ provided that either*

- (a) *the Lyapunov exponents of f and g at corresponding periodic orbits are the same*
- (b) *or both H and H^{-1} are absolutely continuous.*

In most local rigidity results, smoothness of H is first established along natural invariant foliations, such as the stable and unstable, or their finer sub-foliations. Global smoothness of H is then obtained using regularity results such as Journé lemma [J88].

The main approach in Theorem 2.1 is to show that H maps the invariant volume, or more generally the SRB measure μ , for f to that for g . For this either the periodic data or absolute continuity of H can be used. Then H maps the absolutely continuous conditional measures of μ along the leaves of $W^{u,f}$ to those for $W^{u,g}$. The densities of these measures on the leaves are positive Hölder continuous functions smooth along the leaves. Since the leaves are one-dimensional, any H that matches the conditional measures is also smooth along the leaves. Smoothness along the stable foliations can be obtained similarly. The study of regularity of these densities and related objects was a major part of the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Next, local rigidity results were obtained for systems conformal on full stable and unstable sub-bundles and for systems close to irreducible automorphisms with simple Lyapunov spectrum.

2.3. Higher dimensional conformal case. If g is conformal on full stable and unstable sub-bundles, smoothness of the conjugacy is given by the next theorem, provided that the perturbed system is also conformal.

Theorem 2.2. [KS03, dL04] *Let $f, g : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be transitive C^k , $k = 2, 3, \dots, \infty$, Anosov diffeomorphisms that are conformal (or more generally uniformly quasiconformal) on their stable and unstable sub-bundles, which have dimension at least two. Then any topological conjugacy between f and g is $C^{k-\epsilon}$.*

For $k = \infty$ this was proved in [KS03] under an additional assumption that either $\dim E^s$ and $\dim E^u$ are at least three or that \mathcal{M} is a torus (or more generally, an infranilmanifold). It is a corollary of a global rigidity result: any such f is C^∞ conjugate to a finite factor of an automorphism of a torus [KS03]. The result in [dL04] is for any k , but it makes an additional assumption of conformality with respect to a C^1 conformal structure. In [KS03, S05], existence of a continuous invariant conformal structure is obtained from uniform quasiconformality, and its C^∞ smoothness is then proved for C^∞ f using conformality and smoothness of stable and unstable holonomies. To verify the extra assumption [dL04] that the conformal structure is C^1 , it suffices to assume that f is C^2 .

The question whether conformality of g and conjugacy of the periodic data of f and g implies conformality of f is difficult. This is always true for two-dimensional E^s and E^u [KS09], but in higher dimensions, current results have additional assumptions. In [dL02] it is that for every periodic point $p = g^n(p)$, the restrictions $Dg^n|_{E^s(p)}$ and $Dg^n|_{E^u(p)}$ are scalar multiples of the identity map. More generally, one can require that the conjugating maps can be chosen uniformly bounded in p , see Theorem 2.8 below.

2.4. Non-algebraic systems close to codimension one automorphisms. Major progress in local rigidity for non-algebraic systems was recently obtained by Gogolev and

Rodriguez Hertz in [GRH]. They considered diffeomorphisms f and g in a neighborhood of a codimension one Anosov automorphism L , which is either conformal or suitably pinched. Smoothness of the conjugacy was established under a weaker assumption of *matching of Jacobian periodic data*, which means that whenever $p = f^n p$,

$$\det(Df^n|E^u(p)) = \det(Dg^n|E^u(H(p))) \quad \text{and} \quad \det(Df^n|E^s(p)) = \det(Dg^n|E^s(H(p))),$$

where H is the unique conjugacy close to the identity between f and g . The strongest results are in dimension three.

Theorem 2.3. [GRH] *Let $L : \mathbb{T}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^3$ be an Anosov automorphism with a pair of complex conjugate eigenvalues. Then there exists a C^1 neighborhood U of L such that for any C^r , $r \geq 2$, Anosov diffeomorphisms $f, g \in U$ with matching Jacobian periodic data, either f and g are C^{r*} conjugate or the SRB measure of f coincides with its measure of maximal entropy. Here $r_* = r$ if r is not an integer, and $r_* = r - 1 + \text{Lip}$ if r is an integer.*

The coincidence of the SRB measure and the measure of maximal entropy is equivalent to the unstable Jacobian of f being cohomologous to a constant. Therefore, rigidity holds for all f in an open dense subset of U where this does not happen. A similar result was obtained under the assumption of matching of full Jacobians, provided that they are not cohomologous to a constant, that is, f and g are not volume preserving.

In [GRH] rigidity was also established in higher dimensions near L such that $\dim E^s = 1$, expansion rates along E^u are suitably pinched, no three eigenvalues have the same modulus, and only complex conjugate eigenvalues may have the same modulus. For f and g in a C^1 open dense subset in a neighborhood of L , $C^{1+\text{H\"older}}$ smoothness of the conjugacy was obtained under the assumption of matching Jacobian periodic data.

The restrictions on the expansion/contraction rates for f and g yield certain smoothness of E^s and E^u . This smoothness is used to obtain regularity of the so called periodic cycle functionals (PCF) associated to the unstable Jacobians. Since H matches the Jacobian periodic data, it matches the PCFs. The main part of the proof in [GRH] is to show that if the Jacobians are not cohomologous to a constant, then H matches enough smooth functions so that its smoothness can be obtained using the implicit function theorem.

We note that any automorphism L with $\dim E^s = 1$ is irreducible.

2.5. Counterexamples and irreducibility. In [dlL92] de la Llave constructed examples showing that conjugacy of the periodic data is not sufficient in general for C^1 smoothness of H , see also [G08]. In these examples, L is an automorphism of T^4 of the form

$$L(x, y) = (Ax, By), \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{T}^2,$$

where matrices $A, B \in SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ have eigenvalues λ, λ^{-1} and μ, μ^{-1} , respectively, with $\mu > \lambda > 1$. Let v be an eigenvector of A corresponding to λ , and let f be a perturbation of L of the form

$$f(x, y) = (Ax + \varphi(y)v, By).$$

The maps L and f have conjugate periodic data since L^n and $D_p f^n$ have the same distinct eigenvalues. A conjugacy H is found in the form

$$H(x, y) = (x + \psi(y)v, y).$$

This yields a cohomological equation $\varphi(y) + \psi(By) = \lambda\psi(y)$ with a continuous solution

$$\psi(y) = \lambda^{-1} \sum_{k \geq 0} \lambda^{-k} \varphi(B^k y).$$

If φ and hence ψ are C^0 small, then H is the unique conjugacy close to the identity. However, ψ is usually not differentiable in y , for example, for $\varphi(y_1, y_2) = \varepsilon \sin(2\pi y_1)$.

Extending these examples, Gogolev showed in [G08] that, outside of the conformal case, irreducibility of L is a necessary assumption for conjugate periodic data to imply smoothness of H . We recall that L is *irreducible* if it has no nontrivial rational invariant subspace or, equivalently, if its characteristic polynomial is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} . While the eigenvalues of an irreducible L are always simple, different eigenvalues may have the same absolute value, and hence the Lyapunov exponents of L are not necessarily simple.

2.6. Irreducible L with simple Lyapunov spectrum. We now focus on irreducible L and discuss results and approaches for this case. We consider the splittings into Lyapunov subspaces for L and f . Let $1 < \rho_1 < \dots < \rho_\ell$ be the distinct moduli of the unstable eigenvalues of L and let

$$E^{u,L} = E_1^L \oplus E_2^L \oplus \dots \oplus E_\ell^L$$

be the corresponding splitting of $E^{u,L}$. Since f is C^1 -close to L , its unstable sub-bundle splits into a direct sum of ℓ invariant Hölder continuous sub-bundles close to the ones for L [Pes04, Section 3.3]:

$$E^{u,f} = E_1^f \oplus E_2^f \oplus \dots \oplus E_\ell^f.$$

They integrate to Hölder foliations W_i^f with $C^{1+\text{Hölder}}$ leaves. While this can be seen using partially hyperbolic theory, unique integrability was obtained directly in [G08]. So one can try showing that H is $C^{1+\text{Hölder}}$ along these foliations. Once this is done, the regularity of H on \mathbb{T}^d follows from Journé lemma.

Local rigidity results for irreducible L with simple Lyapunov exponents were obtained by Gogolev and Guysinski in [GG08, G08]. Even though in this case each E_i^L is one-dimensional, there are major difficulties in extending Theorem 2.1. One is showing that $H(W_i^f) = W_i^L$ for each i . This always holds for the full unstable foliation and for $i = 1$, but it is not true for other foliations in general. Indeed, while the weak flag for f is always mapped by H to that of L [G08], it may not be the case for the strong flags. In [G08], the property $H(W_i^f) = W_i^L$ was proved by an intricate inductive process, which uses partial smoothness of H obtained in the previous steps. The argument also relies on density of leaves of these foliations, which follows from irreducibility of L .

These arguments are difficult to extend to an Anosov diffeomorphism g in place of L , as there may be no continuous invariant splitting of $W^{u,g}$. However, it was proved that conjugacy of the periodic data implies that H is $C^{1+\text{Hölder}}$ in the case when g is sufficiently

close to an irreducible L with simple spectrum: for \mathbb{T}^3 in [GG08] and for higher dimensions in [G08] under an additional assumptions on density of leaves. Another difficulty in this case is that, unlike $W^{u,g}$, the foliations W_i^g are not absolutely continuous in general. Apart from these results and the ones in Sections 2.2, 2.3, and 2.4, the problem of smoothness of conjugacy between an arbitrary Anosov diffeomorphism g and its perturbation is open. The results in [G08, SaY19] for irreducible L with simple Lyapunov exponents were extended by DeWitt in [dW21] to the case when L is an Anosov automorphisms of a nilmanifold. This is a more complicated setting due to interactions between dynamics and the nilpotent structure, so the notion of irreducibility has to be appropriately modified and an extra assumption of sorted spectrum has to be added.

The following theorem generalized the result for toral automorphisms in [G08]. It allows pairs of eigenvalues of the same modulus. Unlike automorphisms with simple spectrum, toral automorphisms L in Theorems 2.4 are generic in the sense that the proportion of matrices of norm at most T in $SL(d, \mathbb{Z})$ satisfying the assumptions tends to 1 as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 2.4. [GKS11] *Let L be an irreducible Anosov automorphism of \mathbb{T}^d such that no three of its eigenvalues have the same modulus. Let f be a C^2 diffeomorphism of \mathbb{T}^d sufficiently C^1 -close to L . If the derivative $D_p f^n$ is conjugate to L^n whenever $p = f^n p$, then f is $C^{1+\text{H\"older}}$ conjugate to L .*

Using similar arguments, outlined below, we obtain another version of this theorem.

Theorem 2.5. *Let L be an irreducible Anosov automorphism of \mathbb{T}^d and let f be a C^2 diffeomorphism of \mathbb{T}^d sufficiently C^1 -close to L . Suppose that for each $p = f^n p$ there is a matrix C_p so that $D_p f^n = C_p^{-1} \circ L^n \circ C_p$, and the set of all matrices C_p is bounded in $GL(d, \mathbb{R})$. Then f is $C^{1+\text{H\"older}}$ conjugate to L .*

2.7. Rigidity of the Lyapunov spectrum. The results in [G08] for irreducible L with simple Lyapunov exponents were extended by Saghin and Young in [SaY19] in a different direction: the assumption of conjugacy of the periodic data was weakened to equality of Lyapunov exponents of L to those of f with respect to the f -invariant volume μ . They used the notion of leaf-wise entropy and a leaf-wise analog of Ledrappier's results in [L84] to show that μ has absolutely continuous conditional measures on the leaves of foliation W_i^f , which are mapped by H to the corresponding conditional measures for L . Then Hölder continuity of the densities of these conditional measures implies, as in the arguments for Theorem 2.1, that H is $C^{1+\text{H\"older}}$ along W_i^f . The following result established in [GKS20] generalized this to a broader class of toral automorphisms, which is generic in the same sense as for Theorem 2.4.

Theorem 2.6. [GKS20] *Let L be an irreducible Anosov automorphism of \mathbb{T}^d such that no three of its eigenvalues have the same modulus and L has no pairs of eigenvalues of the form $\lambda, -\lambda$ or $i\lambda, -i\lambda$, where λ is real. Let f be a volume-preserving C^2 diffeomorphism of \mathbb{T}^d sufficiently C^1 -close to L . If the Lyapunov exponents of f with respect to the volume are the same as the Lyapunov exponents of L , then f is $C^{1+\text{H\"older}}$ conjugate to L .*

It may be surprising that equality of exponents for a single measure yields smoothness of the conjugacy, but this result is specific to linear L . It relies on the property that the measure of maximal entropy for L coincides with the volume. The equality of the Lyapunov exponents implies that the same property holds for f . Below we deduce a different version of the theorem. The argument showcases the source of rigidity.

Corollary 2.7. *Let L be as in Theorem 2.6 and let f be a C^2 diffeomorphism of \mathbb{T}^d sufficiently C^1 -close to L . If the Lyapunov exponents of f with respect to its measure of maximal entropy are the same as the Lyapunov exponents of L , then f is $C^{1+\text{H\"older}}$ conjugate to L .*

We denote by m the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{T}^d . Then $\mu := (H^{-1})_*(m)$ is the measure of maximal entropy for f since

$$\mathbf{h}_{top}(f) = \mathbf{h}_{top}(L) = \mathbf{h}_m(L) = \mathbf{h}_\mu(f).$$

We denote the Lyapunov exponents of f with respect to μ by λ^f , and the Lyapunov exponents of L by λ^L . If these exponents are the same then by Ruelle inequality we have

$$\mathbf{h}_{top}(f) = \mathbf{h}_\mu(f) \leq \sum_{\lambda^f > 0} \lambda^f = \sum_{\lambda^L > 0} \lambda^L = \mathbf{h}_m(L) = \mathbf{h}_{top}(L) = \mathbf{h}_{top}(f).$$

Thus equality holds in Ruelle inequality, which implies by [L84] that the conditional measures of μ on $W^{u,f}$ are absolutely continuous. The same argument with f^{-1} shows that the conditional measures of μ on $W^{s,f}$ are also absolutely continuous. By the local product structure of the measure of maximal entropy, μ is absolutely continuous. Hence μ is an f -invariant volume and Theorem 2.6 applies.

2.8. Higher-dimensional foliations and linear cocycles. Now we describe the techniques and results used in Theorems 2.4, 2.5, and 2.6 to deal with higher-dimensional sub-bundles E_i^L and E_i^f . Suppose that $\dim E_i^L = \dim E_i^f$ is at least two. Even if H maps W_i^f to W_i^L , and absolutely continuous conditional measures on the leaves of foliation W_i^f to the corresponding conditional measures for L , smoothness of f along W_i^f does not follow. The key new step in Theorems 2.4, 2.5, and 2.6 was to establish conformality of the derivative cocycle $Df|E_i^f$. It used results on linear cocycles over hyperbolic systems, which we now discuss.

Let f be an Anosov diffeomorphism of \mathcal{M} and let A be a map from \mathcal{M} to $GL(m, \mathbb{R})$. The $GL(m, \mathbb{R})$ -valued cocycle over f generated by A is the map $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{M} \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow GL(m, \mathbb{R})$ given by $\mathcal{A}(x, 0) = \text{Id}$ and for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathcal{A}(x, n) = \mathcal{A}_x^n = A(f^{n-1}x) \cdots A(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A}(x, -n) = \mathcal{A}_x^{-n} = (\mathcal{A}_{f^{-n}x}^n)^{-1}.$$

The regularity of \mathcal{A} is defined as that of its generator A .

A prime example of a linear cocycle is the derivative cocycle. For $T\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M} \times \mathbb{R}^m$, one can take $A(x) = D_x f \in GL(m, \mathbb{R})$, and then $\mathcal{A}_x^n = D_x f^n$. Similarly, one can consider $A(x) = Df|E(x)$, where E is a Df -invariant sub-bundle such as E^s , E^u , or E_i^f .

We say that cocycles \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are (measurably or continuously) hohomologous if there exists a (measurable or continuous) function $\mathcal{C} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow GL(m, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\mathcal{A}_x = \mathcal{C}(fx) \mathcal{B}_x \mathcal{C}(x)^{-1} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{M}.$$

The function \mathcal{C} is called a *conjugacy* or a *transfer map* between \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} .

The next theorem established conformality of a cocycle based on its periodic data.

Theorem 2.8. [KS10] *Let \mathcal{A} be a $GL(m, \mathbb{R})$ -valued Hölder continuous cocycle over a transitive Anosov diffeomorphism $f : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$. Suppose that for each periodic point $p = f^n(p)$ in \mathcal{M} there exists a matrix C_p such that $C_p^{-1} \mathcal{A}_p^n C_p$ is conformal.*

If either $m = 2$, or $m > 2$ and the set of matrices C_p is bounded in $GL(m, \mathbb{R})$, then \mathcal{A} is Hölder continuously cohomologous to a conformal cocycle, i.e., a cocycle with values in the conformal subgroup.

For $m > 2$, the result does not hold without the boundedness assumption [KS10]. This theorem yields conformality of the derivative Df on each two-dimensional E_i^f in Theorems 2.4 and 2.5, and explains the difference in their assumptions. Theorem 2.6 uses the continuous amenable reduction results [KS13], which for $m = 2$ yield that a cocycle is cohomologous to conformal if it does not have a continuous invariant sub-bundle or a continuous invariant field of two lines [GKS20]. If L has no pairs of eigenvalues $\lambda, -\lambda$ or $i\lambda, -i\lambda$ with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, then $L|E_i^L$ has no such invariant objects, and $Df|E_i^f$ for a small perturbation has the same property.

Once it is established that $H(W_i^f) = W_i^L$, regularity of H along W_i^f is proved using conformality of L on E_i^L and of Df on E_i^f . After conjugating $L|E_i^L$ and $Df|E_i^f(x)$ to conformal cocycles, the norms give scalar cocycles $a(x) = \rho_i = \|L|E_i^L\|$ and $b(x) = \|Df|E_i^f(x)\|$. In Theorems 2.4 and 2.5, these Hölder continuous scalar cocycles have equal periodic data, and hence they are Hölder continuously cohomologous by the Livšic periodic point theorem [Liv72]. In Theorem 2.6, there is a measurable conjugacy between a and b , which is obtained from the Jacobian of H along W_i^f , and it follows by the measurable Livšic theorem [Liv72] that the conjugacy is Hölder continuous.

Continuous conjugacy between a and b implies that the ratio of norms $\|L^n|E_i^L\|$ and $\|Df^n|E_i^f\|$ is bounded above and below uniformly in x and n . This is used to show that H is bi-Lipschitz continuous along W_i^f , which yields differentiability of H almost everywhere on each leaf. The derivative DH is then a bounded measurable conjugacy between linear cocycles $Df|E_i^f$ and $L|E_i^L$. Thus to conclude that H is $C^{1+\text{Hölder}}$ along W_i^f it suffices to establish Hölder continuity of the conjugacy DH .

Continuity of a measurable conjugacy between Hölder continuous cocycles over hyperbolic systems has been extensively studied. It always holds for scalar cocycles [Liv72], but may fail already for $GL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -valued cocycles with more than one Lyapunov exponent, even when both generators are close to the identity [PW01]. Continuity was obtained under various compactness, boundedness, and conformality assumptions on both or one

of the cocycles [PaP97, Pa99, Sch99, S13, S15, B18]. Results for conformal cocycles were used to obtain Hölder continuity of $DH|E_i^f$ above.

2.9. New results for cocycles and smoothness of a weakly differentiable conjugacy. In our recent work [KSW] we establish Hölder continuity of a measurable conjugacy in the optimal setting of cocycles with one Lyapunov exponent.

Theorem 2.9. [KSW] *Let $f : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be a transitive $C^{1+\text{Hölder}}$ Anosov diffeomorphism, and let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be β -Hölder linear cocycles over f . Let μ be an ergodic f -invariant measure on \mathcal{M} with full support and local product structure.*

Suppose that \mathcal{A} has one Lyapunov exponent at every periodic point and \mathcal{B} is fiber bunched. Then any μ -measurable conjugacy between \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} is β -Hölder continuous, i.e., coincides with a β -Hölder continuous conjugacy on a set of full measure.

Fiber bunching is a technical condition weaker than having one exponent. It means that non-conformality of the cocycle is dominated by the contraction and expansion in the base. In the proof we apply continuous amenable reduction [KS13] to \mathcal{A} and obtain a block-triangular structure with conformal blocks on the diagonal. Using the measurable conjugacy \mathcal{C} , we obtain a similar measurable block structure for \mathcal{B} and establish its continuity. Then continuity of the diagonal blocks of \mathcal{C} follows from [S15]. To prove continuity of the off-diagonal blocks of \mathcal{C} we use an inductive process. For this we establish a result on continuity of a measurable conjugacy for vector-valued cocycles twisted by a bounded linear cocycle.

As a corollary, we obtain the following result for perturbations of constant cocycles, which we then use in the new local rigidity results.

Theorem 2.10. [KSW] *Let f and μ be as in Theorem 2.9 and let \mathcal{A} is be a constant $GL(m, \mathbb{R})$ -valued cocycle over f . Then for any Hölder continuous $GL(m, \mathbb{R})$ -valued cocycle \mathcal{B} sufficiently C^0 close to \mathcal{A} , any μ -measurable conjugacy between \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} is Hölder continuous.*

Also, we obtain estimates of the Hölder exponent and Hölder constant of the conjugacy.

As we observed, existence of a continuous conjugacy between the derivative cocycles is closely related to smoothness of H . However, the relationship is not straightforward. If Df is continuously conjugate to L and L is irreducible, then Theorem 2.5 yields that H is $C^{1+\text{Hölder}}$. Without irreducibility, however, existence of *some* continuous conjugacy between the derivative cocycles Df and L does not imply in general that H is C^1 . In fact, if all eigenvalues of L are simple with distinct moduli, then conjugacy of $D_p f^n$ and L^n whenever $p = f^n(p)$ always gives Hölder conjugacy of the cocycles (as the cohomological equations splits into scalar ones for the restrictions to E_i), but H may not be C^1 as the counterexample above shows.

However, if H is differentiable in a weak sense, we show that H is $C^{1+\text{Hölder}}$. This result holds for an arbitrary hyperbolic automorphism without any irreducibility assumption.

We denote by $W^{1,q}(\mathbb{T}^d)$ the Sobolev space of L^q functions with L^q weak partial derivatives of first order. Note that any Lipschitz function is in $W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{T}^d)$.

While H satisfying (1.1) is not unique, there is a unique *conjugacy* C^0 close to the identity. This is the unique H in the homotopy class of the identity with $H(p) = 0$, where p is the fixed point of f closest to 0.

Theorem 2.11. *Let L be a hyperbolic automorphism of \mathbb{T}^d and let f be a $C^{1+\text{H\"older}}$ diffeomorphism of \mathbb{T}^d which is C^1 close to L . Suppose that for some conjugacy H between f and L , either H or H^{-1} is in $W^{1,q}(\mathbb{T}^d)$ with $q > d$. Then H is a $C^{1+\text{H\"older}}$ diffeomorphism.*

More precisely, there is a constant $\beta_0 = \beta_0(L)$, $0 < \beta_0 \leq 1$, so that for any $0 < \beta' < \beta_0$ there exist constants $\delta > 0$ and $K > 0$ such that for any $0 < \beta \leq \beta'$ the following holds.

For any $C^{1+\beta}$ diffeomorphism f with $\|f - L\|_{C^1} < \delta$, if some conjugacy between L and f , or its inverse, is in $W^{1,q}(\mathbb{T}^d)$, $q > d$, then any conjugacy is a $C^{1+\beta}$ diffeomorphism. Moreover, for the conjugacy H that is C^0 close to the identity,

$$(2.1) \quad \|H - I\|_{C^{1+\beta}} \leq K \|f - L\|_{C^{1+\beta}}.$$

In the proof we differentiate the conjugacy equation $L \circ H = H \circ f$ to obtain

$$(2.2) \quad L \circ DH = DH \circ Df,$$

where DH is the Jacoby matrix of weak partial derivatives. We use the assumption that H is in $W^{1,q}(\mathbb{T}^d)$ with $q > d$ to show that f preserves an absolutely continuous measure μ , that DH gives the differential of H μ -a.e on \mathbb{T}^d , that (2.2) holds μ -a.e, and that DH is invertible μ -a.e. Hence (2.2) shows that DH is a measurable conjugacy between L and Df . Then Theorem 2.10 yields that DH is Hölder continuous, and so H is a $C^{1+\text{H\"older}}$ diffeomorphism.

The inequality (2.1) is obtained using the estimate for the conjugacy between cocycles in Theorem 2.10. It plays an important role in establishing higher regularity of H in Theorem 3.2 below.

3. HIGHER REGULARITY OF THE CONJUGACY

In dimension two and in the higher-dimensional conformal case, Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 yield that if C^∞ Anosov diffeomorphisms f and g are conjugate by a C^1 diffeomorphism H , then H is C^∞ . The general higher dimensional case is much more complicated. The problem of the exact regularity of H is subtle: for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $d \geq 4$ there exists a reducible hyperbolic automorphism L of \mathbb{T}^d and its analytic perturbation f such that the conjugacy H is C^k but is not C^{k+1} [dlL92]. This was demonstrated by examples as in Section 2.5 with an appropriate relation between the eigenvalues λ and μ . On the other hand, for a given L (or a nonlinear g) there is $k(L)$ such that if H is $C^{k(L)}$ then it is C^∞ [dlL92]. For systems close to conformal on both stable and unstable sub-bundles, k is close to one.

Theorems 2.4, 2.5, and 2.6 yield only that H is $C^{1+\text{H\"older}}$. This low smoothness is due to the method of the proof, which shows regularity of H along foliations W_f^i , whose leaves

are typically only $C^{1+\text{H\"older}}$ smooth. Nevertheless, Gogolev conjectured [G08] that the conjugacy in Theorem 2.1 should be $C^{r-\epsilon}$, if f is C^r . The only progress in this direction until now was the result of Gogolev for automorphisms of \mathbb{T}^3 with real spectrum in [G17].

In the next theorem we obtain C^∞ smoothness of a conjugacy to a C^∞ perturbation f assuming that L is weakly irreducible, which is defined as follows. Let $\mathbb{R}^d = \oplus_{\rho_i} E^i$ be the splitting where E^i is the sum of generalized eigenspaces of L corresponding to the eigenvalues of modulus ρ_i , and let $\hat{E}^i = \oplus_{\rho_j \neq \rho_i} E^j$. We say that L is *weakly irreducible* if each \hat{E}^i contains no nonzero elements of \mathbb{Z}^d . Irreducibility over \mathbb{Q} implies weak irreducibility. Indeed, if there is a nonzero integer point $n \in \hat{E}^i$ then $\text{span}\{L^m n : m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset \hat{E}^i$ is a non-trivial rational invariant subspace. Weak irreducibility is determined by the characteristic polynomial of L as follows.

Lemma 3.1. [KSW] *A matrix $L \in GL(d, \mathbb{Z})$ is weakly irreducible if and only if there is a set $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}$ so that for each irreducible over \mathbb{Q} factor of the characteristic polynomial of L the set of moduli of its roots equals Δ .*

It follows that if L is irreducible or weakly irreducible then the following matrices are weakly irreducible

$$\begin{pmatrix} L & 0 \\ 0 & L \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} L & I \\ 0 & L \end{pmatrix}.$$

These matrices are not irreducible and the second one is not even diagonalizable. So while an irreducible L is always conformal (in some metric) on each Lyapunov subspace, weakly irreducible L may have Jordan blocks.

Theorem 3.2. [KSW] *Let L be a weakly irreducible hyperbolic automorphism of \mathbb{T}^d . Then there is $r = r(L) \in \mathbb{N}$ so that for any C^∞ diffeomorphism f which is C^r close to L the following holds. If for some conjugacy H between f and L either H or H^{-1} is in the Sobolev space $W^{1,q}(\mathbb{T}^d)$ with $q > d$, then any conjugacy between f and L is a C^∞ diffeomorphism.*

Our approach is completely different from the previous local rigidity results. Theorem 2.11 is used as the first step in the proof of Theorem 3.2 to obtain $C^{1+\beta}$ regularity of H and the estimate (2.1). Then to establish C^∞ smoothness of H we use an iterative method which is somewhat similar to the traditional KAM scheme. However, KAM is primarily used for elliptic systems and not for hyperbolic ones. The main ingredient in the iterative step is obtaining an approximate C^∞ solution for the linearized conjugacy equation.

Assuming $f(0) = H(0) = 0$, we lift f and H to \mathbb{R}^d as $\tilde{H} = \text{Id} + h$ and $\tilde{f} = L + R$, where $h, R : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ are \mathbb{Z}^d -periodic functions. The lifts satisfy the conjugacy equation $L \circ \tilde{H} = \tilde{H} \circ \tilde{f}$, which yields $L \circ h - h \circ \tilde{f} = R$. The latter projects to the torus as

$$L \circ h - h \circ f = R.$$

This is a twisted by L cohomological equation over f for \mathbb{R}^d -valued functions h and R on \mathbb{T}^d , where R is C^∞ and h is $C^{1+\beta}$. The iterative scheme relies on finding an *approximate*

C^∞ solution with good estimates for the *linearized equation* over L :

$$L \circ h' - h' \circ L = Q, \quad \text{where} \quad Q - R = h \circ f - h \circ L.$$

Conjugating f by the C^∞ diffeomorphism $H' = \text{Id} - h'$ we get a new C^∞ diffeomorphism f' , which is much closer to L and is still $C^{1+\beta}$ conjugate to L . Continuing this iterative process and establishing convergence in a suitable smooth topology proves the theorem.

Closest to our setting, KAM techniques were used in [DKt10] to prove C^∞ local rigidity for some \mathbb{Z}^2 actions by partially hyperbolic toral automorphisms. The structure of a higher rank action was used there in an essential way to construct such an approximate solution. In our case these methods do not apply, and instead the argument relies on existence of a $C^{1+\beta}$ conjugacy with the estimate (2.1). The linearized equation is analyzed using Fourier coefficients. However, expansion/contraction of the twist L requires working in higher smoothness classes, while our functions h , and hence Q , are only $C^{1+\beta}$. To employ our low regularity data we use the Lyapunov splitting $\mathbb{R}^d = \oplus E^i$ for L and consider projections

$$L_i \circ h_i - h_i \circ L = Q_i, \quad \text{where} \quad L_i = L|_{E^i}.$$

Differentiating along E^i , we “balance” the twist by the derivative

$$L_i \cdot D_i h_i - (D_i h_i) \circ L \cdot L_i = D_i Q_i$$

which allows us to use the existence of Hölder solution Dh .

Applying Theorem 3.2 we improve the regularity of the conjugacy from $C^{1+\text{Hölder}}$ to C^∞ in Theorems 2.4 and 2.6.

Corollary 3.3. *Let $L : \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^d$ be an irreducible Anosov automorphism such that no three of its eigenvalues have the same modulus. Let f be a C^∞ diffeomorphism which is C^r close to L such that the derivative $D_p f^n$ is conjugate to L^n whenever $p = f^n(p)$. Then f is C^∞ conjugate to L .*

Corollary 3.4. *Let $L : \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^d$ be an irreducible Anosov automorphism such that no three of its eigenvalues have the same modulus and there are no pairs of eigenvalues of the form $\lambda, -\lambda$ or $i\lambda, -i\lambda$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Let f be a volume-preserving C^∞ diffeomorphism of \mathbb{T}^d sufficiently C^r -close to L . If the Lyapunov exponents of f with respect to the volume are the same as the Lyapunov exponents of L , then f is C^∞ conjugate to L .*

REFERENCES

- [A67] D. Anosov. *Geodesic Flows on Closed Riemannian Manifolds with Negative Curvature*. Proceedings of Steklov Institute of Mathematics, 1967, 90.
- [B18] C. Butler. *Measurable rigidity of the cohomological equation for linear cocycles over hyperbolic systems*. Israel J. Math. 227 (2018), no. 1, 27-61.
- [DKt10] D. Damjanović and A. Katok. *Local rigidity of partially hyperbolic actions. I. KAM method and \mathbb{Z}^k actions on the torus*. Annals of Mathematics 172 (2010), 1805–1858.
- [dlL87] R. de la Llave. *Invariants for smooth conjugacy of hyperbolic dynamical systems II*. Comm. Math. Phys., 109 (1987), 368-378.

- [dlL92] R. de la Llave. *Smooth conjugacy and SRB measures for uniformly and non-uniformly hyperbolic systems*. Comm. Math. Phys., 150 (1992), 289-320.
- [dlL02] R. de la Llave. *Rigidity of higher-dimensional conformal Anosov systems*. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, 22 (2002), no. 6, 1845–1870.
- [dlL04] R. de la Llave. *Further rigidity properties of conformal Anosov systems*. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, 24 (2004), no. 5, 1425–1441.
- [dlLM88] R. de la Llave, R. Moriyón. *Invariants for smooth conjugacy of hyperbolic dynamical systems IV*. Commun. Math. Phys., 116 (1988), 185-192.
- [dW21] J. DeWitt. *Local Lyapunov spectrum rigidity of nilmanifold automorphisms*. J. Modern Dynamics 17 (2021): 65-109.
- [G08] A. Gogolev. *Smooth conjugacy of Anosov diffeomorphisms on higher dimensional tori*. J. Modern Dynamics, 2, no. 4, 645-700 (2008).
- [G17] A. Gogolev. *Bootstrap for local rigidity of Anosov automorphisms of the 3-torus*. Comm. Math. Phys., 352, no. 2, 439-455 (2017).
- [GG08] A. Gogolev, M. Guysinski. *C^1 -differentiable conjugacy of Anosov diffeomorphisms on three dimensional torus*. DCDS-A, 22, no. 1/2, 183-200 (2008).
- [GRH] A. Gogolev, F. Rodriguez Hertz. *Smooth rigidity for very non-algebraic Anosov diffeomorphisms of codimension one*. Preprint.
- [J88] J.-L. Journé. *A regularity lemma for functions of several variables*. Revista Matemática Iberoamericana 4 (1988), no. 2, 187-193.
- [GKS11] A. Gogolev, B. Kalinin, V. Sadovskaya. *Local rigidity for Anosov automorphisms*. Math. Research Letters, 18 (2011), no. 05, 843-858.
- [GKS20] A. Gogolev, B. Kalinin, V. Sadovskaya. *Local rigidity of Lyapunov spectrum for toral automorphisms*. Israel J. Math., 238 (2020), 389-403.
- [K11] B. Kalinin. *Livšic theorem for matrix cocycles*. Annals of Mathematics, 173 (2011), no. 2, 1025-1042.
- [KS03] B. Kalinin, V. Sadovskaya. *On local and global rigidity of quasiconformal Anosov diffeomorphisms*. J. Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu, 2 (2003), no. 4, 567-582.
- [KS09] B. Kalinin, V. Sadovskaya. *On Anosov diffeomorphisms with asymptotically conformal periodic data*. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, 29 (2009), 117-136.
- [KS10] B. Kalinin, V. Sadovskaya. *Linear cocycles over hyperbolic systems and criteria of conformality*. Journal of Modern Dynamics, vol. 4 (2010), no. 3, 419-441.
- [KS13] B. Kalinin, V. Sadovskaya. *Cocycles with one exponent over partially hyperbolic systems*. Geometriae Dedicata, Vol. 167, Issue 1 (2013), 167-188.
- [KSW] B. Kalinin, V. Sadovskaya, Z. Wang. *Smooth local rigidity for hyperbolic toral automorphisms*. Preprint.
- [L84] F. Ledrappier. *Propriétés ergodiques des mesures de Sinai*. Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. No. 59 (1984), 163-188.
- [Liv72] A. N. Livšic. *Cohomology of dynamical systems*. Math. USSR Izvestija 6, 1278-1301, 1972.
- [PW01] M. Pollicott, C. P. Walkden. *Livšic theorems for connected Lie groups*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 353(7), 2879-2895, 2001.
- [Pa99] W. Parry. *The Livšic periodic point theorem for non-Abelian cocycles*. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, 19(3), 687-701, 1999.
- [PaP97] W. Parry, M. Pollicott. *The Livšic cocycle equation for compact Lie group extensions of hyperbolic systems*. J. London Math. Soc. (2), 56(2) 405-416, 1997.
- [Pes04] Ya. Pesin. *Lectures on partial hyperbolicity and stable ergodicity*. EMS, Zurich, (2004).
- [S05] V. Sadovskaya. *On uniformly quasiconformal Anosov systems*. Math. Research Letters, **12** (2005), no. 3, 425-441.

- [S13] V. Sadovskaya. *Cohomology of $GL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -valued cocycles over hyperbolic systems*. Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems, vol. 33, no. 5 (2013), 2085-2104.
- [S15] V. Sadovskaya. *Cohomology of fiber bunched cocycles over hyperbolic systems*. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, Vol. 35, Issue 8 (2015), 2669-2688.
- [SaY19] R. Saghin, J. Yang. *Lyapunov exponents and rigidity of Anosov automorphisms and skew products*. Advances in Math., Vol. 355 (2019).
- [Sch99] K. Schmidt. *Remarks on Livšic theory for non-Abelian cocycles*. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, 19(3), 703-721, 1999.
- [Wa70] P. Walters. *Conjugacy properties of affine transformations of nilmanifolds*. Math. Systems Theory 4 (1970), 327-333.
- [WS10] Z. Wang, W. Sun. *Lyapunov exponents of hyperbolic measures and hyperbolic periodic orbits*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 362 (2010), 4267-4282.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY PARK, PA 16802, USA.

E-mail address: kalinin@psu.edu, sadovskaya@psu.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, EAST LANSING, MI 48824, USA

E-mail address: wangzq@math.msu.edu